Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.

Section	Section Rating	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	ExceedingTarget
Rigorous Instruction	N/A				
Collaborative Teachers	N/A				
Supportive Environment	N/A				
Effective School Leadership	N/A				
Strong Family-Community Ties	N/A				
Trust	N/A				
Student Achievement	N/A				
		1	2	3	4 4.99

Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: N/A

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at http://schoolqualityreports.nyc

04M013

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015	
Grade 6	56	-	-	
Grade 7	62	45	-	
Grade 8	71	62	37	
All students	189	107	37	

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	13%	14%	11%
% Free Lunch Eligible	84%	84%	87%
% Student with IEPs	33%	39%	38%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	13%	14%	8%
% HRA Eligible	-	67%	70%
% Temporary Housing	-	26%	22%
% Asian	2%	1%	0%
% Black	33%	37%	43%
% Hispanic	62%	58%	54%
% White	1%	2%	0%
% Other	0%	2%	3%
Average Incoming ELA Proficiency	2.55	2.22	2.20
Average Incoming Math Proficiency	2.84	2.34	2.32

04M013 J.H.S. 013 Jackie Robinson

CtAG Additional Points

Overall Student Achievement Score

Student Achievement Rating Student Achievement Score

	2014-15 Targets								
Student Achievement Metrics		2014-15	Bottom of	Approaching	Meeting	Exceeding	Top of		
	n	School Value	Target Range	Target	Target	Target	Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA									
Average Student Proficiency	33	2.17	1.85	2.03	2.13	2.25	2.52	3.33	9.80%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	33	9.1%	0.0%	4.2%	7.3%	10.8%	19.3%	3.51	9.80%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	30	60.5	50.5	55.6	63.0	67.9	76.7	2.66	9.80%
 Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third 	15	64.0	68.5	73.4	80.0	84.5	92.3	1.00	9.80%
State Test Results - Math									
Average Student Proficiency	34	2.13	1.75	1.96	2.08	2.22	2.55	3.36	9.80%
O Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	34	2.9%	0.0%	3.8%	6.6%	9.7%	19.4%	1.76	9.80%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	31	88.0	41.9	49.0	59.3	66.1	78.4	4.99	9.80%
 Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third 	15	93.0	58.5	64.6	73.5	79.4	89.9	4.99	9.80%
Core Course Pass Rates									
ELA	32	84.4%	58.7%	70.7%	79.3%	89.0%	100.0%	3.53	1.96%
Math	32	81.3%	57.9%	70.2%	79.0%	88.8%	100.0%	3.23	1.96%
• Science	32	90.6%	59.5%	71.4%	79.8%	89.3%	100.0%	4.12	1.96%
Social Studies	32	87.5%	55.2%	68.3%	77.7%	88.1%	100.0%	3.94	1.96%
O Percent of 8th Graders Earning HS Credit	32	0.0%	0.0%	4.9%	8.5%	12.5%	23.3%	1.00	3.92%
9th Grade Adjusted Credit Accumulation of Former 8th Graders	49	72.0%	57.0%	68.0%	75.0%	84.0%	94.0%	2.57	9.80%
								Weighted Average Score	

