

2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES


Summary of Section Ratings

01M020

P.S. 020 Anna Silver

Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.



State Accountability Status: Good Standing

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at:

<http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm>

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at <http://schoolqualityreports.nyc>

2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES
School Enrollment and Demographic Data

01M020
P.S. 020 Anna Silver

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	115	114	102
Grade 1	107	111	108
Grade 2	106	98	100
Grade 3	86	109	98
Grade 4	77	71	104
Grade 5	75	74	70
All students	620	631	633

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	19%	18%	20%
% Free Lunch Eligible	93%	93%	93%
% Student with IEPs	20%	25%	24%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	6%	6%	6%
% HRA Eligible	-	63%	57%
% Temporary Housing	-	18%	18%
% Asian	32%	35%	36%
% Black	8%	9%	8%
% Hispanic	54%	51%	49%
% White	3%	4%	4%
% Other	0%	2%	3%

2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES
Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

01M020
P.S. 020 Anna Silver

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score
Approaching Target	2.92

Student Achievement Metrics	n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	2014-15 Targets			Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
				Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
State Test Results - ELA									
Average Student Proficiency	269	2.39	1.97	2.22	2.39	2.58	2.86	3.00	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	269	23.4%	2.0%	13.3%	21.2%	30.3%	42.9%	3.24	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	165	66.0	48.7	56.5	63.2	68.0	79.2	3.58	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	60	73.0	60.0	68.1	75.1	80.0	91.7	2.70	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	98	1.74	0.49	1.18	1.79	2.20	3.21	2.92	9.09%
State Test Results - Math									
Average Student Proficiency	271	2.54	1.93	2.28	2.53	2.81	3.20	3.04	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	271	31.4%	1.6%	16.8%	27.7%	40.1%	56.6%	3.30	9.09%
○ Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	168	47.0	41.5	52.0	61.1	67.5	82.7	1.52	9.09%
○ Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	57	58.0	55.7	64.7	72.4	77.7	90.5	1.26	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	98	1.81	0.19	1.30	2.27	2.94	4.56	2.53	9.09%
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	67	86.6%	70.1%	78.7%	84.7%	91.5%	100.0%	3.28	9.09%
								Weighted Average Score	2.76

Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	2014-15 Targets			Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
						Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target				
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
○ Self-Contained	26	9.7%	47.8%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	36	13.4%	68.0%	5.6%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%	2.68	0.030	0.013
SETSS	14	5.2%	49.5%	7.1%	0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%	3.33	0.030	0.018
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
○ Self-Contained	26	9.6%	47.8%	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
○ Integrated Co-Teaching	36	13.3%	67.9%	2.8%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%	1.39	0.030	0.003
SETSS	14	5.2%	50.0%	14.3%	0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%	3.51	0.030	0.019
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
● ELL	44	26.7%	57.1%	56.8%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%	4.44	0.030	0.026
Lowest Third Citywide	78	47.3%	68.4%	47.4%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%	3.04	0.030	0.015
○ Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	34	20.6%	52.2%	29.4%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%	1.46	0.030	0.003
SC/ICT/SETSS	53	32.1%	80.8%	43.4%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	2.86	0.030	0.014
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	46	27.4%	56.3%	28.3%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%	2.54	0.030	0.012
○ Lowest Third Citywide	70	41.7%	57.3%	27.1%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%	1.60	0.030	0.005
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	28	16.7%	43.5%	32.1%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%	2.22	0.030	0.009
○ SC/ICT/SETSS	53	31.5%	80.1%	22.6%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	1.51	0.030	0.004
● ELL Progress	108	18.6%	47.2%	67.6%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%	4.10	0.030	0.023
											CtAG Additional Points	0.16
											Overall Student Achievement Score	2.92

- Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).
- Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
Rigorous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Well Developed	4.99	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	87%	2.88	34%
Section Rating: Meeting Target		Section Score:	3.56

Collaborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2	Well Developed	4.99	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	77%	2.20	50%
Section Rating: Meeting Target		Section Score:	3.60

Supportive Environment			
Quality Review 3.4	Proficient	3.40	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	82%	1.84	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance			
EMS	80.4%	3.48	
HS			
Overall	80.4%	3.48	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments			
EMS	0.18	2.36	
HS			
Overall	0.18	2.36	5%
Section Rating: Approaching Target		Section Score:	2.84

Effective School Leadership			
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	61%	1.36	100%
Section Rating: Not Meeting Target		Section Score:	1.36

Strong Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	80%	2.40	100%
Section Rating: Approaching Target		Section Score:	2.40

Trust			
NYC School Survey - Trust	79%	1.72	100%
Section Rating: Not Meeting Target		Section Score:	1.72

