# 2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

**Summary of Section Ratings** 

#### **Framework for Great Schools**

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.

Section	Section Rating	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	ExceedingTarget
<b>Rigorous Instruction</b>	Exceeding Target				4.76
<b>Collaborative Teachers</b>	Exceeding Target				4.84
Supportive Environment	Exceeding Target				4.32
Effective School Leadership	Exceeding Target				4.32
Strong Family-Community Ties	Meeting Target			3.24	
Trust	Exceeding Target				4.52
Student Achievement	Meeting Target			3.8	0
		1	2	3	4.99

**Section scores** are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

#### State Accountability Status: Reward

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: <a href="http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm">http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm</a>

#### Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at <u>http://schoolqualityreports.nyc</u>

# 2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

# **Student Enrollment**

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	99	98	100
Grade 1	94	98	102
Grade 2	92	101	99
Grade 3	114	94	98
Grade 4	109	119	101
Grade 5	86	99	116
All students	624	641	641

# **Student Demographics**

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	6%	6%	8%
% Free Lunch Eligible	22%	22%	26%
% Student with IEPs	12%	14%	15%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	3%	4%	3%
% HRA Eligible	-	13%	12%
% Temporary Housing	-	1%	0%
% Asian	66%	66%	63%
% Black	3%	3%	3%
% Hispanic	9%	11%	12%
% White	21%	20%	21%
% Other	0%	0%	0%

# 2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score									
Meeting Target	3.80									
					2	014-15 Target	S			
Student Achievement Metrics		n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	<ul> <li>Top of</li> <li>Target Range</li> </ul>	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA										
Average Student Proficiency		303	3.14	2.57	2.90	3.07	3.25	3.45	3.39	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4		303	63.7%	32.6%	47.2%	56.0%	65.9%	76.9%	3.78	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile		198	61.5	53.5	61.1	67.6	72.1	82.7	2.06	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	l	72	68.0	55.4	64.3	72.0	77.4	90.3	2.48	9.09%
Early Grade Progress		97	2.51	1.40	2.04	2.50	2.83	3.60	3.03	9.09%
State Test Results - Math										
Average Student Proficiency		305	3.65	2.72	3.13	3.32	3.54	3.79	4.44	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4		305	83.3%	38.9%	56.2%	65.3%	75.6%	86.6%	4.70	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile		199	71.0	47.0	57.1	65.5	71.4	85.2	3.93	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Thirc		67	75.0	51.8	61.4	69.7	75.6	89.5	3.90	9.09%
Early Grade Progress		98	3.18	1.37	2.21	2.75	3.14	4.18	4.04	9.09%
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students		93	98.6%	86.4%	91.7%	94.0%	96.7%	100.0%	4.58	9.09%
									Weighted Average Score	3.67

						2	014-15 Target	S				
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics		2014-15 School	•	2014-15	Bottom of	Approaching	Meeting	Exceeding	Top of		Extra Points	Extra Points
	n	Population %	of Range	School Value	Target Range	Target	Target	Target	Target Range	Metric Score	Possible	Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	15	5.0%	24.6%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%		0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	3	1.0%	5.1%		0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%		0.030	0.000
• SETSS	17	5.6%	53.3%	17.6%	0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%	4.99	0.030	0.030
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	15	4.9%	24.4%	33.3%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%		0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	3	1.0%	5.1%		0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%		0.030	0.000
• SETSS	17	5.6%	53.8%	47.1%	0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%	4.99	0.030	0.030
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	32	16.2%	34.6%	40.6%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%	3.43	0.030	0.018
Lowest Third Citywide	20	10.1%	12.7%	50.0%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%		0.030	0.000
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide			0.0%		23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%		0.030	0.000
o SC/ICT/SETSS	30	15.2%	32.4%	30.0%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	1.62	0.030	0.005
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
• ELL	33	16.6%	34.1%	48.5%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%	4.15	0.030	0.024
Lowest Third Citywide	12	6.0%	8.2%	83.3%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%		0.030	0.000
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide			0.0%		14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%		0.030	0.000
SC/ICT/SETSS	30	15.1%	32.9%	46.7%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	3.58	0.030	0.019
ELL Progress	45	7.3%	18.5%	91.1%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%		0.030	0.000
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.13
									Overa	ll Student Achie	vement Score	3.80

• Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

• Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

# 2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

```
26Q221
```

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
orous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Well Developed	4.99	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Well Developed	4.99	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Well Developed	4.99	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	96%	4.24	34%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.76	
aborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2	Well Developed	4.99	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	98%	4.68	50%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.84	
portive Environment			
Quality Review 3.4	Well Developed	4.99	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	97%	4.56	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance			
EMS	94.4%	3.76	
HS			
Overall	94.4%	3.76	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive			
environments			
EMS	0.10	1.71	
HS			
Overall	0.10	1.71	5%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.32	
ctive School Leadership			
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	91%	4.32	100%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.32	
ng Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	84%	3.24	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.24	
sector nating. meeting funger		5.27	
st	0.0%	4.52	1000/
NYC School Survey - Trust	98%	4.52	100%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.52	
0			

