
Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.



Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Reward

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at http://schoolqualityreports.nyc

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	52	51	52
Grade 1	55	59	57
Grade 2	80	62	59
Grade 3	58	83	59
Grade 4	60	59	84
Grade 5	56	60	59
Grade 6	49	56	66
Grade 7	57	50	54
Grade 8	47	53	48
All students	514	533	538

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	0%	0%	0%
% Free Lunch Eligible	41%	41%	39%
% Student with IEPs	3%	5%	5%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	0%	0%	0%
% HRA Eligible	-	25%	24%
% Temporary Housing	-	2%	3%
% Asian	23%	29%	31%
% Black	40%	33%	28%
% Hispanic	26%	23%	23%
% White	8%	11%	13%
% Other	0%	4%	6%

04M012 Tag Young Scholars

Student Achievement Rating Student Achievement Score **Exceeding Target**4.44

	2014-15 Target				S	_			
Student Achievement Metrics		2014-15	Bottom of	Approaching	Meeting	Exceeding	Top of		
	n	School Value	Target Range	Target	Target	Target	Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA									/
Average Student Proficiency	366	3.64	2.12	2.52	2.86	3.12	3.57	4.99	8.20%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	366	85.2%	6.7%	25.7%	42.1%	54.9%	78.9%	4.99	8.20%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	307	71.0	49.5	56.9	63.3	68.2	78.6	4.27	8.20%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	112	72.5	58.3	65.2	71.2	75.9	85.7	3.28	8.20%
Early Grade Progress	58	4.55	0.72	1.55	2.26	2.82	3.98	4.99	8.20%
State Test Results - Math									
Average Student Proficiency	364	3.89	2.17	2.63	3.02	3.32	3.81	4.99	8.20%
 Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4 	364	90.1%	11.0%	31.5%	49.0%	62.7%	86.7%	4.99	8.20%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	305	69.0	47.0	55.1	61.9	67.3	78.5	4.15	8.20%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	104	60.5	55.4	62.4	68.5	73.3	83.2	1.73	8.20%
Early Grade Progress	58	5.26	0.99	1.93	2.67	3.24	4.49	4.99	8.20%
Core Course Pass Rates									
• ELA	160	98.8%	58.8%	70.4%	80.0%	87.5%	100.0%	4.90	1.64%
 Math 	160	97.5%	62.5%	73.1%	81.8%	88.7%	100.0%	4.78	1.64%
 Science 	160	100.0%	63.4%	73.8%	82.3%	89.0%	100.0%	4.99	1.64%
 Social Studies 	160	97.5%	58.3%	70.0%	79.7%	87.4%	100.0%	4.80	1.64%
Percent of 8th Graders Earning HS Credit	42	92.9%	0.0%	22.7%	41.4%	56.2%	87.3%	4.99	3.28%
9th Grade Adjusted Credit Accumulation of Former 8th Graders	45	99.0%	58.0%	69.0%	79.0%	87.0%	100.0%	4.92	8.20%
								Weighted Average Score	4.44

						2014-15 Targets						
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	– Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Point Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4		-				_						
Self-Contained	1	0.3%	1.7%		0.0%	0.7%	1.0%	1.6%	2.6%		0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching			0.0%		0.0%	3.1%	5.0%	7.4%	12.4%		0.030	0.000
SETSS	7	1.9%	16.2%	57.1%	0.0%	3.9%	6.3%	9.3%	15.6%		0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	1	0.3%	1.7%		0.0%	2.1%	3.3%	4.9%	8.2%		0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching			0.0%		0.0%	5.2%	8.3%	12.3%	20.6%		0.030	0.000
SETSS	7	1.9%	16.4%	42.9%	0.0%	6.1%	9.6%	14.4%	24.0%		0.030	0.000
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	4	1.3%	3.4%		14.1%	28.3%	36.6%	47.7%	70.3%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	4	1.3%	2.0%		33.6%	43.2%	48.8%	56.2%	71.4%		0.030	0.000
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	2	0.7%	1.9%		29.1%	40.6%	47.4%	56.4%	74.7%		0.030	0.000
SC/ICT/SETSS	6	2.0%	0.0%	16.7%	30.2%	41.5%	48.2%	57.0%	75.0%		0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	4	1.3%	3.3%		13.4%	26.8%	34.7%	45.1%	66.4%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	2	0.7%	1.0%		28.0%	39.6%	46.4%	55.5%	74.0%		0.030	0.000
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	2	0.7%	1.9%		26.0%	38.8%	46.2%	56.1%	76.4%		0.030	0.000
SC/ICT/SETSS	6	2.0%	0.0%	33.3%	26.0%	36.6%	42.8%	51.1%	68.0%		0.030	0.000
ELL Progress			0.0%		24.5%	40.7%	50.2%	62.8%	88.5%		0.030	0.000
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.00
									Over	all Student Achie	vement Score	4.44

