2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

Summary of Section Ratings

Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.

Section	Section Rating	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	ExceedingTarget
Rigorous Instruction	Meeting Target			3.	84
Collaborative Teachers	Meeting Target			3.60	
Supportive Environment	Exceeding Target				4.44
Effective School Leadership	Meeting Target				3.92
Strong Family-Community Ties	Exceeding Target				4.20
Trust	Meeting Target			3.8	:0
Student Achievement	Meeting Target			3.	85
		1	2 3	3	4 4.99

Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Reward

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at <u>http://schoolqualityreports.nyc</u>

2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	105	101	97
Grade 1	115	102	103
Grade 2	96	107	95
Grade 3	100	95	98
Grade 4	83	89	90
Grade 5	91	84	88
All students	590	578	571

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	1%	1%	1%
% Free Lunch Eligible	10%	10%	7%
% Student with IEPs	12%	12%	11%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	0%	0%	0%
% HRA Eligible	-	6%	5%
% Temporary Housing	-	0%	0%
% Asian	4%	5%	6%
% Black	6%	8%	6%
% Hispanic	15%	13%	11%
% White	73%	72%	75%
% Other	0%	2%	2%

15K107 P.S. 107 John W. Kimball

2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score									
Meeting Target	3.85									
					2	2014-15 Target	S			
Student Achievement Metrics		n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	 Top of Target Range 	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA										
Average Student Proficiency		244	3.35	2.58	2.91	3.07	3.26	3.46	4.45	9.09%
 Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4 		244	79.1%	33.5%	48.1%	56.8%	66.6%	77.3%	4.99	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile		148	65.0	53.3	61.1	67.7	72.3	83.3	2.59	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third		57	66.0	55.7	64.5	72.1	77.4	90.1	2.20	9.09%
Early Grade Progress		91	2.88	1.41	2.03	2.48	2.80	3.54	4.11	9.09%
State Test Results - Math										
Average Student Proficiency		241	3.60	2.71	3.12	3.32	3.54	3.80	4.23	9.09%
 Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4 		241	82.6%	38.1%	55.4%	64.8%	75.5%	87.0%	4.62	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile		145	64.0	45.3	55.7	64.6	70.8	85.5	2.93	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third		52	71.0	50.0	60.1	69.0	75.1	89.8	3.33	9.09%
Early Grade Progress		91	2.98	1.40	2.23	2.74	3.10	4.11	3.67	9.09%
 MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students 		73	98.3%	84.6%	89.9%	92.8%	96.0%	100.0%	4.58	9.09%
									Weighted Average Score	3.79

						2	014-15 Targets	5	_			
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics			Population %	2014-15	Bottom of	Approaching	Meeting	Exceeding	Top of		Extra Points	Extra Points
	n	Population %	of Range	School Value	Target Range	Target	Target	Target	Target Range	Metric Score	Possible	Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4			/									
Self-Contained			0.0%		0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%		0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	21	8.6%	43.7%	33.3%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%	4.99	0.030	0.030
SETSS	4	1.6%	15.2%		0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%		0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained			0.0%		0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%		0.030	0.000
 Integrated Co-Teaching 	19	7.9%	40.3%	47.4%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%	4.99	0.030	0.030
SETSS	4	1.7%	16.3%		0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%		0.030	0.000
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	2	1.4%	3.0%		12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	6	4.1%	3.7%	66.7%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%		0.030	0.000
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	2	1.4%	3.5%		23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%		0.030	0.000
SC/ICT/SETSS	15	10.1%	17.8%	33.3%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%		0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	2	1.4%	2.9%		7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	6	4.1%	5.6%	33.3%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%		0.030	0.000
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide			0.0%		14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%		0.030	0.000
SC/ICT/SETSS	13	9.0%	15.3%	38.5%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%		0.030	0.000
ELL Progress	5	0.9%	2.3%	100.0%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%		0.030	0.000
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.06
									Overa	II Student Achie	vement Score	3.85

• Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

• Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

15K107 P.S. 107 John W. Kimball

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

```
15K107
```

P.S. 107 John W. Kimball

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
prous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	98%	4.68	34%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.84	
aborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2	Proficient	3.40	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	91%	3.76	50%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.60	
portive Environment			
Quality Review 3.4	Well Developed	4.99	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	95%	3.96	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance	07.40/	4.52	
EMS	97.1%	4.52	
HS	07 19/	4.52	30%
Overall Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive	97.1%	4.52	30%
environments			
EMS	0.31	3.60	
HS	0.51	5.00	
Overall	0.31	3.60	5%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.44	
ctive School Leadership			
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	88%	3.92	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.92	
ng Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	92%	4.20	100%
Saction Dating: Excording Target	Section Score:	4.20	
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.20	
st		2.22	
NYC School Survey - Trust	93%	3.80	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.80	
0			

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

```
15K107
P.S. 107 John W. Kimball
```

