

NYC - Department of Sanitation Public Hearing
September 15, 2021

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

-----X
THE CITY OF NEW YORK
NEW YORK CITY DEPARTMENT OF SANITATION
PROPOSED RULES TO ESTABLISH REQUIREMENTS
FOR CARTERS OPERATING IN COMMERCIAL WASTE
ZONES RELATED TO COMMERCIAL WASTE GENERATION
AUDITS, ESTABLISH FEES, AND AMEND DEFINITIONS
PUBLIC HEARING

-----X

WEBEX VIRTUAL HEARING
Wednesday, September 15, 2021
9:34 a.m.

REPORTED BY:
Beneida Smith

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

A P P E A R A N C E S:

Justin Bland
Director of Commercial Waste for
The New York City Department of Sanitation

Meredith Danberg-Ficarelli
Common Ground Compost

Thomas N. Toscano
Mr. T. Carters

Ron Bergamimi
The Action Environmental Group

Justin Wood
NYLPI

Steve Changaris
National Waste and Recycling Association

Andy Moss
Waste Connections

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

MR. BLAND: Good morning and welcome. My name is Justin Bland. I am the Director of Commercial Waste for New York City Department of Transportation. Thank you for attending this public hearing this morning.

DSNY is conducting this remote hearing in accordance with requirements of the City Administrative Procedure Act. The purpose of this hearing is to receive comments from the public on the Department's proposed rules that would establish requirements for carters operating in commercial waste zones related for commercial waste generation audits, establish fees for the commercial waste program and amend definitions. This proposed rule was published in the City Record on August 12, 2021. DSNY e-mailed copies of the rule to all New York City local elected officials, the City's fifty-nine community boards, media and interested parties and published proposed rules on

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

our website.

The adoption of the forthcoming commercial waste zones system will dramatically reduce truck traffic associated with the commercial waste industry more than 50 percent. This system will improve the quality of life of New Yorkers, serve the needs of the City's local businesses, and support the City's goals for a cleaner, safer and more sustainable City. More details about the commercial waste zones program can be found on the Department's website and in the statement of basis of purpose for this proposed rule. The Department will use a competitive Request for Proposals or RFP process to select up to three private carters to service businesses within each commercial waste zone. The RFP process will be used to select up to five carters to provide containerized commercial waste collection services citywide. The RFP being issued in two parts. Part 1 was

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

issued on November 19, 2020, with response due on February 19th, 2021. Part 2 will be issued soon.

Under these proposed rules, the Department would establish requirements for carters selected to operate under the commercial waste zone program to reimburse customers for the cost of a commercial waste generation audit.

The commercial waste generation audit provides a concrete picture of the types and amounts of wastes that a commercial establishment generates. The commercial establishment can then use the audit to initiate a fair price with their commercial waste zone carter. The audit will also provide the commercial establishment with the information about the level of contamination for each waste stream, recommendations for waste reduction, and recommendations for diverting more waste from refuse to designated recyclable material and source-separated organic waste streams.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

This will assist the commercial establishment in complying with the City's requirement and to help further the City's zero waste calls.

Under these proposed rules, each commercial establishment would be entitled to reimbursement by an awardee for one commercial ways generation audit assessing the amount, types, and contamination levels of the commercial establishment's commercial waste, provided that the requirements detailed in the proposed rules are met. The proposed rules describe a procedure to resolve disputes between carters and customers regarding a waste generation audit and provide the option of bringing unresolved disputes to DSNY for review.

These proposed rules would also establish a definition of "bicycle," which is relevant to the program definition of micro hauler and to the number of designated carters that an awardee may subcontract with over the

1
2 program. The definition of "bicycle"
3 amended here takes into account the
4 definition of "bicycle" and Local Law
5 199 as well as the recent changes in
6 state and local laws related to e-bikes.
7 Finally, these proposed rules will
8 establish an annual fee for awardees of
9 \$107,148.73 per award.

10 A court reporter is present today,
11 and will record the hearing. You may
12 present an oral statement or submit
13 written comments concerning either or
14 both of the proposed rules. We have
15 been accepting written comments on the
16 proposed rules since their publication.
17 DSNY will carefully consider all the
18 comments received today at the hearing
19 and all written comments it has
20 received. I will begin calling those of
21 you who wish to speak this morning in
22 the order in which you signed up to
23 testify. While the notices requested
24 that persons wishing to testify sign up
25 in advance of this hearing, anyone

1
2 wishing to testify at this time, may do
3 so by using the raised hand function or
4 indicating in the chat area that you
5 wish to testify. When you speak, please
6 state your name and affiliation, if any,
7 and speak slowly and clearly, so the
8 court reporter can understand and
9 accurately record your statement. If
10 you have not done so and are giving oral
11 testimony, we also ask that you send us
12 a written copy, if possible. We also
13 ask that you limit your statement to
14 three minutes. We will now begin with
15 our first speaker. That would be
16 Meredith Danberg-Ficarelli. Meredith,
17 when you are ready.

18 MS. DANBERG-FICARELLI: Good
19 morning, everyone. My name is Meredith
20 Danberg-Ficarelli. I am the Director of
21 Common Ground Compost LLC, a member of
22 the Save Our Compost Coalition, a member
23 of the Manhattan Solid Waste Advisory
24 Board, and a member of the U.S.
25 Composting Council. Through my work, I

1
2 build zero waste programs and advocate
3 for the expansion of access to waste
4 production services. Our approach to
5 education focuses on material literacy.
6 The power of individual behavioral
7 change, and recognition that all people
8 must demand systemic change in order to
9 build a livable and just future for all.
10 The commercial waste zone plan creates
11 an opportunity to existing haulers to
12 operate in an ever-improving and
13 environmentally responsible way while
14 supporting the development of a growing
15 green economy, and specifically and
16 sustainable materials economy. The City
17 will benefit by creating a space for
18 transparent and efficient
19 infrastructure. We see that
20 infrastructure as both physical and
21 digital: Individual driving commercial
22 refuse collection vehicles and working
23 on microscale organics processing sites
24 alike contribute labor while clear
25 reporting standards and allows for more

1
2 transparency of how waste works. What
3 stream wastes are collected? How much
4 material is there? When is it is my
5 pickup, where does the material go, and
6 how is it processed? What am I paying
7 for? Those are the questions the public
8 wants answered, and it is our
9 responsibility as innovators and actors
10 in the waste industry to participate in
11 that dialogue.

12 In this testimony, we recommend
13 detailed changes to the draft language,
14 with the goal of encouraging the City to
15 clearly outline best practices that must
16 be followed to assess waste, and
17 reporting procedures that must be
18 followed to submit the results of those
19 assessments. We also call for New York
20 City Business Integrity Commission to
21 dismantle the innovation-inhibiting
22 requirement that waste assessors have
23 the same license to operate as waste
24 brokers and brokerage services. As we
25 outline in our testimony, waste

1
2 assessment services are unrelated to and
3 should be decoupled from brokering. We
4 also recommend the DSNY use the term
5 "survey" to refer to waste assessment on
6 taking weights and general information
7 about how a business sets out their
8 waste for collection, and use the term
9 "audit" to refer to waste assessment
10 that dives deeper in sorting waste and
11 gathering more detailed contamination
12 insights. Our recommendation is that
13 the assessment procedures detailed in
14 this rulemaking process focus on waste
15 surveys specifically.

16 A waste survey occurs after
17 business hours, once waste is at the
18 curb. The length and width of the piles
19 of refuse are captured, each waste
20 stream's bags are weighed and
21 observations are made by trained waste
22 assessors. These services are
23 coordinated and paid for by the City and
24 businesses receive reports that outline
25 their waste streams and the detail of

1
2 waste generated on an average day.
3 Also, in the report, the businesses
4 would see aggregated averages for their
5 industry. So, a restaurant, for
6 example, would get a report that shows
7 the weight of black trash bags, clear
8 recycle bags and cardboard, as measured
9 on an average day for that restaurant.
10 The report would also show that for
11 restaurants of a similar size, this site
12 a diverting less from landfills, and
13 spending more money, because they are
14 not yet recycling organics.

15 The commercial waste zones plan
16 will call for recycling or/and organics
17 services to be cheaper than those for
18 trash. And these cost incentives create
19 the opportunity to shift businesses'
20 perspective of their waste: From bags
21 that smell and cost money, to containers
22 of materials that, if separated
23 correctly, can help to save money. In
24 order to effectively paint the picture
25 for businesses of cost saving they are

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

leaving on the table, we needed metrics, and properly executed waste surveys will get us the metrics that we need.

With the example of restaurant used earlier, that waste survey report would demonstrate opportunities for saving. The restaurant could would follow the steps to start composting wastes, which would include contacting their hauler and getting more guidance from a zero waste educator. They would start separating food scraps and having them collected as new waste stream, and next year they would get another survey, they would see a new color in their waste pie chart for that compost. They see the year-over-year shift in costs, where landfill waste has lowered total waste expenses. The reports could also show carbon savings and the equivalencies of trees planted or cars off the road, that match the impact of new behaviors. The City would be able to see and measure these changes.

1
2 The Department of Sanitation has an
3 opportunity to manage a system in which
4 haulers see the same information that
5 businesses do about waste generation and
6 diversion rates, and billing could be
7 fair and efficient. Haulers and
8 businesses could track waste behavior
9 over time, measure carbon savings and
10 immediately support the growth of local
11 materials management economies,
12 especially for materials like organics,
13 textiles, and reusable items like glass
14 and takeout containers. If businesses
15 can see the potential for cost savings
16 through shifting behavior, and they
17 respond with demands for environmentally
18 responsible services, growth in these
19 specialized materials economies can
20 follow.

21 We must do this fairly and in a way
22 that encourages participation and
23 growth. Rather than require haulers to
24 pay for waste surveys through a
25 reimbursement system with their

1
2 customers, DSNY should be responsible
3 for the cost of waste surveys. CWZ
4 encourages haulers to develop innovative
5 partnerships with minority and
6 women-owned businesses. With a strong
7 push to assess the waste of hundreds of
8 thousands of businesses over the next
9 few years, DSNY can foster growth among
10 minority owned business, and women owned
11 business like our own, that offer these
12 services and technology solutions.
13 Existing and new members of the Micro
14 Haulers and Processors Trade
15 Association, of which I'm a co-founding
16 member, also want to participate in this
17 transition. There are many existing
18 service providers already able to jump
19 into action, and others that would want
20 to start to provide these services.
21 Waste assessors should be trained
22 through the City-supported certification
23 programs. Businesses should be able to
24 request an assessment from DSNY's
25 website, fill out a quick questionnaire,

1
2 get their surveys scheduled and
3 executed, and view their report online.
4 They should be able to communicate with
5 their haulers and access additional
6 resources in the same way they can run
7 payroll or order inventory. Businesses
8 should be able to access guidance from
9 experts at the click of a button, and it
10 can all start if we begin to accurately,
11 efficiently, transparently measure what
12 we produce. We will submit the rest of
13 our written comments with all the
14 additional details. And thank you for
15 listening and thank you for your time.

16 MR. BLAND: Thank you, Meredith.
17 Our next speaker will be Thomas Toscano,
18 followed by Ron Bergamimi, Justin Wood
19 and then Steve Changaris. If anyone
20 else wishes to testify, please let us
21 know in the chat feature.

22 MR. TOSCANO: Morning everybody,
23 Good morning, Justin. My name is Thomas
24 Toscano. I'm proud to be the CEO of Mr.
25 T Carting Corp., a third-generation

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

business for more than 74 years.

Based on my reading of the proposed rules, I believe the City's goal is to allow customers to get an independent evaluation of their waste and recycling flow that is accurate, and give customers the information that will help save the money and recycle more. The proposed rules do not accomplish these goals. I will start with an analogy. Every property owner in New York City pays property taxes. The system is relatively simple, properties are valued, there is property tax rate, you multiply the two you get the tax due on property. What would happen if the City allowed anyone to get a license to evaluate property values and those evaluations were rebuttable presumptions the City now has to disprove? Would the City get independent and accurate evaluations? No. The City would be inundated with lowball assessments, costing it millions to disprove. That

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

situation is now being proposed for waste audits.

The first and largest issue here is the lack of independence by the trade waste brokers, I am going to say what Meredith said before, by decoupling them from the trade waste brokers, but for very similar reasons. There is nothing in the rules that provide proposed oversight of these brokers. You have created every incentive to come up with the lowest estimate, not the most accurate one. What happens if the broker gets it wrong? In addition to getting paid by the carter, the carter is the one that must clean up the mess with no penalty to the broker. There are no standards, qualifications, and prior experience required of the brokers. Further, with the broker being paid by the customer, the customer seeking reimbursement by the carter, a broker could collect thousands of fees, and be long gone when issues come up

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

regarding the audits.

