# 2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

**Summary of Section Ratings** 

#### **Framework for Great Schools**

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.

Section	Section Rating	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	ExceedingTarget
<b>Rigorous Instruction</b>	Meeting Target			3.28	
<b>Collaborative Teachers</b>	Approaching Target		2.80	)	
Supportive Environment	Approaching Target		2.56		
Effective School Leadership	Approaching Target		2.8	4	
Strong Family-Community Ties	Meeting Target			3.04	
Trust	Approaching Target		2.8	4	
Student Achievement	Meeting Target			3.36	
		1	2 3	}	4 4.99

**Section scores** are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

#### State Accountability Status: Good Standing

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: <a href="http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm">http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm</a>

#### Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at http://schoolqualityreports.nyc

# 2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

# **Student Enrollment**

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	70	76	71
Grade 1	84	70	67
Grade 2	71	72	78
Grade 3	90	63	66
Grade 4	94	91	58
Grade 5	65	79	85
All students	509	487	459

# **Student Demographics**

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	13%	10%	11%
% Free Lunch Eligible	74%	74%	72%
% Student with IEPs	24%	26%	24%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	18%	18%	10%
% HRA Eligible	-	41%	47%
% Temporary Housing	-	8%	7%
% Asian	4%	3%	2%
% Black	87%	86%	86%
% Hispanic	7%	9%	10%
% White	1%	1%	1%
% Other	0%	0%	0%

# 2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score									
Meeting Target	3.36									
					2	014-15 Target	S			
Student Achievement Metrics		n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	- Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA										
Average Student Proficiency		193	2.40	2.00	2.22	2.36	2.52	2.79	3.25	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4		193	20.7%	3.4%	13.1%	19.8%	27.3%	39.1%	3.12	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile		121	66.0	46.1	54.1	61.2	66.1	77.7	3.98	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third		44	79.0	57.2	66.1	73.8	79.2	92.0	3.96	9.09%
Early Grade Progress		62	2.14	0.57	1.20	1.75	2.13	3.06	4.01	9.09%
State Test Results - Math										
Average Student Proficiency		196	2.32	1.93	2.25	2.47	2.72	3.09	2.32	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4		196	15.8%	0.8%	14.6%	24.5%	35.8%	51.7%	2.12	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile		124	65.5	42.5	52.1	60.3	66.0	79.8	3.91	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third		43	77.0	57.0	65.5	72.6	77.6	89.6	3.88	9.09%
Early Grade Progress		62	1.86	0.02	1.22	2.26	2.98	4.71	2.62	9.09%
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students		74	86.9%	80.0%	85.8%	89.8%	94.2%	99.8%	2.28	9.09%
									Weighted Average Score	3.22

						2	014-15 Target	S	_			
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics			Population %	2014-15	Bottom of	Approaching	Meeting	Exceeding	Top of		Extra Points	Extra Points
closing the Achievement dap (ctAd) wethes	n	Population %	of Range	School Value	Target Range	Target	Target	Target	Target Range	Metric Score	Possible	Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	36	18.7%	92.1%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	8	4.1%	20.8%	0.0%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%		0.030	0.000
D SETSS	6	3.1%	29.5%	0.0%	0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	35	17.9%	89.1%	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	8	4.1%	20.9%	12.5%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%		0.030	0.000
o SETSS	6	3.1%	29.8%	0.0%	0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%	1.00	0.030	0.000
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	12	9.9%	21.2%	58.3%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	48	39.7%	57.0%	58.3%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%	4.15	0.030	0.024
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	24	19.8%	50.1%	54.2%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%	3.86	0.030	0.022
SC/ICT/SETSS	34	28.1%	69.3%	61.8%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	4.31	0.030	0.025
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	13	10.5%	21.6%	61.5%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	66	53.2%	73.1%	45.5%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%	3.26	0.030	0.017
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	34	27.4%	71.4%	38.2%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%	2.74	0.030	0.013
SC/ICT/SETSS	35	28.2%	70.6%	48.6%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	3.75	0.030	0.021
ELL Progress	49	11.6%	29.4%	57.1%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%	3.20	0.030	0.017
										CtAG Add	litional Points	0.14
									Overa	ll Student Achie	vement Score	3.36

• Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

• Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

## 2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

```
29Q134
```

P.S. 134 Hollis

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
prous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	86%	3.00	34%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.28	
aborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2	Proficient	3.40	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	78%	2.20	50%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.80	
portive Environment			
Quality Review 3.4	Proficient	3.40	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	79%	1.88	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance			
EMS	68.3%	2.12	
HS			
Overall	68.3%	2.12	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive			
environments			
EMS	0.54	4.76	
HS			
Overall	0.54	4.76	5%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.56	
ctive School Leadership			
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	73%	2.84	100%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.84	
ong Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	85%	3.04	100%
Costing Dating Masting Townst		2.04	
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.04	
st	0.07	2.21	
NYC School Survey - Trust	84%	2.84	100%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.84	
Section nating. Approaching ranget	Section Store:	2.04	

