# 2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

**Summary of Section Ratings** 

#### **Framework for Great Schools**

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.

Section	Section Rating	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	ExceedingTarge	t
<b>Rigorous Instruction</b>	Exceeding Target					4.80
<b>Collaborative Teachers</b>	Exceeding Target				4.56	;
Supportive Environment	Meeting Target				3.96	
Effective School Leadership	Exceeding Target				4.24	
Strong Family-Community Ties	Approaching Target		2.8	0		
Trust	Exceeding Target				4.16	
Student Achievement	Approaching Target			2.97		
		1	2	3	4	4.99

**Section scores** are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

#### State Accountability Status: Reward

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: <a href="http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm">http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm</a>

#### Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at http://schoolqualityreports.nyc

# 2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

# **Student Enrollment**

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	127	129	109
Grade 1	109	126	120
Grade 2	94	111	121
Grade 3	109	97	111
Grade 4	108	108	95
Grade 5	92	110	102
All students	664	708	679

# **Student Demographics**

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	12%	11%	12%
% Free Lunch Eligible	84%	84%	83%
% Student with IEPs	15%	16%	15%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	0%	0%	0%
% HRA Eligible	-	52%	45%
% Temporary Housing	-	3%	4%
% Asian	65%	64%	63%
% Black	7%	5%	5%
% Hispanic	17%	17%	18%
% White	2%	2%	2%
% Other	0%	11%	12%

# 2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

Student Achievement Rating Approaching Target	Student Achievement Score 2.97									
Student Achievement Metrics		n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	2 Approaching Target	014-15 Target Meeting Target	s Exceeding Target	- Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA										
Average Student Proficiency		295	2.86	2.28	2.56	2.71	2.89	3.12	3.83	10.00%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4		295	44.1%	17.3%	29.9%	37.6%	46.3%	57.1%	3.75	10.00%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile		177	60.0	50.5	57.7	63.9	68.2	78.5	2.37	10.00%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lo	owest Third	67	69.0	57.4	64.9	71.4	75.9	86.9	2.63	10.00%
Early Grade Progress		107	2.87	1.10	1.72	2.19	2.53	3.34	4.42	10.00%
State Test Results - Math										
Average Student Proficiency		298	2.98	2.37	2.75	2.96	3.21	3.52	3.08	10.00%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4		298	49.7%	20.3%	36.7%	47.3%	59.3%	74.0%	3.20	10.00%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile		179	51.0	47.3	56.7	64.2	69.5	82.2	1.39	10.00%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lo	owest Third	62	49.5	54.1	62.4	69.5	74.5	86.6	1.00	10.00%
Early Grade Progress		109	2.73	1.08	2.01	2.71	3.20	4.44	3.04	10.00%
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Stud	ents			72.8%	80.5%	86.0%	92.2%	100.0%		0.00%
									Weighted Average Score	2.87

						2	014-15 Targets	5				
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	– Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	1	0.3%	1.5%		0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%		0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	35	11.9%	60.4%	5.7%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%	2.71	0.030	0.013
SETSS	7	2.4%	22.9%	28.6%	0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%		0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	1	0.3%	1.5%		0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%		0.030	0.000
<ul> <li>Integrated Co-Teaching</li> </ul>	35	11.7%	59.7%	28.6%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%	4.80	0.030	0.029
SETSS	7	2.3%	22.1%	0.0%	0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%		0.030	0.000
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	21	11.9%	25.4%	33.3%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%	2.71	0.030	0.013
Lowest Third Citywide	28	15.8%	21.3%	50.0%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%		0.030	0.000
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	8	4.5%	11.4%	50.0%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%		0.030	0.000
SC/ICT/SETSS	29	16.4%	35.8%	27.6%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	1.43	0.030	0.003
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	23	12.8%	26.3%	30.4%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%	2.72	0.030	0.013
Lowest Third Citywide	26	14.5%	19.9%	42.3%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%		0.030	0.000
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	4	2.2%	5.7%		14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%		0.030	0.000
o SC/ICT/SETSS	29	16.2%	36.0%	17.2%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	1.13	0.030	0.001
ELL Progress	77	11.7%	29.7%	66.2%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%	4.04	0.030	0.023
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.10
									Overa	ll Student Achie	vement Score	2.97

• Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

• Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

# 2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

```
28Q161
```

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
orous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Well Developed	4.99	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Well Developed	4.99	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Well Developed	4.99	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	97%	4.36	34%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.80	
· · · - ·			
aborative Teachers	Mall Davalan ad	4.00	500/
Quality Review 4.2 NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	Well Developed 93%	4.99	50% 50%
NTC School Survey - Conaborative reachers	95%	4.12	30%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.56	
portive Environment			
• Quality Review 3.4	Well Developed	4.99	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	97%	4.44	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance			
EMS	83.9%	2.84	
HS			
Overall	83.9%	2.84	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive			
environments			
EMS	0.04	1.29	
HS			
Overall	0.04	1.29	5%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.96	
ctive School Leadership			
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	91%	4.24	100%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.24	
ong Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	83%	2.80	100%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.80	
st			
NYC School Survey - Trust	95%	4.16	100%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.16	
Section nating. Exceeding raiget	Section Store.	4.10	