The second issue, which is closely related to the first, is the set time period in the rule for those audits. The rules proposed measuring a 24-hour period and then to extrapolate that to a billing period. It was clear to me from this section that whoever drafted these rules has no understanding of waste and recycle streams and how they differ over a week. Waste is heaviest on Sunday night. This is because most restaurants are not picking up on Saturday night, and they hold the garbage for the next night. Many lighter accounts are closed on Sunday or have reduced hours, so the number of accounts picked up on Sunday night is lower despite the weight being heavier. Wednesday night is usually the lightest night of the week, because the few days prior to that are when the restaurants are the least busy. All of this varies by the type of account, but I hope you are starting to see why

1
2 measuring one day to determine an audit
3 amount is crazy. Holidays and weather
4 events further skew data and need to be
5 avoided. One week is required for most
6 accounts and many require two weeks.
7 Then you will have conversations with
8 the customers where they will disagree,
9 and sometimes you will do a third week,
10 especially if there is an anomaly in one
11 of the first two weeks. In short, one
12 day will not produce an accurate audit
13 for almost every account.

14 Third issue is the price cap.
15 Allowing the cap paid for by a third
16 party is going to become the price. \$500
17 for one-day audit of a small store does
18 not make any sense. Most of these
19 locations produce a bag or two a day and
20 a small bundle of recycling. A small
21 store will be more labor-intensive
22 because they will produce much more
23 material, especially on busy day. The
24 type of establishment will be much more
25 of a driver of the amount of material

1
2 that needs to be measured, not the
3 square footage. For that reason, the
4 cap should have some relationship to the
5 monthly bill. For example, how about
6 the lesser of \$500 or the prior month's
7 waste and recycling bill? This will
8 ensure a \$50 per month account is not
9 overcharged and the carter that must pay
10 it.

11 For the reasons stated, the proposed
12 rule, along with the dispute resolution
13 section is going to keep the Department
14 busy with the disputes, and I project
15 most of these audits will be disputed.
16 Wouldn't it be better to have a system
17 that gets most audits correct in the
18 first place? To get to that place, the
19 Department should issue RFPs in each of
20 the zones to two or three independent
21 auditors the same way they are doing so
22 with the carters. Evaluating the
23 brokers and giving them the franchise
24 allows the department to monitor, and
25 issue fines where brokers repeatedly get

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

the audits wrong or engage in unethical behavior.

Even if my suggestion is not taken regarding RFPs for brokers, several aspects of this need to be clarified. Can brokers audit their own accounts or will there be conflict rules? Can an awardee also be a broker? Does it matter if the awardee is a broker in a zone where they are providing waste services, or can they be a broker only in zones where they are not an awardee? How long are the audits good for? If a brand-new restaurant gets an audit on the second day of operation, does the rebuttal presumption still hold three months later when their business is booming and there is a line to get in? What about seasonal business? What about restaurants in Manhattan that get slower in the summer when many are in the Hamptons?

Lastly, please clarify these aspects when you finalize these rules before

1
2 issuing the second part of the RFP. As
3 they stand now, these rules will create
4 greatly increased costs to the awardee.
5 Not just for the fees to the brokers a
6 carters must pay, but for the time that
7 will be required to correct most of
8 these issues created by a system that
9 bears no relation to the commercial
10 waste and recycling market in New York
11 City. Thank you.

12 MR. BLAND: Thank you, Tom. Next up
13 we have Ron Bergamimi. If anyone else
14 wants to testify, just put it in the
15 chat feature.

16 MR. BERGAMIMI: I am Ron Bergamimi.
17 I am testifying on behalf of Interstate
18 Waste Service which is the parent
19 company to the licensee Action Carting
20 Environmental Services. Well, going
21 after Thomas Toscano makes my job easy,
22 because essentially, I endorse and
23 repeat what he said, and all the
24 problems that he stated will occur. I
25 just want to point out or repeat just a

1
2 few things I want to repeat, but the
3 waste audits, I think are consistent
4 with the unreasonable expectation that
5 commercial customers should expect
6 service charges to decline. The DSNY,
7 the City keeps adding significant brands
8 of monitoring, compliance and capital
9 investment-related factors. As we stand
10 now, the commercial customers in New
11 York City are approximately 60 percent
12 per ton of the cost that DSNY incurs
13 with its residential taxpayers. The
14 other issue is, to reiterate with Tom,
15 as to when this comes out presumably.
16 This won't all start for a year or maybe
17 two. Now, is certainly not the time to
18 judge what customers' businesses are,
19 with the pandemic still going on. And
20 frankly, I think that this is, in part,
21 of a solution in search of a problem.
22 For decades working with the TIC, we
23 have done surveys on their customers,
24 very, very rarely is there any
25 disagreements. Customers know what they

1
2 are throwing out, and we verify it by
3 picking them up for a week, three days.
4 But, what's so troubling here is, I am
5 under the impression the whole idea of
6 having three haulers per zone is to help
7 the competition, at least, some
8 competition. So, if you have a
9 situation where a customer and a hauler,
10 either party should have the right to
11 terminate that agreement. These rules
12 coupled with the rules from a few months
13 ago says that hauler has to continue
14 servicing that customer for 120 days,
15 arguably out of the law, and we can talk
16 about what the extra costs are. We
17 right now have about 6,000 customers in
18 New York City where their monthly bill
19 is less than \$500 a month. Now, if all
20 of them want to get an audit, that is
21 three million dollars of an additional
22 cost. Just think about that. A \$250 a
23 month customer, for us to spend \$500,
24 that is a loser. And, what the rules
25 also don't state is whether or not an

1
2 auditor can, in fact, charge more. What
3 we envision are new inferences in the
4 marketplace, going up and down 16th
5 Street and saying to every customer,
6 "Hey, let's do an audit. It won't cost
7 you a nickle. What we will do, we will
8 take 20 percent of any savings." And as
9 Tom mentioned, with regards to real
10 estate, you will always get that lowball
11 number. So, if we had all our
12 customers, and we receive audits, the
13 number would be closer to \$10 million.
14 So, again, that indicates more money,
15 that doesn't include we'd probably have
16 to have a staff of people, two or three
17 four people to fight these. I don't
18 know if it's the DSNY, frankly, is
19 geared up for that. So, I don't -- by
20 the way, the woman who testified first
21 talked about the DSNY being the one who
22 should pay for this, and arbitrate it.
23 To me, that makes a lot more sense. In
24 fact, I could even see a system where if
25 all three haulers could come to an

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

agreement with the customer, the DSNY needs to get involved. But again, what would that tell you if all three haulers couldn't do it?

So, the best solution here is the competition. I thought while we were having the three haulers -- and that what this does to the present relationship between a hauler and a customer, it just screams distrust, and it screams conflict. And to me, that is the exact opposite of what we are looking to do. So, as we emerge from this, once-in-a-century, hopefully, issue, I hope that the City can see these factors are real. This is just a bridge too far, and I don't think it is necessary. We have enough uncertainty happening with New York City's entire incentive for all. I think this will just complete evisceration of why it should be a positive partnership between customer and hauler. Thank you. I hope everyone is doing well. Thank you, sir.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

MR. BLAND: Thank you, Ron. Next up is Justin Wood.

MR. WOOD: Good morning, everyone. Nice to see you. I'm just pull up my testimony. Okay. My name is Justin Wood. I am the Director of Policy at New York Lawyers for the Public Interest. We want to thank the Department of Sanitation for the opportunity to submit comments on these proposed rules around fee structures and procedures for the commercial waste zones awardees and waste auditors. The Transform Don't Trash NYC coalition, I worked for years and even decades to make the City's waste systems safer and more equitable and more efficient. We are happy to see this implementation of commercial landmark waste zone system advancing this year following a painful year of pandemic delays. I want you to echo on what Ron just said. I hope everyone makes it through to this point, okay, and are doing well. We generally

1
2 support these proposed rules, which
3 would establish requirements and dispute
4 procedures for carters operating in the
5 CWZ related to waste audits, establish
6 fees for commercial waste zones system,
7 the administrative fees, and define
8 bicycles to be used in waste collection.
9 I want to suggest two key amendments to
10 the proposed rules to further promote
11 equity and inclusion of small business
12 and minority and women-owned business
13 enterprises in the new waste system.

14 So, the first of these is an
15 alternative licensure procedure for
16 waste auditors. As waste auditors and
17 other CWZ advocates have previously
18 testified requiring BIC, waste broker
19 licensure is inappropriate for auditors,
20 and creates a financial barrier to new
21 waste auditors attempting to enter a
22 sustainable waste management industry.
23 Trade waste brokers negotiate deals
24 between commercial customers and
25 collectors for a fee or commission,

1
2 whereas auditors will play an entirely
3 different function vital to waste
4 reduction and sustainability. In the
5 new case management system, these
6 auditing businesses may not be able to
7 succeed if they are subject to the same
8 financial and procedural hurdles as well
9 as brokers and face prohibitively
10 expensive licensing fees and unnecessary
11 procedural hoops. This is preventing
12 and may continue to prevent local
13 sustainable women-and-minority led
14 businesses in playing a critical role in
15 the new waste management system. So, we
16 would suggest instead that DSNY create
17 its own process for waste auditor
18 licensure to ideally not include
19 criminal background checks. Would
20 require a licensing fee that is
21 affordable for small local waste
22 auditing businesses, and would include
23 either a one or two-year licensing fee
24 exemption for newly-formed businesses
25 with a pilot program to support such

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

newly-formed businesses. Creating a new license structure under DSNY will allow requirements to better reflect the nature of waste auditing and empower new local sustainable and NWBE-led businesses to play a critical role in the new waste management system.

The second amendment we would like to suggest is around how the waste audits are paid for. While it is important that CWZ contract awardees bear the cost of the independent waste audits, the reimbursement structure outlined in the proposed rules is cumbersome and places an unnecessary logistical burden with the haulers and the commercial establishments they serve. Requiring carters reimburse their contracted commercial establishments for waste audits may create disputes, may discourage small business owners from seeking or obtaining audits and reimbursement. We believe a simpler, more transparent

1
2 system is for DSNY to ensure the annual
3 administrative fee in Section 16-1013 is
4 to be charged to each contracted awardee
5 is increased sufficiently to cover the
6 anticipated cost of waste audits for the
7 customers in each zone, and that DSNY
8 contract with qualified independent
9 waste auditors to provide the service to
10 every customer in those zones. And
11 under this simplified structure, the
12 City would be able to streamline and
13 centralize commercial waste generation
14 data collected by the auditors. This
15 data will serve as a baseline commercial
16 waste characterization study and will
17 provide a detailed and evolving picture
18 of the types and quantities of waste
19 being generated by different types of
20 businesses, enabling the City and the
21 new CWZ system to effectively target
22 waste production, recycling and
23 collection programs to achieve the
24 broader environmental efficiency and
25 safety goals of the new system.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

Thank you for your time and consideration and hearing our comments. We look forward to our continued work with the Department of Sanitation to implement the commercial waste zone system in a way that honors these transformative goals.

MR. BLAND: Thanks, Justin. We have one more speaker, Steve Changaris. If anyone wishes to speak, put it in our chat feature. And if you haven't already done so, e-mail us your written testimony at NYC Rules at DSNY dot NYC dot gov. That would be appreciated.

MR. CHANGARIS: Good morning, Justin. Everybody, good to be with you this morning. My name is Steve Changaris. I am the New York City Chapter Director for the National Waste and Recycling Association. We are the trade association for many private waste and recycling companies that operate in New York City and the State, and around the country. I have been doing this

1
2 work for some 30 years and the Chapter
3 has empowered me to speak today a little
4 bit about these regulations -- these
5 proposed regulations. We will be
6 submitting formal written testimony by
7 the close of business today pursuant to
8 the notice. And as you noted, Justin,
9 in your opening comments, these rules
10 pertained to more than just waste
11 audits. First, I'm going to look at the
12 outline.

13 The New York City Chapter of DNWRA
14 has no comment regarding the proposed
15 bicycle definition. We don't have a lot
16 of bicycle haulers in our trade
17 association at this time. That may
18 change as the micro haulers advance.
19 The second part of this proposed
20 regulation, regarding the actual fees
21 per the zone awardees, whether it is for
22 one of the 20 zones, one the three
23 companies in the 20 zones, whether it is
24 for a containerized service, we are
25 encouraging this fee be eliminated from

1
2 the regulation proposal. We don't know
3 what the cost of the new services will
4 be to the customers in the new zones.
5 These fees represent millions of dollars
6 in costs that have not been identified
7 in previous trade waste rate cap audits
8 of our members in trade waste companies
9 until the system is in place, and mature
10 to save those costs from being paid by
11 the awardees. And again, the logic here
12 is, it is not coming out of the
13 awardees' pocket, it is coming out of
14 the rate-payers of the system, the
15 commercial waste companies in the City
16 that generates commercial trade wastes.
17 So, at this time, we don't think it is
18 prudent to advance fees for -- as
19 proposed in this regulation.

