## 2014-15 School Quality Guide / MS

**Summary of Section Ratings** 

#### **Framework for Great Schools**

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.

Section	Section Rating	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Targ	et	ExceedingTarget
<b>Rigorous Instruction</b>	Meeting Target			3.36		
<b>Collaborative Teachers</b>	Meeting Target				3.72	
Supportive Environment	Meeting Target			3.16		
Effective School Leadership	Meeting Target				3.8	34
Strong Family-Community Ties	Meeting Target			3.12		
Trust	Meeting Target				3.68	
Student Achievement	Approaching Target		2.67			
		1	2	3	Z	4.99

**Section scores** are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

#### State Accountability Status: Priority

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: <a href="http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm">http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm</a>

#### Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at <u>http://schoolqualityreports.nyc</u>

# 2014-15 School Quality Guide / MS

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

# **Student Enrollment**

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Grade 6	123	104	86
Grade 7	128	116	92
Grade 8	136	135	116
All students	387	355	294

# **Student Demographics**

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	26%	25%	22%
% Free Lunch Eligible	77%	77%	77%
% Student with IEPs	31%	39%	39%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	18%	16%	18%
% HRA Eligible	-	78%	77%
% Temporary Housing	-	12%	13%
% Asian	0%	0%	1%
% Black	17%	19%	19%
% Hispanic	77%	76%	75%
% White	5%	5%	5%
% Other	0%	0%	0%
Average Incoming ELA Proficiency	2.50	2.17	2.17
Average Incoming Math Proficiency	2.79	2.25	2.23

14K071 Juan Morel Campos Secondary School



## 2014-15 School Quality Guide / MS

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score									
Approaching Target	2.67									
					2	2014-15 Targets	5			
Student Achievement Metrics		n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	— Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Po
State Test Results - ELA	_									
Average Student Proficiency		263	2.06	1.87	2.05	2.16	2.28	2.55	2.09	9.80%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4		263	6.1%	0.0%	4.9%	8.6%	12.7%	21.1%	2.32	9.80%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile		253	58.0	51.2	56.3	63.6	68.5	77.1	2.23	9.80%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third		88	73.5	67.1	72.3	79.6	84.5	93.0	2.16	9.80%
State Test Results - Math										
Average Student Proficiency		267	1.99	1.76	1.98	2.11	2.26	2.59	2.08	9.80%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4		267	3.4%	0.0%	4.5%	7.8%	11.6%	21.0%	1.76	9.80%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile		255	62.0	42.8	49.9	60.3	67.2	79.6	3.25	9.80%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third		87	73.0	59.3	65.4	74.2	80.0	90.4	2.86	9.80%
Core Course Pass Rates										
ELA		265	79.6%	62.1%	72.9%	80.9%	89.8%	100.0%	2.84	1.96%
Math		265	81.1%	59.8%	71.4%	79.8%	89.3%	100.0%	3.14	1.96%
Science		265	78.5%	62.2%	73.1%	81.0%	89.9%	100.0%	2.68	1.96%
Social Studies		265	83.8%	58.3%	70.3%	79.0%	88.8%	100.0%	3.49	1.96%
Percent of 8th Graders Earning HS Credit		105	9.5%	0.0%	6.4%	11.2%	16.5%	27.1%	2.65	3.92%
9th Grade Adjusted Credit Accumulation of Former 8th Graders		116	77.0%	60.0%	69.0%	76.0%	84.0%	93.0%	3.13	9.80%
									Weighted Average Score	2.49

