CIVILIAN COMPLAINT REVIEW BOARD
100 CHURCH STREET 10th FLOOR
NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10007 ♦ TELEPHONE (212) 912-7235
www.nyc.gov/ccrb

ERIC L. ADAMS
MAYOR

Executive Director's Monthly Report

December 2025

(Statistics for November 2025)

Contents

Executive Summary

The Civilian Complaint Review Board ("CCRB") is an independent municipal Agency that investigates complaints of NYPD misconduct. Every month, the CCRB prepares an Executive Director report for its public meeting. Data for November 2025 included the following highlights:

1) Of the cases in the CCRB active investigations docket, 48% have been open for 4 months or fewer, and 65% have been open for 7 months or fewer (page 10). In November, the CCRB opened 355 new cases (page 4), and currently has a total open docket of 3,217 cases (page 11).

2) The CCRB substantiated allegations in 48% of its fully investigated cases in November (page 17).

3) The CCRB fully investigated 15% of the cases it closed in November (page 14).

4) For November, investigations using video evidence resulted in substantiated allegations in 49% of cases - compared to 0% of cases in which video was not available (page 24).

5) The Monthly Report includes a breakdown of complaints and substantiations by NYPD precinct and borough of occurrence (pages 5-6, 43-47).

6) In November the Police Commissioner finalized 41 decision(s) against police officers in Administrative Prosecution Unit (APU) cases (page 30). The CCRB's APU prosecutes the most serious allegations of misconduct. The APU conducted 23 trials against members of the NYPD year-to-date; 3 trials were conducted against respondent officers in November.

The CCRB is committed to producing monthly reports that are valuable to the public, and welcomes feedback on how to make its data more accessible.

Glossary

In this glossary we have included a list of terms that regularly appear in our reports.

Allegation: An allegation is a specific act of misconduct. The same "complaint" can have multiple allegations – excessive force and discourteous language, for example. Each allegation is reviewed separately during an investigation.

APU: The Administrative Prosecution Unit is the division of the CCRB that has prosecuted "charges" cases since April 2013, after the signing of a 2012 Memorandum of Understanding between the CCRB and NYPD.

Board Panel: The "Board" of the CCRB has 15 members. Following a completed investigation by the CCRB staff, three Board members, sitting as a Board Panel, will make a finding on whether misconduct occurred and will make a recommendation on what level of penalty should follow.

Case/Complaint: For the purposes of CCRB data, a "case" or "complaint" is defined as any incident within the Agency's jurisdiction, brought to resolution by the CCRB.

Disposition: The Board's finding as to the outcome of a case (i.e. if misconduct occurred).

FADO: Under the City Charter, the CCRB has jurisdiction to investigate the following categories of police misconduct: Force, Abuse of Authority, Discourtesy and Offensive Language, collectively known as "FADO".

FADO&U: A ballot measure revising the New York City Charter, which passed on November 5, 2019, authorized the CCRB to investigate the truthfulness of an official statement made by a subject officer during a CCRB investigation into a FADO allegation. This expanded jurisdiction—Force, Abuse of Authority, Discourtesy, Offensive Language, and Untruthful Statements (FADO&U)—went into effect on March 31, 2020.

Intake: CCRB's intake team initially handles complaints from the public. Intake takes complaints that come via live phone calls, voicemails, an online complaint form, or in-person.

Investigation: CCRB investigators gather evidence and interview witnesses to prepare reports on misconduct allegations. An investigation ends when a closing report is prepared detailing the evidence and legal analysis, and the case is given to the Board for disposition.

Mediation: A complainant may mediate his or her case with the subject officer, in lieu of an investigation, with the CCRB providing a neutral, third-party mediator.

Unable to Investigate: A catch-all term, used for reporting purposes only, that refers to cases where the CCRB was unable to conduct an investigation, typically because no statement could be obtained from the complainant/alleged victim. "Unable to Investigate" incorporates the following CCRB dispositions: "Complainant/Alleged Victim Uncooperative", "Complainant/Alleged Victim Unavailable", "Witness Uncooperative", "Witness Unavailable", "Victim Unidentified", "OMB PEG Directive Closure", and "SRAD Closure."

Withdrawn: When the complainant/alleged victim asks that their complaint be withdrawn, the case is closed as "Withdrawn."

Closed Pending Litigation: When a complainant is involved in criminal or civil litigation, and declines to cooperate with an investigation on the advice of their attorney, the complaint disposition is "Closed Pending Litigation."

Complaints Received

The CCRB's Intake team processes misconduct complaints from the public and referrals from the NYPD. Under the New York City Charter, the CCRB's jurisdiction is limited to allegations of misconduct related to Force, Abuse of Authority, Discourtesy and Offensive Language. All other complaints are referred to the appropriate agency. Figure 1 refers to all complaints that the CCRB receives and Figures 2 and 3 refer to new cases that remain with the Agency. In November 2025, the CCRB initiated 355 new complaints.

Figure 1: Total Intake by Month (January 2024 - November 2025)



Figure 2: New CCRB Complaints by Month (January 2024 - November 2025)



Figure 3: New CCRB Complaints by Year (YTD 2010 - YTD 2025)



CCRB Cases Received by Borough and Precinct

Figure 4: CCRB Complaints Received By Borough of Occurrence (November 2025)



Figure 5: CCRB Complaints Received By Borough of Occurrence (YTD 2025)



Figure 6: CCRB Complaints Received By Precinct of Occurrence (November 2025)

NYPD Precinct of Occurrence*	Number of Complaints
0	4
1	3
5	7
6	6
7	5
9	1
10	4
13	8
14	7
17	2
18	4
19	4
23	6
24	3
25	3
28	6
30	2
32	8
33	6
34	6
40	10
41	13
42	9
43	4
44	9
45	4
46	12
47	4
48	6
49	3
50	5
52	10
60	5
61	7
62	2
63	2
66	2

NYPD Precinct of Occurrence*	Number of Complaints
67	11
68	2
69	4
70	2
71	1
72	4
73	6
75	6
76	4
77	3
78	4
79	6
81	4
83	3
84	3
90	2
94	2
100	1
101	1
102	1
103	4
104	4
105	1
107	5
108	1
109	3
110	2
111	1
112	5
113	3
114	5
115	6
116	2
120	7
121	6
122	3
Unknown	25

*These figures track where an incident occurred, not necessarily the Command of the officer.

Allegations Received

As described in the previous section, the CCRB has jurisdiction over four categories of NYPD misconduct. The charts below show what types of allegations are contained in the CCRB complaints received.

Figure 7: CCRB Complaints Received By Type of Allegation (November 2024 vs. November 2025)



*This is the total of distinct FADO allegation types in complaints received.

Figure 8: CCRB Complaints Received By Type of Allegation (% of Complaints)

	November 2024		November 2025			
	Count	% of Total Complaints	Count	% of Total Complaints	Change	% Change
Force (F)	213	49%	163	46%	-50	-23%
Abuse of Authority (A)	329	75%	231	65%	-98	-30%
Discourtesy (D)	123	28%	53	15%	-70	-57%
Offensive Language (O)	28	6%	11	3%	-17	-61%
Total FADO Allegations	693		458		-235	-34%
Total Complaints	439		355		-84	-19%

Note: the number of allegations in recently received complaints typically grows somewhat as the complaints are investigated.

Figure 9: CCRB Complaints Received By Type of Allegation (YTD 2024 vs. YTD 2025)



*This is the total of distinct FADO allegation types in complaints received.

Figure 10: CCRB Complaints Received By Type of Allegation YTD (% of Complaints)

	YTD 2024		YTD 2025			
	Count	% of Total Complaints	Count	% of Total Complaints	Change	% Change
Force (F)	2566	49%	2633	50%	67	3%
Abuse of Authority (A)	3933	75%	3746	72%	-187	-5%
Discourtesy (D)	1361	26%	1188	23%	-173	-13%
Offensive Language (O)	328	6%	286	5%	-42	-13%
Total FADO Allegations	8188		7853		-335	-4%
Total Complaints	5263		5226		-37	-1%

Note: the number of allegations in recently received complaints typically grows somewhat as the complaints are investigated.

