
Summary of Section Ratings

Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.



Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Focus

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at http://schoolqualityreports.nyc

Red Hook Neighborhood School

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	44	25	42
Grade 1	25	53	25
Grade 2	27	23	49
Grade 3	28	28	20
Grade 4	37	28	29
Grade 5	36	31	28
All students	228	223	219

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	10%	10%	12%
% Free Lunch Eligible	88%	88%	90%
% Student with IEPs	27%	26%	22%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	12%	5%	6%
% HRA Eligible	-	81%	78%
% Temporary Housing	-	21%	28%
% Asian	2%	2%	1%
% Black	49%	47%	47%
% Hispanic	46%	49%	50%
% White	2%	2%	2%
% Other	0%	1%	0%

15K676 Red Hook Neighborhood School

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score
Meeting Target	3.60

				2	014-15 Targets	5			
		2014-15	Bottom of	Approaching	Meeting	Exceeding	Top of		
Student Achievement Metrics	n	School Value	Target Range	Target	Target	Target	Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA									
Average Student Proficiency	72	2.19	1.92	2.10	2.21	2.35	2.62	2.82	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	72	9.7%	0.7%	8.0%	13.2%	19.1%	31.0%	2.33	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	53	67.0	44.2	53.7	61.8	67.5	81.0	3.91	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	22	80.0	60.7	69.0	76.2	81.2	93.1	3.76	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	18	1.89	0.34	0.93	1.44	1.80	2.72	4.10	9.09%
State Test Results - Math									
Average Student Proficiency	72	2.32	1.89	2.14	2.31	2.49	2.86	3.06	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	72	18.1%	0.0%	10.0%	17.2%	25.4%	40.5%	3.11	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	53	58.0	35.0	47.3	57.9	65.3	82.9	3.01	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	24	76.0	53.1	63.4	72.4	78.7	93.6	3.57	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	18	2.89	0.02	1.01	1.87	2.47	3.96	4.28	9.09%
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	30	92.0%	73.6%	81.0%	86.3%	92.4%	99.9%	3.93	9.09%
								Weighted Average Score	3.44

						2	014-15 Target	s				
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	3	4.2%	20.7%		0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%		0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	12	16.7%	84.8%	8.3%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%	3.64	0.030	0.020
SETSS	2	2.8%	26.7%		0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%		0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	3	4.2%	20.9%		0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%		0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	12	16.7%	85.2%	8.3%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%	2.19	0.030	0.009
SETSS	2	2.8%	26.9%		0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%		0.030	0.000
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	6	11.3%	24.1%	66.7%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	33	62.3%	90.9%	54.5%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%	3.89	0.030	0.022
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	21	39.6%	100.0%	61.9%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%	4.28	0.030	0.025
SC/ICT/SETSS	13	24.5%	59.0%	46.2%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	3.14	0.030	0.016
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	6	11.3%	23.2%	66.7%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	28	52.8%	72.5%	42.9%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%	3.01	0.030	0.015
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	16	30.2%	78.6%	50.0%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%	3.76	0.030	0.021
SC/ICT/SETSS	13	24.5%	59.9%	38.5%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	2.83	0.030	0.014
ELL Progress	23	11.9%	30.2%	60.9%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%	3.57	0.030	0.019
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.16
									Overa	all Student Achie	vement Score	3.60