	2014-15 Targets											
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4			U		0 0	U	U	0	0 0			
Self-Contained	46	17.5%	90.7%	2.2%	0.0%	0.4%	0.8%	1.3%	2.2%	4.99	0.030	0.030
• Integrated Co-Teaching	19	7.2%	39.6%	0.0%	0.0%	1.6%	3.2%	5.1%	8.4%	1.00	0.030	0.000
O SETSS	30	11.4%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.6%	5.2%	8.2%	13.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
• Self-Contained	47	17.6%	93.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%	1.4%	2.3%	3.8%	1.00	0.030	0.000
• Integrated Co-Teaching	20	7.5%	41.4%	0.0%	0.0%	2.4%	4.8%	7.6%	12.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
O SETSS	31	11.6%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.3%	6.6%	10.4%	17.2%	1.00	0.030	0.000
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	67	26.5%	59.3%	41.8%	17.2%	27.0%	36.6%	47.8%	68.0%	3.46	0.030	0.018
Lowest Third Citywide	160	63.2%	82.2%	40.6%	31.5%	39.4%	47.2%	56.3%	72.7%	2.15	0.030	0.009
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	98	38.7%	86.6%	40.8%	29.6%	38.0%	46.2%	55.9%	73.2%	2.34	0.030	0.010
SC/ICT/SETSS	90	35.6%	91.8%	45.6%	35.0%	42.9%	50.8%	60.0%	76.4%	2.34	0.030	0.010
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	69	27.1%	55.9%	42.0%	12.4%	22.8%	33.0%	45.0%	66.4%	3.75	0.030	0.021
Lowest Third Citywide	169	66.3%	81.7%	46.7%	24.4%	34.1%	43.7%	54.9%	75.0%	3.27	0.030	0.017
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	102	40.0%	89.9%	50.0%	24.1%	34.0%	43.7%	55.1%	75.5%	3.55	0.030	0.019
SC/ICT/SETSS	91	35.7%	93.8%	47.3%	25.8%	34.5%	43.0%	53.1%	71.0%	3.43	0.030	0.018
ELL Progress	50	18.0%	49.9%	50.0%	11.3%	22.8%	34.2%	47.5%	71.3%	4.11	0.030	0.023
										CtAG Ad	ditional Points	0.18
									Over	all Student Achie	evement Score	2.67

• Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

• Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

## 14K071 Juan Morel Campos Secondary School

## 2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

Juan Morel Campos Secondary School

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
orous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	88%	3.32	34%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.36	
aborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2	Proficient	3.40	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	92%	4.00	50%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.72	
portive Environment			
Quality Review 3.4	Proficient	3.40	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	82%	2.88	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance	0270	2.00	5570
EMS	65.3%	2.96	
HS	51.7%	3.20	
Overall	58.5%	3.08	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments			
EMS	0.48	3.70	
HS	0.93	4.78	
Overall	0.71	4.24	5%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.16	
ctive School Leadership			
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	88%	3.84	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.84	
ong Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	77%	3.12	100%
			20070
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.12	
st			
NYC School Survey - Trust	91%	3.68	100%
Soction Pating: Monting Target	Castion Coores	2 60	
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.68	