Figure 11: Total FADO Allegations (% of Total Allegations)

	November 2024		November 2025			
	Count	% of Total Allegations	Count	% of Total Allegations	Change	% Change
Force (F)	519	28%	375	39%	-144	-28%
Abuse of Authority (A)	1127	60%	517	54%	-610	-54%
Discourtesy (D)	178	10%	58	6%	-120	-67%
Offensive Language (O)	43	2%	11	1%	-32	-74%
Total Allegations	1867		961		-906	-49%
Total Complaints	439		355		-84	-19%

Figure 12: Total FADO Allegations YTD (% of Total Allegations)

	YTD 2024		YTD 2025			
	Count	% of Total Allegations	Count	% of Total Allegations	Change	% Change
Force (F)	6866	30%	6863	34%	-3	0%
Abuse of Authority (A)	13378	59%	11545	57%	-1833	-14%
Discourtesy (D)	2064	9%	1659	8%	-405	-20%
Offensive Language (O)	448	2%	357	2%	-91	-20%
Total Allegations	22756		20424		-2332	-10%
Total Complaints	5263		5226		-37	-1%

The number of allegations in recently received complaints typically grows as the complaints are investigated.

CCRB Docket

As of the end of November 2025, 48% of active CCRB cases are fewer than five months old, and 65% active cases have been open for fewer than eight months.

Figure 13: Age of Active Cases Based on Received Date (November 2025)

Case Age Group	Count	% of Total
Cases 0-4 Months	1543	48.1%
Cases 5-7 Months	536	16.7%
Cases 8-11 Months	607	18.9%
Cases 12-18 Months*	515	16.1%
Cases Over 18 Months**	6	0.2%
Total	**3207**	**100%**

*12-18 Months: 16 cases that were reopened; 0 cases that were on DA Hold; 0 cases that were on FID Hold.
**Over18 Months: 2 cases that were reopened; 1 case that was on DA Hold; 1 case that was on FID Hold.

Figure 14: Age of Active Cases Based on Incident Date (November 2025)

	Count	% of Total
Cases 0-4 Months	1343	41.9%
Cases 5-7 Months	563	17.6%
Cases 8-11 Months	674	21.0%
Cases 12-18 Months*	612	19.1%
Cases Over 18 Months**	15	0.5%
Total	**3207**	**100%**

*12-18 Months: 17 cases that were reopened; 0 cases that were on DA Hold; 0 cases that were on FID Hold.
**Over18 Months: 2 cases that were reopened; 1 case that was on DA Hold; 1 case that was on FID Hold.

An active case is here defined as an investigation; cases in mediation are excluded.

Figure 15: Number of Active Investigations (January 2024 - November 2025)



Figure 16: Open Docket Analysis



Figure 17: Open Docket Analysis with % Change

	October 2025		November 2025			
	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total	Change	% Change
Investigations	1467	43%	1435	45%	-32	-2%
Pending Board Review	1958	57%	1772	55%	-186	-9%
Mediation	10	0%	6	0%	-4	-40%
On DA / FID Hold	4	0%	4	0%	0	0%
Total	3439		3217		-222	-6%

Body Worn Camera Footage Requests

Since the widespread roll out of body worn cameras in 2018, the collection of footage from these cameras has become an integral part of CCRB investigations.

The timeliness of the response to BWC footage requests has a direct impact on the length of time it takes to complete an investigation. The longer it takes to fulfill BWC requests, the longer CCRB investigations remain on the open docket.

Figure 18: Average Business Days To Recieve Positive Return on Unredacted BWC Requests (January 2024 - November 2025)



Figure 19: Average Business Days To Recieve Positive Return on Redacted BWC Requests (January 2024 - November 2025)



Figure 20: Pending Requests for BWC Footage

Days Pending	BWC Requests	% of Total
00 <= Days < 30	85	53.8%
30 <= Days < 60	23	14.6%
60 <= Days < 90	13	8.2%
90 >= Days	37	23.4%
Total	**158**	**100%**

Figure 21: Percentage of Open Investigations Docket with Pending BWC Requests (January 2024 - November 2025)



Figure 22: Fulfilled BWC Requests (January 2024 - November 2025)



Closed Cases

In November 2025, the CCRB fully investigated 15% of the cases it closed.

Figure 23: Case Resolutions (January 2024 - November 2025) (%)



Dispositions

Cases fully investigated by the CCRB generally receive one of five outcomes:

- If the alleged misconduct is found to have occurred, based on the preponderance of the evidence, the allegation is closed as **substantiated**.
- If there is not enough evidence to determine whether or not the alleged misconduct occurred, the allegation is closed as **unable to determine**.*
- If the preponderance of the evidence suggests that the event or alleged act did not occur, the allegation is closed as **unfounded**.
- If the event did occur, but was not improper by a preponderance of evidence, the allegation is closed as **within NYPD guidelines**.**
- If the CCRB was unable to identify any of the officers accused of misconduct, the case is closed as **officer unidentified**.

Case Abstracts

The following case abstracts are taken from complaints closed this month and serve as examples of what the different CCRB dispositions mean in practice:

1. Substantiated
An individual stated that he was locking his moped up on the sidewalk to complete a food delivery when the two subject officers approached him. He used a translation app to try to communicate with the subject officers as he could not understand them completely. The incident was captured on BWC. The subject officers removed the moped's ignition key and told the individual that he could not be on the sidewalk with the moped. The individual responded in broken English. It showed the individual showing the subject officers the Google translate app on his phone as he tried to answer their questions. The investigation found that it was clear that the individual had a limited proficiency in English and neither subject officer obtained interpretation services for him as they were engaged in law enforcement activity with the individual. The Board substantiated the Abuse of Authority allegations.

2. Unable to Determine
An individual was driving to work when he was pulled over by the subject officer and his partner and had his bag searched by the subject officer. The incident was captured on BWC. It showed the subject officer frisk the individual's fanny pack which was on the dashboard of the vehicle. The subject officer stated that he frisked the bag because he believed that there was a firearm-shaped object inside it. The contents of the bag were a plastic bag and a plastic tub of marijuana. The investigation found that at the start of the frisk, it could not conclude whether these contents could have reasonably created the shape of a firearm or any other weapon. The Board closed the Abuse of Authority allegation as Unable to Determine.

3. Unfounded
An individual stated that he was outside with some friends drinking and smoking when he noticed a strange man staring at him. He started walking away because the staring made him uneasy. He stated that the man started following him and he thought he was going to hurt him. The individual ran and the man ran after him. The individual tripped and fell and the man fell into him causing him to hit his head on the concrete. Several police officers appeared where the individual fell. The subject officer picked up the individual and called him a "stupid motherfucker". He was taken to police vehicle by the subject officer who slammed the door on his head. The incident was captured on BWC. The subject officer showed that the individual tripped and fell and stood up to begin running again when the subject officer collided with him as he had been chasing the individual. It also showed that the subject officer did not call the

individual “stupid motherfucker”. Finally, it showed that the subject officer sat the individual upright inside the vehicle before he closed the door. The investigation found that the subject officer did not cause the individual to hit his head on the ground, spoke discourteously to him nor strike his head with the police vehicle. The Board closed the Use of Force and Discourtesy allegations as Unfounded.

4. Within NYPD Guidelines

An individual stated that he and his wife were standing by their vehicle which had a trailer attached to it. They were parked on a public street opposite a hotel for over thirty minutes. The two subject officers in a marked police vehicle drove into the parking lot of the hotel and took a photo of the individual and his wife. The subject officers at their interview stated that there had been community complaints about a trailer parked for a long time on the street. They drove to the location, saw the trailer and took a photo of it. They did not see any civilians standing outside by the trailer. The photo which were attached to their memo books showed the trailer and no civilians in the photo. The investigation found that the individual’s wife had been previously notified by NYPD about the parking situation a few days prior and video evidence showed the trailer was in the same exact spot that the subject officers photographed it on the incident date. The trailer had been parked in violation of a NYCDOT rule and the subject officers were following procedures in documenting the violation. The Board closed the Abuse of Authority allegations as being Within NYPD Guidelines.

5. Officer Unidentified

An individual was walking home when he was stopped by two subject officers that had been following him in a black car. The subject officers patted down the individual’s body and took out the contents of his pockets. The subject officers told the individual that they stopped him because they thought the individual was hiding something in his pocket. One of the subject officers offered his business card and the individual refused it. The individual only had a general description of the subject officers. The investigation found that the vehicle logs from the nearby precinct did not show any vehicles near the incident location at the time of the incident and that no officers had been assigned an unmarked vehicle on the incident date. Without additional pertinent information, the investigation could not identify the subject officers. The Board closed the Abuse of Authority allegations as Officer Unidentified.

