

2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES


Summary of Section Ratings

23K298

P.S. 298 Dr. Betty Shabazz

Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.



State Accountability Status: Priority

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at:

<http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm>

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at <http://schoolqualityreports.nyc>

2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES
School Enrollment and Demographic Data

23K298
P.S. 298 Dr. Betty Shabazz

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	39	38	48
Grade 1	41	45	48
Grade 2	47	30	43
Grade 3	36	41	29
Grade 4	42	33	38
Grade 5	25	32	29
Grade 7	39	-	-
Grade 8	53	36	-
All students	366	297	270

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	6%	6%	8%
% Free Lunch Eligible	93%	93%	95%
% Student with IEPs	26%	30%	29%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	14%	16%	11%
% HRA Eligible	-	80%	80%
% Temporary Housing	-	24%	37%
% Asian	2%	2%	0%
% Black	75%	73%	68%
% Hispanic	21%	24%	28%
% White	1%	0%	2%
% Other	0%	1%	3%

2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES
Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

23K298
P.S. 298 Dr. Betty Shabazz

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score
Not Meeting Target	1.61

Student Achievement Metrics	n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	2014-15 Targets			Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
				Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
State Test Results - ELA									
○ Average Student Proficiency	88	1.97	1.96	2.13	2.26	2.35	2.63	1.06	10.00%
○ Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	88	4.5%	1.2%	7.8%	13.2%	17.4%	28.9%	1.50	10.00%
○ Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	60	52.5	53.5	59.5	64.6	68.5	76.8	1.00	10.00%
○ Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	22	67.0	68.6	74.7	79.2	82.8	90.1	1.00	10.00%
○ Early Grade Progress	26	0.70	0.18	0.86	1.44	1.90	2.90	1.76	10.00%
State Test Results - Math									
○ Average Student Proficiency	88	1.97	1.87	2.10	2.28	2.42	2.79	1.43	10.00%
○ Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	88	6.8%	0.0%	8.4%	15.4%	20.9%	35.2%	1.81	10.00%
○ Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	60	53.5	47.7	55.0	61.2	66.1	76.3	1.79	10.00%
○ Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	22	72.0	62.9	69.4	74.7	78.9	87.6	2.49	10.00%
○ Early Grade Progress	26	0.69	0.03	0.99	1.82	2.47	3.90	1.69	10.00%
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	24	87.5%							0.00%
								Weighted Average Score	1.55

Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	2014-15 Targets			Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
						Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target				
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
○ Self-Contained	18	20.5%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%	1.0%	1.6%	2.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
○ Integrated Co-Teaching	3	3.4%	17.3%		0.0%	3.1%	5.0%	7.4%	12.4%		0.030	0.000
○ SETSS	3	3.4%	32.4%		0.0%	3.9%	6.3%	9.3%	15.6%		0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
○ Self-Contained	18	20.5%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.1%	3.3%	4.9%	8.2%	1.00	0.030	0.000
○ Integrated Co-Teaching	3	3.4%	17.3%		0.0%	5.2%	8.3%	12.3%	20.6%		0.030	0.000
○ SETSS	3	3.4%	32.7%		0.0%	6.1%	9.6%	14.4%	24.0%		0.030	0.000
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
○ ELL	4	6.7%	14.3%		14.1%	28.3%	36.6%	47.7%	70.3%		0.030	0.000
○ Lowest Third Citywide	39	65.0%	94.9%	25.6%	33.6%	43.2%	48.8%	56.2%	71.4%	1.00	0.030	0.000
○ Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	14	23.3%	59.0%	42.9%	29.1%	40.6%	47.4%	56.4%	74.7%	2.34	0.030	0.010
○ SC/ICT/SETSS	21	35.0%	89.1%	28.6%	30.2%	41.5%	48.2%	57.0%	75.0%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
○ ELL	4	6.7%	13.8%		13.4%	26.8%	34.7%	45.1%	66.4%		0.030	0.000
○ Lowest Third Citywide	45	75.0%	100.0%	44.4%	28.0%	39.6%	46.4%	55.5%	74.0%	2.71	0.030	0.013
○ Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	21	35.0%	91.1%	47.6%	26.0%	38.8%	46.2%	56.1%	76.4%	3.14	0.030	0.016
● SC/ICT/SETSS	21	35.0%	90.2%	52.4%	26.0%	36.6%	42.8%	51.1%	68.0%	4.08	0.030	0.023
○ ELL Progress	18	7.7%	19.5%	22.2%	24.5%	40.7%	50.2%	62.8%	88.5%		0.030	0.000
											CtAG Additional Points	0.06
											Overall Student Achievement Score	1.61

- Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).
- Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
Rigorous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Developing	2.00	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Developing	2.00	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	97%	4.52	34%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.16	

Collaborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2	Proficient	3.40	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	94%	4.12	50%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.76	

Supportive Environment			
Quality Review 3.4	Proficient	3.40	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	88%	3.00	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance			
EMS	58.3%	2.08	
HS			
Overall	58.3%	2.08	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments			
EMS	0.59	4.39	
HS			
Overall	0.59	4.39	5%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.92	

Effective School Leadership			
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	83%	3.48	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.48	

Strong Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	85%	3.28	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.28	

Trust			
NYC School Survey - Trust	92%	3.56	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.56	