20 On the commercial waste audit
21 feature of this proposed regulation, we
22 review this as best, well intended, but
23 nevertheless call for it to be withdrawn
24 entirely for further consideration. It
25 is a non-solution in search of a

1
2 non-problem. Our companies today are
3 all licensed and vetted for honesty and
4 integrity with all the appropriate
5 knowledge, skills and ability to operate
6 a private trade waste in the City that
7 are regulated by BIC at this time, and
8 in addition to the current vetting of
9 the companies by BIC and the City, we
10 have to look forward into the new
11 commercial waste zone world, and by that
12 time, any one of the three companies
13 operating in a zone or providing
14 containerized service Citywide, are
15 going to have to pass through this
16 screening and performance criteria
17 incredibly intense screening and
18 performance criteria, set by the City
19 through the request for proposal
20 process. So, they are going to have
21 another level of review.

22 And further, with this process,
23 being you have to view the company as
24 being the best providing service, as the
25 best of the best services providers for

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

the City businesses in the trade waste services.

In addition, since each zone is going to be competitively big, negotiated and contracted to, it is really hard, to think any awardee would perform in a manner of potentially treating trade waste customers unfairly, and it is never to a negative degree that would require this kind of Byzantine, costly and ineffective waste audit process.

I have been doing this business, I like said at the start, for up close to 30 years. It has already been noted that the new commercial waste zone system is based on customer choice, at least three haulers per zone, and that is going to ensure high customer service level, as well as in any event when there is any competitors, that drives costs down. Currently, we work with all of our customers in providing services, and we work out the details of those

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

services in a way that is equitable and fair to both the generator of the waste as well as the trade waste company providing the service. We want to be clear at this time, we are not opposed to waste generation audits. In this case, we are just opposed to the City has proposed the commercial waste generation audit process, and how it is designed in these proposed regulations. We have never seen, in my 30 years, and I have talked to peers, that this is a regulation where the customer -- a third-party conducted waste audit has been paid by the service provider. It's just not traditional, it is not consistent, and it is not at all aligned with even the historical precedence of REKRA (ph), as the generators are supposed to be the ones responsible for their proper management, and they have an integral role, at least, to be responsible for the proper management of waste. If they want a waste audit, and

1
2 if they are not satisfied with the
3 relationship they have with their
4 hauler, they are entirely free to go get
5 one, and we encourage that. And, we
6 know it helps to reduce waste it
7 generates to begin with, and reduces the
8 amount disposed, and it helps with the
9 diversion of more waste to go into
10 recycling and diversion and organics
11 programs.

12 There are so many other features of
13 the proposed rule. We have an issue
14 with the typical snapshot view of a
15 commercial account. We believe the BIC
16 process with the 14-day waste
17 characterization is much better, that
18 there is no operational standards for
19 third-party waste auditors, and there is
20 no credentials, and there is no language
21 in the proposal to prevent conflicts of
22 interest. These known awardees that
23 have longstanding legal contractual --
24 well, very well may have longstanding
25 legal contractual rights impaired by the

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

findings of these third-party auditors,
and we believe, without fair and
reasonable recourse.

Some of our legal advisors have let
us know that this is the design for an
irregulation (sic), and may very well be
its legal undoing upon challenged when
adopted, and put in to practice and
enforced will benefit we believe.
Again, it is noted that the third-party
auditor process creates an intensive
that it will undermine trust and viable
working relationships that have been
hammered out and mutually agreed to,
without providing real benefit, we
believe. The regulation proposes that
this rebuttable presumption of accuracy.
And again, this is one of those new
features that we believe is very legally
suspicious, and it is just not very
appropriate to infuse in this kind of
process.

Last, you know, based on what he
said so far, the audit process and the

1
2 service provider payment procedure is
3 structured improperly. It should only
4 be a tool of later or last resort of a
5 remedy dispute process after reasonable
6 due diligence is attempted to resolve
7 outstanding concerns. The third party,
8 audit procedure will be a cost driver
9 for commercial zone waste services, and
10 will be paid for by all trade waste
11 customers for the benefit of a few waste
12 generators, but mostly for the financial
13 benefit of third-party waste auditors.
14 It will be unfair and costly, as a
15 result, to many trade waste customers.

16 Again, on the last part of the
17 regulation involves the maintenance
18 condition. Those regulatory
19 requirements are supported by the
20 chapter as sound and reasonable
21 standards for all commercial trade waste
22 companies awarded zone bids.

23 The Chapter lastly, will go on the
24 record here and restate to either
25 withdraw or significantly rewrite the

1
2 proposed regulation. We urge the City
3 to finalize its decision here, well in
4 advance of the next-coming round of
5 commercial waste zone requests for the
6 proposal bidding process. The cost
7 associated with this third-party audit
8 proposal and fees are unknown, and if
9 not withdrawn and significantly
10 rewritten, will add unnecessary
11 procedures and uncertainty to the
12 bidding process and the operation of
13 trade waste businesses in the City's new
14 commercial waste zones, largely to the
15 benefit of no one. So, we thank you for
16 the opportunity to testify. We will get
17 these written record written comments on
18 record. Thank you.

19 MR. BLAND: Thank you, Steve. We
20 have Andy Moss from Waste Connections.

21 MR. MOSS: We have already submitted
22 our comment in writing, but I figured I
23 would climb in and highlight a few major
24 points. Our testimony today is going to
25 reiterate a lot of what has already been

1
2 said, but I want to say, so Waste
3 Connection, we are excited about the
4 process of the commercial zone waste
5 system, as we believe it will greatly
6 improve the manner in which the waste
7 and recycle industry will operate in our
8 unique City. We are confident that the
9 Waste Connections company that the City
10 wants as a service provider throughout
11 five boroughs. Our corporate culture
12 embraces the safety of customer service
13 and giving back to the communities in
14 which we serve. We pay our workers the
15 highest salaries in the industry and we
16 are a financially secure company. We
17 welcome post-regulatory enhancement
18 proposed thus far, and these comments
19 are offered in the spirit of improving
20 these rules. And while we operate in 44
21 states in the United States, and six
22 provinces in Canada, we have never
23 encountered a waste audit system such as
24 the one being proposed. We believe
25 these audit rules are flawed, and will

1
2 result in increased prices for
3 consumers. And based on our experience,
4 we fear the rules will greatly impact
5 our ability to directly and serve our
6 existing and future customers. We see
7 three fundamental problems, first of
8 which the proposed rules assume that
9 waste audits could be conducted in one
10 day. That is considered typical. This
11 one day will be the representative for
12 the many various types of commercial
13 establishments in the City as dynamic as
14 New York. In the current regulatory
15 scene between the customer and services
16 provider, we think it works quite well,
17 we don't see any reason to change it.
18 As Ron Bergamimi had mentioned, through
19 our work with the Business Integrity
20 Commission, this is just not an issue
21 that really ever comes up. I would note
22 that the Business Integrity Commission's
23 own survey form contemplates seven days
24 of data collection, not one day,
25 proposed under these rules. Almost

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

every restaurant has a busy day and a quiet day, and is impacted by temperatures, seasonality, and one day is just not representative and will not work.

Second, the proposed rules require that a waste broker, who may be acting as an awardee elsewhere in the City must perform any waste generation audit. This fundamentally disrupts the relationship between carter and customer by allowing the broker to use waste surveys as a marketing tool to gain customers at the carter's expense. Under these proposed rules, brokers, I am sure, will market their services to commercial establishments by offering free audits, promising to save them money. The irony is that brokers will be utilizing these under-represented, flawed survey data subsidized by the waste haulers with no incentive to conduct the surveys properly, since they will have the rebuttal presumption that

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

the data is accurate. These regulations would arm brokers who are competitive, with an incentive to capture our customers, and to act as judge and jury to the accuracy, using only one day of survey data. These proposed rules wrongly put brokers at the heart of the waste audit process.

If the City must upend the how surveys are conducted, auditors must be, as you have heard from others, be independent actors. We would propose they be separately licensed by the Business Integrity Commissions under new classification. The new class of auditors must not have any relationship or subcontractor agreement with any waste hauler or operator in the zone as a primary hauler, they must be truly independent.

Third, square footage is a poor indicator of the amount of waste that a company generates. A restaurant and a nails salon, which both could be under

1
2 5,000 square feet typically produce
3 different amounts of wastes and
4 recycling. If the proposed regulations
5 would require the cost for both audits
6 to be same, we have hundreds but not
7 thousands of customers priced under \$500
8 per month, some much lower than \$500.
9 Requiring carters to reimburse customers
10 \$500 or more for these audits would
11 represent multiples of
12 customer-generated revenue. So, if the
13 typical hauler in our industry is
14 operating on a 10 percent margin, it
15 would have to generate \$5,000 in revenue
16 to pay for just one audit. And I'll
17 point out, as I think others have today,
18 that every truck, every paycheck, even
19 every paper clip is ultimately paid out
20 of customer-generated revenue, the City
21 can require the audits. The City can
22 require the carters pay for these
23 audits, but ultimately, the customer, is
24 the one who pays. These regulations
25 create a system where every customer

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

whether they needs it or not, would have an incentive to have a survey that it pays through, that pays for through higher prices.

Finally I would say, there are a couple of unaddressed questions, as were mentioned by Tom Toscano, how the rules will ultimately work. It's not clear to us what happens if volume will increase over time, from an initial audit. It's also unclear if the petition of the Department of Sanitation, how that works, if the challenge is successful, is the resulting increase in tonnage retroactive to when the initial submission was put into the sanitation. This is not addressed by the regulations or the proposed rules. We appreciate the opportunity to comment on this. We look forward to continue working with Department of Sanitation on this. Thank you.

MR. BLAND: That concludes the speakers who signed up to testify.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

We'll wrap this hearing up, and stay
well.

(Time Noted: 10:21 a.m.)

<p>\$10 26:13</p> <p>\$10714873 7:9</p> <p>\$250 25:22</p> <p>\$50 21:8</p> <p>\$500 20:16 21:6 25:19,23 47:7,8,10 (7)</p> <p>\$5000 47:15</p> <p>09152021 50:11</p> <p>14day 39:16</p> <p>16th 26:4</p> <p>19th 5:3</p> <p>1st 50:17</p> <p>24hour 19:6</p> <p>ability 36:5 44:5</p> <p>able 13:24 15:18,23 16:4,8 30:6 32:12 (7)</p> <p>about 4:13 5:19 11:7 14:5 21:5 22:20,21 25:16,17,22 26:21 34:4 43:3 (13)</p> <p>accepting 7:15</p> <p>access 9:3 16:5,8</p> <p>accomplish 17:10</p> <p>accordance 3:9</p> <p>account 7:3 19:24 20:13 21:8 39:15 (5)</p> <p>accounts 19:16,18 20:6 22:7 (4)</p>	<p>accuracy 40:18 46:6</p> <p>accurate 17:7,22 18:14 20:12 46:2 50:10 (6)</p> <p>accurately 8:9 16:10</p> <p>achieve 32:23</p> <p>act 3:11 46:5</p> <p>acting 45:8</p> <p>action 2:8 15:19 23:19 50:13 (4)</p> <p>actors 10:9 46:13</p> <p>actual 34:20</p> <p>add 42:10</p> <p>adding 24:7</p> <p>addition 18:15 36:8 37:4</p> <p>additional 16:5,14 25:21</p> <p>addressed 48:18</p> <p>administrative 3:10 29:7 32:3</p> <p>adopted 40:9</p> <p>adoption 4:3</p> <p>advance 7:25 34:18 35:18 42:4 (4)</p> <p>advancing 28:21</p> <p>advisors 40:5</p> <p>advisory 8:23</p> <p>advocate 9:2</p>	<p>advocates 29:17</p> <p>affiliation 8:6</p> <p>affordable 30:21</p> <p>after 11:16 23:21 41:5</p> <p>again 26:14 27:3 35:11 40:11,19 41:16 (6)</p> <p>aggregated 12:4</p> <p>ago 25:13</p> <p>agreed 40:15</p> <p>agreement 25:11 27:2 46:18</p> <p>aligned 38:18</p> <p>alike 9:24</p> <p>allow 17:5 31:3</p> <p>allowed 17:18</p> <p>allowing 20:15 45:13</p> <p>allows 9:25 21:24</p> <p>almost 20:13 44:25</p> <p>along 21:12</p> <p>already 15:18 33:13 37:16 42:21,25 (5)</p> <p>also 5:18 6:20 8:11,12 10:19 11:4 12:3,10 13:20 15:16 22:9 25:25 48:12 (13)</p> <p>alternative 29:15</p> <p>always 26:10</p>	<p>am 1:14 3:3 8:20 10:6 18:6 23:16,17 25:4 28:7 33:19 45:17 49:4 50:12,14 (14)</p> <p>amend 1:8 3:18</p> <p>amended 7:3</p> <p>amendment 31:9</p> <p>amendments 29:9</p> <p>among 15:9</p> <p>amount 6:10 20:3,25 39:8 46:23 (5)</p> <p>amounts 5:13 47:3</p> <p>analogy 17:11</p> <p>andy 2:12 42:20</p> <p>annual 7:8 32:2</p> <p>anomaly 20:10</p> <p>another 13:15 36:21</p> <p>answered 10:8</p> <p>anticipated 32:6</p> <p>anyone 7:25 16:19 17:18 23:13 33:11 (5)</p> <p>appreciate 48:19</p> <p>appreciated 33:15</p> <p>approach 9:4</p> <p>appropriate 36:4 40:22</p>	<p>approximately 24:11</p> <p>arbitrate 26:22</p> <p>are 6:14 8:10,17 10:3,7 11:2,19,20,21,22 12:13,25 15:17 17:14 18:19 19:14,16,22,23,25 21:21 22:11,13,14,22 24:3,11,18 25:2,16 26:3 27:13,17 28:19,25 30:7 31:11 33:21 34:24 36:2,7,14,20 38:6,8,20 39:2,4,12 41:19 42:8 43:3,8,16,19,25 46:3,11 48:6 (59)</p> <p>area 8:4</p> <p>arguably 25:15</p> <p>arm 46:3</p> <p>around 28:12 31:10 33:24</p> <p>ask 8:11,13</p> <p>aspects 22:6,24</p> <p>assess 10:16 15:7</p> <p>assessing 6:10</p> <p>assessment 11:2,5,9,13 15:24 (5)</p> <p>assessments 10:19 17:24</p> <p>assessors 10:22 11:22 15:21</p> <p>assist 6:2</p>
---	---	--	--	--