* Unable to determine is reported to the Commissioner as Unsubstantiated, meaning that there was insufficient evidence to establish whether or not there was an act of misconduct.
** Within NYPD Guidelines is reported to the Commissioner as Exonerated, meaning there was a preponderance of the evidence that the acts alleged occurred but did not constitute misconduct.

Dispositions - Full Investigations

Figure 24: Disposition Counts of Full Investigations (November 2025)



Figure 25: Disposition Counts of Full Investigations (YTD 2025)



Dispositions - All CCRB Cases

The following table lists all the CCRB case closures for the current month and year-to-date.

Figure 26: Disposition of Cases (2024 vs 2025)

	Nov 2024		Nov 2025		YTD 2024		YTD 2025	
Full Investigations	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total
Substantiated	96	40%	42	48%	818	35%	900	48%
Within NYPD Guidelines	41	17%	5	6%	354	15%	221	12%
Unfounded	41	17%	18	20%	506	22%	362	19%
Unable to Determine	41	17%	17	19%	506	22%	335	18%
MOS Unidentified	19	8%	6	7%	127	5%	67	4%
Total - Full Investigations	238		88		2311		1885	
Mediation Closures	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total
Mediated	2	100%	2	100%	48	100%	33	100%
Total - Mediation Closures	2		2		48		33	
Unable to Investigate / Other Closures	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total
Complaint Withdrawn	27	6%	9	2%	252	7%	196	6%
Unable to Investigate*	379	79%	445	90%	2540	75%	2320	75%
Closed - Pending Litigation	69	14%	36	7%	560	17%	536	17%
Officer Retired/Resigned**	4	1%	4	1%	34	1%	26	1%
Administrative Closure***	0	0%	0	0%	4	0%	5	0%
Total - Other Case Dispositions	479		494		3390		3083	
Total - Closed Cases	719		584		5749		5001	

*Unable to Investigate is catch-all term, used for reporting purposes only, that refers to cases where the CCRB was unable to conduct an investigation, typically because no statement could be obtained from the complainant/alleged victim. “Unable to Investigate” incorporates the following CCRB dispositions: “Complainant/Alleged Victim Uncooperative”, “Complainant/Alleged Victim Unavailable”, “Witness Uncooperative”, “Witness Unavailable”, “Victim Unidentified”, “OMB PEG Directive Closure”, and “SRAD Closure.”

**Officer Retired/Resigned: CCRB closes an investigation if it learns that the subject officer has left the Department. In a small number of cases, CCRB will also close an investigation against a subject officer who is on extended leave and who will not be available for interview until after the Statute of Limitations has expired. These cases are elsewhere reported as "Miscellaneous" closures.

***Administrative Closure is a special category that deals with NYPD’s Internal Affairs Bureau-referred cases or spin off cases with no complainant/alleged victim, and in which CCRB attempts to locate or identify a complainant/alleged victim has yielded no results.

Dispositions - Allegations

"Allegations" are different than "cases." A case or complaint is based on an incident and may contain one or more allegations of police misconduct.

Figure 27: Disposition of FADO Allegations (2024 vs 2025)

	Nov 2024		Nov 2025		YTD 2024		YTD 2025	
Fully Investigated Allegations	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total
Substantiated	322	21%	130	21%	2838	21%	2917	21%
Unable to Determine	322	21%	100	16%	2457	18%	2219	16%
Unfounded	277	18%	134	22%	2665	20%	2756	20%
Within NYPD Guidelines	511	33%	212	35%	4489	34%	5071	37%
MOS Unidentified	131	8%	35	6%	859	6%	649	5%
Total - Full Investigations	1563		611		13308		13612	
Mediation Closures	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total
Mediated	8	100%	6	100%	169	100%	120	100%
Total - Mediation Closures	8		6		169		120	
Unable to Investigate / Other Closures	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total
Complaint Withdrawn	65	5%	30	3%	711	8%	539	7%
Closed - Pending Litigation	270	22%	125	13%	1971	21%	1855	23%
Unable to Investigate*	800	66%	775	80%	5989	64%	5112	63%
Officer Retired/Resigned**	81	7%	35	4%	594	6%	611	8%
Administrative Closure***	0	0%	0	0%	23	0%	8	0%
Total - Other Case Dispositions	1216		965		9288		8125	
Total - Closed Allegations	2787		1582		22765		21857	

*Unable to Investigate is catch-all term, used for reporting purposes only, that refers to cases where the CCRB was unable to conduct an investigation, typically because no statement could be obtained from the complainant/alleged victim. "Unable to Investigate" incorporates the following CCRB dispositions: "Complainant/Alleged Victim Uncooperative", "Complainant/Alleged Victim Unavailable", "Witness Uncooperative", "Witness Unavailable", "Victim Unidentified", "OMB PEG Directive Closure", and "SRAD Closure."

**Officer Retired/Resigned: CCRB closes an investigation if it learns that the subject officer has left the Department. In a small number of cases, CCRB will also close an investigation against a subject officer who is on extended leave and who will not be available for interview until after the Statute of Limitations has expired. These cases are elsewhere reported as "Miscellaneous" closures.

***Administrative Closure is a special category that deals with NYPD's Internal Affairs Bureau-referred cases or spin off cases with no complainant/alleged victim, and in which CCRB attempts to locate or identify a complainant/alleged victim has yielded no results.

Figure 28: Disposition of FADO Allegations by FADO Category (November 2025)

	Substantiated	Unable to Determine	Within NYPD Guidelines	Unfounded	Officers Unidentified	Total
Force	8	15	86	36	3	148
	5%	10%	58%	24%	2%	100%
Abuse of Authority	105	65	116	85	25	396
	27%	16%	29%	21%	6%	100%
Discourtesy	14	16	10	10	5	55
	25%	29%	18%	18%	9%	100%
Offensive Language	3	4	0	3	2	12
	25%	33%	0%	25%	17%	100%
	130	100	212	134	35	611
Total	21%	16%	35%	22%	6%	100%

Figure 29: Disposition of FADO Allegations by FADO Category (YTD 2025)

	Substantiated	Unable to Determine	Within NYPD Guidelines	Unfounded	Officers Unidentified	Total
Force	211	413	1923	892	91	3530
	6%	12%	54%	25%	3%	100%
Abuse of Authority	2325	1460	2858	1503	434	8580
	27%	17%	33%	18%	5%	100%
Discourtesy	316	256	286	284	90	1232
	26%	21%	23%	23%	7%	100%
Offensive Language	65	90	4	77	34	270
	24%	33%	1%	29%	13%	100%
	2917	2219	5071	2756	649	13612
Total	21%	16%	37%	20%	5%	100%

Dispositions - Untruthful Statement Allegations

The CCRB investigates untruthful statement allegations under two different allegation categories. Official statements made directly to the CCRB are investigated under the "Untruthful Statement" allegation category. Official statements made in other contexts (e.g. in court) are investigated under the "Abuse of Authority" allegation category.

All the untruthful official statement allegations are mutually exclusive, meaning that the CCRB will not plead more than one untruthful statement allegation against an officer for the same untruthful act. There are four distinct types of untruthful statement allegation as follows: 1) False official statement, 2) Misleading official statement, 3) Inaccurate official statement and 4) Impeding an investigation.

Figure 30: Substantiated Untruthful Statement Allegations with % Change

Untruthful Statement Allegations	November 2024		November 2025			
	Count	% of Total Allegations	Count	% of Total Allegations	Change	% Change
False official statement	1	50%	2	100%	1	100%
Impeding an investigation	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Inaccurate official statement	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Misleading official statement	1	50%	0	0%	-1	-100%
Total Allegations	2		2		0	0%

Figure 31: Substantiated Untruthful Statement Allegations YTD with % Change

Untruthful Statement Allegations	YTD 2024		YTD 2025			
	Count	% of Total Allegations	Count	% of Total Allegations	Change	% Change
False official statement	31	70%	22	71%	-9	-29%
Impeding an investigation	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Inaccurate official statement	2	5%	0	0%	-2	-100%
Misleading official statement	11	25%	9	29%	-2	-18%
Total Allegations	44		31		-13	-30%

Dispositions - Bias-Based Policing Allegations

The Racial Profiling and Bias Based Policing ("RPBP") Unit is a unit at the CCRB focused on investigating civilian complaints of profiling/biased policing by uniformed (not civilian) members of the NYPD based on 10 different protected categories: race, national origin/ethnicity, color, religion, age, immigration or citizenship status, gender/gender identity, sexual orientation, disability, and housing status.

