
Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.



Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Focus

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at http://schoolqualityreports.nyc

03M208

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015	
Grade 3	68	57	49	
Grade 4	55	56	54	
Grade 5	60	51	54	
All students	183	164	157	

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	14%	11%	10%
% Free Lunch Eligible	94%	94%	92%
% Student with IEPs	29%	28%	32%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	10%	12%	10%
% HRA Eligible	-	79%	72%
% Temporary Housing	-	20%	16%
% Asian	2%	2%	2%
% Black	61%	62%	64%
% Hispanic	35%	33%	31%
% White	2%	2%	3%
% Other	0%	1%	0%

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

03M208 P.S. 208 Alain L. Locke

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score
Approaching Target	2.81

	2014-15 Targets								
Student Achievement Metrics	n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA									
Average Student Proficiency	150	2.25	1.93	2.10	2.21	2.34	2.61	3.31	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	150	14.7%	0.8%	8.0%	13.1%	18.9%	30.8%	3.28	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	97	52.0	46.6	55.5	63.3	68.7	81.6	1.61	9.09%
 Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third 	34	66.5	62.3	70.4	77.3	82.1	93.4	1.52	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	46	1.97	0.30	0.87	1.38	1.74	2.66	4.25	9.09%
State Test Results - Math									
Average Student Proficiency	153	2.24	1.89	2.13	2.29	2.47	2.83	2.69	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	153	16.3%	0.0%	9.4%	16.2%	23.9%	39.0%	3.01	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	99	46.0	37.5	49.1	59.2	66.2	82.9	1.73	9.09%
 Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third 	35	63.0	56.6	65.7	73.5	79.0	91.9	1.70	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	48	1.81	0.02	0.95	1.76	2.33	3.78	3.09	9.09%
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	45	87.7%	74.0%	81.2%	86.3%	92.2%	99.6%	3.24	9.09%
								Weighted Average Score	2.68

						2014-15 Targets						
		2014 1E School	Donulation %	2014 15	Bottom of	Approaching			- Top of		Extra Points	Extra Points
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	of Range	2014-15 School Value	Target Range	Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Possible	Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4		•										
 Self-Contained 	16	10.7%	52.7%	12.5%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%	4.99	0.030	0.030
Integrated Co-Teaching	30	20.0%	100.0%	6.7%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%	3.07	0.030	0.016
SETSS	2	1.3%	12.4%		0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%		0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	16	10.5%	52.2%	6.3%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%	3.50	0.030	0.019
Integrated Co-Teaching	30	19.6%	100.0%	10.0%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%	2.49	0.030	0.011
SETSS	2	1.3%	12.5%		0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%		0.030	0.000
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	19	19.6%	41.9%	42.1%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%	3.57	0.030	0.019
Lowest Third Citywide	34	35.1%	50.1%	32.4%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%	1.41	0.030	0.003
 Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide 	16	16.5%	41.8%	31.3%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%	1.61	0.030	0.005
O SC/ICT/SETSS	30	30.9%	77.4%	30.0%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	1.62	0.030	0.005
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
o ELL	20	20.2%	41.5%	15.0%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%	1.50	0.030	0.004
Lowest Third Citywide	46	46.5%	63.9%	26.1%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%	1.52	0.030	0.004
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	21	21.2%	55.2%	28.6%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%	1.94	0.030	0.007
o sc/ict/setss	31	31.3%	79.5%	25.8%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	1.74	0.030	0.006
O ELL Progress	16	10.2%	25.9%	37.5%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%	1.45	0.030	0.003
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.13
									Overa	II Student Achie	vement Score	2.81

[•] Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

[•] Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

03M208 P.S. 208 Alain L. Locke

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
prous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	88%	2.88	34%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.24	
aborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2	Proficient	3.40	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	90%	3.76	50%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.60	
portive Environment			
Quality Review 3.4	Proficient	3.40	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	82%	2.20	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance			
EMS	73.9%	3.80	
HS			
Overall	73.9%	3.80	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive			
environments			
EMS	0.20	2.55	
HS			
Overall	0.20	2.55	5%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.04	
	81%	3.40	100%
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	81%	3.40	100%
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	81% Section Score:	3.40 3.40	100%
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Meeting Target			100%
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Meeting Target ong Family-Community Ties			100%
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Meeting Target ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	Section Score:	3.40	
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Meeting Target ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score: 85%	3.40	
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Meeting Target Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Meeting Target St NYC School Survey - Trust	Section Score: 85% Section Score:	3.40 3.32 3.32	100%
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Meeting Target ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score: 85%	3.40	