[•] Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

[•] Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

04M012 Tag Young Scholars

Quality Review 1.1 Well Developed 4.99 22% Quality Review 1.2 Well Developed 4.99 22% NVC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction 92% 3.48 34% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.48 Well Developed 4.99 50% NVC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 92% 4.00 50% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.52 Propertive Environment Quality Review 3.4 Well Developed 4.99 30% NVC School Survey - Supportive Environment 91% 3.96 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 94.7% 4.04 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments 8 94.7% 4.04 30% EMS 0.40 3.56 5% Overall 0.40 3.56 5% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.28 <t< th=""><th></th><th>Metric Value</th><th>Metric Score</th><th>Weight Pct</th></t<>		Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
Quality Review 1.2 Well Developed 4.99 22% Quality Review 2.2 Well Developed 4.99 22% MYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction 92% 3.48 34% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.48 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Well Developed 4.99 50% NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 92% 4.00 50% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.52 Propertive Environment Quality Review 3.4 Well Developed 4.99 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 91% 3.96 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 94.7% 4.04 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.40 3.56 5% EMS 0.40 3.56 5% 5% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.28 4.28 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.60 100%	orous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.2 Well Developed 4.99 22% Quality Review 2.2 Well Developed 4.99 22% MYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction 92% 3.48 34% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.48 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Well Developed 4.99 50% NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 92% 4.00 50% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.52 Propertive Environment Quality Review 3.4 Well Developed 4.99 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 91% 3.96 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 94.7% 4.04 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.40 3.56 5% EMS 0.40 3.56 5% 5% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.28 4.28 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.60 100%		Well Developed	4.99	22%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Rating: Exceeding Target Well Developed 4.99 50% NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 92% 4.00 50% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Rating: Exceeding Target Well Developed 4.99 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment Quality Review 3.4 Well Developed 4.99 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 91% 3.96 35% PERMS 94.7% 4.04 Movement of students with 90%+ attendance EMS Overall Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.40 3.56 HS Overall 0.40 3.56 5% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.28 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 3.60 Tomp Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.48 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.48 NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.48 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.48 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.48	·	·	4.99	22%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.48 Aborative Teachers	Quality Review 2.2	Well Developed	4.99	22%
Abborative Teachers Quality Review 4.2 Well Developed 4.99 50% NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 92% 4.00 50% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.52 Profitive Environment	NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	92%	3.48	34%
Quality Review 4.2 Well Developed 4.99 50% NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 92% 4.00 50% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.52 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Well Developed 4.99 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 91% 3.96 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS HS 94.7% 4.04 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environment 5.5% EMS 0.40 3.56 5% HS 0.40 3.56 5% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.28 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 3.60 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.48 Section Rating: Meeting Target Se	Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.48	
Quality Review 4.2 Well Developed 4.99 50% NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 92% 4.00 50% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.52 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Well Developed 4.99 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 91% 3.96 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 94.7% 4.04 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.40 3.56 35% HS	lahorative Teachers			
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 92% 4.00 50% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.52 **Poportive Environment** Quality Review 3.4 Well Developed 4.99 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 91% 3.96 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 94.7% 4.04 **SOVERAL 94.7% 4.04 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.40 3.56 **SEMS 1.50 Overall 0.40 3.56 5% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.28 **Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 3.60 **Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.48		Well Developed	4 99	50%
Portive Environment Quality Review 3.4 Well Developed 4.99 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 91% 3.96 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 94.7% 4.04 HS Overall 94.7% 4.04 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.40 3.56 HS Overall 0.40 3.56 5% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.28 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.60 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.60 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.48 NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 87% 3.48 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.48	•	·		
Quality Review 3.4 Well Developed 4.99 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 91% 3.96 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 94.7% 4.04 30% MVC School Survey - Supportive Environment 94.7% 4.04 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.40 3.56 5% Overall 0.40 3.56 5% Overall 0.40 3.56 5% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.28 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.60 500 Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 87% 3.48 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.48 Section Scor	Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.52	
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 91% 3.96 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS HS Overall 94.7% 4.04 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS Overall 0.40 3.56 EMS Overall 0.40 3.56 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.28 Citive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 86% 3.60 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.48 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.48 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.48 Section Rating: Meeting Target 90% 3.60 100%	oportive Environment	Will Developed	4.00	20%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS HS Overall 94.7% 4.04 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS Overall 0.40 3.56 HS Overall 0.40 3.56 5% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.28 Cotive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.60 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.48 NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.48 NYC School Survey - Trust 90% 3.60 100%	· ·	·		
EMS 94.7% 4.04 HS Overall 94.7% 4.04 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.40 3.56 HS Overall 0.40 3.56 5% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.28 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.60 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.60 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.48 NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 87% 3.48 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.48		91%	3.96	35%
Overall 94.7% 4.04 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.40 3.56 HS Overall 0.40 3.56 5% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.28 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.60 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.60 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.48	_	0/1 70/	4.04	
Overall 94.7% 4.04 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.40 3.56 HS Overall 0.40 3.56 5% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.28 Ective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 86% 3.60 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.60 Section Rating: Meeting Target 87% 3.48 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.48 Section Rating: Meeting Target 90% 3.60 100%		94./%	4.04	
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS EMS Overall 0.40 3.56 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.28 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: Section Score: Section Score: Section Score: 3.60 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: Section Score: 3.60 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: Secti		04.79/	4.04	200/
environments EMS HS Overall 0.40 3.56 5% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.28 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.60 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.60 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.48 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.48 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.48 NYC School Survey - Trust 90% 3.60 100%		94.770	4.04	30%
EMS HS Overall 0.40 3.56 5% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.28 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.60 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.60 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.48 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.48 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.48				
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.48 Section Score: 3.48 Section Score: 3.48		0.40	3 56	
Overall 0.40 3.56 5% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.28 Section School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 86% 3.60 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.60 Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 87% 3.48 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.48 Section Rating: Meeting Target 90% 3.60 100%		0.70	5.50	
Active School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.60 Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.48 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.48 Section Score: 3.48		0.40	3.56	5%
Active School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.60 Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.48 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.48 Section Score: 3.48	Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.28	
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 86% 3.60 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.60 Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 87% 3.48 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.48 St NYC School Survey - Trust 90% 3.60 100%				
Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.60 Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 87% 3.48 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.48 St NYC School Survey - Trust 90% 3.60 100%	-	86%	3 60	100%
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 87% 3.48 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.48 NYC School Survey - Trust 90% 3.60 100%		0070	3.00	100/0
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 87% 3.48 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.48 St NYC School Survey - Trust 90% 3.60 100%	Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.60	
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 87% 3.48 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.48 St NYC School Survey - Trust 90% 3.60 100%	ong Family-Community Ties			
st 90% 3.60 100%		87%	3.48	100%
NYC School Survey - Trust 90% 3.60 100%	Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.48	
NYC School Survey - Trust 90% 3.60 100%				
		000/	2 60	1000/
Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.60	INTO SCHOOL SULVEY - TRUST	90%	3.00	100%
	Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.60	