[•] Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

O Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

Red Hook Neighborhood School

Quality Review 4.2 Proficient 3.40 50% NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 82% 2.80 50% 50% 50% 50% 50% 50% 50% 50% 50% 50		Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
Quality Review 1.1 Developing 2.00 22% Quality Review 1.2 Developing 2.00 22% NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction 84% 2.76 34% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.56 Iaborative Teachers Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.56 Section Rating: Meeting Target Proficient 3.40 50% NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 82% 2.80 50% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.12 30% Oportive Environment B5% 3.00 35% Oportive Environment B5% 3.00 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 3.00 35% EMS 9.0% 1.96 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 4.12 5% EMS 0.38 4.12 5% Overall 0.38 4.12 5% Section Rating: Approaching Target	orous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.2 Developing 2.00 2.2% Quality Review 2.2 Proficient 3.40 2.2% Quality Review 2.2 Proficient 3.40 2.2% Quality Review 2.2 Proficient 3.40 2.76 34% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.56 Section Score: 2.56 Section Rating: Approaching Target Proficient 3.40 50% Section Score: 3.12 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.12 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.12 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.12 Section Score: 3.13 Section Score: 3.14 Section Score: 3.15 Section Score: 3.15 Section Score: 3.16 Section Score: 3.16 Section Score: 3.17 Section Score: 3.18 Section Score: 3.18 Section Score: 3.19 Section Score: 3.19 Section Score: 3.10 Section Score:		Developing	2.00	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction 84% 2.76 34% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.56 Ilaborative Teachers	Quality Review 1.2	Developing	2.00	22%
Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.56 Ilaborative Teachers	Quality Review 2.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
Company	NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	84%	2.76	34%
Quality Review 4.2 Proficient 3.40 50% NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 82% 2.80 50% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.12 **Poportive Environment** Quality Review 3.4 Developing 2.00 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 85% 3.00 35% Percentage of Students with 90%+ attendance EMS 59.0% 1.96 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.38 4.12 HS Overall 0.38 4.12 5% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.44 **Section Rating: Approaching Target** Section Rating: Approaching Target** Section Score: 2.44 **Pool Survey - Effective School Leadership 72% 2.44 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.44 **Pool Survey - Effective School Leadership 72% 2.44 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.44 **Pool Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 80% 2.36 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.36 **Pool Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 80% 2.36 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.36	Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.56	
Quality Review 4.2 Proficient 3.40 50% NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 82% 2.80 50% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.12 **Poportive Environment** Quality Review 3.4 Developing 2.00 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 85% 3.00 35% Percentage of Students with 90%+ attendance EMS 59.0% 1.96 30% Movement of Students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.38 4.12 HS Overall 0.38 4.12 5% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.44 **Section Rating: Approaching Target** Section Rating: Approaching Target** Section Score: 2.44 **Pool Survey - Effective School Leadership 72% 2.44 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.44 **Pool Survey - Effective School Leadership 72% 2.44 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.44 **Pool Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 80% 2.36 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.36 **Pool Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 80% 2.36 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.36				
Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.12 Poportive Environment Quality Review 3.4 Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS HS Overall Soverall Overall Ove	llaborative Teachers			
Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.12 pportive Environment Quality Review 3.4 NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 85% 3.00 35% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 85% 3.00 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS HS Overall 59.0% 1.96 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS Overall 0.38 4.12 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.44 Fective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 72% 2.44 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.44 For Employed Part Section Score 2.46 For Employed Part S	Quality Review 4.2	Proficient	3.40	50%
pportive Environment Quality Review 3.4 Developing 2.00 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 85% 3.00 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 59.0% 1.96 HS Overall 59.0% 1.96 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.38 4.12 HS Overall 0.38 4.12 5% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.44 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.44 Cong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 80% 2.36 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.36	NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	82%	2.80	50%
Quality Review 3.4 Developing 2.00 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 85% 3.00 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS HS Overall 59.0% 1.96 Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS Overall 0.38 4.12 EMS Overall 0.38 4.12 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.44 Percentage of Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Trust 82% 2.00 100%	Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.12	
Quality Review 3.4 NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 85% 3.00 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS HS Overall 59.0% 1.96 Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS Overall 0.38 4.12 5% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.44 Developing 2.00 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 85% 3.00 35% 1.96 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS Overall 0.38 4.12 5% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.44 Developing 2.00 30% Available Section Score: Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.44 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.36	pportive Environment			
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 85% 3.00 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS HS Overall 59.0% 1.96 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS Overall 0.38 4.12 5% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.44 Percentage Approaching Target Section Score: 2.36		Developing	2.00	30%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS HS Overall 59.0% 1.96 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS Overall 0.38 4.12 5% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.44 Fooign Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.36 NYC School Survey - Trust 82% 2.00 100%				
Overall 59.0% 1.96 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.38 4.12 5% HS 0.38 4.12 5% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.44 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.36 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.36				
Overall 59.0% 1.96 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.38 4.12 5% Overall 0.38 4.12 5% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.44 Ective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 72% 2.44 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.44 FONG Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 80% 2.36 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.36 NYC School Survey - Trust 82% 2.00 100%	_	59.0%	1.96	
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS Overall O.38 4.12 5% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.44 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.44 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.44 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.44 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: Section Score: 2.44 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: Section Score: 2.36 Section Score: 3.36 Section Score: NYC School Survey - Trust Section Score: 2.36	HS			
environments EMS HS Overall 0.38 4.12 5% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.44 Fective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Tong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.36 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.36 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.36	Overall	59.0%	1.96	30%
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.44 Solve Section Score: 2.44 Solve Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.36 Section Score: 2.36 Section Score: 2.36				
Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.44 Fective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.44 Section Score: 2.44 NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.36 Section Score: 2.36 Section Score: 2.36	EMS	0.38	4.12	
Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.44 Fective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.44 Frong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.36 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.36 Section Score: 2.36	HS			
Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.44 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.44 Section Score: 2.36 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.36 Section Score: 2.36	Overall	0.38	4.12	5%
Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.44 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.44 Section Score: 2.36 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.36 Section Score: 2.36	Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.44	
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 72% 2.44 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.44 Fong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 80% 2.36 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.36 NYC School Survey - Trust 82% 2.00 100%	ective School Leadershin			
rong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 80% 2.36 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.36 ust NYC School Survey - Trust 82% 2.00 100%	-	72%	2.44	100%
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 80% 2.36 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.36 UST NYC School Survey - Trust 82% 2.00 100%	Section Rating: Annroaching Target	Section Score:	2 44	
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 80% 2.36 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.36 ust NYC School Survey - Trust 82% 2.00 100%	Section Rating. Approaching ranges	Section Score.	2.117	
Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.36 UST NYC School Survey - Trust 82% 2.00 100%	ong Family-Community Ties			
ust NYC School Survey - Trust 82% 2.00 100%	NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	80%	2.36	100%
NYC School Survey - Trust 82% 2.00 100%	Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.36	
NYC School Survey - Trust 82% 2.00 100%				
		0001	• • • •	4.55-4
Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.00	NYC School Survey - Trust	82%	2.00	100%
	Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.00	



