2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

Summary of Section Ratings

Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.

Section	Section Rating	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	ExceedingTarget
Rigorous Instruction	Meeting Target			3.64	
Collaborative Teachers	Meeting Target			3.48	
Supportive Environment	Approaching Target		2.8	0	
Effective School Leadership	Meeting Target			3.04	
Strong Family-Community Ties	Not Meeting Target		1.96		
Trust	Meeting Target			3.04	
Student Achievement	Approaching Target		2.38		
		1	2	3	4 4.99

Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Local Assistance Plan

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at http://schoolqualityreports.nyc

2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	36	34	22
Grade 1	27	36	40
Grade 2	30	28	34
Grade 3	37	35	26
Grade 4	40	32	25
Grade 5	27	34	23
All students	215	215	199

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	5%	4%	2%
% Free Lunch Eligible	84%	84%	89%
% Student with IEPs	15%	26%	21%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	1%	2%	0%
% HRA Eligible	-	79%	76%
% Temporary Housing	-	21%	19%
% Asian	6%	7%	6%
% Black	65%	65%	70%
% Hispanic	25%	25%	19%
% White	1%	1%	2%
% Other	0%	2%	2%

13K287 P.S. 287 Bailey K. Ashford



2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

S	tudent Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score									
ł	Approaching Target	2.38									
						2	014-15 Target	s			
9	Student Achievement Metrics		n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	 Top of Target Range 	Metric Score	Weight Pct
S	tate Test Results - ELA										
	Average Student Proficiency		71	2.14	1.96	2.13	2.24	2.36	2.63	2.09	9.09%
	Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4		71	11.3%	2.8%	9.7%	14.2%	19.4%	30.9%	2.36	9.09%
•	Median Adjusted Growth Percentile		44	70.0	45.6	54.1	61.5	66.6	78.8	4.28	9.09%
	Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third		20	78.5	57.5	66.7	74.7	80.2	93.5	3.69	9.09%
0	Early Grade Progress		24	0.45	0.46	0.96	1.40	1.70	2.54	1.00	9.09%
S	tate Test Results - Math										
0	Average Student Proficiency		71	2.10	1.96	2.18	2.32	2.47	2.82	1.64	9.09%
0	Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4		71	9.9%	2.2%	11.4%	17.8%	25.0%	39.6%	1.84	9.09%
	Median Adjusted Growth Percentile		44	60.5	35.6	47.6	58.0	65.2	82.4	3.35	9.09%
	Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third		19	70.0	52.8	63.0	72.0	78.3	93.2	2.78	9.09%
0	Early Grade Progress		24	0.35	0.14	1.03	1.80	2.34	3.75	1.24	9.09%
0 1	/IS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students		32	76.6%	75.4%	82.2%	87.2%	92.8%	99.8%	1.18	9.09%
										Weighted Average Score	2.31

						2014-15 Targets						
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics		2014-15 School	•	2014-15	Bottom of	Approaching	Meeting	Exceeding	 Top of		Extra Points	Extra Points
closing the Achievement dap (clAd) wethes	n	Population %	of Range	School Value	Target Range	Target	Target	Target	Target Range	Metric Score	Possible	Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	2	2.8%	13.8%		0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%		0.030	0.000
 Integrated Co-Teaching 	10	14.1%	71.6%	0.0%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%	1.00	0.030	0.000
o setss	10	14.1%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	2	2.8%	13.9%		0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%		0.030	0.000
 Integrated Co-Teaching 	10	14.1%	71.9%	0.0%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%	1.00	0.030	0.000
O SETSS	10	14.1%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%	1.00	0.030	0.000
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	1	2.3%	4.9%		12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	24	54.5%	79.2%	50.0%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%	3.35	0.030	0.018
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	11	25.0%	63.3%	36.4%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%	2.02	0.030	0.008
SC/ICT/SETSS	14	31.8%	79.9%	42.9%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	2.81	0.030	0.014
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	1	2.3%	4.7%		7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	27	61.4%	84.3%	33.3%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%	2.08	0.030	0.008
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	13	29.5%	76.8%	38.5%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%	2.77	0.030	0.013
o SC/ICT/SETSS	14	31.8%	81.0%	28.6%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	1.94	0.030	0.007
ELL Progress	4	2.4%	6.1%		31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%		0.030	0.000
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.07
Overall Student Achievement So						vement Score	2.38					

• Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

• Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

13K287 P.S. 287 Bailey K. Ashford

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

```
13K287
```

P.S. 287 Bailey K. Ashford

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
prous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	94%	4.12	34%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.64	
aborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2	Proficient	3.40	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	88%	3.52	50%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.48	
portive Environment			
Quality Review 3.4	Proficient	3.40	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	94%	3.92	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance			
EMS	48.0%	1.00	
HS			
Overall	48.0%	1.00	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive			
environments			
EMS	0.11	1.79	
HS	0.11	4 = 2	
Overall	0.11	1.79	5%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.80	
ctive School Leadership			
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	80%	3.04	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.04	
ng Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	77%	1.96	100%
			20070
Section Rating: Not Meeting Target	Section Score:	1.96	
st			
NYC School Survey - Trust	87%	3.04	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.04	
Section Nating. Meeting Talget	Section Store:	3.04	

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

```
13K287
P.S. 287 Bailey K. Ashford
```