n 7 4	2014-15 School Population % 21.2% 12.1%	Population % of Range 100.0% 66.5% 0.0%	2014-15 School Value 14.3%	Bottom of Target Range 0.0% 0.0%	Approaching Target 0.4% 1.6%	Meeting Target 0.8% 3.2%	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible 0.030	Extra Points Earned 0.030
n 7 4	Population % 21.2%	of Range 100.0% 66.5%	School Value	Target Range	Target 0.4%	Target	Target	Target Range		Possible	Earned
4		66.5%	14.3%			0.8%	1.3%	2.2%	4.99	0.030	0.030
4		66.5%	14.3%						4.99	0.030	0.030
·	12.1%			0.0%	1.6%	2 20/	E 40/				
		0.0%			1.070	5.270	5.1%	8.4%		0.030	0.000
				0.0%	2.6%	5.2%	8.2%	13.6%		0.030	0.000
•											
8	23.5%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%	1.4%	2.3%	3.8%	1.00	0.030	0.000
4	11.8%	65.2%		0.0%	2.4%	4.8%	7.6%	12.6%		0.030	0.000
		0.0%		0.0%	3.3%	6.6%	10.4%	17.2%		0.030	0.000
8	26.7%	59.7%	12.5%	17.2%	27.0%	36.6%	47.8%	68.0%	1.00	0.030	0.000
17	56.7%	73.2%	35.3%	31.5%	39.4%	47.2%	56.3%	72.7%	1.48	0.030	0.004
10	33.3%	74.5%	30.0%	29.6%	38.0%	46.2%	55.9%	73.2%	1.05	0.030	0.000
10	33.3%	84.8%	40.0%	35.0%	42.9%	50.8%	60.0%	76.4%	1.63	0.030	0.005
8	25.8%	53.2%	100.0%	12.4%	22.8%	33.0%	45.0%	66.4%	4.99	0.030	0.030
20	64.5%	79.5%	90.0%	24.4%	34.1%	43.7%	54.9%	75.0%	4.99	0.030	0.030
10	32.3%	72.6%	80.0%	24.1%	34.0%	43.7%	55.1%	75.5%	4.99	0.030	0.030
11	35.5%	93.2%	81.8%	25.8%	34.5%	43.0%	53.1%	71.0%	4.99	0.030	0.030
3	8.3%	23.0%		11.3%	22.8%	34.2%	47.5%	71.3%		0.030	0.000
	8 17 10 10 8 20 10	4 11.8% 8 26.7% 17 56.7% 10 33.3% 10 33.3% 8 25.8% 20 64.5% 10 32.3% 11 35.5%	4 11.8% 65.2% 0.0% 0.0% 8 26.7% 59.7% 17 56.7% 73.2% 10 33.3% 74.5% 10 33.3% 84.8% 8 25.8% 53.2% 20 64.5% 79.5% 10 32.3% 72.6% 11 35.5% 93.2%	4 11.8% 65.2% 0.0% 0.0% 8 26.7% 59.7% 12.5% 17 56.7% 73.2% 35.3% 10 33.3% 74.5% 30.0% 10 33.3% 84.8% 40.0% 8 25.8% 53.2% 100.0% 20 64.5% 79.5% 90.0% 10 32.3% 72.6% 80.0% 11 35.5% 93.2% 81.8%	4 11.8% 65.2% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 8 26.7% 59.7% 12.5% 17.2% 17 56.7% 73.2% 35.3% 31.5% 10 33.3% 74.5% 30.0% 29.6% 10 33.3% 84.8% 40.0% 35.0% 8 25.8% 53.2% 100.0% 12.4% 20 64.5% 79.5% 90.0% 24.4% 10 32.3% 72.6% 80.0% 24.1% 11 35.5% 93.2% 81.8% 25.8%	4 11.8% 65.2% 0.0% 2.4% 0.0% 0.0% 3.3% 8 26.7% 59.7% 12.5% 17.2% 27.0% 17 56.7% 73.2% 35.3% 31.5% 39.4% 10 33.3% 74.5% 30.0% 29.6% 38.0% 10 33.3% 84.8% 40.0% 35.0% 42.9% 8 25.8% 53.2% 100.0% 12.4% 22.8% 20 64.5% 79.5% 90.0% 24.4% 34.1% 10 32.3% 72.6% 80.0% 24.1% 34.0% 11 35.5% 93.2% 81.8% 25.8% 34.5%	4 11.8% 65.2% 0.0% 2.4% 4.8% 0.0% 0.0% 3.3% 6.6% 8 26.7% 59.7% 12.5% 17.2% 27.0% 36.6% 17 56.7% 73.2% 35.3% 31.5% 39.4% 47.2% 10 33.3% 74.5% 30.0% 29.6% 38.0% 46.2% 10 