
Summary of Section Ratings

Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.



Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Good Standing

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at http://schoolqualityreports.nyc

P.S. 160 William T. Sampson

2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	223	232	231
Grade 1	194	266	276
Grade 2	160	219	243
Grade 3	170	188	216
Grade 4	163	184	185
Grade 5	170	165	184
All students	1080	1254	1335

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	66%	69%	71%
% Free Lunch Eligible	85%	85%	85%
% Student with IEPs	8%	8%	9%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	2%	2%	3%
% HRA Eligible	-	74%	68%
% Temporary Housing	-	3%	3%
% Asian	76%	82%	84%
% Black	1%	1%	1%
% Hispanic	17%	12%	11%
% White	6%	5%	5%
% Other	0%	0%	0%

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

20K160 P.S. 160 William T. Sampson

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score
Exceeding Target	4.11

				2	014-15 Target	s			
Student Achievement Metrics	n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA			0 0	J			0 0		- 0
Average Student Proficiency	558	2.69	2.04	2.34	2.55	2.78	3.09	3.61	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	558	36.2%	5.5%	19.8%	29.9%	41.5%	55.9%	3.54	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	333	74.0	55.9	62.5	67.5	71.0	79.3	4.36	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	116	75.0	65.0	71.4	76.1	79.5	87.8	2.77	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	202	2.96	1.01	1.67	2.21	2.58	3.48	4.42	9.09%
State Test Results - Math									
Average Student Proficiency	571	3.25	2.11	2.56	2.87	3.22	3.66	4.07	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	571	64.1%	8.6%	28.9%	43.6%	60.3%	81.3%	4.18	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	350	75.0	47.5	57.5	65.7	71.5	85.2	4.26	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	122	73.0	55.8	64.0	70.9	75.7	87.5	3.44	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	210	4.80	1.13	2.21	3.11	3.73	5.20	4.73	9.09%
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	147	98.9%	78.1%	84.3%	88.7%	93.7%	100.0%	4.83	9.09%
								Weighted Average Score	4.02

						2	014 15 Townsh	-				
							014-15 Targets					
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Point Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4			51 11311 .				g					
Self-Contained	14	2.5%	12.3%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%		0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	23	4.1%	20.8%	4.3%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%		0.030	0.000
SETSS	11	2.0%	19.0%	18.2%	0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%		0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	14	2.5%	12.4%	14.3%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%		0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	23	4.0%	20.4%	8.7%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%		0.030	0.000
SETSS	11	1.9%	18.3%	27.3%	0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%		0.030	0.000
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	228	68.5%	100.0%	49.6%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%	4.14	0.030	0.024
Lowest Third Citywide	104	31.2%	44.3%	51.0%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%	3.47	0.030	0.019
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	4	1.2%	3.0%		23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%		0.030	0.000
SC/ICT/SETSS	24	7.2%	9.5%	45.8%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%		0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	246	70.3%	100.0%	52.8%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%	4.32	0.030	0.025
Lowest Third Citywide	53	15.1%	20.7%	49.1%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%		0.030	0.000
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	6	1.7%	4.4%	33.3%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%		0.030	0.000
SC/ICT/SETSS	24	6.9%	9.2%	41.7%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%		0.030	0.000
ELL Progress	917	68.7%	100.0%	67.7%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%	4.11	0.030	0.023
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.09
									Overa	II Student Achie	vement Score	4.11

[•] Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

[•] Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

P.S. 160 William T. Sampson

20K160

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
orous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Well Developed	4.99	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Well Developed	4.99	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Well Developed	4.99	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	94%	4.04	34%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.68	
laborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2	Well Developed	4.99	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	93%	4.04	50%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.52	
pportive Environment Quality Review 3.4	Well Developed	4.99	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	95%	4.20	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance	93/6	4.20	33/0
EMS	94.8%	4.08	
HS	34.070	4.00	
Overall	94.8%	4.08	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive	3 1.070	1.00	3070
environments			
EMS	0.10	1.71	
HS	0.10	±1.7 ±	
Overall	0.10	1.71	5%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.28	
ective School Leadership			
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	87%	4.12	100%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.12	
ong Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	82%	2.92	100%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.92	
NVC School Survey Trust	060/	4.22	1000/
NYC School Survey - Trust	96%	4.32	100%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.32	

