
Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.



Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Reward

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at http://schoolqualityreports.nyc

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	38	38	70
Grade 1	41	41	36
Grade 2	27	41	42
Grade 3	32	27	41
Grade 4	32	32	26
Grade 5	39	35	30
All students	209	214	245

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	1%	1%	0%
% Free Lunch Eligible	12%	12%	17%
% Student with IEPs	14%	20%	22%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	0%	0%	0%
% HRA Eligible	-	11%	7%
% Temporary Housing	-	5%	4%
% Asian	3%	1%	2%
% Black	1%	1%	1%
% Hispanic	8%	9%	9%
% White	88%	89%	89%
% Other	0%	0%	0%

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

31R005 P.S. 005 Huguenot

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score
Exceeding Target	4.26

Student Achievement Metrics	n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	••		Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA									
Average Student Proficiency	92	3.20	2.42	2.75	2.93	3.15	3.39	4.21	9.09%
 Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4 	92	62.0%	23.6%	38.5%	48.6%	60.1%	73.9%	4.14	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	54	76.0	48.7	57.3	64.7	69.9	82.3	4.49	9.09%
 Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third 	19	87.0	54.5	63.3	71.0	76.4	89.1	4.83	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	38	3.02	1.04	1.70	2.24	2.62	3.52	4.44	9.09%
State Test Results - Math									
Average Student Proficiency	91	3.35	2.54	2.94	3.16	3.41	3.71	3.76	9.09%
 Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4 	91	71.4%	29.3%	46.6%	57.4%	69.7%	83.9%	4.12	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	53	69.0	42.5	53.2	62.5	69.0	84.3	4.00	9.09%
 Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third 	22	81.5	50.8	60.6	69.1	75.0	89.1	4.46	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	38	2.39	0.89	1.81	2.55	3.06	4.35	2.78	9.09%
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	33	100.0%	86.4%	91.7%	94.0%	96.7%	100.0%	4.99	9.09%
								Weighted Average Score	4.20

						2	014-15 Target	s				
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained			0.0%		0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%		0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	4	4.3%	21.8%		0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%		0.030	0.000
SETSS	5	5.4%	51.4%	20.0%	0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%	4.99	0.030	0.030
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained			0.0%		0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%		0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	3	3.3%	16.8%		0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%		0.030	0.000
SETSS	5	5.5%	52.9%	40.0%	0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%	4.99	0.030	0.030
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL			0.0%		12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	4	7.4%	8.7%		28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%		0.030	0.000
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide			0.0%		23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%		0.030	0.000
SC/ICT/SETSS	4	7.4%	10.0%		22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%		0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL			0.0%		7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	7	13.2%	18.1%	100.0%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%		0.030	0.000
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide			0.0%		14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%		0.030	0.000
SC/ICT/SETSS	3	5.7%	5.8%		15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%		0.030	0.000
ELL Progress			0.0%		31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%		0.030	0.000
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.06
									Overa	II Student Achie	vement Score	4.26

[•] Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

[•] Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

P.S. 005 Huguenot

31R005

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
orous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Well Developed	4.99	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Well Developed	4.99	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	100%	4.99	34%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.64	
laborative Teachers			
	Well Daveland	4.00	Γ00/
Quality Review 4.2	Well Developed	4.99	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	99%	4.88	50%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.96	
pportive Environment			
Quality Review 3.4	Well Developed	4.99	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	100%	4.92	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance			
EMS	94.7%	4.24	
HS			
Overall	94.7%	4.24	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive			
environments			
EMS	0.06	1.43	
HS			
Overall	0.06	1.43	5%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.56	
ective School Leadership			
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	96%	4.44	100%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.44	
ong Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	90%	4.16	100%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.16	
NVC School Survey, Trust	069/	4.00	4000/
NYC School Survey - Trust	96%	4.00	100%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.00	
	3000000000		