Red Hook Neighborhood School

			Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Range City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rigorous Ins	truction							
Common	Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	94	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.56	3.24
Common	Core shifts in math	Teachers	95	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.75	4.00
Course cl	larity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
 Quality o 	of student discussion	Teachers	64	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.00	1.00
Section Resul			84%					2.76
Collaborativ	e Teachers							
Cultural	awareness:							
	ural awareness	Teachers	95	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.75	
	ural awareness	Parents	91	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.50	
	ural awareness	Students		68.6	87.4	100.0		
	ural awareness	Combined	93				0.63	3.52
	classroom instruction	Teachers	95	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.75	4.00
	of professional development	Teachers	77	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.52	3.08
•	ommitment	Teachers	53	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.00	1.00
Innovatio		Teachers	78	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.26	2.04
	e dialogue	Teachers	90	87.9	95.9	100.0	0.50	3.00
	aboration	Teachers	93	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.67	3.68
	student learning	Teachers	70	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.06	1.24
	e responsibility	Teachers	88	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.64	3.56
Section Resul	·	reactiers	82%	03.7	01.7	100.0	0.01	2.80
Safety:	Environment				0.1.5	400.0		
Safe	•	Teachers	98	80.0	94.6	100.0	0.88	
Safe	,	Students		74.5	88.5	100.0		
• Safe	-	Combined	98				0.88	4.52
	m behavior:							
	sroom behavior	Teachers	65	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.00	
	sroom behavior	Students		67.3	84.3	100.0		
	sroom behavior	Combined	65				0.00	1.00
	notional measure	Teachers	98	89.0	96.6	100.0	0.82	4.28
Peer inte		Students		68.2	84.8	100.0		
	el guidance	Students						
	vard academic achievement:							
	s toward academic achievement	Teachers	85	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.40	
	s toward academic achievement	Students		85.3	91.9	98.5		
	s toward academic achievement	Combined	85				0.40	2.60
	attention and support	Students		77.8	89.6	100.0		
-	port for academic work:							
	r support for academic work	Teachers	68	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.00	
	r support for academic work	Parents	95	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.75	
	r support for academic work	Students		50.4	73.8	97.2		
	r support for academic work	Combined	81				0.38	2.52
Section Resul	ts:		85%					3.00