<p>associated 4:6 42:7</p> <p>association 2:11 15:15 33:21,22 34:17 (5)</p> <p>assume 44:8</p> <p>attempted 41:6</p> <p>attempting 29:21</p> <p>attending 3:6</p> <p>audit 5:10,12,16,18 6:9,18 11:9 20:2,12,17 22:7,15 25:20 26:6 35:20 37:13 38:10,15,25 40:25 41:8 42:7 43:23,25 45:10 46:9 47:16 48:11 (28)</p> <p>auditing 30:6,22 31:5</p> <p>auditor 26:2 30:17 40:12</p> <p>auditors 21:21 28:14 29:16,16,19,21 30:2 32:9,14 39:19 40:2 41:13 46:11,17 (14)</p> <p>audits 1:8 3:17 18:3 19:2,5 21:15,17 22:2,14 24:3 26:12 29:5 31:11,14,21,24 32:6 34:11 35:7 38:7 44:9 45:19 47:5,10,21,23 (26)</p> <p>august 3:20</p> <p>average 12:2,9</p> <p>averages 12:4</p>	<p>avoided 20:5</p> <p>award 7:9</p> <p>awarded 41:22</p> <p>awardee 6:8,25 22:9,10,13 23:4 32:4 37:7 45:9 (9)</p> <p>awardees 7:8 28:14 31:12 34:21 35:11 39:22 (6)</p> <p>awardees' 35:13</p> <p>back 43:13</p> <p>background 30:19</p> <p>bag 20:19</p> <p>bags 11:20 12:7,8,20 (4)</p> <p>barrier 29:20</p> <p>based 17:3 37:18 40:24 44:3 (4)</p> <p>baseline 32:15</p> <p>basis 4:15</p> <p>bear 31:13</p> <p>bears 23:9</p> <p>because 12:13 19:13,21 20:22 23:22 (5)</p> <p>become 20:16</p> <p>before 18:7 22:25</p> <p>begin 7:20 8:14 16:10 39:7 (4)</p> <p>behalf 23:17</p> <p>behavior 14:8,16</p>	<p>22:3</p> <p>behavioral 9:6</p> <p>behaviors 13:23</p> <p>being 4:25 18:2,21 19:19 26:21 32:19 35:10 36:23,24 43:24 (10)</p> <p>believe 17:4 31:25 39:15 40:3,10,17,20 43:5,24 (9)</p> <p>benefit 9:17 40:10,16 41:11,13 42:15 (6)</p> <p>beneida 1:25 50:8</p> <p>bergamini 2:8 16:18 23:13,16,16 44:18 (6)</p> <p>best 10:15 27:6 35:22 36:24,25,25 (6)</p> <p>better 21:16 31:4 39:17</p> <p>between 6:16 27:10,23 29:24 44:15 45:12 (6)</p> <p>bic 29:18 36:7,9 39:15 (4)</p> <p>bicycle 6:21 7:2,4 34:15,16 (5)</p> <p>bicycles 29:8</p> <p>bidding 42:6,12</p> <p>bids 41:22</p> <p>big 37:5</p>	<p>bill 21:5,7 25:18</p> <p>billing 14:6 19:8</p> <p>bit 34:4</p> <p>black 12:7</p> <p>bland 2:3 3:2,3 16:16 23:12 28:2 33:9 42:19 48:24 (9)</p> <p>blood 50:13</p> <p>board 8:24</p> <p>boards 3:24</p> <p>booming 22:19</p> <p>boroughs 43:11</p> <p>both 7:14 9:20 38:3 46:25 47:5 (5)</p> <p>brandnew 22:15</p> <p>brands 24:7</p> <p>bridge 27:18</p> <p>bringing 6:18</p> <p>broader 32:24</p> <p>broker 18:15,18,21,24 22:9,10,12 29:18 45:8,13 (10)</p> <p>brokerage 10:24</p> <p>brokering 11:3</p> <p>brokers 10:24 18:6,8,11,21 21:23,25 22:5,7 23:5 29:23 30:9 45:16,20 46:3,8 (16)</p>	<p>build 9:2,9</p> <p>bundle 20:20</p> <p>burden 31:17</p> <p>business 10:20 11:7,17 15:10,11 17:2 22:18,20 29:11,12 31:23 34:7 37:14 44:19,22 46:15 (16)</p> <p>businesses 4:10,20 11:24 12:3,25 14:5,8,14 15:6,8,23 16:7 24:18 30:6,14,22,24 31:2,7 32:20 37:2 42:13 (22)</p> <p>businesses' 12:19</p> <p>busy 19:23 20:23 21:14 45:2 (4)</p> <p>button 16:9</p> <p>byzantine 37:12</p> <p>c 2:2 50:2,2</p> <p>call 10:19 12:16 35:23</p> <p>calling 7:20</p> <p>calls 6:5</p> <p>can 4:14 5:15 8:8 12:23 14:15,19 15:9 16:6,10 22:7,8,12 25:15 26:2 27:16 47:21,21 (17)</p> <p>canada 43:22</p> <p>cap 20:14,15 21:4 35:7 (4)</p>
---	---	--	---	--

<p>capital 24:8</p> <p>capture 46:4</p> <p>captured 11:19</p> <p>carbon 13:21 14:9</p> <p>cardboard 12:8</p> <p>carefully 7:17</p> <p>cars 13:22</p> <p>carter 5:17 18:16,16,23 21:9 45:12 (6)</p> <p>carter's 45:15</p> <p>carters 1:6 2:7 3:14 4:19,22 5:7 6:16,24 21:22 23:6 29:4 31:19 47:9,22 (14)</p> <p>carting 16:25 23:19</p> <p>case 30:5 38:8</p> <p>centralize 32:13</p> <p>ceo 16:24</p> <p>certainly 24:17</p> <p>certification 15:22</p> <p>certify 50:10,12</p> <p>challenge 48:14</p> <p>challenged 40:8</p> <p>changaris 2:11 16:19 33:10,16,19 (5)</p> <p>change 9:7,8 34:18 44:17 (4)</p>	<p>changes 7:5 10:13 13:25</p> <p>chapter 33:20 34:2,13 41:20,23 (5)</p> <p>characterization 32:16 39:17</p> <p>charge 26:2</p> <p>charged 32:4</p> <p>charges 24:6</p> <p>chart 13:17</p> <p>chat 8:4 16:21 23:15 33:12 (4)</p> <p>cheaper 12:17</p> <p>checks 30:19</p> <p>choice 37:18</p> <p>city 1:3,4 2:4 3:5,10,20,22 4:12 9:16 10:14,20 11:23 13:24 17:12,17,21,22,23 23:11 24:7,11 25:18 27:16 32:12,20 33:19,24 34:13 35:15 36:6,9,18 37:2 38:8 42:2 43:8,9 44:13 45:9 46:10 47:20,21 (42)</p> <p>city's 3:23 4:10,11 6:4,5 17:4 27:20 28:17 42:13 (9)</p> <p>citysupported 15:22</p> <p>citywide 4:24 36:14</p> <p>clarified 22:6</p>	<p>clarify 22:24</p> <p>class 46:16</p> <p>classification 46:16</p> <p>clean 18:17</p> <p>cleaner 4:11</p> <p>clear 9:24 12:7 19:8 38:6 48:9 (5)</p> <p>clearly 8:7 10:15</p> <p>click 16:9</p> <p>climb 42:23</p> <p>clip 47:19</p> <p>close 34:7 37:15</p> <p>closed 19:16</p> <p>closely 19:3</p> <p>closer 26:13</p> <p>coalition 8:22 28:15</p> <p>cofounding 15:15</p> <p>collect 18:24</p> <p>collected 10:3 13:14 32:14</p> <p>collection 4:24 9:22 11:8 29:8 32:23 44:24 (6)</p> <p>collectors 29:25</p> <p>color 13:16</p> <p>come 18:12,25 26:25</p> <p>comes 24:15 44:21</p>	<p>coming 35:12,13</p> <p>comment 34:14 42:22 48:20</p> <p>comments 3:12 7:13,15,18,19 16:13 28:11 33:3 34:9 42:17 43:18 (11)</p> <p>commercial 1:6,7 2:3 3:4,15,16,18 4:4,6,13,20,23 5:8, 10,11,14,15,17,18 6:2,7,9,11,12 9:10,21 12:15 23:9 24:5,10 28:13,20 29:6,24 31:18,20 32:13,15 33:6 35:15,16,20 36:11 37:17 38:9 39:15 41:9,21 42:5,14 43:4 44:12 45:18 (53)</p> <p>commission 10:20 29:25 44:20</p> <p>commission's 44:22</p> <p>commissions 46:15</p> <p>common 2:5 8:21</p> <p>communicate 16:4</p> <p>communities 43:13</p> <p>community 3:24</p> <p>companies 33:23 34:23 35:8,15 36:2,9,12 41:22 (8)</p> <p>company 23:19 36:23 38:4 43:9,16 46:24 (6)</p>	<p>competition 25:7,8 27:7</p> <p>competitive 4:17 46:3</p> <p>competitively 37:5</p> <p>competitors 37:22</p> <p>complete 27:22</p> <p>compliance 24:8</p> <p>complying 6:3</p> <p>compost 2:5 8:21,22 13:17 (4)</p> <p>composting 8:25 13:9</p> <p>concerning 7:13</p> <p>concerns 41:7</p> <p>concludes 48:24</p> <p>concrete 5:12</p> <p>condition 41:18</p> <p>conduct 45:24</p> <p>conducted 38:15 44:9 46:11</p> <p>conducting 3:8</p> <p>confident 43:8</p> <p>conflict 22:8 27:12</p> <p>conflicts 39:21</p> <p>connection 43:3</p> <p>connections 2:13 42:20 43:9</p>
--	--	---	---	---

consider 7:17	corporate 43:11	creates 9:10 29:20 40:12	2:5 8:16,18,20 (4)	28:10 33:5 48:13,22 (13)
consideration 33:3 35:24	correct 21:17 23:7	creating 9:17 31:2	data 20:4 32:14,15 44:24 45:22 46:2,7 (7)	department's 3:13 4:14
considered 44:10	correctly 12:23	credentials 39:20	day 12:2,9 20:2,12,19,23 22:16 44:10,11,24 45:2,3,4 46:6 50:17 (15)	describe 6:15
consistent 24:3 38:18	cost 5:9 12:18,21,25 14:15 15:3 24:12 25:22 26:6 31:13 32:6 35:3 41:8 42:6 47:5 (15)	criminal 30:19	days 19:22 25:3,14 44:23 (4)	design 40:6
consumers 44:3	costing 17:25	criteria 36:16,18	deals 29:23	designated 5:24 6:24
contacting 13:10	costly 37:12 41:14	critical 30:14 31:7	decades 24:22 28:16	designed 38:11
containerized 4:23 34:24 36:14	costs 13:18 23:4 25:16 35:6,10 37:23 (6)	culture 43:11	decision 42:3	despite 19:19
containers 12:21 14:14	could 13:8,20 14:6,8 18:24 26:24,25 44:9 46:25 (9)	cumbersome 31:16	decline 24:6	detail 11:25
contamination 5:20 6:11 11:11	couldn't 27:5	curb 11:18	decoupled 11:3	detailed 6:13 10:13 11:11,13 32:17 (5)
contemplates 44:23	council 8:25	current 36:8 44:14	decoupling 18:7	details 4:12 16:14 37:25
continue 25:13 30:12 48:21	country 33:25	currently 37:23	deeper 11:10	determine 20:2
continued 33:4	county 50:6	customer 18:22,22 25:9,14,23 26:5 27:2,11,24 32:10 37:18,20 38:14 43:12 44:15 45:12 47:23,25 (18)	define 29:7	develop 15:4
contract 31:12 32:8	couple 48:7	customergenerat ed 47:12,20	definition 6:21,23 7:2,4 34:15 (5)	development 9:14
contracted 31:20 32:4 37:6	coupled 25:12	customers 5:9 6:17 15:2 17:5,8 20:8 24:5,10,23,25 25:17 26:12 29:24 32:7 35:4 37:9,24 41:11,15 44:6 45:15 46:5 47:7,9 (24)	definitions 1:8 3:19	dialogue 10:11
contractual 39:23,25	court 7:10 8:8	customers' 24:18	degree 37:10	differ 19:11
contribute 9:24	cover 32:5	cwz 15:3 29:5,17 31:12 32:21 (5)	delays 28:22	different 30:3 32:19 47:3
conversations 20:7	crazy 20:3	danbergficarelli	demand 9:8	digital 9:21
coordinated 11:23	create 12:18 23:3 30:16 31:22 47:25 (5)		demand's 14:17	diligence 41:6
copies 3:21	created 18:12 23:8		demonstrate 13:7	directly 44:5
copy 8:12			department 1:4 2:4 3:5 4:16 5:6 14:2 21:13,19,24	director 2:3 3:4 8:20 28:7 33:20 (5)
corp 16:25				disagree 20:8
				disagreements

