2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

Summary of Section Ratings

Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.

Section	Section Rating	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	ExceedingTarget
Rigorous Instruction	Approaching Target		2.32		
Collaborative Teachers	Approaching Target		2.8	84	
Supportive Environment	Approaching Target		2.68		
Effective School Leadership	Approaching Target			2.96	
Strong Family-Community Ties	Approaching Target		2.16		
Trust	Meeting Target			3.64	
Student Achievement	Approaching Target		2.74		
		1	2	3	4 4.99

Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Focus

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at <u>http://schoolqualityreports.nyc</u>

P.S. 021 Philip H. Sheridan

2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	126	128	141
Grade 1	131	111	131
Grade 2	141	121	100
Grade 3	138	115	113
Grade 4	129	117	113
Grade 5	146	104	109
All students	811	696	707

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	5%	6%	6%
% Free Lunch Eligible	81%	81%	85%
% Student with IEPs	18%	18%	21%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	10%	9%	10%
% HRA Eligible	-	74%	65%
% Temporary Housing	-	15%	15%
% Asian	2%	2%	2%
% Black	71%	71%	72%
% Hispanic	26%	25%	23%
% White	0%	1%	1%
% Other	0%	1%	2%

11X021 P.S. 021 Philip H. Sheridan

2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score									
Approaching Target	2.74									
					2	2014-15 Target	5			
Student Achievement Metrics		n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	 Top of Target Range 	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA										
Average Student Proficiency		322	2.23	1.91	2.20	2.40	2.64	2.95	2.15	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4		322	14.3%	0.0%	12.8%	22.0%	32.5%	46.3%	2.16	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile		180	58.5	47.1	54.7	61.3	66.0	77.0	2.58	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third		67	73.0	58.2	66.6	73.9	79.0	91.1	2.88	9.09%
Early Grade Progress		109	1.48	0.11	1.00	1.76	2.30	3.57	2.63	9.09%
State Test Results - Math										
Average Student Proficiency		321	2.31	1.77	2.22	2.55	2.92	3.39	2.27	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4		321	17.1%	0.0%	16.5%	28.3%	41.8%	59.2%	2.05	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile		184	58.0	35.9	48.6	59.6	67.3	85.6	2.85	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third		70	71.0	51.2	61.8	71.0	77.5	92.8	3.00	9.09%
Early Grade Progress		110	1.92	0.02	1.31	2.43	3.21	5.07	2.54	9.09%
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students		94	88.2%	67.0%	76.8%	83.3%	90.7%	100.0%	3.66	9.09%
									Weighted Average Score	2.62

						2	2014-15 Targets	S	_			
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics			•	2014-15	Bottom of	Approaching	Meeting	Exceeding	Top of		Extra Points	Extra Points
	n	Population %	of Range	School Value	Target Range	Target	Target	Target	Target Range	Metric Score	Possible	Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4	20	11.00/	FO 10/	0.0%	0.0%	1 10/	1.00/	2 70/	4.60/	4 00	0.020	0.000
• Self-Contained	38	11.8%	58.1%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	13	4.0%	20.3%	0.0%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%	0 70	0.030	0.000
SETSS	12	3.7%	35.2%	8.3%	0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%	3.78	0.030	0.021
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	37	11.5%	57.2%	5.4%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%	3.09	0.030	0.016
Integrated Co-Teaching	12	3.7%	18.9%	16.7%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%		0.030	0.000
o SETSS	12	3.7%	35.6%	0.0%	0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%	1.00	0.030	0.000
FLA Demonstrat 75th Consult Demonstile												
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile	F	2.00/	6.0%	20.0%	10 70/	26.0%	26.29/	46 49/	60.0%		0.020	0.000
ELL	5	2.8%	6.0%	20.0% 41.8%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9% 74.4%	2.20	0.030	
Lowest Third Citywide	91	50.6%	73.4%		28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%		2.36	0.030	0.010
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	54	30.0%	75.9%	40.7%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%	2.46	0.030	0.011
SC/ICT/SETSS	40	22.2%	52.4%	50.0%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	3.53	0.030	0.019
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	8	4.3%	8.8%	50.0%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	101	54.9%	75.4%	36.6%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%	2.40	0.030	0.011
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	49	26.6%	69.3%	42.9%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%	3.15	0.030	0.016
SC/ICT/SETSS	42	22.8%	55.0%	38.1%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	2.79	0.030	0.013
ELL Progress	43	6.1%	15.5%	53.5%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%		0.030	0.000
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.12
									Overa	ll Student Achie	vement Score	2.74

• Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

• Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

11X021 P.S. 021 Philip H. Sheridan

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

```
11X021
```

P.S. 021 Philip H. Sheridan

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
orous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Developing	2.00	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Developing	2.00	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Developing	2.00	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	88%	2.96	34%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.32	
aborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2	Developing	2.00	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	91%	3.68	50%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.84	
portive Environment			
Quality Review 3.4	Proficient	3.40	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	86%	2.68	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance	0070	2.00	3370
EMS	60.9%	1.84	
HS			
Overall	60.9%	1.84	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive		-	
environments			
EMS	0.29	3.40	
HS			
Overall	0.29	3.40	5%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.68	
ctive School Leadership			
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	76%	2.96	100%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.96	
ng Family Community Tics			
ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	79%	2.16	100%
NTC SCHOOLSULVEY - SCONG FAILING-COMMUNITY LIES	1370	2.10	100%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.16	
st			
NYC School Survey - Trust	93%	3.64	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.64	