	City Range						
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rigorous Instruction							
 Common Core shifts in literacy 	Teachers	100	86.4	94.8	100.0	1.00	4.99
Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	99	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.94	4.76
Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
Quality of student discussion	Teachers	94	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.82	4.28
Section Results:		98%					4.68
Collaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers	98	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.85	
Cultural awareness	Parents	94	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.50	
Cultural awareness	Students		68.6	87.4	100.0		
Cultural awareness	Combined	96				0.67	3.68
 Inclusive classroom instruction 	Teachers	95	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.75	4.00
Quality of professional development	Teachers	78	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.54	3.16
School commitment	Teachers	89	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.72	3.88
Innovation	Teachers	86	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.54	3.16
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	97	87.9	95.9	100.0	0.75	4.00
Peer collaboration	Teachers	94	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.73	3.92
 Focus on student learning 	Teachers	95	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.85	4.40
Collective responsibility	Teachers	89	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.69	3.76
Section Results:		91%					3.76
Supportive Environment							
Safety:	Teachers	00	90.0	04.6	100.0	0.07	
Safety	Students	99	80.0 74.5	94.6 88.5	100.0 100.0	0.97	
SafetySafety	Combined	99	/4.5	00.0	100.0	0.97	4.88
Classroom behavior:	Combined	99				0.97	4.88
Classroom behavior	Teachers	92	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.74	
Classroom behavior	Students	92	67.3	84.3	100.0	0.74	
Classroom behavior	Combined	92	07.5	04.5	100.0	0.74	3.96
Social-emotional measure	Teachers	94	89.0	96.6	100.0	0.50	3.00
Peer interactions	Students	94	68.2	90.0 84.8	100.0	0.50	5.00
Next-level guidance	Students		00.2	04.0	100.0		
Press toward academic achievement:	Students						
Press toward academic achievement	Teachers	92	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.69	
Press toward academic achievement	Students	52	85.3	91.9	98.5	0.05	
Press toward academic achievement	Combined	92	05.5	51.5	50.5	0.69	3.76
Personal attention and support	Students	52	77.8	89.6	100.0	0.05	5.70
Peer support for academic work:	Juanti		77.0	55.0	100.0		
Peer support for academic work	Teachers	97	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.85	
Peer support for academic work	Parents	98	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.78	
Peer support for academic work	Students	50	50.4	73.8	97.2	0.70	
Peer support for academic work	Combined	97	JU.T	73.0	J1.4	0.82	4.28
Section Results:	combilied	95%				0.02	4.20 3.96
		5570					3.50

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

```
15K107
P.S. 107 John W. Kimball
```

				City Range			
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	92	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.60	3.40
Teacher influence	Teachers	76	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.74	3.96
 Program coherence 	Teachers	91	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.78	4.12
 Principal instructional leadership 	Teachers	93	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.81	4.24
Section Results:		88%					3.92
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	98	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.88	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	92	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.50	
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	95				0.69	3.76
• Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	88	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.90	4.60
Section Results:		92%					4.20
Trust							
 Parent-teacher trust 	Parents	95	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.75	4.00
Parent-principal trust	Parents	93	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.57	3.28
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
 Teacher-principal trust 	Teachers	93	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.84	4.36
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	90	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.63	3.52
Section Results:		93%					3.80

2014-15 School Quality Reports / ES

Targets for 2015-16

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15		2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
State Test Results - ELA*								
Average Student Proficiency	3.35	3.18 or lower	3.19 to 3.29	3.30 to 3.37	3.38 or higher			
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.88	2.69 or lower	2.70 to 2.81	2.82 to 2.90	2.91 or higher			
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	79.1%	65.8% or lower	65.9% to 71.3%	71.4% to 75.4%	75.5% or higher			
State Test Results - Math*								
Average Student Proficiency	3.60	3.36 or lower	3.37 to 3.51	3.52 to 3.63	3.64 or higher			
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.96	2.78 or lower	2.79 to 2.95	2.96 to 3.08	3.09 or higher			
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	82.6%	70.4% or lower	70.5% to 77.4%	77.5% to 82.8%	82.9% or higher			
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	98.3%	89.3% or lower	89.4% to 92.0%	92.1% to 94.0%	94.1% or higher			
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15		2015-16 Targets					
-	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating								
Self-Contained								
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.62	2.39 or lower	2.40 to 2.50	2.51 to 2.58	2.59 or higher			
SETSS		2.35 or lower	2.36 to 2.48	2.49 to 2.57	2.58 or higher			
ELL		2.65 or lower	2.66 to 2.79	2.80 to 2.89	2.90 or higher			
Lowest Third Citywide	2.05	2.03 or lower	2.04 to 2.10	2.11 to 2.15	2.16 or higher			
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide								
Math - Average Proficiency Rating								
Self-Contained								
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.83	2.54 or lower	2.55 to 2.71	2.72 to 2.84	2.85 or higher			
SETSS		2.58 or lower	2.59 to 2.75	2.76 to 2.89	2.90 or higher			
ELL		2.96 or lower	2.97 to 3.15	3.16 to 3.30	3.31 or higher			
Lowest Third Citywide	2.10	2.07 or lower	2.08 to 2.15	2.16 to 2.21	2.22 or higher			
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide								
ELL Progress	100.0%	73.2% or lower	73.3% to 79.8%	79.9% to 84.8%	84.9% or highe			

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

*To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	97.1%	83.4% or lower	83.5% to 87.6%	87.7% to 90.8%	90.9% or higher			
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.31	0.12 or lower	0.13 to 0.20	0.21 to 0.26	0.27 or higher			