
Summary of Section Ratings

Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.



Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Good Standing

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at http://schoolqualityreports.nyc

P.S. 216 Arturo Toscanini

2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	114	102	93
Grade 1	97	116	103
Grade 2	73	96	109
Grade 3	90	73	100
Grade 4	76	80	71
Grade 5	60	71	85
All students	597	623	646

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	13%	13%	15%
% Free Lunch Eligible	59%	59%	63%
% Student with IEPs	16%	14%	14%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	0%	0%	3%
% HRA Eligible	-	43%	41%
% Temporary Housing	-	3%	2%
% Asian	20%	22%	24%
% Black	7%	6%	6%
% Hispanic	16%	16%	17%
% White	57%	56%	53%
% Other	0%	0%	1%

21K216 P.S. 216 Arturo Toscanini

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score
Approaching Target	2.96

	2014-15 Targets								
Student Achievement Metrics	n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA		School value	raiget Name	laiget	laiget	raiget	raiget Naiige	Wethe Store	Weight FCt
Average Student Proficiency	248	2.69	2.30	2.61	2.79	2.99	3.24	2.44	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	248	37.1%	17.6%	31.8%	41.4%	52.3%	65.4%	2.55	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	150	53.0	50.6	58.2	64.6	69.2	80.0	1.32	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	56	69.0	56.1	64.4	71.6	76.6	88.7	2.64	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	95	2.06	1.15	1.78	2.29	2.64	3.48	2.55	9.09%
State Test Results - Math									
Average Student Proficiency	252	2.84	2.41	2.81	3.04	3.32	3.65	2.13	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	252	43.7%	23.3%	40.4%	51.6%	64.4%	79.6%	2.29	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	152	67.0	47.4	56.6	64.1	69.3	81.9	3.56	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	52	76.5	53.3	61.3	68.2	73.1	85.0	4.29	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	97	1.70	1.26	2.14	2.75	3.18	4.30	1.50	9.09%
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	67	99.3%	81.2%	86.8%	90.5%	94.7%	100.0%	4.87	9.09%
								Weighted Average Score	2.74

						2014-15 Targets						
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	– Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4		•			0 0		J					
Self-Contained	9	3.6%	17.7%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%		0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	21	8.5%	43.1%	14.3%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%	4.77	0.030	0.028
o SETSS	9	3.6%	34.3%	0.0%	0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	9	3.6%	17.9%	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%		0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	21	8.3%	42.3%	28.6%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%	4.80	0.030	0.029
• SETSS	9	3.6%	34.6%	22.2%	0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%	4.47	0.030	0.026
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	30	20.0%	42.7%	33.3%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%	2.71	0.030	0.013
Lowest Third Citywide	34	22.7%	31.6%	52.9%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%	3.70	0.030	0.020
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	3	2.0%	5.1%		23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%		0.030	0.000
SC/ICT/SETSS	34	22.7%	53.9%	44.1%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	2.93	0.030	0.015
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	32	21.1%	43.3%	37.5%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%	3.36	0.030	0.018
Lowest Third Citywide	47	30.9%	42.4%	55.3%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%	4.09	0.030	0.023
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	8	5.3%	13.8%	50.0%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%		0.030	0.000
SC/ICT/SETSS	34	22.4%	53.9%	50.0%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	3.88	0.030	0.022
ELL Progress	78	13.9%	35.3%	66.7%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%	4.06	0.030	0.023
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.22
									Overa	II Student Achie	vement Score	2.96