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

11X021 P.S. 021 Philip H. Sheridan

				City Range			
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rigorous Instruction							
 Common Core shifts in literacy 	Teachers	97	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.78	4.12
Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	93	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.55	3.20
Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
 Quality of student discussion 	Teachers	73	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.14	1.56
Section Results:		88%					2.96
Collaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers	93	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.51	
Cultural awareness	Parents	91	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.50	
Cultural awareness	Students		68.6	87.4	100.0		
Cultural awareness	Combined	92				0.50	3.00
 Inclusive classroom instruction 	Teachers	95	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.75	4.00
Quality of professional development	Teachers	82	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.64	3.56
School commitment	Teachers	90	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.76	4.04
Innovation	Teachers	91	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.68	3.72
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	96	87.9	95.9	100.0	0.75	4.00
Peer collaboration	Teachers	93	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.69	3.76
 Focus on student learning 	Teachers	94	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.81	4.24
Collective responsibility	Teachers	82	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.48	2.92
Section Results:		91%					3.68
Supportive Environment							
Safety:		0.0	00.0	04.6	400.0	0.00	
Safety	Teachers	86	80.0	94.6	100.0	0.30	
Safety	Students	0.0	74.5	88.5	100.0	0.00	
Safety	Combined	86				0.30	2.20
Classroom behavior:		70	66 Q	05.5	400.0	0.07	
Classroom behavior	Teachers	76	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.27	
Classroom behavior	Students	70	67.3	84.3	100.0	0.07	2.00
Classroom behavior	Combined	76	00.0	06.6	100.0	0.27	2.08
Social-emotional measure	Teachers	99	89.0	96.6	100.0	0.95	4.80
Peer interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0		
Next-level guidance	Students						
Press toward academic achievement:	T	05	75.0	00.0	100.0	0.00	
Press toward academic achievement	Teachers	85	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.38	
Press toward academic achievement	Students	<u>-</u>	85.3	91.9	98.5	0.00	2.52
Press toward academic achievement	Combined	85	77.0	00.6	100.0	0.38	2.52
Personal attention and support	Students		77.8	89.6	100.0		
Peer support for academic work:	Tanakasi	60	70 5	04 5	100.0	0.46	
Peer support for academic work	Teachers	80	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.16	
Peer support for academic work	Parents	86	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.25	
Peer support for academic work	Students	0.2	50.4	73.8	97.2	0.21	1 0 4
• Peer support for academic work	Combined	83				0.21	1.84
Section Results:		86%					2.68

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

```
11X021
P.S. 021 Philip H. Sheridan
```

		City Damag						
				City Range				
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score	
Effective School Leadership								
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	87	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.36	2.44	
• Teacher influence	Teachers	39	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.17	1.68	
 Program coherence 	Teachers	91	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.78	4.12	
Principal instructional leadership	Teachers	87	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.66	3.64	
Section Results:		76%					2.96	
Strong Family Community Ties								
Teacher outreach to parents:								
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	95	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.75		
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	84	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.00		
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	90				0.38	2.52	
 Parent involvement in the schools 	Parents	68	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.20	1.80	
Section Results:		79%					2.16	
Trust								
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	90	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.50	3.00	
Parent-principal trust	Parents	92	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.51	3.04	
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0			
 Teacher-principal trust 	Teachers	93	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.83	4.32	
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	95	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.80	4.20	
Section Results:		93%					3.64	

2014-15 School Quality Reports / ES

Targets for 2015-16

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
State Test Results - ELA*							
Average Student Proficiency	2.23	2.21 or lower	2.22 to 2.31	2.32 to 2.39	2.40 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.86	1.82 or lower	1.83 to 1.94	1.95 to 2.03	2.04 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	14.3%	12.6% or lower	12.7% to 18.1%	18.2% to 22.3%	22.4% or higher		
State Test Results - Math*							
Average Student Proficiency	2.31	2.29 or lower	2.30 to 2.45	2.46 to 2.56	2.57 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.91	1.80 or lower	1.81 to 1.97	1.98 to 2.10	2.11 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	17.1%	17.6% or lower	17.7% to 24.9%	25.0% to 30.3%	30.4% or higher		
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	88.2%	84.9% or lower	85.0% to 88.7%	88.8% to 91.6%	91.7% or higher		
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15		2015-16 Targets				
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained	1.71	1.70 or lower	1.71 to 1.79	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 or higher		
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.00	1.84 or lower	1.85 to 1.95	1.96 to 2.03	2.04 or higher		
SETSS	2.03	1.87 or lower	1.88 to 1.99	2.00 to 2.08	2.09 or higher		
ELL	1.96	1.98 or lower	1.99 to 2.12	2.13 to 2.23	2.24 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide	1.92	1.87 or lower	1.88 to 1.94	1.95 to 1.99	2.00 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.90	1.84 or lower	1.85 to 1.91	1.92 to 1.99	2.00 or higher		
Math - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained	1.98	1.77 or lower	1.78 to 1.91	1.92 to 2.01	2.02 or higher		
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.21	1.93 or lower	1.94 to 2.11	2.12 to 2.24	2.25 or higher		
SETSS	1.95	1.98 or lower	1.99 to 2.16	2.17 to 2.29	2.30 or higher		
ELL	2.20	2.06 or lower	2.07 to 2.25	2.26 to 2.40	2.41 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide	1.95	1.86 or lower	1.87 to 1.94	1.95 to 2.00	2.01 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.95	1.84 or lower	1.85 to 1.93	1.94 to 2.00	2.01 or higher		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

*To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	60.9%	57.9% or lower	58.0% to 64.8%	64.9% to 69.9%	70.0% or higher		
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.29	0.14 or lower	0.15 to 0.22	0.23 to 0.28	0.29 or higher		