		Survey % Positive	City Range			Percent of Range	Score	
			Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range			
Rigorous Instruction								
•	Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	95	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.75	4.00
	Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	85	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.25	2.00
	Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
	Quality of student discussion	Teachers	81	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.41	2.64
Section Results:			87%					2.88
Collaborative Teachers								
Cultural awareness:								
	Cultural awareness	Teachers	98	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.85	
	Cultural awareness	Parents	91	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.50	
	Cultural awareness	Students		68.6	87.4	100.0		
	Cultural awareness	Combined	94				0.67	3.68
•	Inclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	97	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.80	4.20
○	Quality of professional development	Teachers	46	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.00	1.00
	School commitment	Teachers	74	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.35	2.40
	Innovation	Teachers	79	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.31	2.24
	Reflective dialogue	Teachers	93	87.9	95.9	100.0	0.50	3.00
○	Peer collaboration	Teachers	76	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.00	1.00
○	Focus on student learning	Teachers	69	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.01	1.04
○	Collective responsibility	Teachers	68	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.08	1.32
Section Results:			77%					2.20
Supportive Environment								
Safety:								
	Safety	Teachers	82	80.0	94.6	100.0	0.08	
	Safety	Students		74.5	88.5	100.0		
○	Safety	Combined	82				0.08	1.32
Classroom behavior:								
	Classroom behavior	Teachers	75	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.25	
	Classroom behavior	Students		67.3	84.3	100.0		
	Classroom behavior	Combined	75				0.25	2.00
	Social-emotional measure	Teachers	85	89.0	96.6	100.0	0.25	2.00
	Peer interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0		
	Next-level guidance	Students						
Press toward academic achievement:								
	Press toward academic achievement	Teachers	78	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.11	
	Press toward academic achievement	Students		85.3	91.9	98.5		
○	Press toward academic achievement	Combined	78				0.11	1.44
	Personal attention and support	Students		77.8	89.6	100.0		
Peer support for academic work:								
	Peer support for academic work	Teachers	82	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.25	
	Peer support for academic work	Parents	94	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.50	
	Peer support for academic work	Students		50.4	73.8	97.2		
	Peer support for academic work	Combined	88				0.37	2.48
Section Results:			82%					1.84

		Survey % Positive	City Range			Percent of Range	Score
			Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range		
Effective School Leadership							
○ Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	77	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.00	1.00
○ Teacher influence	Teachers	51	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.34	2.36
○ Program coherence	Teachers	54	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.00	1.00
○ Principal instructional leadership	Teachers	61	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.00	1.00
Section Results:		61%					1.36
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
○ Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	90	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.50	
○ Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	85	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.25	
○ Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	88				0.38	2.52
○ Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	71	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.31	2.24
Section Results:		80%					2.40
Trust							
○ Parent-teacher trust	Parents	93	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.50	3.00
○ Parent-principal trust	Parents	83	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.01	1.04
○ Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
○ Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	63	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.16	1.64
○ Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	75	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.03	1.12
Section Results:		79%					1.72

2014-15 School Quality Reports / ES
Targets for 2015-16

01M020
P.S. 020 Anna Silver

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15 School Value	2015-16 Targets			
		Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
State Test Results - ELA*					
Average Student Proficiency	2.39	2.26 or lower	2.27 to 2.36	2.37 to 2.44	2.45 or higher
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.83	1.84 or lower	1.85 to 1.96	1.97 to 2.05	2.06 or higher
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	23.4%	14.2% or lower	14.3% to 19.7%	19.8% to 23.8%	23.9% or higher
State Test Results - Math*					
Average Student Proficiency	2.54	2.38 or lower	2.39 to 2.53	2.54 to 2.65	2.66 or higher
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.77	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.96	1.97 to 2.09	2.10 or higher
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	31.4%	22.4% or lower	22.5% to 29.6%	29.7% to 35.1%	35.2% or higher
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	86.6%	86.3% or lower	86.4% to 89.8%	89.9% to 92.4%	92.5% or higher

Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15 School Value	2015-16 Targets			
		Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating					
Self-Contained	1.64	1.69 or lower	1.70 to 1.79	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 or higher
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.01	1.91 or lower	1.92 to 2.02	2.03 to 2.10	2.11 or higher
SETSS	2.08	1.88 or lower	1.89 to 2.00	2.01 to 2.09	2.10 or higher
ELL	2.36	2.14 or lower	2.15 to 2.27	2.28 to 2.38	2.39 or higher
Lowest Third Citywide	1.92	1.88 or lower	1.89 to 1.95	1.96 to 2.00	2.01 or higher
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.79	1.81 or lower	1.82 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher
Math - Average Proficiency Rating					
Self-Contained	1.67	1.73 or lower	1.74 to 1.87	1.88 to 1.98	1.99 or higher
Integrated Co-Teaching	1.91	1.98 or lower	1.99 to 2.15	2.16 to 2.28	2.29 or higher
SETSS	2.25	1.91 or lower	1.92 to 2.09	2.10 to 2.22	2.23 or higher
ELL	2.63	2.28 or lower	2.29 to 2.48	2.49 to 2.62	2.63 or higher
Lowest Third Citywide	1.83	1.86 or lower	1.87 to 1.94	1.95 to 2.00	2.01 or higher
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.78	1.83 or lower	1.84 to 1.91	1.92 to 1.99	2.00 or higher
ELL Progress	67.6%	43.8% or lower	43.9% to 53.8%	53.9% to 61.3%	61.4% or higher

*To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below and have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15 School Value	2015-16 Targets			
		Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	80.4%	71.1% or lower	71.2% to 77.9%	78.0% to 83.1%	83.2% or higher
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.18	0.10 or lower	0.11 to 0.17	0.18 to 0.21	0.22 or higher