24:25	drafted 19:9	efficiently 16:11	enterprises 29:13	evaluation 17:6
discourage 31:22	dramatically 4:5	either 7:13 25:10 30:23 41:24 (4)	entire 27:20	evaluations 17:20,23
dismantle 10:21	driver 20:25 41:8	elected 3:22	entirely 30:2 35:24 39:4	even 22:4 26:24 28:16 38:19 47:18 (5)
disposed 39:8	drives 37:22	eliminated 34:25	entitled 6:8	event 37:21
disprove 17:21,25	driving 9:21	else 16:20 23:13	environmental 2:8 23:20 32:24	events 20:4
dispute 21:12 29:3 41:5	dsny 3:8,21 6:19 7:17 11:4 15:2,9 24:6,12 26:18,21 27:2 30:16 31:3 32:2,7 33:14 (17)	elsewhere 45:9	environmentally 9:13 14:17	ever 44:21
disputed 21:15	dsny's 15:24	emailed 3:21	envision 26:3	everimproving 9:12
disputes 6:16,19 21:14 31:22 (4)	due 5:3 17:16 41:6	embraces 43:12	equitable 28:18 38:2	every 17:12 18:12 20:13 26:5 32:10 45:2 47:18,18,19,25 (10)
disrupts 45:11	dynamic 44:13	emerge 27:14	equity 29:11	everybody 16:22 33:17
distrust 27:11	e 2:2,2 50:2,2 (4)	empower 31:5	equivalencies 13:21	everyone 8:19 27:25 28:4,24 (4)
diversion 14:6 39:9,10	each 4:20 5:20 6:6 11:19 21:19 32:4,7 37:4 (8)	empowered 34:3	especially 14:12 20:10,23	evisceration 27:22
diverting 5:23 12:12	earlier 13:6	enabling 32:20	essentially 23:22	evolving 32:17
dives 11:10	easy 23:21	encountered 43:23	establish 1:5,8 3:14,17 5:6 6:21 7:8 29:3,5 (9)	exact 27:13
dnwra 34:13	ebikes 7:6	encourage 39:5	establishment 5:14,15,19 6:3,7 20:24 (6)	example 12:6 13:5 21:5
does 10:5 20:17 22:9,16 27:9 (5)	echo 28:23	encourages 14:22 15:4	establishment's 6:12	excited 43:3
doesn't 26:15	economies 14:11,19	encouraging 10:14 34:25	establishments 31:18,21 44:13 45:18 (4)	executed 13:3 16:3
doing 21:21 27:25 28:25 33:25 37:14 (5)	economy 9:15,16	endorse 23:22	estate 26:10	exemption 30:24
dollars 25:21 35:5	education 9:5	enforced 40:10	estimate 18:13	existing 9:11 15:13,17 44:6 (4)
done 8:10 24:23 33:13	educator 13:12	engage 22:2	evaluate 17:19	expansion 9:3
dot 33:14,15	effectively 12:24 32:21	enhancement 43:17	evaluating 21:22	expect 24:5
down 26:4 37:23	efficiency 32:24	enough 27:19		
draft 10:13	efficient 9:18 14:7 28:18	ensure 21:8 32:2 37:20		
		enter 29:21		

expectation 24:4	feet 47:2	footage 21:3 46:22	generated 12:2 32:19	16:23 22:14 28:4 33:16,17 (7)
expense 45:15	few 15:9 19:22 24:2 25:12 41:11	form 44:23	generates 5:14 35:16 39:7 46:24 (4)	gov 33:15
expenses 13:20	42:23 (6)	formal 34:6	generation 1:7 3:16 5:10,11 6:9,17 14:5 32:13 38:7,10 45:10 (11)	greatly 23:4 43:5 44:4
expensive 30:10	fiftynine 3:23	forthcoming 4:3	generator 38:3	green 9:15
experience 18:20 44:3	fight 26:17	forward 33:4 36:10 48:21	generators 38:20 41:12	ground 2:5 8:21
experts 16:9	figured 42:22	foster 15:9	get 12:6 13:4,15 16:2 17:5,16,18,22 21:18,25 22:19,21 25:20 26:10 27:3 39:4 42:16 (17)	group 2:8
extra 25:16	fill 15:25	found 4:14	gets 18:15 21:17 22:15	growing 9:14
extrapolate 19:7	finalize 22:25 42:3	four 26:17	getting 13:11 18:16	growth 14:10,18,23 15:9 (4)
f 50:2	finally 7:7 48:6	franchise 21:23	give 17:7	guidance 13:11 16:8
face 30:9	financial 29:20 30:8 41:12	frankly 24:20 26:18	giving 8:10 21:23 43:13	hammered 40:15
fact 26:2,24	financially 43:16	free 39:4 45:19	glass 14:13	hamptons 22:23
factors 24:9 27:17	findings 40:2	function 8:3 30:3	go 10:5 39:4,9 41:23 (4)	hand 8:3 50:17
fair 5:16 14:7 38:3 40:3 (4)	finer 21:25	fundamental 44:7	goal 10:14 17:4	happen 17:17
fairly 14:21	first 8:15 18:4 19:4 20:11 21:18 26:20 29:14 34:11 44:7 (9)	fundamentally 45:11	goals 4:11 17:11 32:25 33:8 (4)	happening 27:20
far 27:18 40:25 43:18	five 4:22 43:11	further 6:4 18:21 20:4 29:10 35:24 36:22 50:12 (7)	going 18:6 20:16 21:13 23:20 24:19 26:4 34:11 36:15,20 37:5,20 42:24 (12)	happens 18:14 48:10
fear 44:4	flawed 43:25 45:22	future 9:9 44:6	gone 18:25	happy 28:19
feature 16:21 23:15 33:12 35:21 (4)	flow 17:7	gain 45:14	good 3:2 8:18	hard 37:7
features 39:12 40:20	focus 11:14	garbage 19:15		has 7:19 13:19 14:2 17:21 19:10 25:13 34:3,14 37:16 38:9,15 42:25 45:2 (13)
february 5:3	focuses 9:5	gathering 11:11		hauler 6:23 13:10 25:9,13 27:10,24 39:4 46:19,20 47:13 (10)
fee 7:8 28:12 29:25 30:20,23 32:3 34:25 (7)	follow 13:8 14:20	geared 26:19		haulers 9:11
fees 1:8 3:17 18:24 23:5 29:6,7 30:10 34:20 35:5,18 42:8 (11)	followed 10:16,18 16:18	general 11:6		
	following 28:21	generally 28:25		
	food 13:13	generate 47:15		

14:4,7,23 15:4,14 16:5 25:6 26:25 27:4,8 31:17 34:16,18 37:19 45:23 (16)	holidays 20:3	impression 25:5	inferences 26:3	investmentrelated 24:9
haven't 33:12	honesty 36:3	improperly 41:3	information 5:19 11:6 14:4 17:8 (4)	involved 27:3
having 13:13 25:6 27:8	honors 33:7	improve 4:8 43:6	infrastructure 9:19,20	involves 41:17
he 23:23,24 40:24	hoops 30:11	improving 43:19	infuse 40:22	irony 45:20
heard 46:12	hope 19:25 27:16,24 28:23 (4)	inappropriate 29:19	initial 48:11,16	irregulation 40:7
hearing 1:9,12 3:7,9,11 7:11,18,25 33:3 49:2 (10)	hopefully 27:15	incentive 18:12 27:21 45:23 46:4 48:3 (5)	initiate 5:16	is 3:3,8,11 6:22 7:10 8:19 10:4,4,4,6,8 11:12,17 16:23 17:4,7,13,15 18:2,4,9,17 19:3,4,12,13,19,20 20:3,5,10,14,16 21:8,13 22:4,10,18,19 23:18 24:14,17,20,24 25:4,6,19,20,24,25 26:18 27:6,12,17,18,25 28:3,6 29:14,19 30:11,20 31:10,11,15 32:2,3,5 33:18 34:21,23 35:9,12,1 2,13,17,25 37:4,6,10,18,20,22 38:2,10,13,17,18 39:17,18,19,20 40:6,11,19,20,21 41:2,6 42:24 44:10,20 45:3,5,20 46:2,22 47:13,19,23 48:14,15,18 50:10 (114)
heart 46:8	hours 11:17 19:17	incentives 12:18	innovationinhibit ing 10:21	issued 4:25 5:2,4
heavier 19:20	how 10:2,3,6 11:7 19:11 21:5 22:14 31:10 38:10 46:10 48:8,13 (12)	include 13:10 26:15 30:18,22 (4)	innovative 15:4	issues 18:25 23:8
heaviest 19:12	hundreds 15:7 47:6	inclusion 29:11	innovators 10:9	issuing 23:2
help 6:4 12:23 17:8 25:6 (4)	hurdles 30:8	increase 48:10,15	insights 11:12	
helps 39:6,8	i'll 47:16	increased 23:4 32:5 44:2	instead 30:16	
here 7:3 18:4 25:4 27:6 35:11 41:24 42:3 (7)	i'm 15:15 16:24 28:5 34:11 (4)	incredibly 36:17	integral 38:23	
hereby 50:9	idea 25:5	incurs 24:12	integrity 10:20 36:4 44:19,22 46:15 (5)	
hereunto 50:16	ideally 30:18	independence 18:5	intended 35:22	
hey 26:6	identified 35:6	independent 17:5,22 21:20 31:13 32:8 46:13,21 (7)	intense 36:17	
high 37:20	immediately 14:10	indicates 26:14	intensive 40:12	
higher 48:5	impact 13:23 44:4	indicating 8:4	interest 28:9 39:22	
highest 43:15	impacted 45:3	indicator 46:23	interested 3:24 50:14	
highlight 42:23	impaired 39:25	individual 9:6,21	interstate 23:17	
historical 38:19	implement 33:6	industry 4:7 10:10 12:5 29:22 43:7,15 47:13 (7)	into 7:3 15:19 36:10 39:9 48:17 (5)	
hold 19:15 22:17	implementation 28:19	ineffective 37:12	inundated 17:24	
	important 31:12		inventory 16:7	