Figure 32: Substantiated Bias-Based Policing/Racial Profiling Allegations with % Change

	November 2024		November 2025			
	Count	% of Total Allegations	Count	% of Total Allegations	Change	% Change
Bias-Based Policing (Age)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Bias-Based Policing (Disability)	1	33%	0	0%	-1	-100%
Bias-Based Policing (Gender)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Bias-Based Policing (Housing Status)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Bias-Based Policing (Immigration Status)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Bias-Based Policing (Intersectional)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Bias-Based Policing (Religion)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Bias-Based Policing (Sexual Orientation)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Racial Profiling (Intersectional)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Racial Profiling* (Color)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Racial Profiling* (National Origin)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Racial Profiling* (Race)	2	67%	1	100%	-1	-50%
Total Allegations	3		1		-2	-67%

Figure 33: Substantiated Bias-Based Policing/Racial Profiling Allegations YTD with % Change

	YTD 2024		YTD 2025			
	Count	% of Total Allegations	Count	% of Total Allegations	Change	% Change
Bias-Based Policing (Age)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Bias-Based Policing (Disability)	11	18%	3	12%	-8	-73%
Bias-Based Policing (Gender)	1	2%	0	0%	-1	-100%
Bias-Based Policing (Housing Status)	3	5%	1	4%	-2	-67%
Bias-Based Policing (Immigration Status)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Bias-Based Policing (Intersectional)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Bias-Based Policing (Religion)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Bias-Based Policing (Sexual Orientation)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Racial Profiling (Intersectional)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Racial Profiling* (Color)	2	3%	1	4%	-1	-50%
Racial Profiling* (National Origin)	7	12%	0	0%	-7	-100%
Racial Profiling* (Race)	36	60%	21	81%	-15	-42%
Total Allegations	60		26		-34	-57%

*Prior to 10/2025 "Racial Profiling" allegations involving Race, Color and National Origin were reported as "Bias-Based Policing" allegations. "Racial Profiling" counts include allegations previously reported as "Bias-Based Policing."

Substantiation Rates

The November 2025 case substantiation rate was 48%.

Figure 34: Percentage of Cases Substantiated (January 2024 - November 2025)



Figure 35: Disposition of Substantiated Complaints* (2025)



* A substantiated complaint may contain a number of substantiated allegations with different dispositions. To determine the disposition associated with the complaint as a whole, the CCRB uses the most severe of the substantiated allegation dispositions. The order of severity is: 1) Charges 2) Command Discipline B 3) Command Discipline A 4) Formalized Training.

Substantiation Rates and Video

In general, investigations relying on video evidence result in much higher substantiation rates.

Figure 36: Substantiation Rates for Full Investigations without Video (Jan 2024 - Nov 2025) (% substantiated shown)



Figure 37: Substantiation Rates for Full Investigations with Video (Jan 2024 - Nov 2025) (% substantiated shown)



Board Discipline Recommendations for Substantiated Officers

After a CCRB investigative team has completed its investigation, a panel of Board members determines whether to substantiate the allegation(s) and make a disciplinary recommendation against the officer(s).

- "Charges and Specifications" are the most severe form of discipline. A decision to assign Charges commences a process that may result in an administrative trial in the NYPD Trial Room. An officer may lose vacation days, be suspended, or be terminated if the officer is found guilty.
- "Command Discipline B" and "Command Discipline A" are recommended for misconduct that is moderately serious. An officer can lose up to ten vacation days as a result of Command Discipline B and up to five vacation days as a result of Command Discipline A.
- "Formalized Training" are the least severe discipline, often recommended for officers who misunderstand a policy. This determination results in training at the Police Academy or NYPD Legal Bureau (Formalized Training).
- When the Board has recommended Formalized Training or Command Discipline, the case is sent to the NYPD Commissioner to impose training and/or other penalties. Cases where the Board recommends charges are prosecuted by the CCRB's Administrative Prosecution Unit.

Figure 38: Board Discipline Recommendations for Officers with Substantiated Allegations* (Nov 2024, Nov 2025, YTD 2024, YTD 2025)

	November 2024		November 2025		YTD 2024		YTD 2025	
Disposition	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Charges	36	19%	17	24%	395	26%	373	23%
Command Discipline B	27	14%	8	11%	306	20%	306	19%
Command Discipline A	92	49%	25	36%	601	39%	590	37%
Formalized Training	34	18%	20	29%	238	15%	345	21%
Total	189		70		1540		1614	

* The Board issues a separate Board Discipline Recommendation for each officer in a complaint against whom an allegation is substantiated.

Prior to the CCRB's adoption of the NYPD's Disciplinary Matrix on 03/15/2021, the Board Discipline Recommendation for each officer was deteremined by the most severe disposition of the allegation(s) substantiated against the officer, with the order of serverity as follows: 1. Charges 2. Command Discipline B 3. Command Discipline A 4. Formalized Training 5. Instructions. With the adoption of the NYPD Disciplinary Matrix on 03/15/2021, the CCRB no longer issues Instructions as a Board Discipline Recommendation.

Following the adoption of the NYPD Disiciplinary Matrix on 03/15/2021, the Board Discipline Recommendation for each officer is determined by the sum of the Matrix penalty days associated with the allegation(s) substantiated against the officer as follows: 1. Charges (penalty days >= 11) 2. Command Discipline B (6 <= penalty days <= 10) 3. Command Discipline A (1 <= penalty days <= 5) 4. Formalized Training (0 < penalty days < 1)

Complaints Against Officers Assigned to Police Service Areas

The Police Service Areas (PSA) are commands that police New York City Housing Developments throughout the five boroughs. PSA complaints are defined as complaints that contain at least one FADO allegation against an officer assigned to a PSA command.

Figure 39: PSA Complaints Closed as % of Total Complaints Closed

	Nov 2024	Nov 2025	YTD 2024	YTD 2025
PSA Complaints	30	11	237	197
Total Complaints	719	584	5749	5001
PSA Complaints as % of Total	4.2%	1.9%	4.1%	3.9%

A single PSA complaint may contain multiple subject officers. The following table shows the number of officers assigned to each PSA against whom an allegation was made.

Figure 40: Closed Complaints Against Officers Assigned to a PSA

	Nov 2024	Nov 2025	YTD 2024	YTD 2025
PSA 1	9	2	56	37
PSA 2	9	1	65	78
PSA 3	16	4	117	78
PSA 4	5	3	37	50
PSA 5	6	4	38	70
PSA 6	1	2	21	30
PSA 7	5	1	61	34
PSA 8	5	0	44	17
PSA 9	4	0	42	18
Total	60	17	481	412

Complaints typically contain more than one allegation. The following table shows the allegations made against officers assigned to PSA commands broken out by FADO&U type.

Figure 41: Closed Allegations Against Officers Assigned to a PSA by FADO&U Type

	Nov 2024		Nov 2025		YTD 2024		YTD 2025	
	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total
Force (F)	33	42%	4	21%	210	33%	238	44%
Abuse of Authority (A)	31	40%	12	63%	313	50%	229	43%
Discourtesy (D)	13	17%	3	16%	81	13%	54	10%
Offensive Language (O)	1	1%	0	0%	22	3%	12	2%
Untruthful Statement (U)	0	0%	0	0%	5	1%	3	1%
Total	78	100%	19	100%	631	100%	536	100%

Dispositions of Officers Assigned to PSAs

The following tables show the Board disposition of officers assigned to a PSA with a FADO&U allegation made against them.

Figure 42: Disposition of PSA Officers (2024 vs 2025)

	Nov 2024		Nov 2025		YTD 2024		YTD 2025	
Full Investigations	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total
Substantiated	11	33%	1	11%	123	40%	63	28%
Within NYPD Guidelines	13	39%	0	0%	78	25%	66	30%
Unfounded	4	12%	6	67%	66	21%	61	27%
Unable to Determine	5	15%	2	22%	39	13%	30	14%
MOS Unidentified	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	2	1%
Total - Full Investigations	33		9		307		222	
Mediation Closures	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total
Mediated	0	0%	0	0%	4	100%	3	100%
Total - Mediation Closures	0		0		4		3	
Unable to Investigate / Other Closures	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total
Complaint withdrawn	1	4%	0	0%	12	7%	11	6%
Unable to Investigate*	12	44%	5	62%	98	58%	96	51%
Closed - Pending Litigation	9	33%	3	38%	50	29%	69	37%
Officer Retired/Resigned**	5	19%	0	0%	10	6%	11	6%
Administrative Closure***	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Total - Other Case Dispositions	27		8		170		187	
Total - Closed Cases	60		17		481		412	

*Unable to Investigate is catch-all term, used for reporting purposes only, that refers to cases where the CCRB was unable to conduct an investigation, typically because no statement could be obtained from the complainant/alleged victim. “Unable to Investigate” incorporates the following CCRB dispositions: “Complainant/Alleged Victim Uncooperative”, “Complainant/Alleged Victim Unavailable”, “Witness Uncooperative”, “Witness Unavailable”, “Victim Unidentified”, “OMB PEG Directive Closure”, and “SRAD Closure.”

