2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

Summary of Section Ratings

Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.

Section	Section Rating	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	ExceedingTarget
Rigorous Instruction	Meeting Target			3.44	
Collaborative Teachers	Meeting Target			3.60	
Supportive Environment	Meeting Target			3.32	
Effective School Leadership	Meeting Target			3.20	
Strong Family-Community Ties	Meeting Target			3.12	
Trust	Exceeding Target				4.12
Student Achievement	Approaching Target		2.65		
		1	2	3	4.99

Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Good Standing

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at http://schoolqualityreports.nyc

P.S. 214 Michael Friedsam

2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	145	128	141
Grade 1	144	154	137
Grade 2	151	137	154
Grade 3	171	153	137
Grade 4	148	167	144
Grade 5	141	144	165
All students	1002	991	986

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	17%	16%	15%
% Free Lunch Eligible	84%	84%	84%
% Student with IEPs	14%	17%	17%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	6%	8%	8%
% HRA Eligible	-	74%	65%
% Temporary Housing	-	8%	9%
% Asian	46%	50%	50%
% Black	18%	13%	13%
% Hispanic	34%	36%	36%
% White	1%	1%	2%
% Other	0%	0%	1%

19K214 P.S. 214 Michael Friedsam

2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score									
Approaching Target	2.65									
					2	2014-15 Target	5			
Student Achievement Metrics		n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	 Top of Target Range 	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA										
• Average Student Proficiency		423	2.36	2.15	2.40	2.53	2.69	2.93	1.84	9.09%
 Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4 		423	20.8%	10.8%	21.8%	28.6%	36.4%	47.3%	1.91	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile		278	62.0	50.5	57.0	62.6	66.4	75.9	2.89	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	I	97	71.0	58.2	65.9	72.5	77.1	88.2	2.77	9.09%
• Early Grade Progress		130	1.38	0.93	1.53	2.01	2.35	3.18	1.75	9.09%
State Test Results - Math										
Average Student Proficiency		430	2.57	2.22	2.55	2.74	2.96	3.29	2.11	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4		430	31.6%	12.8%	27.6%	37.3%	48.3%	62.8%	2.41	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile		282	58.0	46.0	55.2	62.9	68.2	81.0	2.36	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	I	96	65.5	54.6	63.3	70.8	76.0	88.6	2.29	9.09%
Early Grade Progress		130	2.15	0.76	1.73	2.53	3.09	4.46	2.53	9.09%
 MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students 		126	93.4%	74.4%	81.6%	86.8%	92.7%	100.0%	4.10	9.09%
									Weighted Average Score	2.45

						2	014-15 Targets	5	_			
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics			Population %	2014-15	Bottom of	Approaching	Meeting	Exceeding	Top of		Extra Points	Extra Points
closing the Achievement dap (ctAd) Methes	n	Population %	of Range	School Value	Target Range	Target	Target	Target	Target Range	Metric Score	Possible	Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
 Self-Contained 	36	8.5%	41.9%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
 Integrated Co-Teaching 	36	8.5%	43.1%	13.9%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%	4.71	0.030	0.028
SETSS	5	1.2%	11.4%	20.0%	0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%		0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	36	8.4%	41.8%	5.6%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%	3.18	0.030	0.016
 Integrated Co-Teaching 	36	8.4%	42.9%	19.4%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%	4.08	0.030	0.023
SETSS	5	1.2%	11.5%	20.0%	0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%		0.030	0.000
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
O ELL	54	19.4%	41.5%	22.2%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%	1.71	0.030	0.005
Lowest Third Citywide	112	40.3%	57.9%	44.6%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%	2.70	0.030	0.013
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	35	12.6%	31.9%	42.9%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%	2.69	0.030	0.013
SC/ICT/SETSS	49	17.6%	39.3%	55.1%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	4.02	0.030	0.023
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
O ELL	61	21.6%	44.4%	21.3%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%	1.93	0.030	0.007
Lowest Third Citywide	104	36.9%	50.7%	41.3%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%	2.85	0.030	0.014
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	30	10.6%	27.6%	40.0%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%	2.90	0.030	0.014
SC/ICT/SETSS	48	17.0%	38.3%	50.0%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	3.88	0.030	0.022
ELL Progress	132	15.1%	38.3%	64.4%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%	3.92	0.030	0.022
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.20
									Overa	ll Student Achie	vement Score	2.65

• Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

• Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

19K214 P.S. 214 Michael Friedsam

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

```
19K214
```

P.S. 214 Michael Friedsam

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
orous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Developing	2.00	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	96%	4.40	34%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.44	
· · · - ·			
aborative Teachers		2.40	500/
Quality Review 4.2	Proficient	3.40	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	91%	3.76	50%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.60	
portive Environment			
Quality Review 3.4	Proficient	3.40	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	94%	4.08	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance	J4/0	4.00	5570
EMS	78.0%	2.48	
HS	78.076	2.40	
Overall	78.0%	2.48	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive	70.070	2.40	5070
environments			
EMS	0.17	2.27	
HS	0.17	2.27	
Overall	0.17	2.27	5%
	0127	2.27	070
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.32	
ctive School Leadership		2.20	1000/
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	79%	3.20	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.20	
ong Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	85%	3.12	100%
	0,00	3.12	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.12	
st			
	0.49/	4.12	100%
NYC School Survey - Trust	94%	4.17	
NYC School Survey - Trust	94%	4.12	100%