<p>items 14:13</p> <p>its 24:13 30:17 40:8 42:3 (4)</p> <p>job 23:21</p> <p>judge 24:18 46:5</p> <p>jump 15:18</p> <p>jury 46:5</p> <p>just 9:9 23:5,14,25,25 25:22 27:11,17,22 28:5,23 34:10 38:8,17 40:21 44:20 45:5 47:16 (18)</p> <p>justin 2:3,9 3:3 16:18,23 28:3,6 33:9,17 34:8 (10)</p> <p>keep 21:13</p> <p>keeps 24:7</p> <p>key 29:9</p> <p>kind 37:11 40:22</p> <p>know 16:21 24:25 26:18 35:2 39:6 40:6,24 (7)</p> <p>knowledge 36:5</p> <p>known 39:22</p> <p>labor 9:24</p> <p>laborintensive 20:21</p> <p>lack 18:5</p> <p>landfill 13:19</p> <p>landfills 12:12</p> <p>landmark 28:20</p>	<p>language 10:13 39:20</p> <p>largely 42:14</p> <p>largest 18:4</p> <p>last 40:24 41:4,16</p> <p>lastly 22:24 41:23</p> <p>later 22:18 41:4</p> <p>law 7:4 25:15</p> <p>laws 7:6</p> <p>lawyers 28:8</p> <p>least 19:23 25:7 37:19 38:23 (4)</p> <p>leaving 13:2</p> <p>led 30:13</p> <p>legal 39:23,25 40:5,8 (4)</p> <p>legally 40:20</p> <p>length 11:18</p> <p>less 12:12 25:19</p> <p>lesser 21:6</p> <p>let 16:20 40:5</p> <p>let's 26:6</p> <p>level 5:20 36:21 37:21</p> <p>levels 6:11</p> <p>license 10:23 17:18 31:3</p> <p>licensed 36:3 46:14</p>	<p>licensee 23:19</p> <p>licensing 30:10,20,23</p> <p>licensure 29:15,19 30:18</p> <p>life 4:8</p> <p>lighter 19:16</p> <p>lightest 19:21</p> <p>like 14:12,13 15:11 31:9 37:15 (5)</p> <p>limit 8:13</p> <p>line 22:19</p> <p>listening 16:15</p> <p>literacy 9:5</p> <p>little 34:3</p> <p>livable 9:9</p> <p>llc 8:21</p> <p>local 3:22 4:10 7:4,6 14:10 30:12,21 31:6 (8)</p> <p>locations 20:19</p> <p>logic 35:11</p> <p>logistical 31:17</p> <p>long 18:25 22:14</p> <p>longstanding 39:23,24</p> <p>look 33:4 34:11 36:10 48:21 (4)</p> <p>looking 27:14</p> <p>loser 25:24</p>	<p>lot 26:23 34:15 42:25</p> <p>lowball 17:24 26:10</p> <p>lower 19:19 47:8</p> <p>lowered 13:19</p> <p>lowest 18:13</p> <p>made 11:21</p> <p>maintenance 41:17</p> <p>major 42:23</p> <p>make 20:18 28:17</p> <p>makes 23:21 26:23 28:24</p> <p>manage 14:3</p> <p>management 14:11 29:22 30:5,15 31:8 38:22,24 (7)</p> <p>manhattan 8:23 22:21</p> <p>manner 37:8 43:6</p> <p>many 15:17 19:16 20:6 22:22 33:22 39:12 41:15 44:12 (8)</p> <p>margin 47:14</p> <p>market 23:10 45:17</p> <p>marketing 45:14</p> <p>marketplace 26:4</p>	<p>marriage 50:14</p> <p>match 13:23</p> <p>material 5:24 9:5 10:4,5 20:23,25 (6)</p> <p>materials 9:16 12:22 14:11,12,19 (5)</p> <p>matter 22:10 50:15</p> <p>mature 35:9</p> <p>may 6:25 7:11 8:2 30:6,12 31:21,22 34:17 39:24 40:7 45:8 (11)</p> <p>maybe 24:16</p> <p>me 19:8 26:23 27:12 34:3 (4)</p> <p>measure 13:24 14:9 16:11</p> <p>measured 12:8 21:2</p> <p>measuring 19:6 20:2</p> <p>media 3:24</p> <p>member 8:21,22,24 15:16 (4)</p> <p>members 15:13 35:8</p> <p>mentioned 26:9 44:18 48:8</p> <p>meredith 2:5 8:16,16,19 16:16 18:7 (6)</p>
---	--	--	---	--

mess 18:17	33:16,18 (9)	needed 13:2	nonproblem 36:2	once 11:17
met 6:14	moss 2:12 42:20,21	needs 4:9 21:2 27:3 48:2 (4)	nonsolution 35:25	onceinacentury 27:15
metrics 13:2,4	most 18:13 19:13 20:5,18 21:15,17 23:7 (7)	negative 37:10	note 44:21	one 6:9 18:14,17 20:2,5,10,11 26:21 30:23 33:10 34:22,22 36:12 39:5 40:19 42:15 43:24 44:9,11,24 45:4 46:6 47:16,24 (24)
micro 6:23 15:13 34:18	mostly 41:12	negotiate 29:23	noted 34:8 37:16 40:11 49:4 (4)	oneday 20:17
microscale 9:23	ms 8:18	negotiated 37:6	nothing 18:9	ones 38:21
million 25:21 26:13	much 10:3 20:22,24 39:17 47:8 (5)	never 37:10 38:12 43:22	notice 34:8	online 16:3
millions 17:25 35:5	multiples 47:11	nevertheless 35:23	notices 7:23	only 22:12 41:3 46:6
minority 15:5,10 29:12	multiply 17:16	new 1:3,4 2:4 3:4,22 4:9 10:19 13:14,16,23 15:13 17:12 23:10 24:10 25:18 26:3 27:20 28:8 29:13,20 30:5,15 31:2,5,8 32:21,25 33:19,24 34:13 35:3,4 36:10 37:17 40:19 42:13 44:14 46:15,16 50:5,6,9 (42)	november 5:2	opening 34:9
minutes 8:14	must 9:8 10:15,17 14:21 18:17 21:9 23:6 45:9 46:10,11,17,20 (12)	newlyformed 30:24 31:2	now 8:14 17:21 18:2 23:3 24:10,17 25:17,19 (8)	operate 5:7 9:12 10:23 33:23 36:5 43:7,20 (7)
money 12:13,21,23 17:9 26:14 45:20 (6)	mutually 40:15	next 13:14 15:8 16:17 19:15 23:12 28:2 (6)	number 6:24 19:18 26:11,13 (4)	operating 1:6 3:15 29:4 36:13 47:14 (5)
monitor 21:24	my 3:3 8:19,25 10:4 16:23 17:3 22:4 23:21 28:5,6 33:18 38:12 50:17 (13)	nextcoming 42:4	nwbeled 31:6	operation 22:16 42:12
monitoring 24:8	n 2:2,6	nice 28:5	nyc 28:15 33:14,14	operational 39:18
month 21:8 25:19,23 47:8 (4)	nails 46:25	nickle 26:7	nylpi 2:10	operator 46:19
month's 21:6	name 3:3 8:6,19 16:23 28:6 33:18 (6)	night 19:13,14,16 ,19,20,21 (6)	observations 11:21	opportunities 13:7
monthly 21:5 25:18	national 2:11 33:20	no 17:23 18:18,19 19:10 23:9 34:14 39:18,20,20 42:15 45:23 50:14 (12)	obtaining 31:24	opportunity 9:11 12:19 14:3 28:11 42:16 48:20 (6)
months 22:18 25:12	nature 31:5	no 17:23 18:18,19 19:10 23:9 34:14 39:18,20,20 42:15 45:23 50:14 (12)	occur 23:24	opposed 38:6,8
more 4:7,12,12 5:23 9:25 11:11 12:13 13:11 17:2,9 20:21,22,24 26:2,14,23 28:18,18 31:25 33:10 34:10 39:9 47:10 (23)	necessary 27:19	officials 3:23	occurs 11:16	opposite 27:13
morning 3:2,7 7:21 8:19 16:22,23 28:4	need 13:4 20:4 22:6		october 50:17	option 6:18
			off 13:22	
			offer 15:11	
			offered 43:19	
			offering 45:18	

<p>oral 7:12 8:10</p> <p>orand 12:16</p> <p>order 7:22 9:8 12:24 16:7 (4)</p> <p>organic 5:25</p> <p>organics 9:23 12:14,16 14:12 39:10 (5)</p> <p>other 24:14 29:17 39:12</p> <p>others 15:19 46:12 47:17</p> <p>our 4:2 8:15,22 9:4 10:8,25 11:12 15:11 16:13,17 26:11 33:3,4,11 34:16 35:8 36:2 37:24 40:5 42:22,24 43:7,11,14 44:3,5,5,19 46:4 47:13 (30)</p> <p>out 11:7 15:25 23:25 24:15 25:2,15 35:12,13 37:25 40:15 47:17,19 (12)</p> <p>outcome 50:15</p> <p>outline 10:15,25 11:24 34:12 (4)</p> <p>outlined 31:15</p> <p>outstanding 41:7</p> <p>over 6:25 14:9 15:8 19:11 48:11 (5)</p> <p>overcharged 21:9</p> <p>oversight 18:11</p>	<p>own 15:11 22:7 30:17 44:23 (4)</p> <p>owned 15:10,10</p> <p>owner 17:12</p> <p>owners 31:23</p> <p>p 2:2,2</p> <p>paid 11:23 18:16,22 20:15 31:11 35:10 38:16 41:10 47:19 (9)</p> <p>painful 28:21</p> <p>paint 12:24</p> <p>pandemic 24:19 28:22</p> <p>paper 47:19</p> <p>parent 23:18</p> <p>part 4:25 5:4 23:2 24:20 34:19 41:16 (6)</p> <p>participate 10:10 15:16</p> <p>participation 14:22</p> <p>parties 3:25 50:13</p> <p>partnership 27:23</p> <p>partnerships 15:5</p> <p>parts 4:25</p> <p>party 20:16 25:10 41:7</p> <p>pass 36:15</p>	<p>pay 14:24 21:9 23:6 26:22 43:14 47:16,22 (7)</p> <p>paycheck 47:18</p> <p>paying 10:6</p> <p>payment 41:2</p> <p>payroll 16:7</p> <p>pays 17:13 47:24 48:4,4 (4)</p> <p>peers 38:13</p> <p>penalty 18:18</p> <p>people 9:7 26:16,17</p> <p>per 7:9 21:8 24:12 25:6 34:21 37:19 47:8 (7)</p> <p>percent 4:7 24:11 26:8 47:14 (4)</p> <p>perform 37:8 45:10</p> <p>performance 36:16,18</p> <p>period 19:5,7,8</p> <p>persons 7:24</p> <p>perspective 12:20</p> <p>pertained 34:10</p> <p>petition 48:12</p> <p>ph 38:20</p> <p>physical 9:20</p> <p>picked 19:18</p> <p>picking 19:14</p>	<p>25:3</p> <p>pickup 10:5</p> <p>picture 5:12 12:24 32:17</p> <p>pie 13:16</p> <p>piles 11:18</p> <p>pilot 30:25</p> <p>place 21:18,18 35:9</p> <p>places 31:16</p> <p>plan 9:10 12:15</p> <p>planted 13:22</p> <p>play 30:2 31:7</p> <p>playing 30:14</p> <p>please 8:5 16:20 22:24</p> <p>pocket 35:13</p> <p>point 23:25 28:24 47:17</p> <p>points 42:24</p> <p>policy 28:7</p> <p>poor 46:22</p> <p>positive 27:23</p> <p>possible 8:12</p> <p>postregulatory 43:17</p> <p>potential 14:15</p> <p>potentially 37:8</p> <p>power 9:6</p>	<p>practice 40:9</p> <p>practices 10:15</p> <p>precedence 38:19</p> <p>present 7:10,12 27:9</p> <p>presumably 24:15</p> <p>presumption 22:17 40:18 45:25</p> <p>presumptions 17:20</p> <p>prevent 30:12 39:21</p> <p>preventing 30:11</p> <p>previous 35:7</p> <p>previously 29:17</p> <p>price 5:16 20:14,16</p> <p>priced 47:7</p> <p>prices 44:2 48:5</p> <p>primary 46:20</p> <p>prior 18:20 19:22 21:6</p> <p>private 4:19 33:22 36:6</p> <p>probably 26:15</p> <p>problem 24:21</p> <p>problems 23:24 44:7</p> <p>procedural 30:8,11</p> <p>procedure 3:10</p>
---	---	---	---	--