**Officer Retired/Resigned: CCRB closes an investigation if it learns that the subject officer has left the Department. In a small number of cases, CCRB will also close an investigation against a subject officer who is on extended leave and who will not be available for interview until after the Statute of Limitations has expired. These cases are elsewhere reported as "Miscellaneous" closures.

***Administrative Closure is a special category that deals with NYPD’s Internal Affairs Bureau-referred cases or spin off cases with no complainant/alleged victim, and in which CCRB attempts to locate or identify a complainant/alleged victim has yielded no results.

Mediation Unit

Whenever mediation between a complainant/alleged victim and subject officer is suitable, it is offered by CCRB investigators. If the complainant/alleged victim and subject officer both agree to participate, a neutral, third-party mediator facilitates a conversation between the parties. The chart below indicates the number of mediations in November and this year.

Figure 43: Mediated Complaints Closed

	November 2025	YTD 2025
Mediated Complaints	2	33

Figure 44: Mediated FADO Allegations Closed

	November 2025	YTD 2025
Force	2	15
Abuse of Authority	4	85
Discourtesy	0	13
Offensive Language	0	7
Total	6	120

Figure 45: Mediated Complaints By Borough (November 2025)

	Mediations
Bronx	0
Brooklyn	0
Manhattan	2
Queens	0
Staten Island	0

Figure 46: Mediated Allegations By Borough (November 2025)

	Mediations
Bronx	0
Brooklyn	0
Manhattan	6
Queens	0
Staten Island	0

Figure 47: Mediated Complaints By Precinct (Nov 2025 - YTD 2025)

Precinct	Nov 2025	YTD 2025	Precinct	Nov 2025	YTD 2025
6	0	1	48	0	1
18	0	3	52	0	2
19	1	2	73	0	1
23	0	1	75	0	2
25	0	1	78	0	1
28	1	1	103	0	1
30	0	1	106	0	1
32	0	3	108	0	1
40	0	3	110	0	1
43	0	1	116	0	2
46	0	2	NA	0	1

Figure 48: Mediated Allegations By Precinct (Nov 2025 - YTD 2025)

Precinct	Nov 2025	YTD 2025	Precinct	Nov 2025	YTD 2025
6	0	9	48	0	6
18	0	10	52	0	4
19	1	7	73	0	1
23	0	3	75	0	13
25	0	2	78	0	1
28	5	5	103	0	2
30	0	3	106	0	4
32	0	9	108	0	3
40	0	11	110	0	4
43	0	2	116	0	11
46	0	9	NA	0	1

Administrative Prosecution Unit

The CCRB's Administrative Prosecution Unit (APU) prosecutes police misconduct cases when the Board has recommended charges, in the NYPD Trial Room. The APU is also able to offer pleas to officers who admit guilt rather than going to trial. Following a plea agreement or the conclusion of a disciplinary trial, cases are sent to the Police Commissioner for final penalties.

Figure 49: Administrative Prosecution Unit Case Closures

Disposition Category	Prosecution Disposition	Nov 2025	YTD 2025
	***Previously adjudicated, discipline not reported	0	0
	Total	**0**	**0**
Disciplinary Action	Not guilty after trial but Discipline Imposed	0	0
	Guilty after trial-PC Approved	0	9
	Trial verdict dismissed by PC, Comm. Disc. A imposed	0	0
	Trial verdict dismissed by PC, Comm. Disc. B imposed	0	0
	Trial verdict dismissed by PC, Formalized Training imposed	0	0
	Trial verdict dismissed by PC, Instructions imposed	0	0
	Trial verdict reversed by PC, Final verdict Guilty	0	0
	Resolved by plea	37	173
	Plea Renegotiated by PC	0	0
	Plea set aside, Comm. Disc. B	0	2
	Plea set aside, Comm. Disc. A	0	2
	Plea set aside, Formalized Training	0	5
	Plea set aside, Instructions	0	0
	*Retained, with discipline	0	20
	Disciplinary Action Total	**37**	**211**
No Disciplinary Action	Not guilty after trial-PC Approved	3	13
	Trial verdict reversed by PC, Final verdict Not Guilty	0	2
	Plea set aside, Without discipline	1	12
	**Retained, without discipline	0	9
	Dismissed by Police Commissioner	0	0
	No Disciplinary Action Total	**4**	**36**
Not Adjudicated	Other	0	4
	Department adjudication in process	0	5
	***Previously adjudicated, with discipline	0	2
	***Previously adjudicated, without discipline	0	3
	MOS Retired	0	6
	MOS Resigned	0	7
	Terminated	0	1
	Terminal Leave, Adjudication Pending	0	1
	Not Adjudicated Total	**0**	**29**
	Total Closures	**41**	**276**

*Retained cases are those in which the Department kept jurisdiction pursuant to Section 2 of the April 2, 2012 Memorandum of Understanding between the NYPD and the CCRB. ** When the Department keeps jurisdiction pursuant to Section 2 and does not impose any discipline on the officer, it is the equivalent of a category referred to as "Department Unable to Prosecute" (DUP). Cases are referred to as DUP when the department decides that it will not discipline an officer against whom the Board recommended discipline other than charges. *** In some cases, the Department conducts its own investigation and prosecution prior to the completion of the CCRB's investigation. In those cases, the APU does not conduct a second prosecution. † Under the Board's reconsideration process, an officer who has charges recommended as the penalty for a substantiated allegation may have the recommended penalty changed to something other than charges or have the disposition changed to something other than substantiated. In those cases, the APU ceases its prosecution.

NYPD Discipline

Under the New York City Charter, the Police Commissioner makes the final decision regarding discipline and the outcome of disciplinary trials. When the Police Commissioner issues the discipline recommended by the CCRB, we report it as discipline concurrence.

Figure 50: NYPD-CCRB Discipline Concurrence

Discipline Report Year	Non APU %	APU %	Total %
2023	55.53	58.06	55.96
2024	26.48	45.54	30.29
2025 YTD	83.41	75.38	81.83

The remaining charts in this section provide additional detail regarding NYPD-imposed discipline, both for cases brought by the APU (Charges) and for Non-APU cases referred to the Police Commissioner with a recommendation of Command Discipline or Formalized Training.

Figure 51: NYPD Discipline Imposed for Adjudicated APU Cases

Discipline*	November 2025	YTD 2025
Terminated	0	0
Forced Separation	0	0
Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 31 or more days and/or Dismissal Probation	0	0
Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 21 to 30 days	0	2
Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 11 to 20 days	1	13
Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 1 to 10 days	17	108
Command Discipline B	0	2
Command Discipline A	0	9
Formalized Training**	19	76
Warned & Admonished/Reprimanded	0	0
Disciplinary Action† Total	**37**	**210**
No Disciplinary Action†	4	37
Adjudicated Total	**41**	**247**
Discipline Rate	**90%**	**85%**
Not Adjudicated† Total	0	29
Total Closures	**41**	**276**

*Where more than one penalty is imposed on a respondent, it is reported under the more severe penalty.
** Formalized training is conducted by the Police Academy, the NYPD Legal Bureau, or other NYPD Unit.
† The case closure types that define the "Disciplinary Action", "No Disciplinary Action" and "Not Adjudicated" categories are listed in Figure 51 on the previous page.

