2014-15 School Quality Guide / K-8

Summary of Section Ratings

Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.

Section	Section Rating	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	ExceedingTarget
Rigorous Instruction	Meeting Target			3.	80
Collaborative Teachers	Exceeding Target				4.40
Supportive Environment	Meeting Target				3.96
Effective School Leadership	Meeting Target			3.56	
Strong Family-Community Ties	Approaching Target		2.48		
Trust	Meeting Target			3.20	
Student Achievement	Exceeding Target				4.30
		1	2	3	4 4.99

Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Reward

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at <u>http://schoolqualityreports.nyc</u>

2014-15 School Quality Guide / K-8

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	165	135	180
Grade 1	156	174	149
Grade 2	140	160	174
Grade 3	158	147	161
Grade 4	169	174	156
Grade 5	147	176	174
Grade 6	123	124	124
Grade 7	122	118	121
Grade 8	109	117	113
All students	1352	1393	1412

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	18%	18%	18%
% Free Lunch Eligible	54%	54%	59%
% Student with IEPs	10%	11%	13%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	2%	3%	3%
% HRA Eligible	-	40%	40%
% Temporary Housing	-	4%	4%
% Asian	49%	47%	46%
% Black	3%	2%	2%
% Hispanic	8%	9%	10%
% White	39%	41%	41%
% Other	0%	1%	1%

22K206 P.S. 206 Joseph F Lamb

2014-15 School Quality Guide / K-8

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score									
Exceeding Target	4.30									
					2	2014-15 Targets	S			
Student Achievement Metrics		n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	– Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA					-		-			-
Average Student Proficiency		822	2.98	2.28	2.58	2.79	2.96	3.23	4.07	8.20%
 Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4 		822	52.8%	15.1%	28.8%	39.6%	48.1%	61.8%	4.34	8.20%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile		649	71.0	53.5	60.0	65.5	69.8	78.7	4.13	8.20%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third		232	80.0	62.0	68.4	73.9	78.2	87.3	4.20	8.20%
Early Grade Progress		150	3.25	0.95	1.61	2.13	2.53	3.39	4.84	8.20%
State Test Results - Math										
Average Student Proficiency		826	3.26	2.38	2.76	3.01	3.21	3.53	4.16	8.20%
• Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4		826	62.5%	21.1%	37.1%	49.4%	59.0%	74.3%	4.23	8.20%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile		653	66.0	49.7	57.6	64.2	69.5	80.3	3.34	8.20%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third		221	70.0	57.5	64.7	70.9	75.8	85.9	2.85	8.20%
Early Grade Progress		153	4.14	1.01	1.91	2.59	3.12	4.30	4.86	8.20%
Core Course Pass Rates										
ELA		355	93.5%	79.3%	87.7%	91.7%	94.8%	100.0%	3.58	1.64%
Math		355	94.1%	78.2%	86.9%	91.1%	94.5%	100.0%	3.88	1.64%
Science		355	91.8%	80.1%	88.6%	92.3%	95.2%	100.0%	2.86	1.64%
Social Studies		355	97.5%	76.6%	85.6%	90.3%	93.9%	100.0%	4.59	1.64%
 Percent of 8th Graders Earning HS Credit 		113	99.1%	0.0%	21.2%	38.8%	52.7%	80.0%	4.99	3.28%
9th Grade Adjusted Credit Accumulation of Former 8th Graders		110	92.0%	74.0%	83.0%	89.0%	93.0%	100.0%	3.75	8.20%
									Weighted Average Score	4.08

						2	2014-15 Targets	5	_			
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	- Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4			-			-	-	-				
• Self-Contained	39	4.7%	26.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%	1.0%	1.6%	2.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	55	6.7%	37.4%	7.3%	0.0%	3.1%	5.0%	7.4%	12.4%	3.96	0.030	0.022
SETSS	17	2.1%	17.9%	29.4%	0.0%	3.9%	6.3%	9.3%	15.6%		0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	38	4.6%	26.0%	15.8%	0.0%	2.1%	3.3%	4.9%	8.2%	4.99	0.030	0.030
Integrated Co-Teaching	54	6.5%	36.7%	25.9%	0.0%	5.2%	8.3%	12.3%	20.6%	4.99	0.030	0.030
SETSS	17	2.1%	18.1%	47.1%	0.0%	6.1%	9.6%	14.4%	24.0%		0.030	0.000
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
• ELL	158	24.3%	64.1%	55.7%	14.1%	28.3%	36.6%	47.7%	70.3%	4.35	0.030	0.025
Lowest Third Citywide	108	16.6%	25.9%	63.9%	33.6%	43.2%	48.8%	56.2%	71.4%	4.51	0.030	0.026
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	17	2.6%	7.2%	58.8%	29.1%	40.6%	47.4%	56.4%	74.7%		0.030	0.000
SC/ICT/SETSS	90	13.9%	35.2%	55.6%	30.2%	41.5%	48.2%	57.0%	75.0%	3.84	0.030	0.021
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
• ELL	165	25.3%	64.1%	45.5%	13.4%	26.8%	34.7%	45.1%	66.4%	4.02	0.030	0.023
Lowest Third Citywide	76	11.6%	16.6%	61.8%	28.0%	39.6%	46.4%	55.5%	74.0%		0.030	0.000
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	16	2.5%	6.9%	68.8%	26.0%	38.8%	46.2%	56.1%	76.4%		0.030	0.000
SC/ICT/SETSS	88	13.5%	34.3%	48.9%	26.0%	36.6%	42.8%	51.1%	68.0%	3.73	0.030	0.021
ELL Progress	234	17.3%	60.3%	68.4%	24.5%	40.7%	50.2%	62.8%	88.5%	4.22	0.030	0.024
										CtAG Ad	ditional Points	0.22
									Over	all Student Achie	evement Score	4.30

• Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

• Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

22K206 P.S. 206 Joseph F Lamb

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

```
22K206
```

P.S. 206 Joseph F Lamb

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
orous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Well Developed	4.99	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	92%	3.56	34%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.80	
aborative Teachers			
	Wall Developed	4.99	50%
Quality Review 4.2 NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	Well Developed 91%	3.80	50%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.40	
portive Environment	Wall Developed	4.00	200/
Quality Review 3.4	Well Developed	4.99	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	87%	3.32	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance		2.72	
EMS	91.5%	3.72	
HS	91.5%	3.72	30%
Overall Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive	91.5%	3.72	30%
environments			
EMS	0.36	3.31	
HS	0.30	5.51	
Overall	0.36	3.31	5%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.96	
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.90	
ective School Leadership			
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	84%	3.56	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.56	
ong Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	79%	2.48	100%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.48	
st			
J 6			1000/
NYC School Survey - Trust	89%	3 20	100%
NYC School Survey - Trust	89%	3.20	100%

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

```
22K206
P.S. 206 Joseph F Lamb
```

	City Range						
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rigorous Instruction							
Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	96	86.5	94.1	100.0	0.75	4.00
Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	94	81.3	91.9	100.0	0.70	3.80
Course clarity	Students	89	82.2	90.6	99.0	0.41	2.64
Quality of student discussion	Teachers	89	64.9	83.3	100.0	0.70	3.80
Section Results:		92%					3.56
Collaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers	99	86.2	94.8	100.0	0.91	
Cultural awareness	Parents	93	89.5	94.1	98.7	0.50	
Cultural awareness	Students	81	69.6	84.0	98.4	0.40	
Cultural awareness	Combined	91				0.61	3.44
 Inclusive classroom instruction 	Teachers	97	84.7	94.1	100.0	0.82	4.28
Quality of professional development	Teachers	83	52.2	76.8	100.0	0.64	3.56
School commitment	Teachers	90	60.2	84.6	100.0	0.74	3.96
Innovation	Teachers	89	66.7	84.9	100.0	0.66	3.64
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	97	87.4	95.2	100.0	0.75	4.00
Peer collaboration	Teachers	93	79.2	92.0	100.0	0.64	3.56
Focus on student learning	Teachers	91	67.5	88.5	100.0	0.74	3.96
Collective responsibility	Teachers	86	59.6	82.2	100.0	0.66	3.64
ection Results:		91%					3.80
Supportive Environment							
Safety:							
Safety	Teachers						
Safety	Students	85	72.2	86.2	100.0	0.46	
Safety	Combined	85				0.46	2.84
Classroom behavior:							
Classroom behavior	Teachers						
Classroom behavior	Students	85	64.2	80.8	97.4	0.62	
Classroom behavior	Combined	85				0.62	3.48
 Social-emotional measure 	Teachers	99	88.0	96.2	100.0	0.90	4.60
Peer interactions	Students	80	67.8	82.0	96.2	0.44	2.76
Next-level guidance	Students	88	77.9	89.3	100.0	0.47	2.88
Press toward academic achievement:							
Press toward academic achievement							
Press toward academic achievement		93	82.4	89.4	96.4	0.78	
 Press toward academic achievement 		93				0.78	4.12
Personal attention and support	Students	84	75.7	86.3	96.9	0.41	2.64
Peer support for academic work:							
Peer support for academic work	Teachers						
Peer support for academic work	Parents	94	84.4	92.8	100.0	0.58	
Peer support for academic work	Students	72	45.8	67.0	88.2	0.61	
Peer support for academic work	Combined	83				0.59	3.36
Section Results:		87%					3.32

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

```
22K206
P.S. 206 Joseph F Lamb
```