<p>6:15 29:15 41:2,8 (5)</p> <p>procedures 10:17 11:13 28:13 29:4 42:11 (5)</p> <p>process 4:18,21 11:14 30:17 36:20,22 37:13 38:10 39:16 40:12,23,25 41:5 42:6,12 43:4 46:9 (17)</p> <p>processed 10:6</p> <p>processing 9:23</p> <p>processors 15:14</p> <p>produce 16:12 20:12,19,22 47:2 (5)</p> <p>production 9:4 32:22</p> <p>program 3:18 4:13 5:8 6:22 7:2 30:25 (6)</p> <p>programs 9:2 15:23 32:23 39:11 (4)</p> <p>prohibitively 30:9</p> <p>project 21:14</p> <p>promising 45:19</p> <p>promote 29:10</p> <p>proper 38:22,24</p> <p>properly 13:3 45:24</p> <p>properties 17:14</p> <p>property</p>	<p>17:12,13,15,17,19 (5)</p> <p>proposal 35:2 36:19 39:21 42:6,8 (5)</p> <p>proposals 4:18</p> <p>propose 46:13</p> <p>proposed 1:5 3:13,19,25 4:16 5:5 6:6,14,15,20 7:7,14,16 17:3,10 18:2,10 19:6 21:11 28:12 29:2,10 31:15 34:5,14,19 35:19,21 38:9,11 39:13 42:2 43:18,24 44:8,25 45:7,16 46:7 47:4 48:19 (41)</p> <p>proposes 40:17</p> <p>proud 16:24</p> <p>provide 4:22 5:18 6:18 15:20 18:10 32:9,17 (7)</p> <p>provided 6:13</p> <p>provider 38:16 41:2 43:10 44:16 (4)</p> <p>providers 15:18 36:25</p> <p>provides 5:12</p> <p>providing 22:11 36:13,24 37:24 38:5 40:16 (6)</p> <p>provinces 43:22</p> <p>prudent 35:18</p> <p>public 1:9 3:6,12</p>	<p>10:7 28:8 (5)</p> <p>publication 7:16</p> <p>published 3:20,25</p> <p>pull 28:5</p> <p>purpose 3:11 4:15</p> <p>pursuant 34:7</p> <p>push 15:7</p> <p>put 23:14 33:11 40:9 46:8 48:17 (5)</p> <p>qualifications 18:19</p> <p>qualified 32:8</p> <p>quality 4:8</p> <p>quantities 32:18</p> <p>questionnaire 15:25</p> <p>questions 10:7 48:7</p> <p>quick 15:25</p> <p>quiet 45:3</p> <p>quite 44:16</p> <p>r 2:2 50:2</p> <p>raised 8:3</p> <p>rarely 24:24</p> <p>rate 17:15 35:7</p> <p>ratepayers 35:14</p> <p>rates 14:6</p>	<p>rather 14:23</p> <p>reading 17:3</p> <p>ready 8:17</p> <p>real 26:9 27:17 40:16</p> <p>really 37:7 44:21</p> <p>reason 21:3 44:17</p> <p>reasonable 40:4 41:5,20</p> <p>reasons 18:9 21:11</p> <p>rebuttable 17:20 40:18</p> <p>rebuttal 22:17 45:25</p> <p>receive 3:12 11:24 26:12</p> <p>received 7:18,20</p> <p>recent 7:5</p> <p>recognition 9:7</p> <p>recommend 10:12 11:4</p> <p>recommendation 11:12</p> <p>recommendation s 5:21,22</p> <p>record 3:20 7:11 8:9 41:24 42:17,18 (6)</p> <p>recourse 40:4</p> <p>recyclable 5:24</p> <p>recycle 12:8 17:9</p>	<p>19:11 43:7 (4)</p> <p>recycling 2:11 12:14,16 17:6 20:20 21:7 23:10 32:22 33:21,23 39:10 47:4 (12)</p> <p>reduce 4:5 39:6</p> <p>reduced 19:17</p> <p>reduces 39:7</p> <p>reduction 5:22 30:4</p> <p>refer 11:5,9</p> <p>reflect 31:4</p> <p>refuse 5:23 9:22 11:19</p> <p>regarding 6:17 19:2 22:5 34:14,20 (5)</p> <p>regards 26:9</p> <p>regulated 36:7</p> <p>regulation 34:20 35:2,19,21 38:14 40:17 41:17 42:2 (8)</p> <p>regulations 34:4,5 38:11 46:2 47:4,24 48:18 (7)</p> <p>regulatory 41:18 44:14</p> <p>reimburse 5:9 31:19 47:9</p> <p>reimbursement 6:8 14:25 18:23 31:14,24 (5)</p> <p>reiterate 24:14 42:25</p>
--	--	--	---	--

<p>rekra 38:20</p> <p>related 1:7 3:16 7:6 19:4 29:5 50:12 (6)</p> <p>relation 23:9</p> <p>relationship 21:4 27:10 39:3 45:12 46:17 (5)</p> <p>relationships 40:14</p> <p>relatively 17:14</p> <p>relevant 6:22</p> <p>remedy 41:5</p> <p>remote 3:8</p> <p>repeat 23:23,25 24:2</p> <p>repeatedly 21:25</p> <p>report 12:3,6,10 13:6 16:3 (5)</p> <p>reported 1:24</p> <p>reporter 7:10 8:8 50:8</p> <p>reporting 9:25 10:17</p> <p>reports 11:24 13:20</p> <p>represent 35:5 47:11</p> <p>representative 44:11 45:5</p> <p>request 4:17 15:24 36:19</p> <p>requested 7:23</p>	<p>requests 42:5</p> <p>require 14:23 20:6 30:20 37:11 45:7 47:5,21,22 (8)</p> <p>required 18:20 20:5 23:7</p> <p>requirement 6:4 10:22</p> <p>requirements 1:5 3:9,14 5:6 6:13 29:3 31:4 41:19 (8)</p> <p>requiring 29:18 31:19 47:9</p> <p>residential 24:13</p> <p>resolution 21:12</p> <p>resolve 6:16 41:6</p> <p>resort 41:4</p> <p>resources 16:6</p> <p>respond 14:17</p> <p>response 5:3</p> <p>responsibility 10:9</p> <p>responsible 9:13 14:18 15:2 38:21,24 (5)</p> <p>rest 16:12</p> <p>restate 41:24</p> <p>restaurant 12:5,9 13:5,8 22:15 45:2 46:24 (7)</p> <p>restaurants 12:11 19:13,23 22:21 (4)</p>	<p>result 41:15 44:2</p> <p>resulting 48:15</p> <p>results 10:18</p> <p>retroactive 48:16</p> <p>reusable 14:13</p> <p>revenue 47:12,15,20</p> <p>review 6:19 35:22 36:21</p> <p>rewrite 41:25</p> <p>rewritten 42:10</p> <p>rfp 4:18,21,24 23:2 (4)</p> <p>rfps 21:19 22:5</p> <p>right 25:10,17</p> <p>rights 39:25</p> <p>road 13:22</p> <p>role 30:14 31:7 38:23</p> <p>ron 2:8 16:18 23:13,16 28:2,23 44:18 (7)</p> <p>round 42:4</p> <p>rule 3:19,22 4:16 19:5 21:12 39:13 (6)</p> <p>rulemaking 11:14</p> <p>rules 1:5 3:13,25 5:5 6:6,14,15,20 7:7,14,16 17:4,10 18:10 19:6,10 22:8,25 23:3 25:11,12,24 28:12</p>	<p>29:2,10 31:15 33:14 34:9 43:20,25 44:4,8,25 45:7,16 46:7 48:8,19 (38)</p> <p>run 16:6</p> <p>s 2:2</p> <p>safer 4:11 28:17</p> <p>safety 32:25 43:12</p> <p>said 18:7 23:23 28:23 37:15 40:25 43:2 (6)</p> <p>salaries 43:15</p> <p>salon 46:25</p> <p>same 10:23 14:4 16:6 21:21 30:7 47:6 (6)</p> <p>sanitation 1:4 2:4 14:2 28:10 33:5 48:13,17,22 (8)</p> <p>satisfied 39:2</p> <p>saturday 19:14</p> <p>save 8:22 12:23 17:9 35:10 45:19 (5)</p> <p>saving 12:25 13:7</p> <p>savings 13:21 14:9,15 26:8 (4)</p> <p>say 18:6 43:2 48:6</p> <p>saying 26:5</p> <p>says 25:13</p> <p>scene 44:15</p>	<p>scheduled 16:2</p> <p>scraps 13:13</p> <p>screams 27:11,12</p> <p>screening 36:16,17</p> <p>search 24:21 35:25</p> <p>seasonal 22:20</p> <p>seasonality 45:4</p> <p>second 19:3 22:16 23:2 31:9 34:19 45:7 (6)</p> <p>section 19:9 21:13 32:3</p> <p>secure 43:16</p> <p>see 9:19 12:4 13:16,17,24 14:4,15 19:25 26:24 27:16 28:5,19 44:6,17 (14)</p> <p>seeking 18:23 31:23</p> <p>seen 38:12</p> <p>select 4:18,22</p> <p>selected 5:7</p> <p>send 8:11</p> <p>sense 20:18 26:23</p> <p>separated 12:22</p> <p>separately 46:14</p> <p>separating 13:13</p> <p>september 1:13</p>
---	---	---	---	---

<p>serve 4:9 31:19 32:15 43:14 44:5 (5)</p> <p>service 4:19 15:18 23:18 24:6 32:9 34:24 36:14,24 37:20 38:5,16 41:2 43:10,12 (14)</p> <p>services 4:24 9:4 10:24 11:2,22 12:17 14:18 15:12,20 22:12 23:20 35:3 36:25 37:3,24 38:2 41:9 44:15 45:17 (19)</p> <p>servicing 25:14</p> <p>set 19:4 36:18 50:16</p> <p>sets 11:7</p> <p>seven 44:23</p> <p>several 22:5</p> <p>shift 12:19 13:18</p> <p>shifting 14:16</p> <p>short 20:11</p> <p>shorthand 50:8</p> <p>should 11:3 15:2,21,23 16:4,8 21:4,19 24:5 25:10 26:22 27:23 41:3 (13)</p> <p>show 12:10 13:20</p> <p>shows 12:6</p> <p>sic 40:7</p> <p>sign 7:24</p> <p>signature 50:22</p>	<p>signed 7:22 48:25</p> <p>significant 24:7</p> <p>significantly 41:25 42:9</p> <p>similar 12:11 18:9</p> <p>simple 17:14</p> <p>simpler 31:25</p> <p>simplified 32:11</p> <p>since 7:16 37:4 45:24</p> <p>sir 27:25</p> <p>site 12:11</p> <p>sites 9:23</p> <p>situation 18:2 25:9</p> <p>six 43:21</p> <p>size 12:11</p> <p>skew 20:4</p> <p>skills 36:5</p> <p>slower 22:22</p> <p>slowly 8:7</p> <p>small 20:17,20,20 29:11 30:21 31:22 (6)</p> <p>smell 12:21</p> <p>smith 1:25 50:8</p> <p>snapshot 39:14</p> <p>so 8:3,7,10 12:5 19:17 21:21 25:4,8 26:11,14,19</p>	<p>27:6,14 29:14 30:15 33:13 35:17 36:20 39:12 40:25 42:15 43:2 47:12 (23)</p> <p>solid 8:23</p> <p>solution 24:21 27:6</p> <p>solutions 15:12</p> <p>some 21:4 25:7 34:2 40:5 47:8 (5)</p> <p>sometimes 20:9</p> <p>soon 5:4</p> <p>sorting 11:10</p> <p>sound 41:20</p> <p>sourceparated 5:25</p> <p>space 9:17</p> <p>speak 7:21 8:5,7 33:11 34:3 (5)</p> <p>speaker 8:15 16:17 33:10</p> <p>speakers 48:25</p> <p>specialized 14:19</p> <p>specifically 9:15 11:15</p> <p>spend 25:23</p> <p>spending 12:13</p> <p>spirit 43:19</p> <p>square 21:3 46:22 47:2</p> <p>ss 50:5</p>	<p>staff 26:16</p> <p>stand 23:3 24:9</p> <p>standards 9:25 18:19 39:18 41:21 (4)</p> <p>start 13:9,12 15:20 16:10 17:11 24:16 37:15 (7)</p> <p>starting 19:25</p> <p>state 7:6 8:6 25:25 33:24 50:5,9 (6)</p> <p>stated 21:11 23:24</p> <p>statement 4:15 7:12 8:9,13 50:11 (5)</p> <p>states 43:21,21</p> <p>stay 49:2</p> <p>steps 13:9</p> <p>steve 2:11 16:19 33:10,18 42:19 (5)</p> <p>still 22:17 24:19</p> <p>store 20:17,21</p> <p>stream 5:21 10:3 13:14</p> <p>stream's 11:20</p> <p>streamline 32:12</p> <p>streams 5:25 11:25 19:11</p> <p>street 26:5</p> <p>strong 15:6</p> <p>structure 31:3,14</p>	<p>32:11</p> <p>structured 41:3</p> <p>structures 28:12</p> <p>study 32:16</p> <p>subcontract 6:25</p> <p>subcontractor 46:18</p> <p>subject 30:7</p> <p>submission 48:17</p> <p>submit 7:12 10:18 16:12 28:11 (4)</p> <p>submitted 42:21</p> <p>submitting 34:6</p> <p>subsidized 45:22</p> <p>succeed 30:7</p> <p>successful 48:14</p> <p>such 30:25 43:23</p> <p>sufficiently 32:5</p> <p>suggest 29:9 30:16 31:10</p> <p>suggestion 22:4</p> <p>summer 22:22</p> <p>sunday 19:12,17,18</p> <p>support 4:10 14:10 29:2 30:25 (4)</p> <p>supported 41:19</p> <p>supporting 9:14</p>
--	--	--	--	---