Figure 52: NYPD Discipline Imposed for Non-APU Cases

Disposition	Disposition Type*	October 2025	YTD 2025
Disciplinary Action	Terminated	0	0
	Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 31 or more days and/or Dismissal Probation	0	0
	Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 21 to 30 days	0	0
	Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 11 to 20 days	0	0
	Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 1 to 10 days	0	0
	Command Discipline B	15	178
	Command Discipline A	39	383
	Formalized Training**	34	288
	Closed Administratively (With Discipline) ††	5	18
	Total	**93**	**867**
No Disciplinary Action	Retired	0	2
	Resigned	0	3
	SOL Expired	0	4
	Department Unable to Prosecute †††	2	31
	Department Unable to Prosecute (Short SOL) ††††	6	135
	Closed Administratively (No penalty reported) ††	0	0
	Total	**8**	**175**
	Discipline Rate	**92%**	**83%**
	DUP Rate	**8%**	**16%**

*Where the respondent is found guilty of charges,and the penalty imposed would fall into more than one of the above listed categories, it is reported under the more severe penalty.
** Formalized training is conducted by the Police Academy, the NYPD Legal Bureau, or other NYPD Unit.
† Trial outcomes in non-APU cases typically involve MOS who turned down command discipline, prompting the police department to proceed with charges.
†† "Closed Administratively" is a term typically used by the police department to report on an incident of misconduct that has been previously adjudicated by the department itself prior to the receipt of a disciplinary recommendation from the CCRB.
††† When the department decides that it will not discipline an officer against whom the Board recommended discipline other than charges,those cases are referred to as "Department Unable to Prosecute," or DUP.
†††† The Department did not pursue discipline because DAO felt that the Board's discipline recommendation was made too close to the expiration of the statute of limitations (SOL) period.

NYPD Penalty Departure Letters are posted on the CCRB website at: https://www.nyc.gov/site/ccrb/complaints/redacted-departure-letter.page

Figure 53: "Short SOL"* Decisions Returned by Days to SOL Expiration (Oct 2024, Oct 2025, YTD 2024, YTD 2025)

	October 2024		October 2025		YTD 2024		YTD 2025	
Days to SOL at Close Group	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
03 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 05		0%		0%		0%		0%
05 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 10		0%		0%		0%		0%
10 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 20	1	1%		0%	12	2%		0%
20 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 30	26	25%		0%	31	4%	33	25%
30 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 40	59	58%	3	50%	84	12%	81	60%
40 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 50	13	13%	2	33%	106	15%	19	14%
50 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 60	3	3%		0%	129	18%		0%
60 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 90		0%	1	17%	337	48%	1	1%
Total	102		6		699		134	

Figure 54: "Short SOL"* Decisions Returned by Days to SOL Expiration for Complaints Containing a Substantiated SQF Allegation (Oct 2024, Oct 2025, YTD 2024, YTD 2025)

	October 2024		October 2025		YTD 2024		YTD 2025	
Days to SOL at Close Group	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
03 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 05		0%		0%		0%		0%
05 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 10		0%		0%		0%		0%
10 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 20		0%		0%	2	2%		0%
20 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 30	4	29%		0%	5	5%		0%
30 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 40	8	57%	2	67%	15	15%	3	75%
40 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 50	2	14%	1	33%	12	12%	1	25%
50 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 60		0%		0%	27	26%		0%
60 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 90		0%		0%	42	41%		0%
Total	14		3		103		4	

* "Short SOL" decisions are those where the NYPD decided not to pursue disciplinary proceedings against an officer because NYPD's Department Advocate's Office felt that the Board's discipline recommendation was made too close to the expiration of the statute of limitations (SOL) period.

Figure 55: NYPD Discipline Imposed for Allegations - Non-APU Cases (October 2025)

Board Disposition Recommendation	Officer	Allegation(s)	Precinct	Borough	NYPD Discipline
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Sean Hildebrand	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	5	Manhattan	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Christopher Satriano	A: Threat of summons	7	Manhattan	No penalty
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Julio Valencia	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	9	Manhattan	Closed Administratively
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Milton Hossen	A: Improper use of body-worn camera; D: Word	9	Manhattan	Closed Administratively
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	DTS Frank Russo	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	9	Manhattan	No penalty
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Kevin Hall	D: Word	13	Manhattan	Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 0.25 days)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Albert Belcher	D: Word	14	Manhattan	Closed Administratively (Command Discipline - A)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Mdtanvir Hasnat	A: Search (of person)	17	Manhattan	Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 0.13 days)
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Jhan Taveras	D: Word; A: Threat of force (verbal or physical); D: Word	23	Manhattan	Closed Administratively (Instructions)
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	SGT SA James Seder	F: Physical force	26	Manhattan	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 5 days)
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Lucia Linares	F: Physical force	26	Manhattan	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 5 days)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Philippe Bernardin	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	26	Manhattan	Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 0.25 days)
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Alinson Tavarespolanco	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	26	Manhattan	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Khushal Khalid	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	26	Manhattan	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Felipe Ramirez	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	28	Manhattan	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Germaine Hall	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	28	Manhattan	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	DT3 Jose Velez	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	28	Manhattan	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	DT3 Nikolas Quintero	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	28	Manhattan	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	DT3 William Bodner	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	28	Manhattan	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Pedro Ozuna	A: Entry of Premises; F: Physical force	30	Manhattan	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 5 days)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	LT Howard Roth	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	34	Manhattan	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Matthew Grant	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	40	Bronx	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Bryan Scheblein	A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Frisk	40	Bronx	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 5 days)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	DT3 Edward Barrett	A: Refusal to provide shield number	40	Bronx	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Israel Diaz	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	41	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Jose Espinal	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	41	Bronx	Formalized Training

Board Disposition Recommendation	Officer	Allegation(s)	Precinct	Borough	NYPD Discipline
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Paola Heredianunez	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	41	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	SGT David Sangster	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	41	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Steven Nieves	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	42	Bronx	Closed Administratively (Instructions)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Jasmine Nunezramos	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	42	Bronx	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Shaun Walker	A: Question	42	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Devone Carreiro	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	42	Bronx	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Cristina Andeliz	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	42	Bronx	Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 0.50 days)
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Miguel Perea	A: Threat of force (verbal or physical)	43	Bronx	No penalty
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Jose Rivera	A: Vehicle search	44	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	LT Ryan Moyles	A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Search of Premises; A: Entry of Premises	44	Bronx	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Alfred Maldonado	A: Search of Premises; A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Entry of Premises	44	Bronx	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Todd Jacoby	A: Improper use of body-worn camera; F: Physical force	44	Bronx	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Darian Wesler	A: Question; A: Frisk	46	Bronx	No penalty
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Sarah Washington	D: Word	46	Bronx	Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 1 day)
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	LT SA Ray Sanchez	A: Threat of arrest; A: Detention; A: Search of Premises; A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Entry of Premises	46	Bronx	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 1 day)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Daniel Rivera	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	47	Bronx	Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 0.25 days)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Dylon Signor	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	47	Bronx	Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 0.25 days)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Steven Mora	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	47	Bronx	Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 0.25 days)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Phong Le	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	48	Bronx	No penalty
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Dante Pulido	A: Frisk; A: Improper use of body-worn camera; A: Stop	48	Bronx	No penalty
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Ariel Cruz	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	48	Bronx	Closed Administratively (Instructions)
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Oshane Cole	A: Frisk; A: Stop	48	Bronx	No penalty
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Feliz Heredia	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	52	Bronx	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Joseph Travolino	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	52	Bronx	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Joseph Travolino	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	52	Bronx	Formalized Training

Board Disposition Recommendation	Officer	Allegation(s)	Precinct	Borough	NYPD Discipline
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Jose Guzman	A: Vehicle search	60	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Timothy Thatcher	A: Vehicle search	60	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Nicholas Cava	A: Search (of person)	60	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Anoop Thomas	A: Improper use of body-worn camera; A: Failure to provide RTKA card	60	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Conner Anzalone	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	60	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Michael Moran	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	60	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Anthony Marra	A: Refusal to provide shield number	60	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Alexis Realegeno	A: Property damaged	61	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 5 days)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO David Rodriguez	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	62	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Dean Perez	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	63	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	SGT Juliana Dixson	A: Detention; A: Unlawful Summons; A: Failure to provide RTKA card	67	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 10 days)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Dennis Diaz	A: Vehicle search	67	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Francesca Lovetro	A: Vehicle search	67	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Brendan Denny	A: Refusal to provide shield number; A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Refusal to provide name	67	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - B
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Anthony Porcelli	A: Refusal to provide shield number; A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Refusal to provide name	67	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 1 day)
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	SGT Kyle Sforza	A: Refusal to provide shield number; A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Refusal to provide name	67	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 1 day)
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Ryan Pruden	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	67	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Cory Green	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	68	Brooklyn	Closed Administratively (Instructions)
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	DTS Burim Kadrijaj	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	68	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	DTS Michael Mainolfi	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	68	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Tanisha Mayfield	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	69	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	DT3 Michael Ganz	A: Detention	75	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 1 day)
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Steve Torres	F: Physical force	75	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 5 days)
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	SGT Mohsin Mohsin	A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Failure to provide RTKA card	76	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - B

