2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

Summary of Section Ratings

Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.

Section	Section Rating	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Targe	et Meeting Target	ExceedingTarget
Rigorous Instruction	Approaching Target		2	.72	
Collaborative Teachers	Approaching Target			2.96	
Supportive Environment	Approaching Target			2.92	
Effective School Leadership	Approaching Target		2.12		
Strong Family-Community Ties	Approaching Target		2.60		
Trust	Approaching Target		2.24		
Student Achievement	Approaching Target			2.92	
		1	2	3	4 4.99

Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Focus

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at <u>http://schoolqualityreports.nyc</u>

2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	101	106	87
Grade 1	111	115	102
Grade 2	108	116	105
Grade 3	125	106	106
Grade 4	91	124	100
Grade 5	96	86	98
All students	668	689	634

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	11%	11%	14%
% Free Lunch Eligible	93%	93%	93%
% Student with IEPs	11%	16%	16%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	8%	6%	9%
% HRA Eligible	-	76%	70%
% Temporary Housing	-	15%	16%
% Asian	5%	7%	6%
% Black	47%	44%	42%
% Hispanic	46%	46%	48%
% White	1%	2%	2%
% Other	0%	2%	2%

19K345 P.S. 345 Patrolman Robert Bolden

2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score									
Approaching Target	2.92									
					2	014-15 Target	5			
Student Achievement Metrics		n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	- Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA										
Average Student Proficiency		289	2.28	1.84	2.16	2.39	2.65	2.99	2.52	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4		289	14.9%	0.0%	12.6%	21.6%	31.9%	45.5%	2.26	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile		179	59.0	45.4	53.9	61.3	66.5	78.8	2.69	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Th	ird	67	69.0	57.3	66.0	73.5	78.8	91.4	2.40	9.09%
Early Grade Progress		102	1.37	0.11	1.01	1.78	2.32	3.60	2.47	9.09%
State Test Results - Math										
Average Student Proficiency		293	2.30	1.69	2.18	2.54	2.94	3.45	2.33	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4		293	17.4%	0.0%	16.2%	27.9%	41.2%	58.5%	2.10	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile		185	69.0	33.3	46.6	58.0	65.9	84.7	4.16	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Th	ird	66	75.0	50.7	60.9	69.9	76.1	90.9	3.82	9.09%
Early Grade Progress		104	1.01	0.02	1.32	2.45	3.24	5.12	1.76	9.09%
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students		79	93.2%	70.9%	79.2%	85.1%	91.7%	100.0%	4.18	9.09%
									Weighted Average Score	2.79

						2	014-15 Target	5	_			
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics			Population %	2014-15	Bottom of	Approaching	Meeting	Exceeding	Top of		Extra Points	Extra Points
closing the Admerement dup (ctAd) method	n	Population %	of Range	School Value	Target Range	Target	Target	Target	Target Range	Metric Score	Possible	Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	36	12.5%	61.6%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	2	0.7%	3.6%		0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%		0.030	0.000
SETSS	14	4.8%	45.7%	0.0%	0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	38	13.0%	64.7%	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	2	0.7%	3.6%		0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%		0.030	0.000
SETSS	14	4.8%	46.2%	7.1%	0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%	2.10	0.030	0.008
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	26	14.5%	31.0%	26.9%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%	2.09	0.030	0.008
Lowest Third Citywide	73	40.8%	58.7%	38.4%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%	1.96	0.030	0.007
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	35	19.6%	49.6%	37.1%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%	2.09	0.030	0.008
SC/ICT/SETSS	33	18.4%	41.5%	42.4%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	2.76	0.030	0.013
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	31	16.8%	34.5%	38.7%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%	3.47	0.030	0.019
Lowest Third Citywide	102	55.1%	75.7%	47.1%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%	3.42	0.030	0.018
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	48	25.9%	67.4%	41.7%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%	3.04	0.030	0.015
SC/ICT/SETSS	34	18.4%	42.4%	41.2%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	3.07	0.030	0.016
ELL Progress	76	12.7%	32.2%	63.2%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%	3.80	0.030	0.021
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.13
									Overa	ll Student Achie	vement Score	2.92

• Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

• Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

19K345 P.S. 345 Patrolman Robert Bolden

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

```
19K345
```

P.S. 345 Patrolman Robert Bolden

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
prous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Developing	2.00	25%
Quality Review 1.2	Developing	2.00	25%
Quality Review 2.2	Proficient	3.40	25%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	90%	3.48	25%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.72	
aborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2	Proficient	3.40	67%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	78%	2.08	33%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.96	
portive Environment			
Quality Review 3.4	Proficient	3.40	35%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	86%	2.76	25%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance		-	
EMS	67.1%	2.52	
HS			
Overall	67.1%	2.52	35%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive			
environments			
EMS	0.28	3.30	
HS			
Overall	0.28	3.30	5%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.92	
ctive School Leadership	<u></u>	2.42	4000/
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	68%	2.12	100%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.12	
ong Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	83%	2.60	100%
	0070	2.00	100/0
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.60	
	82%	2 24	100%
st NYC School Survey - Trust	82%	2.24	100%