<sup>14</sup>K071

#### 2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

```
14K071
```

Juan Morel Campos Secondary School

				City Range			
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rigorous Instruction							
Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	90	78.0	90.2	100.0	0.56	3.24
Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	90	73.4	86.8	100.0	0.62	3.48
Course clarity	Students	88	79.8	87.6	95.4	0.55	3.20
Quality of student discussion	Teachers	83	58.6	79.2	99.8	0.60	3.40
Section Results:		88%					3.32
Collaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers	97	85.7	94.3	100.0	0.76	
Cultural awareness	Parents	91	85.4	92.4	99.4	0.50	
Cultural awareness	Students	84	69.5	80.9	92.3	0.62	
Cultural awareness	Combined	90				0.62	3.48
Inclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	95	81.4	92.4	100.0	0.75	4.00
Quality of professional development	Teachers	90	45.8	75.2	100.0	0.81	4.24
School commitment	Teachers	91	60.1	85.3	100.0	0.77	4.08
Innovation	Teachers	92	63.0	84.2	100.0	0.80	4.20
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	96	85.6	95.2	100.0	0.75	4.00
Peer collaboration	Teachers	93	72.0	89.8	100.0	0.73	3.92
• Focus on student learning	Teachers	92	64.0	86.6	100.0	0.78	4.12
Collective responsibility	Teachers	87	54.5	80.5	100.0	0.70	3.80
Section Results:		92%					4.00
Supportive Environment Safety:							
Safety	Teachers						
Safety	Students	83	69.7	83.1	96.5	0.49	
Safety	Combined	83				0.49	2.96
Classroom behavior:							
Classroom behavior	Teachers						
Classroom behavior	Students	81	67.0	80.4	93.8	0.53	
Classroom behavior	Combined	81				0.53	3.12
<ul> <li>Social-emotional measure</li> </ul>	Teachers	97	86.5	95.3	100.0	0.76	4.04
Peer interactions	Students	75	63.1	76.5	89.9	0.44	2.76
Next-level guidance	Students	84	72.1	83.3	94.5	0.55	3.20
Press toward academic achievement:							
Press toward academic achievement	Teachers						
Press toward academic achievement	Students	86	80.3	87.9	95.5	0.34	
Press toward academic achievement	Combined	86				0.34	2.36
Personal attention and support	Students	82	73.6	83.0	92.4	0.46	2.84
Peer support for academic work:							
Peer support for academic work	Teachers						
Peer support for academic work	Parents	78	72.8	86.8	100.0	0.19	
Peer support for academic work	Students	53	45.3	61.7	78.1	0.22	
• Peer support for academic work	Combined	65				0.21	1.84
Section Results:		82%					2.88

#### 2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

#### 14K071

Juan Morel Campos Secondary School

			City Range			
	Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Parents	88	76.7	88.9	100.0	0.50	3.00
Teachers	80	44.5	71.1	97.7	0.67	3.68
Teachers	89	52.0	80.8	100.0	0.77	4.08
Teachers	95	56.6	85.0	100.0	0.88	4.52
	88%					3.84
Teachers	95	77.3	90.9	100.0	0.80	
Parents	90	76.9	88.1	99.3	0.57	
Combined	93				0.68	3.72
Parents	61	48.2	65.0	81.8	0.37	2.48
	77%					3.12
Parents	90	86.5	93.3	100.0	0.50	3.00
Parents	95	84.5	93.1	100.0	0.75	4.00
Students	78	69.1	79.9	90.7	0.40	2.60
Teachers	94	56.9	85.9	100.0	0.87	4.48
Teachers	96	74.0	90.8	100.0	0.85	4.40
	91%					3.68
	Teachers Teachers Teachers Teachers Teachers Parents Combined Parents Parents Parents Students Teachers	Parents88Teachers80Teachers89Teachers95Teachers9588%Teachers95Parents90Combined93Parents61Parents61Parents95Students78Teachers94Teachers96	Parents       88       76.7         Teachers       80       44.5         Teachers       89       52.0         Teachers       95       56.6         88%       88%       88%         Teachers       95         Teachers       95       77.3         Parents       90       76.9         Combined       93       77%         Parents       61       48.2         77%       77%       77%         Parents       95       84.5         Students       78       69.1         Teachers       94       56.9         Teachers       96       74.0	Survey % Positive         Bottom of Range         City Avg           Parents         88         76.7         88.9           Teachers         80         44.5         71.1           Teachers         89         52.0         80.8           Teachers         95         56.6         85.0           Teachers         95         56.6         85.0           Teachers         95         77.3         90.9           Parents         90         76.9         88.1           Combined         93	Survey % Positive         Bottom of Range         City Avg         Top of Range           Parents         88         76.7         88.9         100.0           Teachers         80         44.5         71.1         97.7           Teachers         89         52.0         80.8         100.0           Teachers         95         56.6         85.0         100.0           Teachers         95         56.6         85.0         100.0           Reachers         95         77.3         90.9         100.0           Parents         90         76.9         88.1         99.3           Combined         93	Survey % Positive         Bottom of Range         City Avg         Top of Range         Percent of Range           Parents         88         76.7         88.9         100.0         0.50           Teachers         80         44.5         71.1         97.7         0.67           Teachers         89         52.0         80.8         100.0         0.77           Teachers         95         56.6         85.0         100.0         0.88           88%         76.7         88.9         100.0         0.77           Teachers         95         56.6         85.0         100.0         0.80           Parents         90         76.9         88.1         99.3         0.57           Combined         93         0.57         0.68         0.68           Parents         61         48.2         65.0         81.8         0.37           Treachers         95         84.5         93.1         100.0         0.50           Parents         95         84.5         93.1         100.0         0.75           Students         78         69.1         79.9         90.7         0.40           Teachers         94         56.9