33.3% 84.8% 40.0% 35.0% 42.9% 50.8% 8 25.8% 53.2% 100.0% 12.4% 22.8% 33.0% 20 64.5% 79.5% 90.0% 24.4% 34.1% 43.7% 10 32.3% 72.6% 80.0% 24.1% 34.0% 43.7% 11 35.5% 93.2% 81.8% 25.8% 34.5% 43.0%	4 11.8% 65.2% 0.0% 2.4% 4.8% 7.6% 0.0% 0.0% 3.3% 6.6% 10.4% 8 26.7% 59.7% 12.5% 17.2% 27.0% 36.6% 47.8% 17 56.7% 73.2% 35.3% 31.5% 39.4% 47.2% 56.3% 10 33.3% 74.5% 30.0% 29.6% 38.0% 46.2% 55.9% 10 33.3% 84.8% 40.0% 35.0% 42.9% 50.8% 60.0% 8 25.8% 53.2% 100.0% 12.4% 22.8% 33.0% 45.0% 20 64.5% 79.5% 90.0% 24.4% 34.1% 43.7% 54.9% 10 32.3% 72.6% 80.0% 24.1% 34.0% 43.7% 55.1% 11 35.5% 93.2% 81.8% 25.8% 34.5% 43.0% 53.1%	4 11.8% 65.2% 0.0% 2.4% 4.8% 7.6% 12.6% 0.0% 0.0% 3.3% 6.6% 10.4% 17.2% 8 26.7% 59.7% 12.5% 17.2% 27.0% 36.6% 47.8% 68.0% 17 56.7% 73.2% 35.3% 31.5% 39.4% 47.2% 56.3% 72.7% 10 33.3% 74.5% 30.0% 29.6% 38.0% 46.2% 55.9% 73.2% 10 33.3% 84.8% 40.0% 35.0% 42.9% 50.8% 60.0% 76.4% 8 25.8% 53.2% 100.0% 12.4% 22.8% 33.0% 45.0% 66.4% 20 64.5% 79.5% 90.0% 24.4% 34.1% 43.7% 54.9% 75.0% 10 32.3% 72.6% 80.0% 24.1% 34.0% 43.7% 55.1% 75.5% 11 35.5% 93.2% 81.8% 25.8% 34.5% 43.0% 53.1% 71.0%	4 11.8% 65.2% 0.0% 2.4% 4.8% 7.6% 12.6% 0.0% 0.0% 3.3% 6.6% 10.4% 17.2% 8 26.7% 59.7% 12.5% 17.2% 27.0% 36.6% 47.8% 68.0% 1.00 17 56.7% 73.2% 35.3% 31.5% 39.4% 47.2% 56.3% 72.7% 1.48 10 33.3% 74.5% 30.0% 29.6% 38.0% 46.2% 55.9% 73.2% 1.05 10 33.3% 84.8% 40.0% 35.0% 42.9% 50.8% 60.0% 76.4% 1.63 8 25.8% 53.2% 100.0% 12.4% 22.8% 33.0% 45.0% 66.4% 4.99 20 64.5% 79.5% 90.0% 24.4% 34.1% 43.7% 54.9% 75.0% 4.99 10 32.3% 72.6% 80.0% 24.1% 34.0% 43.7% 55.1% 75.5% 4.99 11 35.5% 93.2% 81.8% 25.8% 34.5% <t< td=""><td>4 11.8% 65.2% 0.0% 2.4% 4.8% 7.6% 12.6% 0.030 0.0% 0.0% 3.3% 6.6% 10.4% 17.2% 0.030 8 26.7% 59.7% 12.5% 17.2% 27.0% 36.6% 47.8% 68.0% 1.00 0.030 17 56.7% 73.2% 35.3% 31.5% 39.4% 47.2% 56.3% 72.7% 1.48 0.030 10 33.3% 74.5% 30.0% 29.6% 38.0% 46.2% 55.9% 73.2% 1.05 0.030 10 33.3% 84.8% 40.0% 35.0% 42.9% 50.8% 60.0% 76.4% 1.63 0.030 20 64.5% 79.5% 90.0% 24.4% 34.1% 43.7% 54.9% 75.0% 4.99 0.030 10 32.3% 72.6% 80.0% 24.1% 34.0% 43.7% 55.1% 75.5% 4.99 0.030 10 32.3% 72.6% 80.0% 24.1% 34.0% 43.7% 55.1% 75.5%</td></t<>	4 11.8% 65.2% 0.0% 2.4% 4.8% 7.6% 12.6% 0.030 0.0% 0.0% 3.3% 6.6% 10.4% 17.2% 0.030 8 26.7% 59.7% 12.5% 17.2% 27.0% 36.6% 47.8% 68.0% 1.00 0.030 17 56.7% 73.2% 35.3% 31.5% 39.4% 47.2% 56.3% 72.7% 1.48 0.030 10 33.3% 74.5% 30.0% 29.6% 38.0% 46.2% 55.9% 73.2% 1.05 0.030 10 33.3% 84.8% 40.0% 35.0% 42.9% 50.8% 60.0% 76.4% 1.63 0.030 20 64.5% 79.5% 90.0% 24.4% 34.1% 43.7% 54.9% 75.0% 4.99 0.030 10 32.3% 72.6% 80.0% 24.1% 34.0% 43.7% 55.1% 75.5% 4.99 0.030 10 32.3% 72.6% 80.0% 24.1% 34.0% 43.7% 55.1% 75.5%

