
### **Summary of Section Ratings**

### **Framework for Great Schools**

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.



**Section scores** are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

### **State Accountability Status: Good Standing**

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: <a href="http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm">http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm</a>

#### Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at <a href="http://schoolqualityreports.nyc">http://schoolqualityreports.nyc</a>

P.S. 241 Emma L. Johnston

# 2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

**School Enrollment and Demographic Data** 

## **Student Enrollment**

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	112	81	93
Grade 1	108	121	70
Grade 2	92	106	105
Grade 3	106	99	91
Grade 4	104	111	93
Grade 5	82	85	96
All students	658	657	603

# **Student Demographics**

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	11%	11%	10%
% Free Lunch Eligible	82%	82%	80%
% Student with IEPs	13%	12%	11%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	3%	2%	1%
% HRA Eligible	-	66%	61%
% Temporary Housing	-	22%	20%
% Asian	0%	1%	1%
% Black	87%	85%	86%
% Hispanic	10%	10%	9%
% White	2%	2%	2%
% Other	0%	2%	2%

**Student Achievement Scoring Appendix** 

17K241 P.S. 241 Emma L. Johnston

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score
Meeting Target	3.30

		2014-15 Targets								
9	Student Achievement Metrics	n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
5	State Test Results - ELA									
	Average Student Proficiency	268	2.61	1.88	2.23	2.47	2.75	3.11	3.50	9.09%
	Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	268	33.6%	0.0%	15.1%	25.9%	38.2%	53.6%	3.63	9.09%
0	Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	170	54.0	46.9	54.9	61.9	66.8	78.4	1.89	9.09%
0	Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	61	64.0	57.3	65.6	72.9	78.0	90.0	1.81	9.09%
	Early Grade Progress	88	2.40	0.11	1.11	1.96	2.55	4.00	3.75	9.09%
9	state Test Results - Math									
	Average Student Proficiency	273	2.95	1.73	2.27	2.66	3.11	3.67	3.64	9.09%
	Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	273	49.8%	0.0%	19.8%	34.1%	50.3%	70.6%	3.97	9.09%
	Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	175	53.0	35.3	48.3	59.4	67.2	85.7	2.42	9.09%
0	Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	62	53.5	49.5	60.2	69.5	76.0	91.4	1.37	9.09%
•	Early Grade Progress	89	4.51	0.02	1.51	2.80	3.70	5.90	4.37	9.09%
• 1	MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	74	95.1%	65.5%	75.9%	82.7%	90.4%	100.0%	4.49	9.09%
									Weighted Average Score	3.17

					2014-15 Targets							
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	9	3.4%	16.7%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%		0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	11	4.1%	20.8%	0.0%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%		0.030	0.000
o setss	9	3.4%	32.4%	0.0%	0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	9	3.3%	16.4%	11.1%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%		0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	11	4.0%	20.4%	9.1%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%		0.030	0.000
O SETSS	9	3.3%	31.7%	0.0%	0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%	1.00	0.030	0.000
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
• ELL	21	12.4%	26.5%	52.4%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%	4.26	0.030	0.025
Lowest Third Citywide	36	21.2%	29.3%	50.0%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%	3.35	0.030	0.018
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	21	12.4%	31.4%	52.4%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%	3.67	0.030	0.020
SC/ICT/SETSS	22	12.9%	25.8%	40.9%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	2.61	0.030	0.012
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	25	14.3%	29.4%	24.0%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%	2.15	0.030	0.009
Lowest Third Citywide	35	20.0%	27.5%	37.1%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%	2.45	0.030	0.011
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	21	12.0%	31.3%	42.9%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%	3.15	0.030	0.016
SC/ICT/SETSS	22	12.6%	25.6%	31.8%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	2.21	0.030	0.009
ELL Progress	54	9.9%	25.1%	53.7%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%	2.86	0.030	0.014
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.13
									Overa	III Student Achie	vement Score	3.30

<sup>•</sup> Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

<sup>•</sup> Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

## 2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

P.S. 241 Emma L. Johnston

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
gorous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Developing	2.00	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	89%	3.24	34%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.04	
ollaborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2	Proficient	3.40	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	90%	3.56	50%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.48	
pportive Environment			
Quality Review 3.4	Proficient	3.40	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	91%	3.24	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance			
EMS	80.4%	3.32	
HS			
Overall	80.4%	3.32	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive			
environments			
EMS	0.56	4.84	
HS	0.50	4.04	F0/
Overall	0.56	4.84	5%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.40	
fective School Leadership			
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	83%	3.48	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.48	
rong Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	84%	2.84	100%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.84	
NVC School Survey - Trust	92%	3 56	100%
NYC School Survey - Trust	9270	3.56	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.56	

Johns	
Johns	
Johns	
Johns	17K241
Pct	Johnston
	JOHNSTON
	Det
	PCT
	)
	)
	)

