
P.S. 124 Osmond A Church

Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.



Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Good Standing

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at http://schoolqualityreports.nyc

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	115	120	100
Grade 1	132	143	135
Grade 2	118	146	144
Grade 3	141	139	156
Grade 4	151	148	143
Grade 5	173	154	151
Grade 6	173	181	155
Grade 7	136	180	176
Grade 8	120	137	171
All students	1259	1348	1331

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
9/ English Languago Loarnors	3%	4%	5%
% English Language Learners			
% Free Lunch Eligible	71%	71%	71%
% Student with IEPs	12%	12%	13%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	2%	2%	3%
% HRA Eligible	-	45%	40%
% Temporary Housing	-	5%	5%
% Asian	46%	46%	49%
% Black	28%	27%	25%
% Hispanic	22%	22%	21%
% White	3%	3%	2%
% Other	0%	2%	3%

27Q124 P.S. 124 Osmond A Church

Meeting Target	3.36
Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score

				2	2014-15 Targets	3			
Student Achievement Metrics		2014-15	Bottom of	Approaching	Meeting	Exceeding	Top of	Metric Score	
	n	School Value	Target Range	Target	Target	Target	Target Range		Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA									/
Average Student Proficiency	920	2.64	2.20	2.51	2.75	2.94	3.26	2.54	8.20%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	920	32.5%	10.3%	25.1%	37.3%	47.0%	62.9%	2.61	8.20%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	755	65.0	51.1	57.6	63.1	67.4	76.4	3.44	8.20%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	260	71.0	59.9	66.4	71.9	76.2	85.4	2.84	8.20%
Early Grade Progress	147	1.76	0.89	1.62	2.22	2.69	3.67	2.23	8.20%
State Test Results - Math									
Average Student Proficiency	920	2.86	2.23	2.61	2.90	3.13	3.51	2.86	8.20%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	920	43.3%	13.1%	30.2%	44.1%	55.0%	72.9%	2.94	8.20%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	752	68.0	47.3	55.1	61.8	67.0	77.9	4.09	8.20%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	262	73.5	56.0	63.1	69.2	74.0	84.0	3.90	8.20%
Early Grade Progress	147	2.01	0.89	1.87	2.67	3.29	4.61	2.18	8.20%
Core Course Pass Rates									
ELA	491	99.2%	67.4%	76.9%	84.4%	90.3%	100.0%	4.92	1.64%
Math	491	99.8%	65.9%	75.8%	83.7%	89.8%	100.0%	4.98	1.64%
Science	491	99.8%	68.8%	78.1%	85.2%	90.8%	100.0%	4.98	1.64%
Social Studies	491	99.8%	66.0%	76.0%	83.8%	89.9%	100.0%	4.98	1.64%
Percent of 8th Graders Earning HS Credit	167	35.3%	0.0%	16.8%	30.7%	41.6%	60.2%	3.42	3.28%
9th Grade Adjusted Credit Accumulation of Former 8th Graders	127	93.0%	57.0%	69.0%	79.0%	87.0%	100.0%	4.46	8.20%
								Weighted Average Score	3.23

							_					
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	25	2.7%	15.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%	1.0%	1.6%	2.6%		0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	46	5.0%	27.9%	6.5%	0.0%	3.1%	5.0%	7.4%	12.4%	3.63	0.030	0.020
SETSS	45	4.9%	41.9%	4.4%	0.0%	3.9%	6.3%	9.3%	15.6%	2.21	0.030	0.009
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	25	2.7%	15.3%	0.0%	0.0%	2.1%	3.3%	4.9%	8.2%		0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	46	5.0%	28.2%	6.5%	0.0%	5.2%	8.3%	12.3%	20.6%	2.42	0.030	0.011
SETSS	45	4.9%	42.2%	8.9%	0.0%	6.1%	9.6%	14.4%	24.0%	2.80	0.030	0.014
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	28	3.7%	9.8%	39.3%	14.1%	28.3%	36.6%	47.7%	70.3%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	218	28.9%	45.1%	47.2%	33.6%	43.2%	48.8%	56.2%	71.4%	2.71	0.030	0.013
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	70	9.3%	25.9%	47.1%	29.1%	40.6%	47.4%	56.4%	74.7%	2.96	0.030	0.015
SC/ICT/SETSS	96	12.7%	31.6%	44.8%	30.2%	41.5%	48.2%	57.0%	75.0%	2.49	0.030	0.011
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	31	4.1%	10.4%	41.9%	13.4%	26.8%	34.7%	45.1%	66.4%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	193	25.7%	36.8%	55.4%	28.0%	39.6%	46.4%	55.5%	74.0%	3.99	0.030	0.022
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	63	8.4%	23.3%	47.6%	26.0%	38.8%	46.2%	56.1%	76.4%		0.030	0.000
SC/ICT/SETSS	95	12.6%	31.6%	40.0%	26.0%	36.6%	42.8%	51.1%	68.0%	2.55	0.030	0.012
ELL Progress	62	4.7%	16.4%	58.1%	24.5%	40.7%	50.2%	62.8%	88.5%		0.030	0.000
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.13
									Over	all Student Achie	vement Score	3.36