				City Range			
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	89	79.5	89.7	99.9	0.47	2.88
Teacher influence	Teachers	67	30.2	60.2	90.2	0.62	3.48
 Program coherence 	Teachers	90	61.1	85.1	100.0	0.75	4.00
Principal instructional leadership	Teachers	89	63.6	87.0	100.0	0.71	3.84
Section Results:		84%					3.56
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	95	84.4	93.8	100.0	0.75	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	89	83.7	91.1	98.5	0.39	
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	92				0.57	3.28
• Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	65	59.7	74.7	89.7	0.17	1.68
Section Results:		79%					2.48
Trust							
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	94	90.0	94.6	99.2	0.50	3.00
Parent-principal trust	Parents	92	83.1	92.7	100.0	0.50	3.00
Student-teacher trust	Students	83	67.7	81.9	96.1	0.55	3.20
Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	85	62.0	86.8	100.0	0.61	3.44
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	90	77.3	91.1	100.0	0.57	3.28
Section Results:		89%					3.20

2014-15 School Quality Reports / K-8

Targets for 2015-16

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15		2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Ta				
State Test Results - ELA*									
Average Student Proficiency	2.98	2.78 or lower	2.79 to 2.86	2.87 to 2.92	2.93 or high				
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.33	2.11 or lower	2.12 to 2.22	2.23 to 2.29	2.30 or high				
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	52.8%	41.1% or lower	41.2% to 45.4%	45.5% to 48.6%	48.7% or hig				
State Test Results - Math*									
Average Student Proficiency	3.26	3.02 or lower	3.03 to 3.14	3.15 to 3.24	3.25 or high				
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.44	2.18 or lower	2.19 to 2.32	2.33 to 2.43	2.44 or high				
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	62.5%	51.7% or lower	51.8% to 57.9%	58.0% to 62.4%	62.5% or hig				
Core Course Pass Rates									
ELA	93.5%	77.3% or lower	77.4% to 82.8%	82.9% to 86.8%	86.9% or hig				
Math	94.1%	76.6% or lower	76.7% to 82.3%	82.4% to 86.4%	86.5% or hig				
Science	91.8%	77.9% or lower	78.0% to 83.2%	83.3% to 87.2%	87.3% or hig				
Social Studies	97.5%	74.3% or lower	74.4% to 80.5%	80.6% to 85.1%	85.2% or hig				
Percent of 8th Graders Earning HS Credit	99.1%	21.9% or lower	22.0% to 33.9%	34.0% to 42.6%	42.7% or hig				
9th Grade Adjusted Credit Accumulation of Former 8th Graders	92.0%	84.9% or lower	85.0% to 88.9%	89.0% to 91.9%	92.0% or hig				

Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15		2015-16	Targets	
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Tar
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating					
Self-Contained	2.06	1.81 or lower	1.82 to 1.88	1.89 to 1.93	1.94 or high
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.26	2.11 or lower	2.12 to 2.19	2.20 to 2.25	2.26 or high
SETSS	2.67	2.12 or lower	2.13 to 2.24	2.25 to 2.33	2.34 or high
ELL	2.52	2.24 or lower	2.25 to 2.37	2.38 to 2.46	2.47 or high
Lowest Third Citywide	2.11	1.96 or lower	1.97 to 2.01	2.02 to 2.05	2.06 or high
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	2.05	1.89 or lower	1.90 to 1.95	1.96 to 2.00	2.01 or high
Math - Average Proficiency Rating					
Self-Contained	2.26	1.90 or lower	1.91 to 2.01	2.02 to 2.10	2.11 or high
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.56	2.29 or lower	2.30 to 2.42	2.43 to 2.51	2.52 or high
SETSS	2.92	2.32 or lower	2.33 to 2.49	2.50 to 2.61	2.62 or high
ELL	2.89	2.53 or lower	2.54 to 2.71	2.72 to 2.84	2.85 or high
Lowest Third Citywide	2.02	1.94 or lower	1.95 to 2.01	2.02 to 2.06	2.07 or high
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.98	1.90 or lower	1.91 to 1.98	1.99 to 2.03	2.04 or high
ELL Progress	68.4%	53.1% or lower	53.2% to 61.9%	62.0% to 68.4%	68.5% or hig

*To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below and have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Tar		
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	91.5%	75.3% or lower	75.4% to 81.3%	81.4% to 85.6%	85.7% or hig		
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.36	0.16 or lower	0.17 to 0.26	0.27 to 0.33	0.34 or high		

* If the participation in state tests is low, the targets may be adjusted to reflect the students at the school that actually take the tests.

22K206 h E Lamb

Target

igher igher higher

nigher nigher higher

nigher nigher nigher nigher

nigher

nigher

arget

igher igher igher

igher

igher

igher

igher

igher

igher

igher

igher igher

nigher

arget igher

gher