Tag Young Scholars

				City Range			
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
igorous Instruction							
Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	94	86.5	94.1	100.0	0.58	3.32
Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	90	81.3	91.9	100.0	0.50	3.00
Course clarity	Students	92	82.2	90.6	99.0	0.61	3.44
 Quality of student discussion 	Teachers	93	64.9	83.3	100.0	0.80	4.20
ection Results:		92%					3.48
ollaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers	99	86.2	94.8	100.0	0.93	
Cultural awareness	Parents	95	89.5	94.1	98.7	0.75	
Cultural awareness	Students	83	69.6	84.0	98.4	0.45	
Cultural awareness	Combined	92	03.0	00	3011	0.71	3.84
Inclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	94	84.7	94.1	100.0	0.60	3.40
Quality of professional development	Teachers	83	52.2	76.8	100.0	0.63	3.52
School commitment	Teachers	86	60.2	84.6	100.0	0.65	3.60
• Innovation	Teachers	93	66.7	84.9	100.0	0.80	4.20
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	98	87.4	95.2	100.0	0.84	4.36
Peer collaboration	Teachers	97	79.2	92.0	100.0	0.86	4.44
Focus on student learning	Teachers	94	67.5	88.5	100.0	0.80	4.20
Collective responsibility	Teachers	95	59.6	82.2	100.0	0.88	4.52
ection Results:	reactions	92%	33.0	02.2	100.0	0.00	4.00
upportive Environment Safety:							
Safety	Teachers						
Safety	Students	91	72.2	86.2	100.0	0.68	
Safety	Combined	91				0.68	3.72
Classroom behavior:							
Classroom behavior	Teachers						
Classroom behavior	Students	91	64.2	80.8	97.4	0.81	
Classroom behavior	Combined	91				0.81	4.24
Social-emotional measure	Teachers	100	88.0	96.2	100.0	1.00	4.99
 Peer interactions 	Students	90	67.8	82.0	96.2	0.77	4.08
Next-level guidance	Students	85	77.9	89.3	100.0	0.34	2.36
Press toward academic achievement:							
Press toward academic achievement	Teachers						
Press toward academic achievement	Students	94	82.4	89.4	96.4	0.83	
 Press toward academic achievement 	Combined	94				0.83	4.32
Personal attention and support	Students	89	75.7	86.3	96.9	0.65	3.60
Peer support for academic work:							
Peer support for academic work	Teachers						
Peer support for academic work	Parents	98	84.4	92.8	100.0	0.87	
Peer support for academic work	Students	81	45.8	67.0	88.2	0.84	
 Peer support for academic work 	Combined	90				0.85	4.40
ection Results:		91%					3.96