		Survey % Positive	City Range			Percent of Range	Score	
			Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range			
Rigorous Instruction								
•	Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	100	86.4	94.8	100.0	1.00	4.99
•	Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	97	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.82	4.28
	Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
•	Quality of student discussion	Teachers	94	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.81	4.24
Section Results:			97%					4.52
Collaborative Teachers								
Cultural awareness:								
	Cultural awareness	Teachers	100	85.4	95.0	100.0	1.00	
	Cultural awareness	Parents	90	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.50	
	Cultural awareness	Students		68.6	87.4	100.0		
•	Cultural awareness	Combined	95				0.75	4.00
•	Inclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	99	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.91	4.64
•	Quality of professional development	Teachers	88	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.76	4.04
•	School commitment	Teachers	93	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.81	4.24
	Innovation	Teachers	92	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.72	3.88
•	Reflective dialogue	Teachers	100	87.9	95.9	100.0	1.00	4.99
	Peer collaboration	Teachers	92	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.62	3.48
•	Focus on student learning	Teachers	95	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.85	4.40
	Collective responsibility	Teachers	88	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.64	3.56
Section Results:			94%					4.12
Supportive Environment								
Safety:								
	Safety	Teachers	79	80.0	94.6	100.0	0.00	
	Safety	Students		74.5	88.5	100.0		
○	Safety	Combined	79				0.00	1.00
Classroom behavior:								
	Classroom behavior	Teachers	86	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.58	
	Classroom behavior	Students		67.3	84.3	100.0		
	Classroom behavior	Combined	86				0.58	3.32
•	Social-emotional measure	Teachers	100	89.0	96.6	100.0	1.00	4.99
	Peer interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0		
	Next-level guidance	Students						
Press toward academic achievement:								
	Press toward academic achievement	Teachers	87	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.48	
	Press toward academic achievement	Students		85.3	91.9	98.5		
	Press toward academic achievement	Combined	87				0.48	2.92
	Personal attention and support	Students		77.8	89.6	100.0		
Peer support for academic work:								
	Peer support for academic work	Teachers	90	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.59	
	Peer support for academic work	Parents	89	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.25	
	Peer support for academic work	Students		50.4	73.8	97.2		
	Peer support for academic work	Combined	90				0.42	2.68
Section Results:			88%					3.00

		Survey % Positive	City Range			Percent of Range	Score
			Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range		
Effective School Leadership							
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	90	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.52	3.08
Teacher influence	Teachers	69	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.63	3.52
Program coherence	Teachers	80	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.50	3.00
• Principal instructional leadership	Teachers	93	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.81	4.24
Section Results:		83%					3.48
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	96	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.77	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	90	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.50	
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	93				0.63	3.52
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	77	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.51	3.04
Section Results:		85%					3.28
Trust							
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	94	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.50	3.00
Parent-principal trust	Parents	91	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.50	3.00
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	84	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.63	3.52
• Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	98	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.91	4.64
Section Results:		92%					3.56

2014-15 School Quality Reports / ES
Targets for 2015-16

23K298

P.S. 298 Dr. Betty Shabazz

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15 School Value	2015-16 Targets			
		Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
State Test Results - ELA*					
Average Student Proficiency	1.97	2.04 or lower	2.05 to 2.14	2.15 to 2.22	2.23 or higher
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.77	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	4.5%	7.0% or lower	7.1% to 11.0%	11.1% to 14.9%	15.0% or higher
State Test Results - Math*					
Average Student Proficiency	1.97	2.09 or lower	2.10 to 2.24	2.25 to 2.36	2.37 or higher
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.66	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	6.8%	10.2% or lower	10.3% to 16.1%	16.2% to 20.5%	20.6% or higher
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	87.5%	82.6% or lower	82.7% to 87.0%	87.1% to 90.3%	90.4% or higher

Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15 School Value	2015-16 Targets			
		Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating					
Self-Contained	1.74	1.69 or lower	1.70 to 1.79	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 or higher
Integrated Co-Teaching		1.82 or lower	1.83 to 1.93	1.94 to 2.01	2.02 or higher
SETSS		1.86 or lower	1.87 to 1.98	1.99 to 2.08	2.09 or higher
ELL	1.88	1.81 or lower	1.82 to 1.95	1.96 to 2.06	2.07 or higher
Lowest Third Citywide	1.87	1.84 or lower	1.85 to 1.91	1.92 to 1.99	2.00 or higher
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.84	1.81 or lower	1.82 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher
Math - Average Proficiency Rating					
Self-Contained	1.67	1.73 or lower	1.74 to 1.87	1.88 to 1.98	1.99 or higher
Integrated Co-Teaching		1.92 or lower	1.93 to 2.09	2.10 to 2.22	2.23 or higher
SETSS		1.87 or lower	1.88 to 2.05	2.06 to 2.18	2.19 or higher
ELL	1.73	1.96 or lower	1.97 to 2.16	2.17 to 2.31	2.32 or higher
Lowest Third Citywide	1.81	1.84 or lower	1.85 to 1.92	1.93 to 1.99	2.00 or higher
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.76	1.82 or lower	1.83 to 1.90	1.91 to 1.99	2.00 or higher
ELL Progress	22.2%	40.7% or lower	40.8% to 50.6%	50.7% to 58.2%	58.3% or higher

*To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below and have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15 School Value	2015-16 Targets			
		Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	58.3%	54.4% or lower	54.5% to 61.2%	61.3% to 69.9%	70.0% or higher
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.59	0.11 or lower	0.12 to 0.18	0.19 to 0.24	0.25 or higher