### 2014-15 School Quality Reports / MS

Targets for 2015-16

Juan Morel Campos Secondary School

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
State Test Results - ELA*								
Average Student Proficiency	2.06	2.08 or lower	2.09 to 2.15	2.16 to 2.22	2.23 or higher			
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.80	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher			
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	6.1%	5.9% or lower	6.0% to 9.9%	10.0% to 14.9%	15.0% or higher			
State Test Results - Math*								
Average Student Proficiency	1.99	1.95 or lower	1.96 to 2.08	2.09 to 2.19	2.20 or higher			
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.75	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher			
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	3.4%	5.2% or lower	5.3% to 9.9%	10.0% to 14.9%	15.0% or higher			
Core Course Pass Rates								
ELA	79.6%	76.0% or lower	76.1% to 81.6%	81.7% to 86.2%	86.3% or higher			
Math	81.1%	76.5% or lower	76.6% to 81.8%	81.9% to 86.1%	86.2% or higher			
Science	78.5%	79.1% or lower	79.2% to 84.0%	84.1% to 88.0%	88.1% or higher			
Social Studies	83.8%	74.4% or lower	74.5% to 80.4%	80.5% to 85.3%	85.4% or higher			
Percent of 8th Graders Earning HS Credit	9.5%	5.5% or lower	5.6% to 9.9%	10.0% to 14.9%	15.0% or higher			
9th Grade Adjusted Credit Accumulation of Former 8th Graders	77.0%	71.9% or lower	72.0% to 76.9%	77.0% to 79.9%	80.0% or higher			
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
			Annua shing Torget	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Weeting runger				
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	weeting turget				
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	1.75 to 1.81	1.82 to 1.89	1.90 or higher			
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating								
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating Self-Contained Integrated Co-Teaching SETSS	1.84 1.90 1.95	1.74 or lower	1.75 to 1.81 1.90 to 1.97 1.91 to 2.01	1.82 to 1.89 1.98 to 2.03 2.02 to 2.10	1.90 or higher			
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating Self-Contained Integrated Co-Teaching	1.84 1.90 1.95 1.91	1.74 or lower 1.89 or lower 1.90 or lower 1.85 or lower	1.75 to 1.81 1.90 to 1.97 1.91 to 2.01 1.86 to 1.96	1.82 to 1.89 1.98 to 2.03	1.90 or higher 2.04 or higher			
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating Self-Contained Integrated Co-Teaching SETSS	1.84 1.90 1.95	1.74 or lower 1.89 or lower 1.90 or lower	1.75 to 1.81 1.90 to 1.97 1.91 to 2.01	1.82 to 1.89 1.98 to 2.03 2.02 to 2.10	1.90 or higher 2.04 or higher 2.11 or higher			
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating Self-Contained Integrated Co-Teaching SETSS ELL	1.84 1.90 1.95 1.91	1.74 or lower 1.89 or lower 1.90 or lower 1.85 or lower	1.75 to 1.81 1.90 to 1.97 1.91 to 2.01 1.86 to 1.96	1.82 to 1.89 1.98 to 2.03 2.02 to 2.10 1.97 to 2.06	1.90 or higher 2.04 or higher 2.11 or higher 2.07 or higher			