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership	_						
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	85	79.5	89.7	99.9	0.28	2.12
 Teacher influence 	Teachers	77	30.2	60.2	90.2	0.78	4.12
 Program coherence 	Teachers	93	61.1	85.1	100.0	0.83	4.32
Principal instructional leadership	Teachers	89	63.6	87.0	100.0	0.70	3.80
Section Results:		86%					3.60
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	95	84.4	93.8	100.0	0.75	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	88	83.7	91.1	98.5	0.26	
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	91				0.50	3.00
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	82	59.7	74.7	89.7	0.73	3.92
Section Results:		87%					3.48
Trust							
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	96	90.0	94.6	99.2	0.75	4.00
Parent-principal trust	Parents	90	83.1	92.7	100.0	0.50	3.00
Student-teacher trust	Students	87	67.7	81.9	96.1	0.69	3.76
Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	86	62.0	86.8	100.0	0.63	3.52
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	93	77.3	91.1	100.0	0.68	3.72
Section Results:		90%					3.60

04M012 **Targets for 2015-16** Tag Young Scholars

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15		2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
State Test Results - ELA*								
Average Student Proficiency	3.64	3.20 or lower	3.21 to 3.28	3.29 to 3.34	3.35 or higher			
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	3.26	2.80 or lower	2.81 to 2.91	2.92 to 2.99	3.00 or higher			
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	85.2%	62.9% or lower	63.0% to 67.2%	67.3% to 70.4%	70.5% or higher			
State Test Results - Math*								
Average Student Proficiency	3.89	3.36 or lower	3.37 to 3.48	3.49 to 3.57	3.58 or higher			
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	3.35	2.84 or lower	2.85 to 2.99	3.00 to 3.09	3.10 or higher			
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	90.1%	66.8% or lower	66.9% to 73.0%	73.1% to 77.5%	77.6% or higher			
Core Course Pass Rates								
ELA	98.8%	79.8% or lower	79.9% to 84.7%	84.8% to 88.2%	88.3% or higher			
Math	97.5%	79.5% or lower	79.6% to 84.5%	84.6% to 88.1%	88.2% or higher			
Science	100.0%	80.4% or lower	80.5% to 85.1%	85.2% to 88.6%	88.7% or higher			
Social Studies	97.5%	77.0% or lower	77.1% to 82.5%	82.6% to 86.6%	86.7% or higher			
Percent of 8th Graders Earning HS Credit	92.9%	44.9% or lower	45.0% to 55.8%	55.9% to 63.7%	63.8% or higher			
9th Grade Adjusted Credit Accumulation of Former 8th Graders	99.0%	87.9% or lower	88.0% to 90.9%	91.0% to 92.9%	93.0% or higher			

Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15		2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating								
Self-Contained								
Integrated Co-Teaching		2.46 or lower	2.47 to 2.54	2.55 to 2.60	2.61 or higher			
SETSS	2.97	2.38 or lower	2.39 to 2.50	2.51 to 2.59	2.60 or higher			
ELL	3.81	3.05 or lower	3.06 to 3.18	3.19 to 3.27	3.28 or higher			
Lowest Third Citywide		2.03 or lower	2.04 to 2.08	2.09 to 2.12	2.13 or higher			
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide		1.95 or lower	1.96 to 2.01	2.02 to 2.06	2.07 or higher			
Math - Average Proficiency Rating								
Self-Contained								
Integrated Co-Teaching		2.64 or lower	2.65 to 2.77	2.78 to 2.86	2.87 or higher			
SETSS	3.12	2.57 or lower	2.58 to 2.74	2.75 to 2.86	2.87 or higher			
ELL	4.33	3.37 or lower	3.38 to 3.55	3.56 to 3.68	3.69 or higher			
Lowest Third Citywide		1.98 or lower	1.99 to 2.05	2.06 to 2.11	2.12 or higher			
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide		1.91 or lower	1.92 to 1.99	2.00 to 2.04	2.05 or higher			
ELL Progress								

^{*}To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below and have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets							
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target				
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	94.7%	78.5% or lower	78.6% to 83.7%	83.8% to 87.5%	87.6% or higher				
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.40	0.19 or lower	0.20 to 0.30	0.31 to 0.39	0.40 or higher				

^{*} If the participation in state tests is low, the targets may be adjusted to reflect the students at the school that actually take the tests.