[•] Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

[•] Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

P.S. 216 Arturo Toscanini

Quality Review 4.2 Proficient 3.40 50% NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 92% 3.88 50% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.64 Section Rating: Meeting Target Proficient 3.40 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 93% 3.72 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 86.0% 2.92 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.07 1.50 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.28 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.88 One Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 84% 3.16 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.16		Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
Quality Review 1.2 Developing 2.00 22% Quality Review 2.2 Proficient 3.40 22% NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction 88% 2.92 34% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.92 Iaborative Teachers Quality Review 4.2 Proficient 3.40 50% NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 92% 3.88 50% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.64 Sportive Environment 2.92 3.64 Could Review 3.4 Proficient 3.40 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 93% 3.72 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 86.0% 2.92 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments 86.0% 2.92 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.07 1.50 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.28 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target <td>orous Instruction</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	orous Instruction			
Quality Review 2.2 Proficient 3.40 22% NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction 88% 2.92 34% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.92 Illaborative Teachers Proficient 3.40 50% Quality Review 4.2 Proficient 3.40 50% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.64 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.64 Proficient 3.40 30% WYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 93% 3.72 35% Percentage of Students with 90%+ attendance 86.0% 2.92 30% EMS 0.0 2.92 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments 86.0% 2.92 30% EMS 0.07 1.50 5% Overall 0.07 1.50 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.28 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.88 Ower School Survey - St	Quality Review 1.1	Proficient	3.40	22%
Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: Section Sc	Quality Review 1.2	Developing	2.00	22%
Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.92	·	Proficient	3.40	22%
Ilaborative Teachers Quality Review 4.2 Proficient 3.40 50% NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 92% 3.88 50% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.64 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.64 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.64 Section Score: 3.66 Section Score	NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	88%	2.92	34%
Quality Review 4.2 Proficient 3.40 50% NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 92% 3.88 50% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.64 Section Rating: Meeting Target Proficient 3.40 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 93% 3.72 35% 3.72 35% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 93% 3.72 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance 8.60% 2.92 30% Novement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments 8.60% 2.92 30% Novement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments 8.60% 2.92 30% Novement of Students with disabilities to less restrictive environments 8.60% 2.92 30% Novement of Students with disabilities to less restrictive environments 8.60% 2.92 30% Novement of Students with disabilities to less restrictive environments 8.60% 2.92 30% Novement of Students with disabilities to less restrictive 8.60% 2.92 30% Novement of Students with disabilities to less restrictive 8.60% 2.92 30% Novement of Students with disabilities to less restrictive 8.60% 2.92 30% Novement of Students with disabilities to less restrictive 8.60% 2.92 30% Novement of Students with disabilities to less restrictive 8.60% 2.92 30% Novement of Students with disabilities to less restrictive 8.60% 2.92 30% Novement of Students with disabilities to less restrictive 8.60% 2.92 30% Novement of Students with disabilities to less restrictive 8.60% 2.92 30% Novement of Students with disabilities to less restrictive 8.60% 2.92 30% Novement of Students with disabilities to less restrictive 8.60% 2.92 30% Novement of Students with disabilities to less restrictive 8.60% 2.92 30% Novement of Students with disabilities to less restrictive 8.60% 2.92 30% Novement of Students with disabilities to less restrictive 8.60% 2.92 30% Novement of Students with disabilities to less restrictive 8.60% 2.92 30% Novement of Students with disabilities to less restrictive 8.60% 2.92 30% Novement of Students with disabilities to less restrictive 8.60% 2.92 30% Novement of Students with disabilities to less r	Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.92	
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 92% 3.88 50% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.64 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.64 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.64 Proficient 3.40 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 93% 3.72 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 86.0% 2.92 HS Overall 86.0% 2.92 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.07 1.50 HS Overall 0.07 1.50 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.28 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.88 Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 84% 3.88 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.88 NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 84% 3.16 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.16	llaborative Teachers			
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 92% 3.88 50% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.64 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.64 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.64 Proficient 3.40 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 93% 3.72 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 86.0% 2.92 HS Overall 86.0% 2.92 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.07 1.50 HS Overall 0.07 1.50 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.28 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.88 Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 84% 3.88 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.88 NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 84% 3.16 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.16	Quality Review 4.2	Proficient	3.40	50%
Quality Review 3.4 Proficient 3.40 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 93% 3.72 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 86.0% 2.92 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.07 1.50 5% Overall 0.07 1.50 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.28 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.88 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.16	·			
Quality Review 3.4 Proficient 3.40 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 93% 3.72 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 86.0% 2.92 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.07 1.50 5% Overall 0.07 1.50 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.28 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.88 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.88 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.16 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.16	Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.64	
Quality Review 3.4 Proficient 3.40 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 93% 3.72 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 86.0% 2.92 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.07 1.50 5% Overall 0.07 1.50 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.28 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.88 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.88 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.16 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.16 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.16	nnortive Environment			
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 93% 3.72 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS HS Overall 86.0% 2.92 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS Overall 0.07 1.50 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.28 Percentive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 84% 3.88 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.88 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.88 Percentive Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.88 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.88 Percentive Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.88 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.88 Percentive Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.16	-	Proficient	3 40	30%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS HS Overall 86.0% 2.92 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS Overall 0.007 1.50 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.28 Cong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Trust 96% 4.24 100%	·			
EMS HS Overall 86.0% 2.92 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS Overall 0.07 1.50 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.28 Ective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.88 Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.16 NYC School Survey - Trust 96% 4.24 100%		55/0	5.72	33/0
Overall 86.0% 2.92 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.07 1.50 HS Overall 0.07 1.50 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.28 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.88 DOWNER Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.88 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.88 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.88 ONG Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 84% 3.16 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.16 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.16		86.0%	2.92	
Overall 86.0% 2.92 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.07 1.50 HS Overall 0.07 1.50 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.28 Ective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 84% 3.88 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.88 Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 84% 3.16 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.16 Section Rating: Meeting Target 96% 4.24 100%		55.070		
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS Overall 0.07 1.50 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.28 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.88 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.88 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.16 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.16		86.0%	2.92	30%
environments EMS HS Overall 0.07 1.50 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.28 ective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.88 Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.16 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.16 NYC School Survey - Trust 96% 4.24 100%				20,0
EMS HS Overall 0.07 1.50 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.28 Ective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.88 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.88 Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.16 Section Score: 3.16				
Overall 0.07 1.50 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.28 Exercive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 84% 3.88 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.88 Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 84% 3.16 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.16 Section Rating: Meeting Target 96% 4.24 100%		0.07	1.50	
Overall 0.07 1.50 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.28 Ective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 84% 3.88 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.88 Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 84% 3.16 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.16 Section Rating: Meeting Target 96% 4.24 100%				
ective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.88 Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.16 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.16 NYC School Survey - Trust 96% 4.24 100%		0.07	1.50	5%
Pective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.88 Pong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.16 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.16 Section Score: 3.16	Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.28	
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 84% 3.88 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.88 Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 84% 3.16 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.16 NYC School Survey - Trust 96% 4.24 100%	antina Cabaal Laadayahiya			
Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.88 Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 84% 3.16 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.16 NYC School Survey - Trust 96% 4.24 100%	-	84%	3.88	100%
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 84% 3.16 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.16 NYC School Survey - Trust 96% 4.24 100%				2007
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 84% 3.16 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.16 IST NYC School Survey - Trust 96% 4.24 100%	Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.88	
Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.16 Ist NYC School Survey - Trust 96% 4.24 100%	ong Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Trust 96% 4.24 100%	NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	84%	3.16	100%
NYC School Survey - Trust 96% 4.24 100%	Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.16	
NYC School Survey - Trust 96% 4.24 100%				
		06%	4.24	1000/
Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.24	INTO SCHOOL SURVEY - TRUST	90%	4.24	100%
	Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.24	



