2014-15 School Quality Guide / HS

Summary of Section Ratings

Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.

Section	Section Rating	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	ExceedingTarget
Rigorous Instruction	N/A				
Collaborative Teachers	N/A				
Supportive Environment	N/A				
Effective School Leadership	N/A				
Strong Family-Community Ties	N/A				
Trust	N/A				
Student Achievement	N/A				
		1		3	4 4.99

Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Good Standing

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at <u>http://schoolqualityreports.nyc</u>

2014-15 School Quality Guide / HS

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Grade 9	_	-	124
All students	-	-	124

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	-	-	2%
% Free Lunch Eligible	-	-	60%
% Student with IEPs	-	-	23%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	-	-	8%
% Overage Under-credited	-	-	10%
% HRA Eligible	-	-	65%
% Temporary Housing	-	-	12%
% Asian	-	-	0%
% Black	-	-	86%
% Hispanic	-	-	10%
% White	-	-	2%
% Other	-	-	1%
Average Incoming ELA Proficiency	-	-	2.19
Average Incoming Math Proficiency	-	-	2.16

16K765 Nelson Mandela High School

2014-15 School Quality Guide / HS

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Sco	ore								
Student Achievement Metrics		n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching	2014-15 Targets Meeting	Exceeding	Top of	Matria Saara	Weight Dat
Credit Accumulation			School value	Target Kange	Taiget	Target	Target	Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
Percent of Students Earning 10+ Credits in 1st Year		120	65.0%							0.00%
Percent of Students in School's Lowest Third Earning 10+		36								
Credits in 1st Year		50	38.9%							0.00%
Percent of Students Earning 10+ Credits in 2nd Year		1								0.00%
Percent of Students in School's Lowest Third Earning 10+		1								
Credits in 2nd Year										0.00%
Percent of Students Earning 10+ Credits in 3rd Year										0.00%
Percent of Students in School's Lowest Third Earning 10+										0.000/
Credits in 3rd Year										0.00%
legents Performance										
Average Completion Rate for Remaining Regents		1								0.00%
Weighted Regents Pass Rate - ELA										0.00%
Weighted Regents Pass Rate - Math		100	0.12							0.00%
Weighted Regents Pass Rate - Science		89	0.27							0.00%
Weighted Regents Pass Rate - Global History										0.00%
Weighted Regents Pass Rate - U.S. History		108	0.29							0.00%
Graduation / Diploma										
4-Year Graduation Rate										0.00%
6-Year Graduation Rate										0.00%
4-Year Weighted Diploma Rate										0.00%
6-Year Weighted Diploma Rate										0.00%
College and Career Readiness										
College and Career Preparatory Course Index										0.00%
4-Year College Readiness Index										0.00%
6-Year College Readiness Index with Persistence										0.00%
Postsecondary Enrollment Rate - 6 Months										0.00%
Postsecondary Enrollment Rate - 18 Months										0.00%
									Weighted Average Score	

						-						
						2	014-15 Target	5	_			
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
Four-Year Weighted Diploma Rate												
English Language Learners		0.0%	0.0%								0.036	0.000
Self-Contained / ICT / SETSS		0.0%	0.0%								0.036	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide		0.0%	0.0%								0.036	0.000
Black / Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide		0.0%	0.0%								0.036	0.000
College and Career Readiness												
Students in Lowest Third Citywide, College and Career Preparatory Course Index		0.0%	0.0%								0.036	0.000
Students in Lowest Third Citywide, 4-Year College Readiness Index		0.0%	0.0%								0.036	0.000
Students in the Lowest Third Citywide, Postsecondary		0.0%	0.0%								0.036	0.000
Enrollment Rate - 6 Months												
										CtAG Add	itional Points	

• Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

• Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

16K765 Nelson Mandela High School

Weighted Average Score

Overall Student Achievement Score

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

16K765

Nelson Mandela High School

Drous InstructionQuality Review 1.1Proficient3.40Quality Review 1.2Developing2.00Quality Review 2.2Proficient3.40NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction88%3.52Section Rating:				
Quality Review 1.1 Proficient 3.40 Quality Review 2.2 Developing 2.00 Quality Review 2.2 Proficient 3.40 NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction 88% 3.52 Section Rating: Section Score:		Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
Quality Review 1.2 Developing 2.00 Quality Review 2.2 Proficient 3.40 NVC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction 88% 3.52 aborative Teachers Section Score:	orous Instruction			
Quality Review 2.2 Proficient 3.40 NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction 88% 3.52 Section Rating: Section Score: aborative Teachers Quality Review 4.2 Developing 2.00 NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 94% 4.20 Section Rating: Section Score:	Quality Review 1.1	Proficient	3.40	
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction 88% 3.52 Section Rating: Section Score: aborative Teachers Quality Review 4.2 Developing 2.00 NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 94% 4.20 Section Rating: Section Score: portive Environment Quality Review 3.4 Proficient 3.40 NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 78% 2.56 Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS HS Overall 48.0% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS 0.30 Overall 0.30 Section Score: ctive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Trust 95% 4.40	Quality Review 1.2	Developing	2.00	
Section Rating: Section Score: aborative Teachers Quality Review 4.2 Developing 2.00 NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 94% 4.20 Section Rating: Section Score: portive Environment Proficient 3.40 MYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 78% 2.56 Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 48.0% Movement of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 48.0% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS EMS 0.30 Overall 0.30 Overall 0.30 Overall 0.30 Section Store: Environments Event Score: Event Score: Crive School Leadership 94% 4.40 Section Score: Section Score: Section Rating: Section Score: Section Score: <t< td=""><td>Quality Review 2.2</td><td>Proficient</td><td>3.40</td><td></td></t<>	Quality Review 2.2	Proficient	3.40	
aborative Teachers Quality Review 4.2 Quality Review 4.2 Quality Review 4.2 Quality Review 4.2 Proficient Section Score: portive Environment Quality Review 3.4 Proficient Quality Review 3.4 Proficient 3.40	NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	88%	3.52	
Quality Review 4.2 Developing 2.00 NVC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 94% 4.20 Section Rating: Section Score: portive Environment Quality Review 3.4 Proficient 3.40 NVC School Survey - Supportive Environment 78% 2.56 Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 48.0% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments 0.30 Section Score: EMS 0.30 Overall AS 0.30 Section Score: Citive School Leadership 94% NVC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 94% 4.40 Section Score: ong Family-Community Ties NVC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 76% 3.44 Section Score: st NVC School Survey - Trust 95% 4.40	Section Rating:	Section Score	::	
Quality Review 4.2 Developing 2.00 NVC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 94% 4.20 Section Rating: Section Score: portive Environment Quality Review 3.4 Proficient 3.40 NVC School Survey - Supportive Environment 78% 2.56 Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 48.0% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments 0.30 Section Score: EMS 0.30 Overall AS 0.30 Section Score: Citive School Leadership 94% NVC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 94% 4.40 Section Score: ong Family-Community Ties NVC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 76% 3.44 Section Score: st NVC School Survey - Trust 95% 4.40				
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 94% 4.20 Section Rating: Section Score: Quality Review 3.4 Proficient 3.40 NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 78% 2.56 Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS	aborative Teachers			
Section Rating: Section Score: Section Score:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
portive Environment 3.40 Quality, Review 3.4 Proficient 3.40 NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 78% 2.56 Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 48.0% MS 48.0% 0.00 Overall 48.0% 0.00 Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.30 EMS 0.30 0.30 Section Rating: Section Score:	NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	94%	4.20	
Quality Review 3.4 Proficient 3.40 NVC School Survey - Supportive Environment 78% 2.56 Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS EMS 48.0% Overall 48.0% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.30 Overall 0.30 Overall 0.30 Section Rating: Section Score:	Section Rating:	Section Score	:	