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

19K214 P.S. 214 Michael Friedsam

				City Range			
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rigorous Instruction			U	, ,		5	
 Common Core shifts in literacy 	Teachers	100	86.4	94.8	100.0	1.00	4.99
Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	98	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.87	4.48
Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
Quality of student discussion	Teachers	90	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.69	3.76
Section Results:		96%					4.40
Collaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers	95	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.75	
Cultural awareness	Parents	94	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.50	
Cultural awareness	Students	57	68.6	87.4	100.0	0.50	
Cultural awareness	Combined	95	00.0	07.4	100.0	0.63	3.52
Inclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	96	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.03	4.00
Quality of professional development	Teachers	71	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.75	2.60
School commitment	Teachers	92	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.40	4.16
School commitment Innovation	Teachers	92	70.3	85.3	100.0	0.79	3.84
	Teachers		87.9	95.9		0.92	4.68
Reflective dialogue		99			100.0		
Peer collaboration	Teachers	91	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.59	3.36
Focus on student learning	Teachers	90	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.67	3.68
Collective responsibility Section Results:	Teachers	90 91%	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.71	3.84 3.76
Supportive Environment Safety:							
Safety	Teachers	100	80.0	94.6	100.0	0.98	
Safety	Students	100	74.5	88.5	100.0	0.90	
	Combined	100	74.5	00.0	100.0	0.98	4.92
Safety Classroom behavior:	Complined	100				0.98	4.92
	Taaabara	00	CC 0	05.5	100.0	0.65	
Classroom behavior	Teachers	89	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.65	
Classroom behavior	Students	00	67.3	84.3	100.0	0.65	2.60
Classroom behavior	Combined	89	00.0	0.0.0	400.0	0.65	3.60
Social-emotional measure	Teachers	95	89.0	96.6	100.0	0.75	4.00
Peer interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0		
Next-level guidance	Students						
Press toward academic achievement:			== 0	00.0	100.0	0.00	
Press toward academic achievement	Teachers	92	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.66	
Press toward academic achievement	Students		85.3	91.9	98.5		
Press toward academic achievement	Combined	92				0.66	3.64
Personal attention and support	Students		77.8	89.6	100.0		
Peer support for academic work:							
Peer support for academic work	Teachers	97	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.87	
Peer support for academic work	Parents	95	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.75	
Peer support for academic work	Students		50.4	73.8	97.2		
Peer support for academic work	Combined	96				0.81	4.24
Section Results:		94%					4.08

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

```
19K214
P.S. 214 Michael Friedsam
```

			City Range				
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	92	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.60	3.40
Teacher influence	Teachers	53	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.38	2.52
Program coherence	Teachers	85	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.63	3.52
Principal instructional leadership	Teachers	84	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.57	3.28
Section Results:		79%					3.20
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	94	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.60	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	92	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.50	
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	93				0.55	3.20
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	77	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.50	3.00
Section Results:		85%					3.12
Trust							
 Parent-teacher trust 	Parents	96	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.75	4.00
 Parent-principal trust 	Parents	95	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.75	4.00
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	86	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.68	3.72
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	99	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.95	4.80
Section Results:		94%					4.12

2014-15 School Quality Reports / ES

Targets for 2015-16

P.S. 214 Michael Friedsam

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15		2015-16	Targets	
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
State Test Results - ELA*					
Average Student Proficiency	2.36	2.24 or lower	2.25 to 2.34	2.35 to 2.42	2.43 or higher
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.93	1.85 or lower	1.86 to 1.97	1.98 to 2.06	2.07 or higher
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	20.8%	13.2% or lower	13.3% to 18.7%	18.8% to 22.8%	22.9% or higher
State Test Results - Math*					
Average Student Proficiency	2.57	2.35 or lower	2.36 to 2.50	2.51 to 2.62	2.63 or higher
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.93	1.83 or lower	1.84 to 2.00	2.01 to 2.13	2.14 or higher
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	31.6%	20.3% or lower	20.4% to 27.6%	27.7% to 33.1%	33.2% or higher
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	93.4%	86.4% or lower	86.5% to 89.9%	90.0% to 92.5%	92.6% or higher
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15	2015-16		Targets	
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating					
Self-Contained	1.82	1.76 or lower	1.77 to 1.85	1.86 to 1.91	1.92 or higher
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.18	1.92 or lower	1.93 to 2.03	2.04 to 2.11	2.12 or higher
SETSS	2.17	1.91 or lower	1.92 to 2.04	2.05 to 2.13	2.14 or higher
ELL	2.08	2.04 or lower	2.05 to 2.18	2.19 to 2.28	2.29 or higher
Lowest Third Citywide	1.96	1.90 or lower	1.91 to 1.97	1.98 to 2.02	2.03 or higher
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.91	1.85 or lower	1.86 to 1.93	1.94 to 1.99	2.00 or higher
Math - Average Proficiency Rating					
Self-Contained	2.14	1.88 or lower	1.89 to 2.02	2.03 to 2.13	2.14 or higher
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.30	2.07 or lower	2.08 to 2.24	2.25 to 2.37	2.38 or higher
SETSS	2.35	1.99 or lower	2.00 to 2.17	2.18 to 2.30	2.31 or higher
ELL	2.21	2.21 or lower	2.22 to 2.40	2.41 to 2.55	2.56 or higher
Lowest Third Citywide	1.95	1.91 or lower	1.92 to 1.99	2.00 to 2.05	2.06 or higher
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.99	1.88 or lower	1.89 to 1.96	1.97 to 2.03	2.04 or higher
ELL Progress	64.4%	43.5% or lower	43.6% to 53.5%	53.6% to 61.0%	61.1% or higher

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

*To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15				
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	78.0%	66.4% or lower	66.5% to 73.2%	73.3% to 78.4%	78.5% or higher
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.17	0.20 or lower	0.21 to 0.31	0.32 to 0.39	0.40 or higher