P.S. 216 Arturo Toscanini

			City Range				
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rigorous Instruction							
Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	95	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.75	4.00
Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	88	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.30	2.20
Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
Quality of student discussion	Teachers	81	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.39	2.56
Section Results:		88%					2.92
Collaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers	95	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.75	
Cultural awareness	Parents	97	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.75	
Cultural awareness	Students	31	68.6	87.4	100.0	0.75	
Cultural awareness	Combined	96	00.0	07.4	100.0	0.75	4.00
Inclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	95	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.75	4.00
Quality of professional development	Teachers	80	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.58	3.32
School commitment	Teachers	92	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.81	4.24
Innovation	Teachers	90	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.67	3.68
	Teachers	97	87.9	95.9	100.0	0.75	4.00
Reflective dialoguePeer collaboration			77.6				
	Teachers	97		92.2	100.0	0.86	4.44
Focus on student learning	Teachers	92	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.76	4.04
Collective responsibility Section Results:	Teachers	86 92%	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.59	3.36 3.88
Supportive Environment Safety:							
Safety	Teachers	99	80.0	94.6	100.0	0.93	
Safety	Students	99	74.5	88.5	100.0	0.33	
• Safety	Combined	99	74.3	00.3	100.0	0.93	4.72
Classroom behavior:	Combined	33				0.55	4.72
Classroom behavior	Teachers	88	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.62	
Classroom behavior	Students	00				0.62	
		00	67.3	84.3	100.0	0.63	2.40
Classroom behavior	Combined	88	00.0	00.0	100.0	0.62	3.48
Social-emotional measure	Teachers	96	89.0	96.6	100.0	0.75	4.00
Peer interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0		
Next-level guidance	Students						
Press toward academic achievement:	Tarrelle	26	75.0	00.0	400.0	0.42	
Press toward academic achievement	Teachers	86	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.43	
Press toward academic achievement	Students		85.3	91.9	98.5		
Press toward academic achievement	Combined	86				0.43	2.72
Personal attention and support	Students		77.8	89.6	100.0		
Peer support for academic work:							
Peer support for academic work	Teachers	91	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.62	
Peer support for academic work	Parents	97	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.75	
Peer support for academic work	Students		50.4	73.8	97.2		
Peer support for academic work	Combined	94				0.68	3.72
Section Results:		93%					3.72