P.S. 241 Emma L. Johnston

		City Range						
			Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rigor	ous Instruction			•	, .		•	
• C	ommon Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	98	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.85	4.40
С	ommon Core shifts in math	Teachers	91	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.50	3.00
С	ourse clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
	Quality of student discussion	Teachers	79	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.33	2.32
	n Results:		89%					3.24
Collat	porative Teachers							
	ultural awareness:							
Ü	Cultural awareness	Teachers	92	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.50	
	Cultural awareness	Parents	94	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.50	
	Cultural awareness	Students	<i>5</i> +	68.6	87.4	100.0	0.50	
	Cultural awareness	Combined	93	00.0	07.4	100.0	0.50	3.00
In	nclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	94	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.61	3.44
	Quality of professional development	Teachers	83	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.65	3.60
	chool commitment	Teachers	91	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.76	4.04
	nnovation	Teachers	83	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.41	2.64
	eflective dialogue	Teachers	95	87.9	95.9	100.0	0.75	4.00
	eer collaboration	Teachers	97	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.86	4.44
		Teachers	94	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.82	4.44
	ocus on student learning		79	65.7				
	ollective responsibility on Results:	Teachers	90%	05.7	84.7	100.0	0.38	2.52 <b>3.56</b>
	ortive Environment afety:							
	Safety	Teachers	96	80.0	94.6	100.0	0.78	
	Safety	Students		74.5	88.5	100.0		
•	Safety	Combined	96				0.78	4.12
С	lassroom behavior:							
	Classroom behavior	Teachers	88	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.64	
	Classroom behavior	Students		67.3	84.3	100.0		
	Classroom behavior	Combined	88				0.64	3.56
S	ocial-emotional measure	Teachers	94	89.0	96.6	100.0	0.50	3.00
Р	eer interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0		
Ν	lext-level guidance	Students						
Р	ress toward academic achievement:							
	Press toward academic achievement	Teachers	84	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.35	
	Press toward academic achievement	Students		85.3	91.9	98.5		
	Press toward academic achievement	Combined	84				0.35	2.40
Р	ersonal attention and support	Students		77.8	89.6	100.0		
Р	eer support for academic work:							
	Peer support for academic work	Teachers	89	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.53	
	Peer support for academic work	Parents	93	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.50	
	Peer support for academic work	Students		50.4	73.8	97.2		
	Peer support for academic work	Combined	91				0.52	3.08
ectio	n Results:		91%					3.24

P.S. 241 Emma L. Johnston

		City Range				
	Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective Cohool Londowship						
Effective School Leadership		70.2	00.0	400.0	0.66	2.64
Inclusive principal leadership Parent		79.3	90.9	100.0	0.66	3.64
Teacher influence Teacher		28.8	60.8	92.8	0.66	3.64
Program coherence Teache		60.0	85.2	100.0	0.41	2.64
Principal instructional leadership Teache		61.6	87.0	100.0	0.73	3.92
Section Results:	83%					3.48
Strong Foreily Community Ties						
Strong Family Community Ties						
Teacher outreach to parents:	0.5	0.4.5	0.4.5	400.0	0.76	
Teacher outreach to parents Teacher		84.5	94.5	100.0	0.76	
Teacher outreach to parents Parent		86.0	92.6	99.2	0.25	
Teacher outreach to parents Combi	ned 93				0.51	3.04
Parent involvement in the schools Parent	s 74	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.40	2.60
Section Results:	84%					2.84
Trust						
Parent-teacher trust Parent	s 94	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.50	3.00
Parent-principal trust Parent	s 94	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.62	3.48
Student-teacher trust Studer	ts	64.6	85.2	100.0		
Teacher-principal trust Teacher	ers 88	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.73	3.92
Teacher-teacher trust Teacher	ers 92	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.71	3.84
Section Results:	92%					3.56

**Targets for 2015-16** 

17K241

P.S. 241 Emma L. Johnston

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15		2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target				
State Test Results - ELA*									
Average Student Proficiency	2.61	2.48 or lower	2.49 to 2.58	2.59 to 2.66	2.67 or higher				
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.12	2.02 or lower	2.03 to 2.14	2.15 to 2.23	2.24 or higher				
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	33.6%	26.2% or lower	26.3% to 31.7%	31.8% to 35.9%	36.0% or higher				
State Test Results - Math*									
Average Student Proficiency	2.95	2.68 or lower	2.69 to 2.84	2.85 to 2.95	2.96 or higher				
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.16	2.10 or lower	2.11 to 2.27	2.28 to 2.40	2.41 or higher				
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	49.8%	35.9% or lower	36.0% to 43.1%	43.2% to 48.6%	48.7% or higher				
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	95.1%	86.2% or lower	86.3% to 89.7%	89.8% to 92.3%	92.4% or higher				
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15		2015-16	Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target				
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating									
Self-Contained	2.03	1.89 or lower	1.90 to 1.98	1.99 to 2.05	2.06 or higher				
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.01	2.04 or lower	2.05 to 2.15	2.16 to 2.24	2.25 or higher				
SETSS	1.88	1.93 or lower	1.94 to 2.05	2.06 to 2.15	2.16 or higher				
ELL	2.38	2.15 or lower	2.16 to 2.29	2.30 to 2.40	2.41 or higher				
Lowest Third Citywide	1.95	1.95 or lower	1.96 to 2.02	2.03 to 2.07	2.08 or higher				
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.90	1.91 or lower	1.92 to 1.98	1.99 to 2.03	2.04 or higher				
Math - Average Proficiency Rating									
Self-Contained	2.09	1.93 or lower	1.94 to 2.07	2.08 to 2.18	2.19 or higher				
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.15	2.26 or lower	2.27 to 2.43	2.44 to 2.56	2.57 or higher				
SETSS	2.11	2.14 or lower	2.15 to 2.32	2.33 to 2.45	2.46 or higher				
ELL	2.64	2.34 or lower	2.35 to 2.54	2.55 to 2.69	2.70 or higher				
Lowest Third Citywide	2.04	1.95 or lower	1.96 to 2.03	2.04 to 2.09	2.10 or higher				
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	2.04	1.90 or lower	1.91 to 1.99	2.00 to 2.06	2.07 or higher				
ELL Progress	53.7%	52.8% or lower	52.9% to 62.8%	62.9% to 70.3%	70.4% or higher				
					-				

<sup>\*</sup>To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	80.4%	65.2% or lower	65.3% to 72.0%	72.1% to 77.1%	77.2% or higher			
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.56	0.15 or lower	0.16 to 0.25	0.26 to 0.32	0.33 or higher			