15K676 Red Hook Neighborhood School

Effective School Leadership Inclusive principal leadership Parents 87 79.3 90.9 100.0 Teacher influence Teachers 52 28.8 60.8 92.8 Program coherence Teachers 70 60.0 85.2 100.0 Principal instructional leadership Teachers 79 61.6 87.0 100.0 Section Results: 72% Strong Family Community Ties Teacher outreach to parents Teachers 93 84.5 94.5 100.0 Teacher outreach to parents Parents 85 86.0 92.6 99.2 Teacher outreach to parents Combined 89 Parent involvement in the schools Parents 71 62.4 76.6 90.8	Range Percent of Range Score
Inclusive principal leadership Parents 87 79.3 90.9 100.0 Teacher influence Teachers 52 28.8 60.8 92.8 Program coherence Teachers 70 60.0 85.2 100.0 Principal instructional leadership Teachers 79 61.6 87.0 100.0 Section Results: 72% Strong Family Community Ties Teacher outreach to parents Teacher outreach to parents Teachers 93 84.5 94.5 100.0 Teacher outreach to parents Parents 85 86.0 92.6 99.2 Teacher outreach to parents Combined 89	
Inclusive principal leadership Parents 87 79.3 90.9 100.0 Teacher influence Teachers 52 28.8 60.8 92.8 Program coherence Teachers 70 60.0 85.2 100.0 Principal instructional leadership Teachers 79 61.6 87.0 100.0 Section Results: 72% Strong Family Community Ties Teacher outreach to parents: Teacher outreach to parents Teachers 93 84.5 94.5 100.0 Teacher outreach to parents Parents 85 86.0 92.6 99.2 Teacher outreach to parents Combined 89	
Program coherence Teachers 70 60.0 85.2 100.0 Principal instructional leadership Teachers 79 61.6 87.0 100.0 Section Results: 72% Strong Family Community Ties Teacher outreach to parents: Teachers 93 84.5 94.5 100.0 Teacher outreach to parents Parents 85 86.0 92.6 99.2 Teacher outreach to parents Combined 89	.0 0.37 2.48
Principal instructional leadership Teachers 79 61.6 87.0 100.0 Section Results: 72% Strong Family Community Ties Teacher outreach to parents: Teacher outreach to parents Teachers 93 84.5 94.5 100.0 Teacher outreach to parents Parents 85 86.0 92.6 99.2 Teacher outreach to parents Combined 89	8 0.36 2.44
Section Results: 72% Strong Family Community Ties Teacher outreach to parents: 93 84.5 94.5 100.0 Teacher outreach to parents Parents 85 86.0 92.6 99.2 Teacher outreach to parents Combined 89	.0 0.25 2.00
Strong Family Community Ties Teacher outreach to parents: Teacher outreach to parents Teacher outreach to parents Parents Parents 85 86.0 92.6 99.2 Teacher outreach to parents Combined 89	.0 0.45 2.80
Teacher outreach to parents: Teacher outreach to parents Teacher outreach to parents Teacher outreach to parents Parents Teacher outreach to parents Combined 89 Teacher outreach to parents Combined	2.44
Teacher outreach to parents: Teacher outreach to parents Teacher outreach to parents Teacher outreach to parents Parents Teacher outreach to parents Combined 89 Teacher outreach to parents Combined	
Teacher outreach to parents Teachers 93 84.5 94.5 100.0 Teacher outreach to parents Parents 85 86.0 92.6 99.2 Teacher outreach to parents Combined 89	
Teacher outreach to parents Parents 85 86.0 92.6 99.2 Teacher outreach to parents Combined 89	
Teacher outreach to parents Combined 89	.0 0.52
·	2 0.25
Parent involvement in the schools Parents 71 62.4 76.6 90.8	0.38 2.52
	8 0.30 2.20
Section Results: 80%	2.36
Trust	
Parent-teacher trust Parents 93 90.9 95.3 99.7	7 0.50 3.00
o Parent-principal trust Parents 81 82.7 93.3 100.0	.0 0.00 1.00
Student-teacher trust Students 64.6 85.2 100.0	.0
Teacher-principal trust Teachers 78 56.4 85.0 100.0	.0 0.49 2.96
o Teacher-teacher trust Teachers 74 74.1 90.5 100.0	.0 0.00 1.00
Section Results: 82%	2.00