## 2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

				City Range			
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rigorous Instruction			0			0	
<ul> <li>Common Core shifts in literacy</li> </ul>	Teachers	100	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.96	4.84
Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	94	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.66	3.64
Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
<ul> <li>Quality of student discussion</li> </ul>	Teachers	97	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.91	4.64
Section Results:		97%					4.36
Collaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:	Taaabara	0.0	05.4	05.0	100.0	0.02	
Cultural awareness	Teachers	98	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.83	
Cultural awareness	Parents	95	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.75	
Cultural awareness	Students	00	68.6	87.4	100.0	0.70	4.4.0
Cultural awareness	Combined	96			400.0	0.79	4.16
Inclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	97	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.80	4.20
Quality of professional development	Teachers	78	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.54	3.16
School commitment	Teachers	97	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.92	4.68
Innovation	Teachers	91	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.70	3.80
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	98	87.9	95.9	100.0	0.84	4.36
Peer collaboration	Teachers	96	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.82	4.28
<ul> <li>Focus on student learning</li> </ul>	Teachers	95	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.85	4.40
<ul> <li>Collective responsibility</li> </ul>	Teachers	93	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.80	4.20
Section Results:		93%					4.12
Supportive Environment							
Safety:							
Safety	Teachers	98	80.0	94.6	100.0	0.88	
Safety	Students		74.5	88.5	100.0		
Safety	Combined	98				0.88	4.52
Classroom behavior:							
Classroom behavior	Teachers	98	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.93	
Classroom behavior	Students		67.3	84.3	100.0		
Classroom behavior	Combined	98				0.93	4.72
<ul> <li>Social-emotional measure</li> </ul>	Teachers	98	89.0	96.6	100.0	0.82	4.28
Peer interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0		
Next-level guidance	Students						
Press toward academic achievement:							
Press toward academic achieveme	nt Teachers	97	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.87	
Press toward academic achieveme			85.3	91.9	98.5		
Press toward academic achieveme		97		-	• •	0.87	4.48
Personal attention and support	Students		77.8	89.6	100.0		
Peer support for academic work:							
Peer support for academic work	Teachers	97	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.85	
Peer support for academic work	Parents	96	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.75	
Peer support for academic work	Students	50	50.4	73.8	97.2	0.75	
Peer support for academic work	Combined	96	50.7	, 5.0	51.2	0.80	4.20
Section Results:	complified	97%				0.00	4.20 <b>4.44</b>
Section Results.		51/0					7.44

## 2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

			City Range				
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective Colored Leadership							
Effective School Leadership	<b>.</b> .	22	70.0		400.0	0.00	
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	92	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.63	3.52
Teacher influence	Teachers	79	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.79	4.16
<ul> <li>Program coherence</li> </ul>	Teachers	97	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.92	4.68
<ul> <li>Principal instructional leadership</li> </ul>	Teachers	96	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.90	4.60
Section Results:		91%					4.24
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	93	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.52	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	94	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.58	
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	93				0.55	3.20
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	72	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.34	2.36
Section Results:		83%					2.80
Trust							
<ul> <li>Parent-teacher trust</li> </ul>	Parents	96	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.75	4.00
<ul> <li>Parent-principal trust</li> </ul>	Parents	95	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.75	4.00
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
<ul> <li>Teacher-principal trust</li> </ul>	Teachers	96	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.91	4.64
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	93	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.74	3.96
Section Results:		95%					4.16

## 2014-15 School Quality Reports / ES

Targets for 2015-16

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15		2015-16	Targets	
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
State Test Results - ELA*					
Average Student Proficiency	2.86	2.53 or lower	2.54 to 2.63	2.64 to 2.71	2.72 or higher
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.38	2.03 or lower	2.04 to 2.15	2.16 to 2.24	2.25 or higher
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	44.1%	28.2% or lower	28.3% to 33.7%	33.8% to 37.9%	38.0% or higher
State Test Results - Math*					
Average Student Proficiency	2.98	2.78 or lower	2.79 to 2.93	2.94 to 3.05	3.06 or higher
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.32	2.14 or lower	2.15 to 2.31	2.32 to 2.44	2.45 or higher
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	49.7%	40.7% or lower	40.8% to 48.0%	48.1% to 53.5%	53.6% or higher
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students		88.4% or lower	88.5% to 91.3%	91.4% to 93.5%	93.6% or higher
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15				
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating					
Self-Contained					
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.20	2.04 or lower	2.05 to 2.14	2.15 to 2.23	2.24 or higher
SETSS	2.32	2.02 or lower	2.03 to 2.14	2.15 to 2.24	2.25 or higher
ELL	2.66	2.17 or lower	2.18 to 2.31	2.32 to 2.41	2.42 or higher
Lowest Third Citywide	2.28	1.97 or lower	1.98 to 2.04	2.05 to 2.10	2.11 or higher
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	2.14	1.95 or lower	1.96 to 2.02	2.03 to 2.07	2.08 or higher
Math - Average Proficiency Rating					
Self-Contained		1.96 or lower	1.97 to 2.10	2.11 to 2.21	2.22 or higher
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.65	2.28 or lower	2.29 to 2.45	2.46 to 2.58	2.59 or higher
SETSS	2.02	2.24 or lower	2.25 to 2.42	2.43 to 2.55	2.56 or higher
ELL	2.97	2.44 or lower	2.45 to 2.64	2.65 to 2.79	2.80 or higher
Lowest Third Citywide	2.22	2.04 or lower	2.05 to 2.12	2.13 to 2.18	2.19 or higher
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide		1.99 or lower	2.00 to 2.08	2.09 to 2.14	2.15 or higher
ELL Progress	66.2%	48.5% or lower	48.6% to 58.4%	58.5% to 66.0%	66.1% or higher

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

\*To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	83.9%	76.2% or lower	76.3% to 82.3%	82.4% to 86.8%	86.9% or higher		
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.04	0.09 or lower	0.10 to 0.15	0.16 to 0.19	0.20 or higher		