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating         Self-Contained         Integrated Co-Teaching         SETSS         ELL         Lowest Third Citywide         Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide         Wath - Average Proficiency Rating	1.84 1.90 1.95 1.91 1.87 1.86	1.74 or lower 1.89 or lower 1.90 or lower 1.85 or lower 1.86 or lower 1.83 or lower	1.75 to 1.81 1.90 to 1.97 1.91 to 2.01 1.86 to 1.96 1.87 to 1.91 1.84 to 1.89	1.82 to 1.89 1.98 to 2.03 2.02 to 2.10 1.97 to 2.06 1.92 to 1.99 1.90 to 1.99	<ul><li>1.90 or higher</li><li>2.04 or higher</li><li>2.11 or higher</li><li>2.07 or higher</li><li>2.00 or higher</li><li>2.00 or higher</li></ul>			
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating Self-Contained Integrated Co-Teaching SETSS ELL Lowest Third Citywide Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.84 1.90 1.95 1.91 1.87	1.74 or lower 1.89 or lower 1.90 or lower 1.85 or lower 1.86 or lower	1.75 to 1.81 1.90 to 1.97 1.91 to 2.01 1.86 to 1.96 1.87 to 1.91	1.82 to 1.89 1.98 to 2.03 2.02 to 2.10 1.97 to 2.06 1.92 to 1.99	<ul> <li>1.90 or higher</li> <li>2.04 or higher</li> <li>2.11 or higher</li> <li>2.07 or higher</li> <li>2.00 or higher</li> <li>2.00 or higher</li> <li>1.90 or higher</li> </ul>			
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating         Self-Contained         Integrated Co-Teaching         SETSS         ELL         Lowest Third Citywide         Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide         Wath - Average Proficiency Rating	1.84 1.90 1.95 1.91 1.87 1.86	1.74 or lower 1.89 or lower 1.90 or lower 1.85 or lower 1.86 or lower 1.83 or lower 1.69 or lower 1.79 or lower	1.75 to 1.81 1.90 to 1.97 1.91 to 2.01 1.86 to 1.96 1.87 to 1.91 1.84 to 1.89 1.70 to 1.79 1.80 to 1.89	1.82 to 1.89 1.98 to 2.03 2.02 to 2.10 1.97 to 2.06 1.92 to 1.99 1.90 to 1.99	<ul> <li>1.90 or higher</li> <li>2.04 or higher</li> <li>2.11 or higher</li> <li>2.07 or higher</li> <li>2.00 or higher</li> <li>2.00 or higher</li> <li>1.90 or higher</li> <li>2.00 or higher</li> </ul>			
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating Self-Contained Integrated Co-Teaching SETSS ELL Lowest Third Citywide Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide Math - Average Proficiency Rating Self-Contained	1.84 1.90 1.95 1.91 1.87 1.86 1.74 1.81 1.96	1.74 or lower 1.89 or lower 1.90 or lower 1.85 or lower 1.86 or lower 1.83 or lower 1.69 or lower	1.75 to 1.81 1.90 to 1.97 1.91 to 2.01 1.86 to 1.96 1.87 to 1.91 1.84 to 1.89 1.70 to 1.79 1.80 to 1.89 1.80 to 1.94	1.82 to 1.89 1.98 to 2.03 2.02 to 2.10 1.97 to 2.06 1.92 to 1.99 1.90 to 1.99 1.80 to 1.89	<ul> <li>1.90 or higher</li> <li>2.04 or higher</li> <li>2.11 or higher</li> <li>2.07 or higher</li> <li>2.00 or higher</li> <li>2.00 or higher</li> <li>1.90 or higher</li> </ul>			