Targets for 2015-16

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
State Test Results - ELA*							
Average Student Proficiency	2.19	2.10 or lower	2.11 to 2.20	2.21 to 2.28	2.29 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.93	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.90	1.91 to 2.00	2.01 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	9.7%	7.7% or lower	7.8% to 12.1%	12.2% to 15.5%	15.6% or higher		
State Test Results - Math*							
Average Student Proficiency	2.32	2.15 or lower	2.16 to 2.31	2.32 to 2.42	2.43 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.96	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.90	1.91 to 2.03	2.04 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	18.1%	11.7% or lower	11.8% to 18.4%	18.5% to 23.6%	23.7% or higher		
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	92.0%	84.6% or lower	84.7% to 88.5%	88.6% to 91.4%	91.5% or higher		
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15		2015-16	Targets	gets		
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained		1.69 or lower	1.70 to 1.79	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 or higher		
Integrated Co-Teaching	1.91	1.84 or lower	1.85 to 1.95	1.96 to 2.03	2.04 or higher		
SETSS		1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher		
ELL	2.33	1.88 or lower	1.89 to 2.01	2.02 to 2.12	2.13 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide	1.99	1.86 or lower	1.87 to 1.93	1.94 to 1.99	2.00 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	2.01	1.84 or lower	1.85 to 1.91	1.92 to 1.99	2.00 or higher		
Math - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained		1.72 or lower	1.73 to 1.86	1.87 to 1.97	1.98 or higher		
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.03	1.83 or lower	1.84 to 2.01	2.02 to 2.14	2.15 or higher		
SETSS		1.81 or lower	1.82 to 1.99	2.00 to 2.12	2.13 or higher		
ELL	2.51	2.00 or lower	2.01 to 2.20	2.21 to 2.34	2.35 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide	1.94	1.87 or lower	1.88 to 1.95	1.96 to 2.01	2.02 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.96	1.85 or lower	1.86 to 1.94	1.95 to 2.00	2.01 or higher		
ELL Progress	60.9%	40.4% or lower	40.5% to 50.4%	50.5% to 57.9%	58.0% or highe		

^{*}To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	59.0%	57.3% or lower	57.4% to 64.2%	64.3% to 69.9%	70.0% or higher			
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.38	0.10 or lower	0.11 to 0.17	0.18 to 0.21	0.22 or higher			