[•] Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

[•] Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

04M013

J.H.S. 013 Jackie Robinson

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
igorous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1			
Quality Review 1.2			
Quality Review 2.2			
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	71%	2.64	
Section Rating:	Section Sco	re:	
ollaborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2			
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	81%	2.96	
Costion Datings	Section Sco		
Section Rating:	Section Sco	re:	
unnartiva Environment			
upportive Environment Quality Review 3.4			
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	89%	3.80	
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance	6370	3.00	
EMS	75.0%	4.16	
HS	73.070	4.10	
Overall	75.0%	4.16	
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive	73.070	20	
environments			
EMS	0.78	4.67	
HS	0.70		
Overall	0.78	4.67	
Section Rating:	Section Sco	re:	
fective School Leadership			
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	79%	2.88	
Section Rating:	Section Sco	re:	
rong Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	90%	4.68	
Section Rating:	Section Sco	re:	
rust			
NYC School Survey - Trust	85%	3.32	
Section Rating:	Section Sco	re:	



J.H.S. 013 Jackie Robinson

			City				
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
igorous Instruction							
Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	88	79.4	91.4	100.0	0.39	2.56
Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	25	68.9	87.1	100.0	0.00	1.00
Course clarity	Students	92	81.3	89.7	98.1	0.66	3.64
Quality of student discussion	Teachers	80	53.2	78.4	100.0	0.57	3.28
ection Results:		71%					2.64
allah ayatiya Tasah aya							
ollaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:	T I	00	0.4.5	0.4.4	400.0	0.25	
Cultural awareness	Teachers	88	84.5	94.1	100.0	0.25	
Cultural awareness	Parents	100	87.1	93.3	99.5	1.00	
Cultural awareness	Students	89	70.6	84.2	97.8	0.68	0.70
Cultural awareness	Combined	92	04.7	02.2	400.0	0.64	3.56
Inclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	75	81.7	93.3	100.0	0.00	1.00
Quality of professional development	Teachers	48	54.0	77.4	100.0	0.00	1.00
School commitment	Teachers	50	59.7	84.3	100.0	0.00	1.00
Innovation	Teachers	100	65.8	85.2	100.0	1.00	4.99
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	100	86.6	95.8	100.0	1.00	4.99
Peer collaboration	Teachers	94	76.7	91.9	100.0	0.73	3.92
Focus on student learning	Teachers	70	68.4	88.4	100.0	0.05	1.20
Collective responsibility	Teachers	100	57.5	82.3	100.0	1.00	4.99
ection Results:		81%					2.96
upportive Environment							
Safety:							
Safety	Teachers	0.5	67.5	00.0	00.0	0.50	
Safety	Students	85	67.5	82.9	98.3	0.58	2.22
Safety	Combined	85				0.58	3.32
Classroom behavior:							
Classroom behavior	Teachers						
Classroom behavior	Students	86	63.4	79.2	95.0	0.71	
Classroom behavior	Combined	86				0.71	3.84
Social-emotional measure	Teachers	100	84.7	95.3	100.0	1.00	4.99
Peer interactions	Students	83	67.5	80.7	93.9	0.60	3.40
Next-level guidance	Students	92	76.9	88.3	99.7	0.66	3.64
Press toward academic achievement:							
Press toward academic achievement	Teachers						
Press toward academic achievement	Students	90	80.6	88.2	95.8	0.65	
riess toward academic acmevement						0.65	2.00
Press toward academic achievement	Combined	90				0.05	3.60
	Combined Students	90 92	74.1	85.5	96.9	0.80	
Press toward academic achievement			74.1	85.5	96.9		
Press toward academic achievement Personal attention and support			74.1	85.5	96.9		
Press toward academic achievement Personal attention and support Peer support for academic work:	Students		74.1 76.8	85.5 88.6	96.9		
Press toward academic achievement Personal attention and support Peer support for academic work: Peer support for academic work	Students Teachers	92				0.80	
Press toward academic achievement Personal attention and support Peer support for academic work: Peer support for academic work Peer support for academic work	Students Teachers Parents	92 94	76.8	88.6	100.0	0.80	3.60 4.20 3.44