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score

		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	96	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.79	4.16
Teacher influence	Teachers	53	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.38	2.52
Program coherence	Teachers	90	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.76	4.04
 Principal instructional leadership 	Teachers	98	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.95	4.80
Section Results:	reachers	84%	01.0	07.0	200.0	0.33	3.88
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	98	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.89	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	95	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.75	
 Teacher outreach to parents 	Combined	97				0.82	4.28
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	70	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.26	2.04
Section Results:		84%					3.16
Trust							
 Parent-teacher trust 	Parents	96	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.75	4.00
 Parent-principal trust 	Parents	97	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.83	4.32
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
 Teacher-principal trust 	Teachers	95	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.89	4.56
 Teacher-teacher trust 	Teachers	94	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.75	4.00
Section Results:		96%					4.24

21K216

Targets for 2015-16

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15		2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
State Test Results - ELA*								
Average Student Proficiency	2.69	2.67 or lower	2.68 to 2.77	2.78 to 2.85	2.86 or higher			
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.06	2.04 or lower	2.05 to 2.16	2.17 to 2.25	2.26 or higher			
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	37.1%	35.1% or lower	35.2% to 40.6%	40.7% to 44.8%	44.9% or higher			
State Test Results - Math*								
Average Student Proficiency	2.84	2.82 or lower	2.83 to 2.98	2.99 to 3.09	3.10 or higher			
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.11	2.08 or lower	2.09 to 2.25	2.26 to 2.38	2.39 or higher			
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	43.7%	41.4% or lower	41.5% to 48.7%	48.8% to 54.1%	54.2% or higher			
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	99.3%	87.2% or lower	87.3% to 90.5%	90.6% to 92.9%	93.0% or higher			
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15		2015-16	argets				
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating								
Self-Contained	1.75	1.72 or lower	1.73 to 1.80	1.81 to 1.89	1.90 or higher			
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.29	2.02 or lower	2.03 to 2.13	2.14 to 2.21	2.22 or higher			
SETSS	2.10	2.02 or lower	2.03 to 2.14	2.15 to 2.24	2.25 or higher			
ELL	2.26	2.30 or lower	2.31 to 2.44	2.45 to 2.54	2.55 or higher			
Lowest Third Citywide	1.94	1.95 or lower	1.96 to 2.02	2.03 to 2.07	2.08 or higher			
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide		1.91 or lower	1.92 to 1.99	2.00 to 2.04	2.05 or higher			
Math - Average Proficiency Rating								
Self-Contained	2.14	1.81 or lower	1.82 to 1.95	1.96 to 2.06	2.07 or higher			
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.51	2.24 or lower	2.25 to 2.41	2.42 to 2.54	2.55 or higher			
SETSS	2.37	2.25 or lower	2.26 to 2.43	2.44 to 2.56	2.57 or higher			
ELL	2.53	2.51 or lower	2.52 to 2.70	2.71 to 2.85	2.86 or higher			
Lowest Third Citywide	2.12	1.95 or lower	1.96 to 2.03	2.04 to 2.09	2.10 or higher			
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	2.02	1.91 or lower	1.92 to 2.00	2.01 to 2.07	2.08 or higher			
ELL Progress	66.7%	51.0% or lower	51.1% to 61.0%	61.1% to 68.5%	68.6% or higher			

^{*}To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	86.0%	76.8% or lower	76.9% to 82.7%	82.8% to 87.1%	87.2% or higher			
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.07	0.12 or lower	0.13 to 0.19	0.20 to 0.25	0.26 or higher			