[•] Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

[•] Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

27Q124 P.S. 124 Osmond A Church

	Mahria Valu-		
and the three times	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
Ovality Paviow 1.1	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 1.1	Developing	2.00	22%
Quality Review 1.2 Quality Review 2.2	Developing	2.00	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	86%	2.40	34%
NTC School Survey - Rigorous Histraction	0070	2.40	34%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.44	
aborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2	Proficient	3.40	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	87%	3.24	50%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.32	
portive Environment Quality Review 3.4	Well Developed	4.99	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	88%	3.36	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance	0070	3.30	3370
EMS	85.9%	2.96	
HS	33.370	2.30	
Overall	85.9%	2.96	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive	3010.1		
environments			
EMS	0.16	1.80	
HS			
Overall	0.16	1.80	5%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.64	
comment naturally in the second of the secon			
ctive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	78%	3.00	100%
Wife School Survey Effective School Leadership	7070	3.00	10070
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.00	
ng Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	80%	2.60	100%
Section Detings Annuacabing Touget	Costian Cosuc.	2.60	
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.60	
t			
NYC School Survey - Trust	90%	3.28	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.28	
Section Rating: Meeting ranger			



P.S. 124 Osmond A Church

				City Range			
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
igorous Instruction			· ·				
Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	89	86.5	94.1	100.0	0.25	2.00
Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	87	81.3	91.9	100.0	0.31	2.24
Course clarity	Students	89	82.2	90.6	99.0	0.42	2.68
Quality of student discussion	Teachers	79	64.9	83.3	100.0	0.41	2.64
ection Results:		86%					2.40
ollaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers	91	86.2	94.8	100.0	0.50	
Cultural awareness	Parents	92	89.5	94.1	98.7	0.50	
Cultural awareness	Students	84	69.6	84.0	98.4	0.51	
Cultural awareness	Combined	89	33.0	00		0.50	3.00
Inclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	90	84.7	94.1	100.0	0.50	3.00
Quality of professional development	Teachers	75	52.2	76.8	100.0	0.48	2.92
School commitment	Teachers	85	60.2	84.6	100.0	0.62	3.48
Innovation	Teachers	84	66.7	84.9	100.0	0.53	3.12
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	90	87.4	95.2	100.0	0.50	3.00
Peer collaboration	Teachers	92	79.2	92.0	100.0	0.59	3.36
Focus on student learning	Teachers	91	67.5	88.5	100.0	0.71	3.84
Collective responsibility	Teachers	86	59.6	82.2	100.0	0.64	3.56
ection Results:	reactions	87%	33.0	02.2	100.0	0.01	3.24
upportive Environment Safety:							
Safety	Teachers						
Safety	Students	87	72.2	86.2	100.0	0.51	
Safety	Combined	87				0.51	3.04
Classroom behavior:							
Classroom behavior	Teachers						
Classroom behavior	Students	83	64.2	80.8	97.4	0.56	
Classroom behavior	Combined	83				0.56	3.24
 Social-emotional measure 	Teachers	98	88.0	96.2	100.0	0.85	4.40
Peer interactions	Students	86	67.8	82.0	96.2	0.65	3.60
Next-level guidance	Students	91	77.9	89.3	100.0	0.61	3.44
Press toward academic achievement:							
Press toward academic achievement	Teachers						
Press toward academic achievement	Students	90	82.4	89.4	96.4	0.56	
Press toward academic achievement	Combined	90				0.56	3.24
Personal attention and support	Students	85	75.7	86.3	96.9	0.44	2.76
Peer support for academic work:							
Peer support for academic work	Teachers						
Peer support for academic work	Parents	92	84.4	92.8	100.0	0.50	
Peer support for academic work	Students	67	45.8	67.0	88.2	0.51	
Peer support for academic work	Combined	80				0.50	3.00
ection Results:		88%					3.36