### 2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

			Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Range City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rigo	rous Instruction							
•	Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	100	86.4	94.8	100.0	1.00	4.99
	Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	91	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.50	3.00
	Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
0	Quality of student discussion	Teachers	68	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.00	1.00
Secti	on Results:		86%					3.00
Colla	borative Teachers							
	Cultural awareness:							
	Cultural awareness	Teachers	97	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.80	
	Cultural awareness	Parents	95	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.75	
	Cultural awareness	Students		68.6	87.4	100.0		
•	Cultural awareness	Combined	96				0.77	4.08
	Inclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	93	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.53	3.12
	Quality of professional development	Teachers	84	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.67	3.68
0	School commitment	Teachers	63	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.07	1.28
0	Innovation	Teachers	70	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.00	1.00
	Reflective dialogue	Teachers	85	87.9	95.9	100.0	0.25	2.00
0	Peer collaboration	Teachers	73	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.00	1.00
	Focus on student learning	Teachers	82	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.42	2.68
0	Collective responsibility	Teachers	59	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.00	1.00
Secti	on Results:		78%					2.20
	<b>portive Environment</b> Safety:							
	Safety	Teachers	69	80.0	94.6	100.0	0.00	
	Safety	Students		74.5	88.5	100.0		
0	Safety	Combined	69				0.00	1.00
	Classroom behavior:							
	Classroom behavior	Teachers	69	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.07	
	Classroom behavior	Students		67.3	84.3	100.0		
0	Classroom behavior	Combined	69				0.07	1.28
•	Social-emotional measure	Teachers	96	89.0	96.6	100.0	0.75	4.00
	Peer interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0		
	Next-level guidance	Students						
	Press toward academic achievement:							
	Press toward academic achievement	Teachers	75	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.00	
	Press toward academic achievement	Students		85.3	91.9	98.5		
0	Press toward academic achievement	Combined	75				0.00	1.00
	Personal attention and support	Students		77.8	89.6	100.0		
	Peer support for academic work:							
	Peer support for academic work	Teachers	78	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.04	
	Peer support for academic work	Parents	94	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.50	
	Peer support for academic work	Students		50.4	73.8	97.2		
	Peer support for academic work	Combined	86				0.27	2.08
Secti	on Results:		79%					1.88

### 2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

				City Range			
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	94	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.70	3.80
• Teacher influence	Teachers	35	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.09	1.36
Program coherence	Teachers	77	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.42	2.68
Principal instructional leadership	Teachers	85	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.61	3.44
Section Results:		73%					2.84
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	87	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.25	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	92	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.50	
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	89				0.38	2.52
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	80	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.63	3.52
Section Results:		85%					3.04
Trust							
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	96	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.75	4.00
Parent-principal trust	Parents	94	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.67	3.68
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	74	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.40	2.60
<ul> <li>Teacher-teacher trust</li> </ul>	Teachers	72	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.00	1.00
Section Results:		84%					2.84

### 2014-15 School Quality Reports / ES

Targets for 2015-16

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15		2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
State Test Results - ELA*								
Average Student Proficiency	2.40	2.42 or lower	2.43 to 2.53	2.54 to 2.61	2.62 or higher			
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.90	1.88 or lower	1.89 to 2.00	2.01 to 2.10	2.11 or higher			
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	20.7%	24.2% or lower	24.3% to 29.7%	29.8% to 33.9%	34.0% or higher			
State Test Results - Math*								
Average Student Proficiency	2.32	2.53 or lower	2.54 to 2.69	2.70 to 2.81	2.82 or higher			
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.81	1.87 or lower	1.88 to 2.04	2.05 to 2.16	2.17 or higher			
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	15.8%	28.4% or lower	28.5% to 35.7%	35.8% to 41.2%	41.3% or higher			
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	86.9%	86.0% or lower	86.1% to 89.5%	89.6% to 92.2%	92.3% or higher			
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15		2015-16	Targets				
-	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating								
Self-Contained	1.83	1.72 or lower	1.73 to 1.81	1.82 to 1.89	1.90 or higher			
Integrated Co-Teaching	1.83	1.95 or lower	1.96 to 2.06	2.07 to 2.15	2.16 or higher			
SETSS	2.07	1.98 or lower	1.99 to 2.11	2.12 to 2.20	2.21 or higher			
ELL	2.44	2.17 or lower	2.18 to 2.31	2.32 to 2.41	2.42 or higher			
Lowest Third Citywide	1.92	1.88 or lower	1.89 to 1.95	1.96 to 2.00	2.01 or higher			
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.83	1.85 or lower	1.86 to 1.93	1.94 to 1.99	2.00 or higher			
Math - Average Proficiency Rating								
Self-Contained	1.83	1.78 or lower	1.79 to 1.92	1.93 to 2.03	2.04 or higher			
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.07	2.02 or lower	2.03 to 2.20	2.21 to 2.33	2.34 or higher			
SETSS	1.92	1.99 or lower	2.00 to 2.17	2.18 to 2.30	2.31 or higher			
ELL	2.24	2.34 or lower	2.35 to 2.53	2.54 to 2.68	2.69 or higher			
Lowest Third Citywide	1.91	1.88 or lower	1.89 to 1.96	1.97 to 2.02	2.03 or higher			
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.84	1.85 or lower	1.86 to 1.94	1.95 to 2.00	2.01 or higher			
ELL Progress	57.1%	47.3% or lower	47.4% to 57.2%	57.3% to 64.8%	64.9% or higher			

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

\*To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	68.3%	70.5% or lower	70.6% to 77.3%	77.4% to 82.4%	82.5% or higher		
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.54	0.17 or lower	0.18 to 0.28	0.29 to 0.36	0.37 or higher		