Quality Review 3.4 Proficient 3.40 NVC School Survey - Supportive Environment 78% 2.56 Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance 48.0% EMS 48.0% Overall 48.0% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.30 Overall 0.30 Section Rating: Section Score:	portive Environment			
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 78% 2.56 Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance 48.0% MS 48.0% Overall 48.0% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive 48.0% environments 5 EMS 0.30 Overall 0.30 Overall 0.30 Section Rating: Section Score:	-	Proficient	3 40	
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS HS Overall A8.0% Overall Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS Overall 0.30 Section Rating: Section Score: Section Rating: Section Score: Section Score: Section Rating: Section Score: Sec	-			
EMS 48.0% Vorall 48.0% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive 48.0% environments EMS HS 0.30 Overall 0.30 Section Rating: Section Score: Ctive School Leadership 94% NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 94% Section Rating: Section Score: Section Rating: Section Score: Section Rating: Section Score: NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 76% NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 76% Section Rating: Section Score: Section Score: Section Score:		7070	2.50	
HS 48.0% Overall 48.0% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments 5000000000000000000000000000000000000	-			
Overall 48.0% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments 5 EMS 0.30 Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments 0.30 EMS 0.30 Overall 0.30 Section Rating: Section Score: Section Score: Section Score: Section Rating: Section Score: Section Score: Section Score: Section Score		18 0%		
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS 0.30 Overall 0.30 Section Rating: Section Score: Ctive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 94% 4.40 Section Rating: Section Score: Chore School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 76% 3.44 Section Rating: Section Score: Ctive School Survey - Trust 95% 4.40				
environments EMS HS Overall Overall Section Rating: Section Score: Ctive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Section Score: Ctive School Survey - Effective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Section Score: Se		40.070		
EMS HS Overall 0.30 Section Rating: Section Score: Cive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 94% 4.40 Section Rating: Section Score: Imp Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 76% 3.44 Section Rating: Section Score: Section Score: St NYC School Survey - Trust 95% 4.40				
HS0.30Overall0.30Section Rating:Section Score:Ctive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership94%4.40Section Rating:Section Score:Ung Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties76%3.44Section Rating:Section Score:St NYC School Survey - Trust95%4.40				
Overall 0.30 Section Rating: Section Score: ctive School Leadership 94% 4.40 Section Rating: Section Score: ong Family-Community Ties 76% 3.44 Section Rating: Section Score: st NYC School Survey - Trust 95% 4.40		0.00		
Section Rating: Section Score: ctive School Leadership 94% 4.40 Section Rating: Section Score: ong Family-Community Ties 76% 3.44 Section Rating: Section Score: st NYC School Survey - Trust 95% 4.40				
ctive School Leadership 94% 4.40 Section Rating: Section Score: ong Family-Community Ties 76% 3.44 Section Rating: Section Score: of Section Rating: Section Score: of Section Rating: Section Score: of Section Rating: Section Score: st NYC School Survey - Trust 95% 4.40 Section Score:	Overall	0.30		
ctive School Leadership 94% 4.40 Section Rating: Section Score: ong Family-Community Ties 76% 3.44 Section Rating: Section Score: of Section Rating: Section Score: of Section Rating: Section Score: of Section Rating: Section Score: st NYC School Survey - Trust 95% 4.40 Section Score:	Section Rating:	Section Score	2:	
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 94% 4.40 Section Rating: Section Score: ong Family-Community Ties 76% 3.44 NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Score: Section Rating: Section Score: st NYC School Survey - Trust 95% 4.40	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
Section Rating: Section Score: Ong Family-Community Ties 76% 3.44 NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 76% Section Score: Section Rating: Section Score: Section Score: st NYC School Survey - Trust 95% 4.40	ctive School Leadership			
ong Family-Community Ties 76% 3.44 NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 76% 3.44 Section Rating: Section Score: st NYC School Survey - Trust 95% 4.40	NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	94%	4.40	
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 76% 3.44 Section Rating: Section Score: st NYC School Survey - Trust 95% 4.40	Section Rating:	Section Score	:	
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 76% 3.44 Section Rating: Section Score: st NYC School Survey - Trust 95% 4.40	ong Family-Community Ties			
Section Rating: Section Score: st NYC School Survey - Trust 95% 4.40		76%	3 44	
st NYC School Survey - Trust 95% 4.40	The school survey strong runny community ries	7070	J. T.	
NYC School Survey - Trust 95% 4.40	Section Rating:	Section Score	:	
NYC School Survey - Trust 95% 4.40	-+			
		95%	<i>Δ Δ</i> Ω	
Section Rating: Section Score:	The school survey must	5570	4.40	
	Section Rating:	Section Score	:	