P.S. 160 William T. Sampson

			City Range					
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score	
Rigorous Instruction								
 Common Core shifts in literacy 	Teachers	99	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.91	4.64	
 Common Core shifts in math 	Teachers	97	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.82	4.28	
Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0			
Quality of student discussion	Teachers	86	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.55	3.20	
Section Results:		94%					4.04	
Collaborative Teachers								
Cultural awareness:								
Cultural awareness	Teachers	98	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.85		
Cultural awareness	Parents	96	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.75		
Cultural awareness	Students		68.6	87.4	100.0			
Cultural awareness	Combined	97	00.0	0711	2000	0.80	4.20	
Inclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	96	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.75	4.00	
Quality of professional development	Teachers	86	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.70	3.80	
School commitment	Teachers	95	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.88	4.52	
Innovation	Teachers	88	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.61	3.44	
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	95	87.9	95.9	100.0	0.75	4.00	
Peer collaboration	Teachers	98	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.89	4.56	
 Focus on student learning 	Teachers	95	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.83	4.32	
Collective responsibility	Teachers	89	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.67	3.68	
Section Results:	reactiers	93%	05.7	04.7	100.0	0.07	4.04	
Supportive Environment Safety:								
Safety	Teachers	98	80.0	94.6	100.0	0.92		
Safety	Students		74.5	88.5	100.0			
 Safety 	Combined	98				0.92	4.68	
Classroom behavior:								
Classroom behavior	Teachers	92	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.75		
Classroom behavior	Students		67.3	84.3	100.0			
 Classroom behavior 	Combined	92				0.75	4.00	
 Social-emotional measure 	Teachers	100	89.0	96.6	100.0	0.98	4.92	
Peer interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0			
Next-level guidance	Students							
Press toward academic achievement:								
Press toward academic achievement	Teachers	89	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.55		
Press toward academic achievement	Students		85.3	91.9	98.5			
Press toward academic achievement		89				0.55	3.20	
Personal attention and support	Students		77.8	89.6	100.0			
Peer support for academic work:								
Peer support for academic work	Teachers	96	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.83		
Peer support for academic work	Parents	97	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.77		
Peer support for academic work	Students		50.4	73.8	97.2			
 Peer support for academic work 								
Section Results:	Combined	97 95%				0.80	4.20 4.20	

P.S. 160 William T. Sampson

			City Range				
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	95	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.77	4.08
Teacher influence	Teachers	61	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.50	3.00
Program coherence	Teachers	96	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.91	4.64
 Principal instructional leadership 	Teachers	97	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.92	4.68
Section Results:		87%					4.12
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	97	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.81	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	96	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.77	
 Teacher outreach to parents 	Combined	97				0.79	4.16
 Parent involvement in the schools 	Parents	67	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.17	1.68
Section Results:		82%					2.92
Trust							
 Parent-teacher trust 	Parents	97	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.75	4.00
 Parent-principal trust 	Parents	98	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.88	4.52
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
 Teacher-principal trust 	Teachers	96	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.90	4.60
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	94	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.77	4.08
Section Results:		96%					4.32

Targets for 2015-16

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15		2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target				
State Test Results - ELA*									
Average Student Proficiency	2.69	2.34 or lower	2.35 to 2.44	2.45 to 2.52	2.53 or higher				
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.12	1.89 or lower	1.90 to 2.01	2.02 to 2.11	2.12 or higher				
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	36.2%	20.1% or lower	20.2% to 25.6%	25.7% to 29.7%	29.8% or higher				
State Test Results - Math*									
Average Student Proficiency	3.25	2.85 or lower	2.86 to 3.00	3.01 to 3.12	3.13 or higher				
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.48	2.19 or lower	2.20 to 2.36	2.37 to 2.49	2.50 or higher				
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	64.1%	45.1% or lower	45.2% to 52.3%	52.4% to 57.8%	57.9% or higher				
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	98.9%	87.7% or lower	87.8% to 90.8%	90.9% to 93.2%	93.3% or higher				
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15		2015-16	irgets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target				
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating									
Self-Contained	1.71	1.69 or lower	1.70 to 1.79	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 or higher				
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.03	1.85 or lower	1.86 to 1.96	1.97 to 2.05	2.06 or higher				
SETSS	2.25	1.96 or lower	1.97 to 2.08	2.09 to 2.17	2.18 or higher				
ELL	2.59	2.20 or lower	2.21 to 2.34	2.35 to 2.44	2.45 or higher				
Lowest Third Citywide	2.09	1.90 or lower	1.91 to 1.97	1.98 to 2.02	2.03 or higher				
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	2.01	1.83 or lower	1.84 to 1.90	1.91 to 1.99	2.00 or higher				
Math - Average Proficiency Rating									
Self-Contained	2.02	1.84 or lower	1.85 to 1.98	1.99 to 2.08	2.09 or higher				
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.23	2.10 or lower	2.11 to 2.27	2.28 to 2.40	2.41 or higher				
SETSS	2.58	2.26 or lower	2.27 to 2.44	2.45 to 2.57	2.58 or higher				
ELL	3.23	2.74 or lower	2.75 to 2.94	2.95 to 3.09	3.10 or higher				
Lowest Third Citywide	2.07	2.01 or lower	2.02 to 2.09	2.10 to 2.15	2.16 or higher				
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	2.01	1.87 or lower	1.88 to 1.96	1.97 to 2.02	2.03 or higher				
ELL Progress	67.7%	52.1% or lower	52.2% to 62.1%	62.2% to 69.7%	69.8% or higher				

^{*}To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	94.8%	83.3% or lower	83.4% to 87.5%	87.6% to 90.7%	90.8% or higher			
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.10	0.13 or lower	0.14 to 0.21	0.22 to 0.27	0.28 or higher			