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

```
19K345
```

P.S. 345 Patrolman Robert Bolden

	City Range						
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Percent of Range	Score	
Rigorous Instruction							
Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	96	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.75	4.00
Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	97	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.82	4.28
Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
Quality of student discussion	Teachers	78	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.30	2.20
Section Results:		90%					3.48
Collaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers	95	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.75	
Cultural awareness	Parents	91	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.50	
Cultural awareness	Students		68.6	87.4	100.0		
Cultural awareness	Combined	93				0.63	3.52
Inclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	91	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.50	3.00
 Quality of professional development 	Teachers	61	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.20	1.80
School commitment	Teachers	81	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.51	3.04
• Innovation	Teachers	71	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.03	1.12
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	88	87.9	95.9	100.0	0.25	2.00
• Peer collaboration	Teachers	79	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.08	1.32
• Focus on student learning	Teachers	76	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.23	1.92
• Collective responsibility	Teachers	61	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.00	1.00
Section Results:		78%					2.08
Supportive Environment Safety:							
Safety	Teachers	87	80.0	94.6	100.0	0.33	
Safety	Students	07	74.5	88.5	100.0	0.55	
Safety	Combined	87	74.5	00.5	100.0	0.33	2.32
Classroom behavior:	combined	67				0.55	2.52
Classroom behavior	Teachers	71	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.13	
Classroom behavior	Students	7 ±	67.3	84.3	100.0	0.15	
• Classroom behavior	Combined	71	0710	0 110	20010	0.13	1.52
Social-emotional measure	Teachers	99	89.0	96.6	100.0	0.87	4.48
Peer interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0	0107	
Next-level guidance	Students		0012	0 110	10010		
Press toward academic achievement:							
Press toward academic achievement	Teachers	84	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.34	
Press toward academic achievement	Students	0.	85.3	91.9	98.5	0.0 .	
Press toward academic achievement	Combined	84	0010	0 1 10	0010	0.34	2.36
Personal attention and support	Students	0.	77.8	89.6	100.0	0.01	2.00
Peer support for academic work:							
Peer support for academic work	Teachers	90	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.55	
Peer support for academic work	Parents	90	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.50	
Peer support for academic work	Students		50.4	73.8	97.2		
Peer support for academic work	Combined	90				0.53	3.12
Section Results:		86%					2.76

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

```
19K345
```

P.S. 345 Patrolman Robert Bolden

			City Range				
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	88	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.42	2.68
Teacher influence	Teachers	49	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.32	2.28
Program coherence	Teachers	72	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.31	2.24
 Principal instructional leadership 	Teachers	63	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.05	1.20
Section Results:		68%					2.12
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	86	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.25	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	88	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.25	
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	87				0.25	2.00
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	78	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.54	3.16
Section Results:		83%					2.60
Trust							
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	93	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.50	3.00
Parent-principal trust	Parents	88	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.31	2.24
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	70	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.31	2.24
 Teacher-teacher trust 	Teachers	77	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.10	1.40
Section Results:		82%					2.24

2014-15 School Quality Reports / ES

Targets for 2015-16

P.S. 345 Patrolman Robert Bolden

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15		2015-16	Targets	
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Targe
State Test Results - ELA*					
Average Student Proficiency	2.28	2.21 or lower	2.22 to 2.31	2.32 to 2.39	2.40 or higher
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.88	1.80 or lower	1.81 to 1.92	1.93 to 2.01	2.02 or higher
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	14.9%	12.4% or lower	12.5% to 17.9%	18.0% to 22.1%	22.2% or highe
State Test Results - Math*					
Average Student Proficiency	2.30	2.29 or lower	2.30 to 2.45	2.46 to 2.56	2.57 or higher
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.90	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.94	1.95 to 2.06	2.07 or higher
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	17.4%	18.0% or lower	18.1% to 25.2%	25.3% to 30.7%	30.8% or highe
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	93.2%	85.0% or lower	85.1% to 88.8%	88.9% to 91.7%	91.8% or highe
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15		2015-16	Targets	
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Targe
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating					
Self-Contained	1.74	1.71 or lower	1.72 to 1.79	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 or higher
Integrated Co-Teaching		1.86 or lower	1.87 to 1.97	1.98 to 2.06	2.07 or higher
SETSS	2.00	1.86 or lower	1.87 to 1.98	1.99 to 2.08	2.09 or higher
ELL	1.99	2.05 or lower	2.06 to 2.18	2.19 to 2.29	2.30 or higher
Lowest Third Citywide	1.91	1.85 or lower	1.86 to 1.92	1.93 to 1.99	2.00 or higher
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.92	1.81 or lower	1.82 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher
Math - Average Proficiency Rating					
Self-Contained	1.76	1.78 or lower	1.79 to 1.91	1.92 to 2.02	2.03 or higher
Integrated Co-Teaching		1.92 or lower	1.93 to 2.10	2.11 to 2.23	2.24 or higher
SETSS	2.13	1.87 or lower	1.88 to 2.05	2.06 to 2.18	2.19 or higher
ELL	2.13	2.15 or lower	2.16 to 2.34	2.35 to 2.49	2.50 or higher
Lowest Third Citywide	2.00	1.85 or lower	1.86 to 1.93	1.94 to 1.99	2.00 or higher
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.98	1.82 or lower	1.83 to 1.90	1.91 to 1.99	2.00 or higher
ELL Progress	63.2%	45.9% or lower	46.0% to 55.9%	56.0% to 63.4%	63.5% or highe

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

*To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	Targets			
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	67.1%	64.5% or lower	64.6% to 71.4%	71.5% to 76.5%	76.6% or higher
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.28	0.15 or lower	0.16 to 0.24	0.25 to 0.31	0.32 or higher