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

```
16K765
```

Nelson Mandela High School

				C'he Dawar				
			Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Range City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rigor	ous Instruction		Survey / Toshive	Dottom of hange	city Aig	Top of Range	i creciti or hunge	50010
-	Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	100	76.8	89.4	100.0	1.00	4.99
	Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	88	69.2	85.8	100.0	0.59	3.36
	Course clarity	Students	86	77.5	86.9	96.3	0.46	2.84
	Quality of student discussion	Teachers	77	55.8	77.8	99.8	0.48	2.92
	on Results:		88%					3.52
Colla	borative Teachers							
	Cultural awareness:							
	Cultural awareness	Teachers	90	85.4	94.4	100.0	0.50	
	Cultural awareness	Parents	95	82.3	91.9	100.0	0.75	
	Cultural awareness	Students	80	66.6	80.6	94.6	0.49	
	Cultural awareness	Combined	88				0.58	3.32
h	nclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	86	82.7	93.1	100.0	0.25	2.00
• 0	Quality of professional development	Teachers	92	50.4	75.6	100.0	0.83	4.32
	chool commitment	Teachers	97	53.7	82.3	100.0	0.92	4.68
• li	nnovation	Teachers	93	65.9	84.5	100.0	0.80	4.20
• R	Reflective dialogue	Teachers	100	85.1	95.3	100.0	1.00	4.99
	Peer collaboration	Teachers	100	75.2	90.6	100.0	1.00	4.99
• F	ocus on student learning	Teachers	100	60.0	85.2	100.0	1.00	4.99
• 0	Collective responsibility	Teachers	92	58.1	80.7	100.0	0.80	4.20
	on Results:		94%					4.20
	ortive Environment afety:							
J	Safety	Teachers						
	Safety	Students	79	67.5	82.9	98.3	0.38	
	Safety	Combined	79	07.5	02.5	50.5	0.38	2.52
C	Classroom behavior:	combined	75				0.36	2.52
, c	Classroom behavior	Teachers						
	Classroom behavior	Students	71	68.3	82.1	95.9	0.10	
0	Classroom behavior	Combined	71	00.5	02.1	55.5	0.10	1.40
	iocial-emotional measure	Teachers	100	86.6	95.2	100.0	1.00	4.99
	Peer interactions	Students	65	58.2	74.4	90.6	0.22	1.88
	Vext-level guidance	Students	83	71.4	83.6	95.8	0.22	2.88
	Press toward academic achievement:	Students	05	/1.4	05.0	55.0	07	2.00
	Press toward academic achievement	Teachers						
	Press toward academic achievement	Students	79	76.3	86.1	95.9	0.16	
0	Press toward academic achievement	Combined	79	70.5	00.1	55.5	0.16	1.64
	Personal attention and support	Students	84	69.0	81.8	94.6	0.58	3.32
	Peer support for academic work:	Judents	04	05.0	01.0	54.0	0.50	5.52
ſ	Peer support for academic work.	Teachers						
	Peer support for academic work	Parents	69	68.1	85.5	100.0	0.04	
	Peer support for academic work	Students	59	41.0	61.6	82.2	0.43	
0	Peer support for academic work	Combined	64	71.0	01.0	02.2	0.24	1.96
	on Results:	combined	78%				0.27	2.56
Jeen			7070					2.30