Board Disposition Recommendation	Officer	Allegation(s)	Precinct	Borough	NYPD Discipline
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Anthony Orlando	A: Vehicle search	77	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 0.50 days)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Kemeisha Douglasgibbs	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	78	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 0.50 days)
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	LT Yael Magori	A: Vehicle search; A: Seizure of property	78	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - B
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	DT3 Casey Catalano	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	79	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	DT3 Matthew Crescione	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	79	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	DT3 Shane Jacobs	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	79	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Michelle Giglio	A: Detention	79	Brooklyn	No penalty
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Jasmit Singh	A: Threat of arrest	81	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - B
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	DT2 Conrad Narcisse	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	81	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	SGT Kevin Oconnor	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	81	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	DT3 Christopher Giordano	A: Entry of Premises; A: Threat of arrest	101	Queens	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	DT3 Jared Pilkington	A: Entry of Premises; A: Threat of arrest	101	Queens	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO John Wilson	A: Threat of arrest; A: Entry of Premises	101	Queens	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Steven Lopez	A: Failed to Obtain Language Interpretation	101	Queens	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	DT3 Christopher Hughes	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	102	Queens	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	DT3 Nicholas Bueti	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	102	Queens	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	DT3 Ryan Olsen	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	102	Queens	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Nicholas Correggia	D: Word	103	Queens	Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 1 day)
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Christopher Estrella	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	110	Queens	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Erika Lilli	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	110	Queens	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Agnieszka Krawiec	A: Refusal to provide shield number; A: Refusal to provide name	110	Queens	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Christopher Brussell	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	113	Queens	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Kyle Clavin	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	113	Queens	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Brian Marmol	O: Gender; D: Word	114	Queens	Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 0.50 days)
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Maoda Lin	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	115	Queens	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Niecia Walker	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	115	Queens	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Anthony Gitto	A: Vehicle search	121	Staten Island	Command Discipline - A

Board Disposition Recommendation	Officer	Allegation(s)	Precinct	Borough	NYPD Discipline
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	SGT Ismael Diaz	A: Refusal to provide name; A: Refusal to provide shield number; A: Question	121	Staten Island	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 2 days)

Figure 56: NYPD Discipline Imposed for APU Adjudicated Cases (November 2025)

Board Disposition Recommendation	Officer	Allegation(s)	Precinct	Borough	NYPD Discipline
Substantiated (Charges)	POM Sean Robinson	O: Gender; D: Word	7	Manhattan	Forfeit vacation 10 days / Command Discipline B
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Ermir Aliaj	D: Word; O: Other	25	Manhattan	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Amela Dzihic	O: Gender; D: Word	25	Manhattan	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Gerald Mcnair	U: False official statement; F: Physical force; F: Physical force	28	Manhattan	Forfeit vacation 20 days
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Sacko Hadzovic	A: Search (of person); D: Word; A: Frisk	33	Manhattan	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Carlos Arrecis	D: Word; A: Frisk; A: Search (of person)	33	Manhattan	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Carlos Arrecis	F: Hit against inanimate object; A: Entry of Premises	33	Manhattan	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Charges)	POM Moises Martinez	D: Word; A: Threat of force (verbal or physical); A: Threat of force (verbal or physical)	40	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Steven Echevarria	A: Frisk; D: Word; A: Threat of arrest; A: Retaliatory summons; A: Stop; A: Threat of arrest; A: Search (of person); A: Threat of arrest	40	Bronx	Forfeit vacation 10 days / Command Discipline B
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Stephen Oswald	A: Threat of arrest; A: Unlawful Summons; A: Stop; A: Frisk; A: Search (of person)	40	Bronx	Forfeit vacation 10 days / Command Discipline B
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Malik Underwood	A: Search (of person); A: Stop; A: Stop; A: Failure to provide RTKA card	40	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Andrei Nijnic	A: Vehicle search; A: Search (of person); A: Stop; A: Stop	40	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Stephen Oswald	A: Refusal to provide shield number; A: Refusal to provide name; A: Improper use of body-worn camera; A: Failure to provide RTKA card	40	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Charges)	LT Brian Query	A: Stop; A: Unlawful Summons	40	Bronx	Forfeit vacation 10 days / Command Discipline B
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Harmanjot Singh	A: Failure to provide RTKA card; U: False official statement; A: Frisk; A: Improper use of body-worn camera; A: Stop	42	Bronx	Forfeit vacation 10 days / Command Discipline B
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Paul Naughton	A: Threat re: removal to hospital; D: Word; O: Gender; A: Improper use of body-worn camera	42	Bronx	Forfeit vacation 10 days / Command Discipline B
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Michael Monahan	A: Question; A: Frisk; A: Frisk; A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Question; A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Failure to provide RTKA card	42	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Charges)	LT SA Brian Query	A: Unlawful Arrest	42	Bronx	Forfeit vacation 10 days / Command Discipline B

Board Disposition Recommendation	Officer	Allegation(s)	Precinct	Borough	NYPD Discipline
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Jorge Rodriguez	A: Unlawful Arrest	43	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Anes Ibrahimagic	A: Strip-searched	44	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT David Vargas	A: Threat of force (verbal or physical); D: Word	44	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Jack Orourke	A: Sex Miscon (Sexual Harassment, Verbal)	46	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Odani Acevedo	A: Stop; A: Search (of person); A: Other; D: Action; A: Property damaged; A: Frisk; A: Failure to provide RTKA card	48	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Stephen Schoefer	A: Search of Premises; A: Entry of Premises; A: Failure to provide RTKA card	67	Brooklyn	Forfeit vacation 10 days / Command Discipline B
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Aaqib Bhatti	A: Stop; A: Improper use of body-worn camera; A: Frisk; A: Failure to provide RTKA card	71	Brooklyn	Forfeit vacation 3 days / Command Discipline A
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Aaqib Bhatti	A: Retaliatory summons	71	Brooklyn	Forfeit vacation 5 days / Command Discipline A
Substantiated (Charges)	POF Jeanette Rodriguez	A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Interference with recording; A: Refusal to provide shield number; A: Stop	75	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Antonio Ortiz	A: Vehicle search	75	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Frederick Alleyne	A: Unlawful Summons	75	Brooklyn	Forfeit vacation 10 days / Command Discipline B / Formalized Training
Substantiated (Charges)	DTS Anthony Ward	D: Word; A: Threat of force (verbal or physical); A: Threat re: removal to hospital	79	Brooklyn	Forfeit vacation 5 days / Command Discipline A
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	DTS Samantha Sturman	D: Word; A: Threat of force (verbal or physical)	84	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Dominick Bilello	A: Threat re: removal to hospital; D: Word; A: Entry of Premises	84	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Faisal Elwan	A: Failure to provide RTKA card; U: False official statement	90	Brooklyn	Forfeit vacation 5 days / Command Discipline A
Substantiated (Charges)	POM Steven Humburg	A: Vehicle search; A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Stop; A: Stop; A: Entry of Premises; A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Stop	101	Queens	Forfeit vacation 6 days

Board Disposition Recommendation	Officer	Allegation(s)	Precinct	Borough	NYPD Discipline
Substantiated (Charges)	POM John Ramalho	A: Entry of Premises; A: Stop; A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Threat of summons; A: Stop; A: Stop; A: Threat of summons; A: Threat of summons	101	Queens	Forfeit vacation 8 days / Command Discipline B
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Paul Petrone	D: Word; A: Retaliatory summons; F: Physical force; A: Retaliatory summons; D: Word; A: Unlawful Summons; D: Word; O: Gender; A: Seizure of property; D: Word; D: Word; A: Failed to Obtain Language Interpretation	103	Queens	Forfeit vacation 10 days / Command Discipline B
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Nicholas Medina	A: Frisk; A: Question; A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Frisk; A: Failure to provide RTKA card	113	Queens	Forfeit vacation 3 days / Command Discipline A

Figure 57: NYPD No Discipline Imposed for APU Adjudicated Cases (November 2025)

Board Disposition Recommendation	Officer	Allegation	Precinct	Borough	NYPD Discipline
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Sheldon Elliott	A: Bias-Based Policing (Race)	23	Manhattan	Closed: Not guilty after trial-PC Approved
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Matthew Crudele	A: Bias-Based Policing (Race)	23	Manhattan	Closed: Not guilty after trial-PC Approved
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Kyron Delarosa	F: Nonlethal restraining device	47	Bronx	Closed: Not guilty after trial-PC Approved
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Giancarlo Proietti	F: Physical force; A: Refusal to obtain medical treatment	63	Brooklyn	Closed: Plea set aside, Without discipline

Figure 58: Substantiated Allegations By Borough and NYPD Precinct (November 2025)

The figures in this table reflect all substantiated allegations for each MOS.