				City Range			
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rigorous Instruction							
 Common Core shifts in literacy 	Teachers	95	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.75	4.00
Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	88	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.27	2.08
Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
Quality of student discussion	Teachers	81	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.39	2.56
Section Results:		88%					2.88
Collaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers	100	85.4	95.0	100.0	1.00	
Cultural awareness	Parents	92	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.50	
Cultural awareness	Students	32	68.6	87.4	100.0	0.50	
Cultural awareness	Combined	96	00.0	07.1	100.0	0.75	4.00
Inclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	100	84.2	94.6	100.0	1.00	4.99
Quality of professional development	Teachers	84	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.66	3.64
School commitment	Teachers	73	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.32	2.28
Innovation	Teachers	92	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.73	3.92
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	97	87.9	95.9	100.0	0.75	4.00
Peer collaboration	Teachers	93	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.70	3.80
Focus on student learning	Teachers	88	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.62	3.48
Collective responsibility	Teachers	88	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.66	3.64
Section Results:	reactions	90%	05.7	04.7	100.0	0.00	3.76
Supportive Environment Safety:				0.1.5	400.0	0.00	
Safety	Teachers	92	80.0	94.6	100.0	0.60	
Safety	Students		74.5	88.5	100.0		
Safety	Combined	92				0.60	3.40
Classroom behavior:							
Classroom behavior	Teachers	64	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.00	
Classroom behavior	Students		67.3	84.3	100.0		
 Classroom behavior 	Combined	64				0.00	1.00
 Social-emotional measure 	Teachers	95	89.0	96.6	100.0	0.75	4.00
Peer interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0		
Next-level guidance	Students						
Press toward academic achievement:							
Press toward academic achievement	Teachers	75	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.00	
Press toward academic achievement	Students		85.3	91.9	98.5		
 Press toward academic achievement 	Combined	75				0.00	1.00
Personal attention and support	Students		77.8	89.6	100.0		
Peer support for academic work:							
Peer support for academic work	Teachers	75	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.00	
Peer support for academic work	Parents	89	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.25	
Peer support for academic work	Students		50.4	73.8	97.2		
 Peer support for academic work 	Combined	82				0.13	1.52
Section Results:		82%					2.20

03M208 P.S. 208 Alain L. Locke

			City Range				
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	91	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.55	3.20
Teacher influence	Teachers	52	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.36	2.44
Program coherence	Teachers	86	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.66	3.64
 Principal instructional leadership 	Teachers	93	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.83	4.32
Section Results:		81%					3.40
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	97	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.83	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	91	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.50	
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	94				0.67	3.68
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	76	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.48	2.92
Section Results:		85%					3.32
Trust							
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	93	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.50	3.00
Parent-principal trust	Parents	94	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.64	3.56
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	85	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.65	3.60
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	92	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.69	3.76
Section Results:		91%					3.48

03M208

Targets for 2015-16 P.S. 208 Alain L. Locke

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15		2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
State Test Results - ELA*								
Average Student Proficiency	2.25	2.21 or lower	2.22 to 2.31	2.32 to 2.39	2.40 or higher			
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.87	1.80 or lower	1.81 to 1.92	1.93 to 2.01	2.02 or higher			
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	14.7%	10.6% or lower	10.7% to 16.1%	16.2% to 20.3%	20.4% or higher			
State Test Results - Math*								
Average Student Proficiency	2.24	2.23 or lower	2.24 to 2.38	2.39 to 2.50	2.51 or higher			
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.84	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.90	1.91 to 2.03	2.04 or higher			
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	16.3%	15.8% or lower	15.9% to 23.1%	23.2% to 28.6%	28.7% or higher			
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	87.7%	85.3% or lower	85.4% to 89.1%	89.2% to 91.9%	92.0% or higher			
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15		2015-16	Targets				
-	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating								
Self-Contained	1.95	1.73 or lower	1.74 to 1.82	1.83 to 1.89	1.90 or higher			
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.10	1.94 or lower	1.95 to 2.05	2.06 to 2.13	2.14 or higher			
SETSS		1.91 or lower	1.92 to 2.04	2.05 to 2.13	2.14 or higher			
ELL	2.19	2.03 or lower	2.04 to 2.17	2.18 to 2.27	2.28 or higher			
Lowest Third Citywide	1.88	1.89 or lower	1.90 to 1.96	1.97 to 2.01	2.02 or higher			
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.84	1.85 or lower	1.86 to 1.93	1.94 to 1.99	2.00 or higher			
Math - Average Proficiency Rating								
Self-Contained	1.87	1.83 or lower	1.84 to 1.97	1.98 to 2.07	2.08 or higher			
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.11	1.87 or lower	1.88 to 2.04	2.05 to 2.17	2.18 or higher			
SETSS		1.89 or lower	1.90 to 2.07	2.08 to 2.20	2.21 or higher			
ELL	2.08	2.15 or lower	2.16 to 2.35	2.36 to 2.50	2.51 or higher			
Lowest Third Citywide	1.85	1.85 or lower	1.86 to 1.93	1.94 to 1.99	2.00 or higher			
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.82	1.83 or lower	1.84 to 1.92	1.93 to 1.99	2.00 or higher			
ELL Progress	37.5%	34.5% or lower	34.6% to 44.5%	44.6% to 52.0%	52.1% or higher			

^{*}To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	73.9%	64.9% or lower	65.0% to 71.7%	71.8% to 76.9%	77.0% or higher		
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.20	0.09 or lower	0.10 to 0.15	0.16 to 0.19	0.20 or higher		