P.S. 005 Huguenot

				City Range			
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rigorous Instruction			•	, ,		•	
Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	100	86.4	94.8	100.0	1.00	4.99
Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	100	83.3	93.1	100.0	1.00	4.99
Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
 Quality of student discussion 	Teachers	100	68.7	85.3	100.0	1.00	4.99
ection Results:		100%					4.99
Collaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers	100	85.4	95.0	100.0	1.00	
Cultural awareness	Parents	91	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.50	
Cultural awareness	Students	91	68.6	87.4	100.0	0.50	
	Combined	96	08.0	87.4	100.0	0.75	4.00
Cultural awarenessInclusive classroom instruction	Teachers		84.2	94.6	100.0	1.00	4.00 4.99
		100					
Quality of professional developmentSchool commitment	Teachers Teachers	99	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.98	4.92
		100	59.9	85.3	100.0	1.00	4.99
• Innovation	Teachers	100	70.3	86.7	100.0	1.00	4.99
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	100	87.9	95.9	100.0	1.00	4.99
Peer collaboration	Teachers	100	77.6	92.2	100.0	1.00	4.99
Focus on student learning	Teachers	100	68.2	89.0	100.0	1.00	4.99
Collective responsibility section Results:	Teachers	100 99%	65.7	84.7	100.0	1.00	4.99 4.88
supportive Environment Safety:							
Safety	Teachers	100	80.0	94.6	100.0	1.00	
Safety	Students		74.5	88.5	100.0		
 Safety 	Combined	100				1.00	4.99
Classroom behavior:							
Classroom behavior	Teachers	100	66.9	85.5	100.0	1.00	
Classroom behavior	Students		67.3	84.3	100.0		
 Classroom behavior 	Combined	100				1.00	4.99
 Social-emotional measure 	Teachers	100	89.0	96.6	100.0	1.00	4.99
Peer interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0		
Next-level guidance	Students						
Press toward academic achievement:							
Press toward academic achievement	Teachers	100	75.0	88.8	100.0	1.00	
Press toward academic achievement	Students		85.3	91.9	98.5		
 Press toward academic achievement 	Combined	100				1.00	4.99
Personal attention and support	Students		77.8	89.6	100.0		
Peer support for academic work:							
Peer support for academic work	Teachers	100	76.5	91.5	100.0	1.00	
Peer support for academic work	Parents	98	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.78	
Peer support for academic work	Students		50.4	73.8	97.2		
 Peer support for academic work 	Combined	99				0.89	4.56
ection Results:		100%					4.92

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

P.S. 005 Huguenot

				City Range			
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
		,	· ·	, ,	, ,	•	
Effective School Leadership							
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	88	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.42	2.68
Teacher influence	Teachers	95	28.8	60.8	92.8	1.00	4.99
 Program coherence 	Teachers	100	60.0	85.2	100.0	1.00	4.99
 Principal instructional leadership 	Teachers	100	61.6	87.0	100.0	1.00	4.99
Section Results:		96%					4.44
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	100	84.5	94.5	100.0	1.00	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	95	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.75	
 Teacher outreach to parents 	Combined	98				0.88	4.52
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	82	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.69	3.76
Section Results:		90%					4.16
Trust							
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	94	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.50	3.00
Parent-principal trust	Parents	90	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.50	3.00
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
 Teacher-principal trust 	Teachers	100	56.4	85.0	100.0	1.00	4.99
 Teacher-teacher trust 	Teachers	100	74.1	90.5	100.0	1.00	4.99
Section Results:		96%					4.00

31R005

Targets for 2015-16 P.S. 005 Huguenot

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
State Test Results - ELA*								
Average Student Proficiency	3.20	2.86 or lower	2.87 to 2.97	2.98 to 3.05	3.06 or higher			
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.92	2.39 or lower	2.40 to 2.51	2.52 to 2.60	2.61 or higher			
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	62.0%	46.4% or lower	46.5% to 51.9%	52.0% to 56.1%	56.2% or highe			
State Test Results - Math*								
Average Student Proficiency	3.35	2.95 or lower	2.96 to 3.11	3.12 to 3.22	3.23 or higher			
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.81	2.27 or lower	2.28 to 2.44	2.45 to 2.57	2.58 or higher			
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	71.4%	49.3% or lower	49.4% to 56.6%	56.7% to 62.0%	62.1% or highe			
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	100.0%	89.5% or lower	89.6% to 92.1%	92.2% to 94.1%	94.2% or highe			
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating								
Self-Contained								
Integrated Co-Teaching		2.11 or lower	2.12 to 2.22	2.23 to 2.30	2.31 or higher			
SETSS	2.56	2.16 or lower	2.17 to 2.28	2.29 to 2.37	2.38 or higher			
ELL								
Lowest Third Citywide		2.17 or lower	2.18 to 2.24	2.25 to 2.29	2.30 or higher			
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide		2.47 or lower	2.48 to 2.54	2.55 to 2.59	2.60 or higher			
Math - Average Proficiency Rating								
Self-Contained								
Integrated Co-Teaching		2.36 or lower	2.37 to 2.53	2.54 to 2.66	2.67 or higher			
SETSS	2.69	2.37 or lower	2.38 to 2.55	2.56 to 2.68	2.69 or higher			
ELL								
Lowest Third Citywide	2.46	2.08 or lower	2.09 to 2.16	2.17 to 2.22	2.23 or higher			
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide								
ELL Progress								

^{*}To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below and have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	94.7%	81.8% or lower	81.9% to 86.4%	86.5% to 89.9%	90.0% or higher			
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.06	0.09 or lower	0.10 to 0.14	0.15 to 0.19	0.20 or higher			