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating         Self-Contained         Integrated Co-Teaching         SETSS         ELL         Lowest Third Citywide         Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide         Math - Average Proficiency Rating         Self-Contained         Integrated Co-Teaching	1.84 1.90 1.95 1.91 1.87 1.86 1.74 1.81	1.74 or lower 1.89 or lower 1.90 or lower 1.85 or lower 1.86 or lower 1.83 or lower 1.69 or lower 1.79 or lower	1.75 to 1.81 1.90 to 1.97 1.91 to 2.01 1.86 to 1.96 1.87 to 1.91 1.84 to 1.89 1.70 to 1.79 1.80 to 1.89	1.82 to 1.89 1.98 to 2.03 2.02 to 2.10 1.97 to 2.06 1.92 to 1.99 1.90 to 1.99 1.80 to 1.89 1.90 to 1.99	<ul> <li>1.90 or higher</li> <li>2.04 or higher</li> <li>2.11 or higher</li> <li>2.07 or higher</li> <li>2.00 or higher</li> <li>2.00 or higher</li> <li>1.90 or higher</li> <li>2.00 or higher</li> </ul>			
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating Self-Contained Integrated Co-Teaching ELL Lowest Third Citywide Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide Math - Average Proficiency Rating Self-Contained Integrated Co-Teaching SETSS	1.84 1.90 1.95 1.91 1.87 1.86 1.74 1.81 1.96	1.74 or lower 1.89 or lower 1.90 or lower 1.85 or lower 1.86 or lower 1.83 or lower 1.69 or lower 1.79 or lower 1.79 or lower	1.75 to 1.81 1.90 to 1.97 1.91 to 2.01 1.86 to 1.96 1.87 to 1.91 1.84 to 1.89 1.70 to 1.79 1.80 to 1.89 1.80 to 1.94	1.82 to 1.89 1.98 to 2.03 2.02 to 2.10 1.97 to 2.06 1.92 to 1.99 1.90 to 1.99 1.80 to 1.89 1.90 to 1.99 1.95 to 2.06	<ul> <li>1.90 or higher</li> <li>2.04 or higher</li> <li>2.11 or higher</li> <li>2.07 or higher</li> <li>2.00 or higher</li> <li>2.00 or higher</li> <li>1.90 or higher</li> <li>2.00 or higher</li> <li>2.00 or higher</li> <li>2.00 or higher</li> </ul>			
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating Self-Contained Integrated Co-Teaching SETSS ELL Lowest Third Citywide Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide Math - Average Proficiency Rating Self-Contained Integrated Co-Teaching SETSS ELL	1.84 1.90 1.95 1.91 1.87 1.86 1.74 1.81 1.96 1.90	1.74 or lower 1.89 or lower 1.90 or lower 1.85 or lower 1.86 or lower 1.83 or lower 1.69 or lower 1.79 or lower 1.79 or lower 1.81 or lower	1.75 to 1.81 1.90 to 1.97 1.91 to 2.01 1.86 to 1.96 1.87 to 1.91 1.84 to 1.89 1.70 to 1.79 1.80 to 1.89 1.80 to 1.94 1.82 to 1.97	1.82 to 1.89 1.98 to 2.03 2.02 to 2.10 1.97 to 2.06 1.92 to 1.99 1.90 to 1.99 1.90 to 1.89 1.90 to 1.99 1.95 to 2.06 1.98 to 2.10	<ul> <li>1.90 or higher</li> <li>2.04 or higher</li> <li>2.11 or higher</li> <li>2.07 or higher</li> <li>2.00 or higher</li> <li>2.00 or higher</li> <li>1.90 or higher</li> <li>2.00 or higher</li> <li>2.07 or higher</li> <li>2.07 or higher</li> <li>2.11 or higher</li> </ul>			

\*To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below and have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	65.3%	58.1% or lower	58.2% to 64.0%	64.1% to 69.9%	70.0% or higher			
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.48	0.20 or lower	0.21 to 0.32	0.33 to 0.41	0.42 or higher			

\* If the participation in state tests is low, the targets may be adjusted to reflect the students at the school that actually take the tests.

# 14K071