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

```
16K765
     ol
```

-			-
Nelson	Mandela	High	Schoo
11013011	Widnacia	111611	30100

			City Range				
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
 Inclusive principal leadership 	Parents	99	76.5	88.9	100.0	0.96	4.84
Teacher influence	Teachers	100	40.6	71.4	100.0	1.00	4.99
Program coherence	Teachers	86	57.0	82.6	100.0	0.67	3.68
 Principal instructional leadership 	Teachers	89	58.2	84.6	100.0	0.75	4.00
Section Results:		94%					4.40
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	97	75.2	89.0	100.0	0.86	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	96	76.7	88.1	99.5	0.83	
 Teacher outreach to parents 	Combined	96				0.84	4.36
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	56	41.2	61.0	80.8	0.37	2.48
Section Results:		76%					3.44
Trust							
 Parent-teacher trust 	Parents	98	84.9	93.1	100.0	0.88	4.52
Parent-principal trust	Parents	100	84.5	93.7	100.0	1.00	4.99
Student-teacher trust	Students	78	68.5	81.1	93.7	0.39	2.56
Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	100	55.0	84.0	100.0	1.00	4.99
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	100	75.7	90.9	100.0	1.00	4.99
Section Results:		95%					4.40

2014-15 School Quality Reports / HS

Targets for 2015-16

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15		2015-16	Targets	
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
Credit Accumulation					
10+ Credits in 1st Year - All Students	65.0%	63.9% or lower	64.0% to 71.9%	72.0% to 77.4%	77.5% or higher
10+ Credits in 1st Year - School's Lowest Third	38.9%	46.9% or lower	47.0% to 58.0%	58.1% to 65.8%	65.9% or higher
10+ Credits in 2nd Year - All Students		60.4% or lower	60.5% to 68.0%	68.1% to 73.3%	73.4% or higher
10+ Credits in 2nd Year - School's Lowest Third		41.7% or lower	41.8% to 52.4%	52.5% to 59.9%	60.0% or higher
10+ Credits in 3rd Year - All Students					
10+ Credits in 3rd Year - School's Lowest Third					
Regents Performance					
Average Completion Rate for Remaining Regents		46.4% or lower	46.5% to 53.3%	53.4% to 58.2%	58.3% or higher
Average Regents Score - English (non-Common Core)*		68.4 or lower	68.5 to 71.7	71.8 to 74.1	74.2 or higher
Average Regents Score - English (Common Core)*		74.0 or lower	74.1 to 77.8	77.9 to 80.5	80.6 or higher
Average Regents Score - Living Environment*	52.0	61.3 or lower	61.4 to 64.9	65.0 to 67.0	67.1 or higher
Average Regents Score - Global History*		59.1 or lower	59.2 to 62.6	62.7 to 65.1	65.2 or higher
Average Regents Score - US History*	53.0				
Average Regents Score - Algebra I (Common Core)*	53.0	55.8 or lower	55.9 to 59.9	60.0 to 64.9	65.0 or higher
Graduation / Non-Dropout					
4-Year Graduation Rate					
6-Year Graduation Rate					
4-Year Non-Dropout Rate					
6-Year Non-Dropout Rate					
College and Career Readiness					
College and Career Preparatory Course Index					
4-Year College Readiness Index					
6-Year College Readiness Index with Persistence					
Postsecondary Enrollment Rate - 6 Months					
Postsecondary Enrollment Rate - 18 Months					
Because it is not possible to accurately predict who will take the adjusted based on the students at the school who actually take	-	nce, the table above provi	des <i>estimated</i> targets for t	the Regents score metric	s. These targets will be
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics**	2014-15		2015-16	Targets	
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
our-Year Graduation Rate					

Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics**	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Targ			
Four-Year Graduation Rate								
English Language Learners								
Self-Contained / ICT / SETSS								
Lowest Third Citywide								
Black / Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide								
College and Career Readiness of Students in Lowest Third Citywide								
College and Career Preparatory Course Index								
4-Year College Readiness Index								

Postsecondary Enrollment Rate - 6 Months

**To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below and have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Tar		
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	48.0%	49.9% or lower	50.0% to 59.9%	60.0% to 69.9%	70.0% or high		
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.30	0.35 or lower	0.36 to 0.53	0.54 to 0.65	0.66 or high		

16K765

T**arget** nigher gher