Board Disposition	Officer	FADO&U Category	Allegation	Precinct of Occurrence	Borough of Occurrence
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Nikita Jenkins	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card		Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Lucia Tapia	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card		Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Nikita Jenkins	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card		Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Lucia Tapia	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card		Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Nikita Jenkins	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera		Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Lucia Tapia	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera		Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Wael Jaber	Force	Physical force	14	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	SGT Allen Moore	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	24	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Andrew Trupiano	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	24	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	SGT Allen Moore	Abuse of Authority	Stop	24	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Andrew Trupiano	Abuse of Authority	Stop	24	Manhattan
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Nicholas Damore	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	25	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Joseph Hunt	Force	Physical force	25	Manhattan
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Monifa Germain	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide name	28	Manhattan
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Monifa Germain	Discourtesy	Word	28	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Ilias Stamoukostas	Discourtesy	Word	32	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Ilias Stamoukostas	Force	Nightstick as club (incl asp & baton)	32	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Marvin Jeanbaptiste	Abuse of Authority	Threat of force (verbal or physical)	34	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	LT Howard Roth	Abuse of Authority	Unlawful Summons	34	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Meagan Camacho	Discourtesy	Word	34	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Marvin Jeanbaptiste	Discourtesy	Word	34	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Marvin Jeanbaptiste	Discourtesy	Word	34	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Marvin Jeanbaptiste	Offensive Language	Race	34	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO John Paulino	Abuse of Authority	Vehicle search	42	Bronx
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	DTS Jason Lopez	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	42	Bronx
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Michael Riggio	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	42	Bronx

Board Disposition	Officer	FADO&U Category	Allegation	Precinct of Occurrence	Borough of Occurrence
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Michael Riggio	Abuse of Authority	Search (of person)	42	Bronx
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	DTS Jason Lopez	Abuse of Authority	Stop	42	Bronx
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Michael Riggio	Abuse of Authority	Stop	42	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO John Paulino	Abuse of Authority	Question	42	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO John Paulino	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	42	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO John Paulino	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	42	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO James Biggs	Abuse of Authority	Forcible Removal to Hospital	43	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Valerie Hernandez	Abuse of Authority	Forcible Removal to Hospital	43	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Valerie Hernandez	Discourtesy	Word	43	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Valerie Hernandez	Offensive Language	Other	43	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Katanya Gordon	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to process civilian complaint	44	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Crystal Madera	Abuse of Authority	Interference with recording	44	Bronx
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO April Sanchez	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	44	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Crystal Madera	Discourtesy	Word	44	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Leonard Armstrong	Force	Physical force	44	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Yoeldy Espinal	Abuse of Authority	Vehicle search	46	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Adlai Pinney	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	46	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Yoeldy Espinal	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	46	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Adlai Pinney	Abuse of Authority	Question	46	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Yoeldy Espinal	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	46	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Adlai Pinney	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	46	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Edward Scott	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	46	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Theodore Cecchini	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	46	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Anyel Rijocedeno	Abuse of Authority	Vehicle search	47	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Christopher Hernandez	Abuse of Authority	Stop	47	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT SA Denia Roberts	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide name	47	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Christopher Hernandez	Discourtesy	Word	47	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Christopher Hernandez	Discourtesy	Word	47	Bronx

Board Disposition	Officer	FADO&U Category	Allegation	Precinct of Occurrence	Borough of Occurrence
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Christopher Hernandez	Force	Other	47	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Christopher Hernandez	Offensive Language	Gender	47	Bronx
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	SGT Michael Gibbons	Discourtesy	Word	48	Bronx
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	SGT Brandon Gembecki	Abuse of Authority	Vehicle search	52	Bronx
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Pedro Lezcano	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	52	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Matthew Sheridan	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	60	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Meir Benishai	Abuse of Authority	Search (of person)	60	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Meir Benishai	Abuse of Authority	Question	60	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Meir Benishai	Abuse of Authority	Racial Profiling (Race)	60	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Christian Perez	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	67	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Christian Perez	Abuse of Authority	Stop	67	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Hugh Campbell	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide shield number	67	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	LT Joan Ferreira	Force	Chokehold	68	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	LT Joan Ferreira	Force	Physical force	68	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	LT Joan Ferreira	Force	Restricted Breathing	68	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Matias Franco	Discourtesy	Word	71	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	DT3 Frank Hernandez	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Cristian Puchuelachauca	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Soney Varghese	Abuse of Authority	Search (of person)	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Cristian Puchuelachauca	Abuse of Authority	Search (of person)	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	DT3 Frank Hernandez	Abuse of Authority	Stop	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Cristian Puchuelachauca	Abuse of Authority	Question	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Cristian Puchuelachauca	Abuse of Authority	Question	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Christopher Harper	Abuse of Authority	Question	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Christopher Harper	Abuse of Authority	Question	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	DT3 Frank Hernandez	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Christopher Harper	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Cristian Puchuelachauca	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Christopher Harper	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	73	Brooklyn

Board Disposition	Officer	FADO&U Category	Allegation	Precinct of Occurrence	Borough of Occurrence
Substantiated (Charges)	LT Robert Alimena	Abuse of Authority	Entry of Premises	75	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Romeo Casiano	Abuse of Authority	Entry of Premises	75	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Joseph Dionisi	Abuse of Authority	Vehicle stop	75	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Ruben Cespedes	Abuse of Authority	Vehicle stop	75	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Keon Lawson	Abuse of Authority	Vehicle stop	75	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Joseph Dionisi	Abuse of Authority	Vehicle search	75	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Joseph Dionisi	Abuse of Authority	Threat of summons	75	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Joseph Dionisi	Abuse of Authority	Threat of arrest	75	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	LT Robert Alimena	Abuse of Authority	Seizure of property	75	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	DT2 James Miles	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	75	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Joseph Dionisi	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	75	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	DT3 Keith Stark	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	75	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	LT CD Christopher Siani	Abuse of Authority	Stop	75	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	DT2 James Miles	Abuse of Authority	Stop	75	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	LT Robert Alimena	Abuse of Authority	Search of Premises	75	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	DT2 James Miles	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide name	75	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	DT3 Keith Stark	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide name	75	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	DT3 Keith Stark	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide shield number	75	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	DT2 James Miles	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide shield number	75	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Joseph Dionisi	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	75	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	DT2 James Miles	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	75	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Keon Lawson	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	75	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	DT3 Keith Stark	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	75	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Daneshwar Sukhra	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	77	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Ray Acevedo	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	77	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Solomon Sowell	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	81	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Jose Criollo	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	81	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Kristian Astudillo	Abuse of Authority	Search (of person)	83	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Michael Griffin	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	83	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Kristian Astudillo	Discourtesy	Word	83	Brooklyn

Board Disposition	Officer	FADO&U Category	Allegation	Precinct of Occurrence	Borough of Occurrence
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Anatoli Eltsov	Abuse of Authority	Threat of summons	94	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Anatoli Eltsov	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	94	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT John Moran	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	103	Queens
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	SGT Nicholas Bekas	Abuse of Authority	Vehicle search	105	Queens
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Miguel Vanbrakle	Abuse of Authority	Search of Premises	105	Queens
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	SGT Nicholas Bekas	Abuse of Authority	Search of Premises	105	Queens
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	DT3 Devin Baker	Abuse of Authority	Search of Premises	105	Queens
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	DT3 Devin Baker	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	105	Queens
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Mark Ferranola	Abuse of Authority	Questioned immigration status	106	Queens
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Nicholas Maresca	Abuse of Authority	Failed to Obtain Language Interpretation	115	Queens
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Natalie Prisco	Abuse of Authority	Failed to Obtain Language Interpretation	115	Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Anthony Gitto	Abuse of Authority	Strip-searched	121	Staten Island
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Richard Degaetano	Abuse of Authority	Strip-searched	121	Staten Island
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Anthony Gitto	Abuse of Authority	Search (of person)	121	Staten Island
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Daniel Commender	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	121	Staten Island
Substantiated (Charges)	LT Daniel Hachemeister	Discourtesy	Word	121	Staten Island
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Daniel Commender	Discourtesy	Word	121	Staten Island
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Anthony Gitto	Untruthful Statement	False official statement	121	Staten Island
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Richard Degaetano	Untruthful Statement	False official statement	121	Staten Island