### 2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

					City Range			
			Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rigo	rous Instruction				, 0		0	
•	Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	98	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.84	4.36
	Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	95	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.75	4.00
(	Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
	Quality of student discussion	Teachers	95	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.83	4.32
	on Results:		96%					4.24
Colla	borative Teachers							
	Cultural awareness:							
	Cultural awareness	Teachers	100	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.97	
	Cultural awareness	Parents	96	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.75	
	Cultural awareness	Students	50	68.6	87.4	100.0	0.75	
	Cultural awareness	Combined	98	08.0	07.4	100.0	0.86	4.44
	nclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	99	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.94	4.76
	Quality of professional development	Teachers	95	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.94	4.60
	School commitment	Teachers	99	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.90	4.84
	nnovation	Teachers	99	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.98	4.84
		Teachers	99	87.9	95.9	100.0	0.57	4.88
	Reflective dialogue							
	Peer collaboration	Teachers	100	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.98	4.92
	Focus on student learning	Teachers	98	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.93	4.72
	Collective responsibility	Teachers	98	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.95	4.80
Section	on Results:		98%					4.68
Supp	ortive Environment							
9	Safety:							
	Safety	Teachers	100	80.0	94.6	100.0	1.00	
	Safety	Students		74.5	88.5	100.0		
•	Safety	Combined	100				1.00	4.99
(	Classroom behavior:							
	Classroom behavior	Teachers	91	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.73	
	Classroom behavior	Students		67.3	84.3	100.0		
	Classroom behavior	Combined	91				0.73	3.92
•	Social-emotional measure	Teachers	100	89.0	96.6	100.0	1.00	4.99
	Peer interactions	Students	100	68.2	84.8	100.0	1.00	1133
	Next-level guidance	Students		00.2	01.0	100.0		
	Press toward academic achievement:	Students						
	Press toward academic achievement	Teachers	95	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.81	
	Press toward academic achievement	Students	55	85.3	91.9	98.5	0.01	
•	Press toward academic achievement	Combined	95	05.5	51.5	50.5	0.81	4.24
	Personal attention and support	Students	55	77.8	89.6	100.0	0.01	4.24
	Peer support for academic work:	Judents		//.0	05.0	100.0		
ľ	Peer support for academic work	Teachers	100	76.5	91.5	100.0	1.00	
	Peer support for academic work	Parents	98	88.4	91.5	100.0	0.85	
			90				0.05	
	Peer support for academic work	Students	00	50.4	73.8	97.2	0.02	4 70
• Cooti	Peer support for academic work	Combined	99				0.93	4.72
Secti	on Results:		97%					4.56

### 2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

				City Range			
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
<ul> <li>Inclusive principal leadership</li> </ul>	Parents	95	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.75	4.00
Teacher influence	Teachers	72	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.67	3.68
<ul> <li>Program coherence</li> </ul>	Teachers	99	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.97	4.88
<ul> <li>Principal instructional leadership</li> </ul>	Teachers	97	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.93	4.72
Section Results:		91%					4.32
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	99	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.96	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	95	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.75	
• Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	97				0.85	4.40
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	70	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.27	2.08
Section Results:		84%					3.24
Trust							
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	96	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.75	4.00
Parent-principal trust	Parents	97	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.80	4.20
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	100	56.4	85.0	100.0	1.00	4.99
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	99	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.95	4.80
Section Results:		98%					4.52

### 2014-15 School Quality Reports / ES

Targets for 2015-16

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
State Test Results - ELA*							
Average Student Proficiency	3.14	2.99 or lower	3.00 to 3.09	3.10 to 3.17	3.18 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.48	2.33 or lower	2.34 to 2.45	2.46 to 2.54	2.55 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	63.7%	51.0% or lower	51.1% to 56.5%	56.6% to 60.7%	60.8% or higher		
State Test Results - Math*							
Average Student Proficiency	3.65	3.27 or lower	3.28 to 3.42	3.43 to 3.54	3.55 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.97	2.52 or lower	2.53 to 2.69	2.70 to 2.82	2.83 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	83.3%	63.4% or lower	63.5% to 70.7%	70.8% to 76.2%	76.3% or higher		
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	98.6%	88.7% or lower	88.8% to 91.5%	91.6% to 93.7%	93.8% or higher		
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15		Targets				
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained	1.91	1.91 or lower	1.92 to 2.00	2.01 to 2.06	2.07 or higher		
Integrated Co-Teaching		2.11 or lower	2.12 to 2.22	2.23 to 2.30	2.31 or higher		
SETSS	2.40	2.08 or lower	2.09 to 2.21	2.22 to 2.30	2.31 or higher		
ELL	2.80	2.36 or lower	2.37 to 2.50	2.51 to 2.60	2.61 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide	2.01	1.99 or lower	2.00 to 2.06	2.07 to 2.11	2.12 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide		1.87 or lower	1.88 to 1.94	1.95 to 2.00	2.01 or higher		
Math - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained	2.55	2.09 or lower	2.10 to 2.23	2.24 to 2.34	2.35 or higher		
Integrated Co-Teaching		2.36 or lower	2.37 to 2.53	2.54 to 2.66	2.67 or higher		
SETSS	3.00	2.32 or lower	2.33 to 2.50	2.51 to 2.63	2.64 or higher		
ELL	3.53	2.81 or lower	2.82 to 3.01	3.02 to 3.16	3.17 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide	2.18	1.97 or lower	1.98 to 2.05	2.06 to 2.11	2.12 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide		1.93 or lower	1.94 to 2.02	2.03 to 2.08	2.09 or higher		
ELL Progress	91.1%	56.2% or lower	56.3% to 66.1%	66.2% to 73.7%	73.8% or highe		

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

\*To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	94.4%	81.5% or lower	81.6% to 86.2%	86.3% to 89.8%	89.9% or higher		
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.10	0.12 or lower	0.13 to 0.20	0.21 to 0.26	0.27 or higher		