
Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.



Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Focus

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at http://schoolqualityreports.nyc

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	85	100	86
Grade 1	113	89	101
Grade 2	111	89	93
Grade 3	110	101	83
Grade 4	100	79	102
Grade 5	86	86	82
All students	677	616	619

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	15%	13%	12%
% Free Lunch Eligible	93%	93%	93%
% Student with IEPs	21%	22%	22%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	13%	10%	10%
% HRA Eligible	-	76%	80%
% Temporary Housing	-	21%	31%
% Asian	1%	1%	1%
% Black	24%	23%	27%
% Hispanic	74%	75%	70%
% White	0%	1%	0%
% Other	0%	1%	1%

12X006 P.S. 006 West Farms

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score
Approaching Target	2.80

		2014-15 Targets							
Student Achievement Metrics		2014-15	Bottom of	Approaching	Meeting	Exceeding	Top of		
	n	School Value	Target Range	Target	Target	Target	Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA									
Average Student Proficiency	256	2.12	1.93	2.13	2.26	2.41	2.69	1.95	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	256	10.9%	0.5%	9.0%	15.0%	21.9%	33.9%	2.32	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	168	58.5	45.7	54.7	62.4	67.8	80.6	2.49	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	61	76.0	60.5	68.9	76.2	81.2	93.2	2.97	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	79	1.55	0.36	1.02	1.60	2.00	2.99	2.91	9.09%
State Test Results - Math									
Average Student Proficiency	258	2.22	1.92	2.18	2.36	2.56	2.93	2.22	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	258	14.3%	0.2%	11.6%	19.9%	29.2%	44.5%	2.33	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	170	49.0	36.8	48.7	59.0	66.2	83.3	2.03	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	62	67.5	55.0	64.7	73.1	78.9	92.9	2.33	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	79	2.21	0.14	1.16	2.05	2.67	4.20	3.26	9.09%
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	77	94.5%	73.4%	80.9%	86.3%	92.4%	100.0%	4.28	9.09%
								Weighted Average Score	2.64

						2	014-15 Target	S				
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
O Self-Contained	37	14.5%	71.4%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	22	8.6%	43.7%	4.5%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%	2.29	0.030	0.010
SETSS	2	0.8%	7.6%		0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%		0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
 Self-Contained 	37	14.3%	71.1%	10.8%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%	4.65	0.030	0.027
Integrated Co-Teaching	22	8.5%	43.4%	0.0%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%	1.00	0.030	0.000
SETSS	2	0.8%	7.7%		0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%		0.030	0.000
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	26	15.5%	33.1%	38.5%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%	3.22	0.030	0.017
Lowest Third Citywide	103	61.3%	89.4%	41.7%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%	2.35	0.030	0.010
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	58	34.5%	87.3%	44.8%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%	2.89	0.030	0.014
SC/ICT/SETSS	43	25.6%	62.2%	46.5%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	3.17	0.030	0.016
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	30	17.6%	36.1%	30.0%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%	2.69	0.030	0.013
Lowest Third Citywide	91	53.5%	73.5%	33.0%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%	2.05	0.030	0.008
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	52	30.6%	79.7%	38.5%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%	2.77	0.030	0.013
SC/ICT/SETSS	43	25.3%	62.2%	41.9%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	3.14	0.030	0.016
ELL Progress	61	11.2%	28.4%	59.0%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%	3.39	0.030	0.018
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.16
									Overa	all Student Achie	vement Score	2.80

[•] Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

[•] Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

12X006 P.S. 006 West Farms

Orous Instruction Quality Review 1.1 Quality Review 1.2	Developing Developing	2.00	
Quality Review 1.1	·	2.00	
·	·		22%
· · ·	Developing	2.00	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Developing	2.00	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	89%	2.72	34%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.24	
llaborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2	Developing	2.00	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	88%	3.40	50%
The School Survey Collaborative reactions	0070	3.40	3070
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.72	
pportive Environment			
Quality Review 3.4	Developing	2.00	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	83%	2.04	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance			
EMS	54.6%	1.32	
HS			
Overall	54.6%	1.32	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive			
environments			
EMS	0.22	2.73	
HS			
Overall	0.22	2.73	5%
Section Rating: Not Meeting Target	Section Score:	1.84	
ective School Leadership			
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	67%	1.96	100%
Section Rating: Not Meeting Target	Section Score:	1.96	
ong Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	82%	2.52	100%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.52	
NVC School Survey Truct	000/	2.06	1000/
NYC School Survey - Trust	88%	2.96	100%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.96	



Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

			City Panga				
		Cuman 9/ Desitive	City Range		Dougout of Dougo	Caara	
Discovers Instruction		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rigorous Instruction	Tanahaya	02	OC 4	04.0	100.0	0.50	2.00
Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	93	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.50	3.00
Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	88	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.25	2.00
Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
Quality of student discussion	Teachers	86	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.54	3.16
ection Results:		89%					2.72
Collaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers	96	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.75	
Cultural awareness	Parents	94	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.50	
Cultural awareness	Students		68.6	87.4	100.0		
Cultural awareness	Combined	95				0.63	3.52
 Inclusive classroom instruction 	Teachers	97	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.80	4.20
Quality of professional development	Teachers	84	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.68	3.72
School commitment	Teachers	82	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.55	3.20
Innovation	Teachers	87	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.58	3.32
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	97	87.9	95.9	100.0	0.75	4.00
Peer collaboration	Teachers	90	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.55	3.20
Focus on student learning	Teachers	86	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.57	3.28
Collective responsibility	Teachers	76	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.29	2.16
Section Results:	rederiers	88%	03.7	0,	100.0	0.23	3.40
Safety:							
Safety	Teachers	79	80.0	94.6	100.0	0.00	
Safety	Students		74.5	88.5	100.0		
o Safety	Combined	79				0.00	1.00
Classroom behavior:							
Classroom behavior	Teachers	78	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.33	
Classroom behavior	Students		67.3	84.3	100.0		
Classroom behavior	Combined	78				0.33	2.32
Social-emotional measure	Teachers	93	89.0	96.6	100.0	0.50	3.00
Peer interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0		
Next-level guidance	Students						
Press toward academic achievement:							
Press toward academic achieveme		82	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.29	
Press toward academic achieveme			85.3	91.9	98.5		
Press toward academic achieveme		82				0.29	2.16
Personal attention and support	Students		77.8	89.6	100.0		
Peer support for academic work:							
Peer support for academic work	Teachers	78	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.08	
Peer support for academic work	Parents	89	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.25	
Peer support for academic work	Students		50.4	73.8	97.2		
 Peer support for academic work 	Combined	84				0.16	1.64
Section Results:		83%					2.04

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

			City Range				
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
 Inclusive principal leadership 	Parents	83	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.16	1.64
 Teacher influence 	Teachers	37	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.13	1.52
Program coherence	Teachers	73	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.32	2.28
Principal instructional leadership	Teachers	75	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.36	2.44
Section Results:		67%					1.96
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	86	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.25	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	88	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.25	
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	87				0.25	2.00
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	77	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.51	3.04
Section Results:		82%					2.52
Trust							
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	91	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.50	3.00
Parent-principal trust	Parents	88	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.28	2.12
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	82	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.59	3.36
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	89	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.58	3.32
Section Results:		88%					2.96

12X006

Targets for 2015-16 P.S. 006 West Farms

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15	2014-15 2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
State Test Results - ELA*							
Average Student Proficiency	2.12	2.09 or lower	2.10 to 2.19	2.20 to 2.27	2.28 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.81	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	10.9%	7.6% or lower	7.7% to 12.0%	12.1% to 15.4%	15.5% or higher		
State Test Results - Math*							
Average Student Proficiency	2.22	2.12 or lower	2.13 to 2.27	2.28 to 2.39	2.40 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.77	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	14.3%	10.7% or lower	10.8% to 16.9%	17.0% to 21.6%	21.7% or higher		
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	94.5%	84.9% or lower	85.0% to 88.7%	88.8% to 91.6%	91.7% or higher		
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15		2015-16	Targets			
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained	1.70	1.69 or lower	1.70 to 1.79	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 or higher		
Integrated Co-Teaching	1.96	1.88 or lower	1.89 to 1.99	2.00 to 2.07	2.08 or higher		
SETSS		1.86 or lower	1.87 to 1.98	1.99 to 2.08	2.09 or higher		
ELL	2.04	1.93 or lower	1.94 to 2.07	2.08 to 2.17	2.18 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide	1.87	1.84 or lower	1.85 to 1.91	1.92 to 1.99	2.00 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.84	1.82 or lower	1.83 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher		
Math - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained	2.06	1.70 or lower	1.71 to 1.84	1.85 to 1.95	1.96 or higher		
Integrated Co-Teaching	1.87	1.92 or lower	1.93 to 2.09	2.10 to 2.22	2.23 or higher		
SETSS		1.86 or lower	1.87 to 2.04	2.05 to 2.17	2.18 or higher		
ELL	2.15	1.99 or lower	2.00 to 2.19	2.20 to 2.34	2.35 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide	1.81	1.85 or lower	1.86 to 1.93	1.94 to 1.99	2.00 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.81	1.82 or lower	1.83 to 1.90	1.91 to 1.99	2.00 or higher		
ELL Progress	59.0%	43.4% or lower	43.5% to 53.4%	53.5% to 60.9%	61.0% or higher		

^{*}To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	54.6%	55.9% or lower	56.0% to 62.8%	62.9% to 69.9%	70.0% or higher			
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.22	0.14 or lower	0.15 to 0.22	0.23 to 0.28	0.29 or higher			