04M013

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

			City Range				
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	93	82.1	90.7	99.3	0.63	3.52
Teacher influence	Teachers	64	34.5	67.1	99.7	0.45	2.80
Program coherence	Teachers	83	60.8	85.2	100.0	0.57	3.28
 Principal instructional leadership 	Teachers	75	67.2	88.0	100.0	0.24	1.96
Section Results:	reactions	79%	07.2	00.0	100.0	0.21	2.88
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	97	79.9	92.5	100.0	0.85	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	100	81.6	90.6	99.6	1.00	
 Teacher outreach to parents 	Combined	98				0.92	4.68
 Parent involvement in the schools 	Parents	82	47.1	66.3	85.5	0.92	4.68
Section Results:		90%					4.68
Trust							
 Parent-teacher trust 	Parents	98	88.9	94.3	99.7	0.86	4.44
 Parent-principal trust 	Parents	100	88.6	94.8	100.0	1.00	4.99
Student-teacher trust	Students	80	69.2	82.0	94.8	0.43	2.72
 Teacher-principal trust 	Teachers	58	63.2	87.4	100.0	0.00	1.00
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	90	74.2	90.6	100.0	0.61	3.44
Section Results:		85%					3.32

Targets for 2015-16

J.H.S. 013 Jackie Robinson

04M013

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Targe			
State Test Results - ELA*								
Average Student Proficiency	2.17							
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.87							
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	9.1%							
State Test Results - Math*								
Average Student Proficiency	2.13							
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.91							
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	2.9%							
Core Course Pass Rates								
ELA	84.4%							
Math	81.3%							
Science	90.6%							
Social Studies	87.5%							
Percent of 8th Graders Earning HS Credit	0.0%							
9th Grade Adjusted Credit Accumulation of Former 8th Graders	72.0%	72.9% or lower	73.0% to 77.9%	78.0% to 80.9%	81.0% or highe			
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15		2015-16	Targets				
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Targe			
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating								
Self-Contained	2.09							
Integrated Co-Teaching								
SETSS								
ELL	2.07							
ELL Lowest Third Citywide	2.07 1.93							
Lowest Third Citywide	1.93							
Lowest Third Citywide Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.93							
Lowest Third Citywide Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide Math - Average Proficiency Rating	1.93 1.88							
Lowest Third Citywide Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide Math - Average Proficiency Rating Self-Contained	1.93 1.88							
Lowest Third Citywide Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide Math - Average Proficiency Rating Self-Contained Integrated Co-Teaching	1.93 1.88							
Lowest Third Citywide Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide Math - Average Proficiency Rating Self-Contained Integrated Co-Teaching SETSS	1.93 1.88 1.92							
Lowest Third Citywide Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide Math - Average Proficiency Rating Self-Contained Integrated Co-Teaching SETSS ELL	1.93 1.88 1.92							

^{*}To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	75.0%						
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.78						

^{*} If the participation in state tests is low, the targets may be adjusted to reflect the students at the school that actually take the tests.