<p>supposed 38:21</p> <p>sure 45:17</p> <p>survey 11:5,16 13:6,15 44:23 45:22 46:7 48:3 (8)</p> <p>surveys 11:15 13:3 14:24 15:3 16:2 24:23 45:14,24 46:11 (9)</p> <p>suspicious 40:21</p> <p>sustainability 30:4</p> <p>sustainable 4:12 9:16 29:22 30:13 31:6 (5)</p> <p>system 4:4,8 14:3,25 17:13 21:16 23:8 26:24 28:20 29:6,13 30:5,15 31:8 32:2,21,25 33:7 35:9,14 37:18 43:5,23 47:25 (24)</p> <p>systemic 9:8</p> <p>systems 28:17</p> <p>t 2:7 16:25 50:2,2 (4)</p> <p>table 13:2</p> <p>take 26:8</p> <p>taken 22:4 50:11</p> <p>takeout 14:14</p> <p>takes 7:3</p> <p>taking 11:6</p> <p>talk 25:15</p>	<p>talked 26:21 38:13</p> <p>target 32:21</p> <p>tax 17:15,16</p> <p>taxes 17:13</p> <p>taxpayers 24:13</p> <p>technology 15:12</p> <p>tell 27:4</p> <p>temperatures 45:4</p> <p>term 11:4,8</p> <p>terminate 25:11</p> <p>testified 26:20 29:18</p> <p>testify 7:23,24 8:2,5 16:20 23:14 42:16 48:25 (8)</p> <p>testifying 23:17</p> <p>testimony 8:11 10:12,25 28:6 33:14 34:6 42:24 (7)</p> <p>textiles 14:13</p> <p>than 4:7 12:17 14:23 17:2 25:19 34:10 47:8 (7)</p> <p>thank 3:6 16:14,15,16 23:11,12 27:24,25 28:2,9 33:2 42:15,18,19 48:22 (15)</p> <p>thanks 33:9</p> <p>their 5:17 7:16 11:7,25 12:4,20</p>	<p>13:10,16 14:25 16:2,3,5 17:6 22:7,18 24:23 25:18 31:20 38:22 39:3 45:17 (21)</p> <p>them 13:13 18:7 21:23 25:3,20 45:19 (6)</p> <p>these 5:5 6:6,20 7:7 11:22 12:18 13:25 14:18 15:11,20 17:10 18:11 19:9 20:18 21:15 22:24,25 23:3,8 25:11 26:17 27:17 28:11 29:2,14 30:5 33:7 34:4,4,9 35:5 38:11 39:22 40:2 42:17 43:18,20,25 44:25 45:16,21 46:2,7 47:10,22,24 (46)</p> <p>things 24:2</p> <p>think 24:3,20 25:22 27:18,21 35:17 37:7 44:16 47:17 (9)</p> <p>third 20:9,14,15 41:7 46:22 (5)</p> <p>thirdgeneration 16:25</p> <p>thirdparty 38:15 39:19 40:2,11 41:13 42:7 (6)</p> <p>thomas 2:6 16:17,23 23:21 (4)</p> <p>those 7:20 10:7,18 12:17 17:19 19:5 32:10 35:10 37:25 40:19 41:18 (11)</p> <p>thought 27:7</p>	<p>thousands 15:8 18:24 47:7</p> <p>three 4:19 8:14 21:20 22:17 25:3,6,21 26:16,25 27:4,8 34:22 36:12 37:19 44:7 (15)</p> <p>through 8:25 14:16,24 15:22 28:24 36:15,19 44:18 48:4,4 (10)</p> <p>throughout 43:10</p> <p>throwing 25:2</p> <p>thus 43:18</p> <p>tic 24:22</p> <p>time 8:2 14:9 16:15 19:4 23:6 24:17 33:2 34:17 35:17 36:7,12 38:6 48:11 49:4 (14)</p> <p>today 7:10,18 34:3,7 36:2 42:24 47:17 (7)</p> <p>tom 23:12 24:14 26:9 48:8 (4)</p> <p>ton 24:12</p> <p>tonnage 48:15</p> <p>too 27:18</p> <p>tool 41:4 45:14</p> <p>tosceno 2:6 16:17,22,24 23:21 48:8 (6)</p> <p>total 13:19</p> <p>track 14:8</p>	<p>trade 15:14 18:5,8 29:23 33:22 34:16 35:7,8,16 36:6 37:2,9 38:4 41:10,15,21 42:13 (17)</p> <p>traditional 38:17</p> <p>traffic 4:5</p> <p>trained 11:21 15:21</p> <p>transcript 50:11</p> <p>transform 28:15</p> <p>transformative 33:8</p> <p>transition 15:17</p> <p>transparency 10:2</p> <p>transparent 9:18 31:25</p> <p>transparently 16:11</p> <p>transportation 3:5</p> <p>trash 12:7,18 28:15</p> <p>treating 37:9</p> <p>trees 13:22</p> <p>troubling 25:4</p> <p>truck 4:5 47:18</p> <p>true 50:10</p> <p>truly 46:20</p> <p>trust 40:13</p>
---	---	--	--	--

<p>two 4:25 17:16 20:6,11,19 21:20 24:17 26:16 29:9 (9)</p> <p>twoyear 30:23</p> <p>type 19:24 20:24</p> <p>types 5:13 6:10 32:18,19 44:12 (5)</p> <p>typical 39:14 44:10 47:13</p> <p>typically 47:2</p> <p>ultimately 47:19,23 48:9</p> <p>unaddressed 48:7</p> <p>uncertainty 27:19 42:11</p> <p>unclear 48:12</p> <p>under 5:5,7 6:6 25:5 31:3 32:11 44:25 45:16 46:15,25 47:7 (11)</p> <p>undermine 40:13</p> <p>underrepresente d 45:21</p> <p>understand 8:8</p> <p>understanding 19:10</p> <p>undoing 40:8</p> <p>unethical 22:2</p> <p>unfair 41:14</p> <p>unfairly 37:9</p> <p>unique 43:8</p>	<p>united 43:21</p> <p>unknown 42:8</p> <p>unnecessary 30:10 31:16 42:10</p> <p>unreasonable 24:4</p> <p>unrelated 11:2</p> <p>unresolved 6:19</p> <p>until 35:9</p> <p>up 4:18,22 7:22,24 18:12,17,25 19:14,18 23:12 25:3 26:4,19 28:2,5 37:15 44:21 48:25 49:2 (19)</p> <p>upend 46:10</p> <p>upon 40:8</p> <p>urge 42:2</p> <p>us 8:11,24 13:4 16:20 25:23 33:13 40:6 48:10 (8)</p> <p>use 4:17 5:15 11:4,8 45:13 (5)</p> <p>used 4:21 13:5 29:8</p> <p>using 8:3 46:6</p> <p>usually 19:20</p> <p>utilizing 45:21</p> <p>valued 17:15</p> <p>values 17:19</p> <p>varies 19:24</p>	<p>various 44:12</p> <p>vehicles 9:22</p> <p>verify 25:2</p> <p>very 18:9 24:24,24 39:24 40:7,20,21 (7)</p> <p>vetted 36:3</p> <p>vetting 36:8</p> <p>viable 40:13</p> <p>view 16:3 36:23 39:14</p> <p>virtual 1:12</p> <p>vital 30:3</p> <p>volume 48:10</p> <p>want 15:16,19 23:25 24:2 25:20 28:9,22 29:9 38:5,25 43:2 (11)</p> <p>wants 10:8 23:14 43:10</p> <p>wast 38:9</p> <p>waste 1:6,7 2:3,11,13 3:4,15,16,18 4:4,6,13,20,23 5:8, 10,11,17,21,21,23, 25 6:5,12,17 8:23 9:2,3,10 10:2,10,1 6,22,23,25 11:5,8, 9,10,14,16,17,19,2 1,25 12:2,15,20 13 :3,6,12,14,16,19,1 9 14:5,8,24 15:3,7,21 17:6 18:3,6,8 19:10,12 21:7 22:11 23:10,18 24:3 28:13,14,17,20 29: 5,6,8,13,16,16,18,</p>	<p>21,22,23 30:3,15,17,21 31:5,8,10,13,21 32:6,9,13,16,18,22 33:6,20,22 34:10 35:7,8,15,20 36:6,11 37:2,9,12,17 38:3,4,7,15,25,25 39:6,9,16,19 41:9, 10,11,13,15,21 42:5,13,14,20 43:2,4,6,9,23 44:9 45:8,10,13,23 46:9,19,23 (148)</p> <p>wastes 5:13 10:3 13:9 35:16 47:3 (5)</p> <p>way 9:13 14:21 16:6 21:21 26:20 33:7 38:2 50:14 (8)</p> <p>ways 6:9</p> <p>we'd 26:15</p> <p>we'll 49:2</p> <p>weather 20:3</p> <p>webex 1:12</p> <p>website 4:2,14 15:25</p> <p>wednesday 1:13 19:20</p> <p>week 19:12,21 20:5,9 25:3 (5)</p> <p>weeks 20:6,11</p> <p>weighed 11:20</p> <p>weight 12:7 19:19</p> <p>weights 11:6</p>	<p>welcome 3:3 43:17</p> <p>well 7:5 23:20 27:25 28:25 30:8 35:22 37:21 38:4 39:24,24 40:7 42:3 44:16 49:3 (14)</p> <p>what 10:2,6 16:11 17:17 18:6,14 22:20,20 23:23 24:18,25 25:16,24 26:2,7 27:3,9,13 28:23 35:3 40:24 42:25 48:10 (23)</p> <p>what's 25:4</p> <p>when 8:5,17 10:4 18:25 19:22 22:18,22,25 24:15 37:21 40:8 48:16 (12)</p> <p>where 10:5 13:18 20:8 21:25 22:11,13 25:9,18 26:24 38:14 47:25 (11)</p> <p>whereas 30:2</p> <p>whereof 50:16</p> <p>whether 25:25 34:21,23 48:2 (4)</p> <p>which 6:22 7:22 13:9 14:3 15:15 19:3 23:18 29:2 43:6,14 44:8 46:25 (12)</p> <p>while 7:23 9:13,24 27:7 31:11 43:20 (6)</p> <p>who 7:21 26:20,21 45:8 46:3 47:24 48:25</p>
--	---	--	--	---

<p>(7)</p> <p>whoever 19:9</p> <p>whole 25:5</p> <p>why 19:25 27:22</p> <p>width 11:18</p> <p>will 4:4,8,17,21 5:4,18 6:2 7:7,11,17,20 8:14 9:17 12:16 13:3 16:12,17 17:8,11 2 0:7,8,9,12,21,22,2 4 21:7,15 22:8 23:3,7,24 26:7,7,10 27:21 30:2 31:3 32:15,16 34:5 35:3 40:10,13 41:8,10,14,23 42:10,16 43:5,7,25 44:4,11 45:5,17,20,25 48:9,10 (61)</p> <p>wish 7:21 8:5</p> <p>wishes 16:20 33:11</p> <p>wishing 7:24 8:2</p> <p>withdraw 41:25</p> <p>withdrawn 35:23 42:9</p> <p>within 4:20 50:9,10</p> <p>without 40:3,16</p> <p>witness 50:16</p> <p>woman 26:20</p> <p>women 15:10</p> <p>womenandminori ty 30:13</p>	<p>womenowned 15:6 29:12</p> <p>won't 24:16 26:6</p> <p>wood 2:9 16:18 28:3,4,7 (5)</p> <p>work 8:25 33:4 34:2 37:23,25 44:19 45:6 48:9 (8)</p> <p>worked 28:16</p> <p>workers 43:14</p> <p>working 9:22 24:22 40:14 48:21 (4)</p> <p>works 10:2 44:16 48:14</p> <p>world 36:11</p> <p>would 3:13 5:6 6:7,20 8:15 12:4,6,10 13:6,8,1 0,12,15,16,24 15:19 17:17,21,23 26:13 27:4 29:3 30:16,19,22 31:9 32:12 33:15 37:7,11 42:23 44:21 46:3,13 47:5,10,15 48:2,6 (39)</p> <p>wouldn't 21:16</p> <p>wrap 49:2</p> <p>writing 42:22</p> <p>written 7:13,15,19 8:12 16:13 33:13 34:6 42:17,17 (9)</p> <p>wrong 18:15 22:2</p> <p>wrongly 46:8</p>	<p>x 1:2,10</p> <p>year 13:15 24:16 28:21,22 (4)</p> <p>yearoveryear 13:18</p> <p>years 15:9 17:2 28:16 34:2 37:16 38:12 (6)</p> <p>yet 12:14</p> <p>york 1:3,4 2:4 3:5,22 10:19 17:12 23:10 24:11 25:18 27:20 28:8 33:19,24 34:13 44:14 50:5,6,9 (19)</p> <p>yorkers 4:9</p> <p>your 8:6,9,13 16:15 33:2,13 34:9 (7)</p> <p>zero 6:5 9:2 13:11</p> <p>zone 4:21 5:8,17 9:10 22:11 25:6 28:20 32:7 33:6 34:21 36:11,13 37:4,17,19 41:9,22 42:5 43:4 46:19 (20)</p> <p>zones 1:7 3:15 4:4,13 12:15 21:20 22:13 28:14 29:6 32:10 34:22,23 35:4 42:14 (14)</p> <p>934 1:14</p> <p>1021 49:4</p> <p>2020 5:2</p> <p>2021 1:13 3:21</p>	<p>5:3 50:17 (4)</p> <p>5000 47:2</p> <p>6000 25:17</p> <p>161013 32:3</p>	
---	---	---	---	--