27Q124

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

				City Range			
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	90	79.5	89.7	99.9	0.52	3.08
Teacher influence	Teachers	55	30.2	60.2	90.2	0.41	2.64
Program coherence	Teachers	77	61.1	85.1	100.0	0.41	2.64
Principal instructional leadership	Teachers	88	63.6	87.0	100.0	0.66	3.64
Section Results:		78%					3.00
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	96	84.4	93.8	100.0	0.75	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	86	83.7	91.1	98.5	0.25	
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	91				0.50	3.00
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	68	59.7	74.7	89.7	0.29	2.16
Section Results:		80%					2.60
Trust							
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	94	90.0	94.6	99.2	0.50	3.00
Parent-principal trust	Parents	91	83.1	92.7	100.0	0.50	3.00
Student-teacher trust	Students	82	67.7	81.9	96.1	0.50	3.00
Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	90	62.0	86.8	100.0	0.74	3.96
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	91	77.3	91.1	100.0	0.61	3.44
Section Results:		90%					3.28

Targets for 2015-16 P.S. 124 Osmond A Church

27Q124

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15		2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
State Test Results - ELA*								
Average Student Proficiency	2.64	2.62 or lower	2.63 to 2.70	2.71 to 2.76	2.77 or higher			
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.08	2.09 or lower	2.10 to 2.20	2.21 to 2.28	2.29 or higher			
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	32.5%	31.8% or lower	31.9% to 36.1%	36.2% to 39.2%	39.3% or higher			
State Test Results - Math*								
Average Student Proficiency	2.86	2.72 or lower	2.73 to 2.84	2.85 to 2.94	2.95 or higher			
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.13	2.07 or lower	2.08 to 2.22	2.23 to 2.33	2.34 or higher			
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	43.3%	35.7% or lower	35.8% to 41.9%	42.0% to 46.4%	46.5% or higher			
Core Course Pass Rates								
ELA	99.2%	75.7% or lower	75.8% to 81.6%	81.7% to 85.9%	86.0% or higher			
Math	99.8%	75.9% or lower	76.0% to 81.7%	81.8% to 86.0%	86.1% or higher			
Science	99.8%	77.1% or lower	77.2% to 82.6%	82.7% to 86.7%	86.8% or higher			
Social Studies	99.8%	72.7% or lower	72.8% to 79.3%	79.4% to 84.9%	85.0% or higher			
Percent of 8th Graders Earning HS Credit	35.3%	21.9% or lower	22.0% to 33.9%	34.0% to 42.6%	42.7% or higher			
9th Grade Adjusted Credit Accumulation of Former 8th Graders	93.0%	83.9% or lower	84.0% to 87.9%	88.0% to 90.9%	91.0% or higher			

Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15		2015-16 Targets					
=	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating								
Self-Contained	1.87	1.80 or lower	1.81 to 1.87	1.88 to 1.92	1.93 or higher			
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.09	2.02 or lower	2.03 to 2.11	2.12 to 2.17	2.18 or higher			
SETSS	2.10	2.03 or lower	2.04 to 2.15	2.16 to 2.24	2.25 or higher			
ELL	2.18	2.24 or lower	2.25 to 2.37	2.38 to 2.46	2.47 or higher			
Lowest Third Citywide	2.04	1.98 or lower	1.99 to 2.03	2.04 to 2.07	2.08 or higher			
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.99	1.91 or lower	1.92 to 1.97	1.98 to 2.02	2.03 or higher			
Math - Average Proficiency Rating								
Self-Contained	1.97	1.81 or lower	1.82 to 1.92	1.93 to 2.01	2.02 or higher			
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.05	2.09 or lower	2.10 to 2.22	2.23 to 2.31	2.32 or higher			
SETSS	2.16	2.06 or lower	2.07 to 2.23	2.24 to 2.35	2.36 or higher			
ELL	2.44	2.42 or lower	2.43 to 2.60	2.61 to 2.73	2.74 or higher			
Lowest Third Citywide	2.10	1.94 or lower	1.95 to 2.01	2.02 to 2.06	2.07 or higher			
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	2.04	1.89 or lower	1.90 to 1.97	1.98 to 2.02	2.03 or higher			
ELL Progress	58.1%	48.7% or lower	48.8% to 57.6%	57.7% to 64.0%	64.1% or higher			

^{*}To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	85.9%	72.1% or lower	72.2% to 78.9%	79.0% to 83.8%	83.9% or higher			
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.16	0.15 or lower	0.16 to 0.23	0.24 to 0.30	0.31 or higher			

^{*} If the participation in state tests is low, the targets may be adjusted to reflect the students at the school that actually take the tests.