			City Range				
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rigorous Instruction							
 Common Core shifts in literacy 	Teachers	98	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.84	4.36
Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	100	83.3	93.1	100.0	1.00	4.99
Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
Quality of student discussion	Teachers	85	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.51	3.04
Section Results:		94%					4.12
Collaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers	100	85.4	95.0	100.0	1.00	
Cultural awareness	Parents	95	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.75	
Cultural awareness	Students		68.6	87.4	100.0		
Cultural awareness	Combined	98				0.88	4.52
 Inclusive classroom instruction 	Teachers	98	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.87	4.48
Quality of professional development	Teachers	79	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.57	3.28
School commitment	Teachers	84	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.59	3.36
Innovation	Teachers	80	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.33	2.32
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	100	87.9	95.9	100.0	1.00	4.99
Peer collaboration	Teachers	88	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.44	2.76
Focus on student learning	Teachers	90	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.69	3.76
Collective responsibility	Teachers	75	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.27	2.08
Section Results:		88%					3.52
Supportive Environment							
Safety:	Taaabaya	06	00.0	04.6	100.0	0.70	
Safety	Teachers	96	80.0	94.6	100.0	0.79	
Safety	Students	96	74.5	88.5	100.0	0.79	4.10
Safety Classroom behavior:	Combined	90				0.79	4.16
Classroom behavior	Tapahara	92	66.0		100.0	0.75	
Classroom behavior	Teachers Students	92	66.9 67.3	85.5	100.0	0.75	
Classroom behavior	Combined	92	07.3	84.3	100.0	0.75	4.00
Social-emotional measure	Teachers	92	89.0	96.6	100.0	0.75	4.00 4.00
Peer interactions	Students	97	68.2	96.6	100.0	0.75	4.00
Next-level guidance	Students		00.2	04.0	100.0		
Press toward academic achievement:	Students						
Press toward academic achievement.	ent Teachers	98	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.92	
Press toward academic achieveme		30	85.3	91.9	98.5	0.92	
 Press toward academic achieveme 		98	03.3	91.9	90.5	0.92	4.68
Personal attention and support	Students	90	77.8	89.6	100.0	0.92	4.00
Peer support for academic work:	Students		//.0	69.0	100.0		
Peer support for academic work.	Teachers	92	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.65	
Peer support for academic work	Parents	86	88.4	91.5	100.0	0.05	
Peer support for academic work	Students	00	50.4	73.8	97.2	0.25	
Peer support for academic work	Combined	89	JU.4	13.0	J1.4	0.45	2.80
Section Results:	combined	94%				0.45	3.92
Section Results.		5470					3.52

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

```
13K287
P.S. 287 Bailey K. Ashford
```

			City Range					
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score	
Effective School Leadership								
•	Daranta	86	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.31	2.24	
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents							
Teacher influence	Teachers	68	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.62	3.48	
Program coherence	Teachers	81	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.52	3.08	
Principal instructional leadership	Teachers	83	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.57	3.28	
Section Results:		80%					3.04	
Strong Family Community Ties								
Teacher outreach to parents:								
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	89	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.26		
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	90	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.50		
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	89				0.38	2.52	
• Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	65	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.09	1.36	
Section Results:		77%					1.96	
Trust								
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	93	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.50	3.00	
Parent-principal trust	Parents	90	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.50	3.00	
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0			
Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	75	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.42	2.68	
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	90	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.61	3.44	
Section Results:		87%					3.04	

2014-15 School Quality Reports / ES

Targets for 2015-16

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15		2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
State Test Results - ELA*								
Average Student Proficiency	2.14	2.08 or lower	2.09 to 2.18	2.19 to 2.26	2.27 or higher			
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.84	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.90	1.91 to 1.99	2.00 or higher			
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	11.3%	7.6% or lower	7.7% to 11.9%	12.0% to 15.3%	15.4% or higher			
State Test Results - Math*								
Average Student Proficiency	2.10	2.13 or lower	2.14 to 2.28	2.29 to 2.40	2.41 or higher			
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.86	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.91	1.92 to 2.04	2.05 or higher			
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	9.9%	11.0% or lower	11.1% to 17.4%	17.5% to 22.2%	22.3% or higher			
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	76.6%	83.2% or lower	83.3% to 87.5%	87.6% to 90.7%	90.8% or higher			
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15		Targets					
-	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating								
Self-Contained		1.70 or lower	1.71 to 1.79	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 or higher			
Integrated Co-Teaching	1.78	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher			
SETSS	1.84	1.82 or lower	1.83 to 1.95	1.96 to 2.04	2.05 or higher			
ELL		2.04 or lower	2.05 to 2.18	2.19 to 2.28	2.29 or higher			
Lowest Third Citywide	1.88	1.84 or lower	1.85 to 1.91	1.92 to 1.99	2.00 or higher			
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.88	1.82 or lower	1.83 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher			
Math - Average Proficiency Rating								
Self-Contained		1.77 or lower	1.78 to 1.91	1.92 to 2.01	2.02 or higher			
Integrated Co-Teaching	1.85	1.83 or lower	1.84 to 2.01	2.02 to 2.14	2.15 or higher			
SETSS	1.83	1.89 or lower	1.90 to 2.07	2.08 to 2.20	2.21 or higher			
ELL		2.17 or lower	2.18 to 2.37	2.38 to 2.52	2.53 or higher			
Lowest Third Citywide	1.87	1.83 or lower	1.84 to 1.91	1.92 to 1.99	2.00 or higher			
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.89	1.83 or lower	1.84 to 1.91	1.92 to 1.99	2.00 or higher			
ELL Progress		47.8% or lower	47.9% to 57.7%	57.8% to 65.3%	65.4% or highe			

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

*To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15				
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	48.0%	54.7% or lower	54.8% to 61.6%	61.7% to 69.9%	70.0% or higher
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.11	0.09 or lower	0.10 to 0.14	0.15 to 0.19	0.20 or higher