
Summary of Section Ratings

Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.



Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Good Standing

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at http://schoolqualityreports.nyc

P.S. 038 George Cromwell

2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	58	54	47
Grade 1	54	47	56
Grade 2	62	60	52
Grade 3	64	57	58
Grade 4	50	61	57
Grade 5	58	46	64
All students	364	343	370

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	11%	10%	12%
% Free Lunch Eligible	57%	57%	60%
% Student with IEPs	22%	24%	23%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	6%	7%	7%
% HRA Eligible	-	42%	41%
% Temporary Housing	-	22%	20%
% Asian	5%	5%	7%
% Black	4%	5%	5%
% Hispanic	31%	34%	34%
% White	59%	56%	54%
% Other	0%	1%	1%

31R038 P.S. 038 George Cromwell

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score
Meeting Target	3.58

				2	014-15 Target:	5			
Student Achievement Metrics	n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA				-					
Average Student Proficiency	178	2.75	2.19	2.46	2.62	2.80	3.04	3.72	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	178	41.0%	11.9%	24.4%	32.7%	42.1%	54.1%	3.88	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	115	60.0	49.9	57.0	63.1	67.3	77.5	2.49	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	40	81.0	58.7	65.9	72.2	76.5	87.2	4.42	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	57	3.09	0.99	1.58	2.06	2.38	3.20	4.87	9.09%
State Test Results - Math									
Average Student Proficiency	178	2.88	2.21	2.57	2.80	3.07	3.41	3.30	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	178	46.1%	12.2%	28.8%	40.3%	53.3%	69.6%	3.45	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	116	39.5	44.3	54.0	62.3	68.0	81.9	1.00	9.09%
 Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third 	40	52.5	55.0	63.3	70.4	75.3	87.3	1.00	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	58	4.40	0.84	1.79	2.54	3.07	4.39	4.99	9.09%
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	43	96.2%	75.5%	82.4%	87.3%	93.0%	100.0%	4.46	9.09%
								Weighted Average Score	3.42

							044457					
							014-15 Target		-			
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4						-		-				
 Self-Contained 	25	14.0%	69.0%	4.0%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%	4.68	0.030	0.028
 Integrated Co-Teaching 	25	14.0%	71.1%	16.0%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%	4.99	0.030	0.030
SETSS	1	0.6%	5.7%		0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%		0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
 Self-Contained 	25	14.0%	69.7%	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
 Integrated Co-Teaching 	25	14.0%	71.4%	44.0%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%	4.99	0.030	0.030
SETSS	1	0.6%	5.8%		0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%		0.030	0.000
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
• ELL	14	12.2%	26.1%	57.1%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%	4.46	0.030	0.026
Lowest Third Citywide	20	17.4%	23.7%	60.0%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%		0.030	0.000
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	6	5.2%	13.2%	66.7%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%		0.030	0.000
SC/ICT/SETSS	31	27.0%	66.2%	48.4%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	3.36	0.030	0.018
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
O ELL	15	12.9%	26.5%	6.7%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	18	15.5%	21.3%	16.7%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%		0.030	0.000
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	6	5.2%	13.5%	16.7%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%		0.030	0.000
o SC/ICT/SETSS	31	26.7%	66.3%	12.9%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	1.00	0.030	0.000
ELL Progress	38	11.4%	28.9%	65.8%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%	4.03	0.030	0.023
	CtAG Additional Points				0.16							
Overall Student Achievement Scor						vement Score	3.58					

[•] Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).


[•] Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

P.S. 038 George Cromwell

Quality Review 4.2 Proficient 3.40 50% NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 92% 4.00 50% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.72 Section Rating: Meeting Target Proficient 3.40 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 96% 4.28 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 84.0% 3.32 30% NOVerall 84.0% 3.32 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.29 3.40 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.68 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.60 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.60 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 2.84		Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
Quality Review 1.1	orous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.2		Proficient	3.40	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction 98% 4.64 34% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.84 Ilaborative Teachers			3.40	
Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.84 Comparity Fraction Section Score Se	Quality Review 2.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
Comparison Com	NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	98%	4.64	34%
Quality Review 4.2 Proficient 3.40 50% NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 92% 4.00 50% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.72 **Poportive Environment** Quality Review 3.4 Proficient 3.40 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 96% 4.28 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 4.0% 3.32 35% Overall 84.0% 3.32 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 5 0.29 3.40 5% Overall 0.29 3.40 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.68 **Ective School Leadership** NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 84% 3.60 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.60 **Cong Family-Community Ties** NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 82% 2.84 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.84 **NYC School Survey - Trust 90% 3.36 100%	Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.84	
Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.72 PPOPORTIVE Environment Quality Review 3.4 Proficient Section Score: 84.0% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS HS Overall NOVE School Survey - Supportive Environment BMS HS Overall NOVE School Survey - Supportive Environment BMS HS Overall NOVE School Survey - Supportive Environment BMS HS Overall Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: Section Score: Section Score: Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: Section Score: Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: Section				
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 92% 4.00 50% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.72 Poportive Environment Quality Review 3.4 Proficient 3.40 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 96% 4.28 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 84.0% 3.32 HS Overall 84.0% 3.32 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.29 3.40 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.68 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.60 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.60 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 2.84 NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 82% 2.84 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.84	llaborative Teachers			
Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.72 Proficient 3.40 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 96% 4.28 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 84.0% 3.32 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.29 3.40 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.68 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.60 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.60 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.60 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.84 NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 82% 2.84 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.84	·			
Quality Review 3.4 Proficient 3.40 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 96% 4.28 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 84.0% 3.32 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.29 3.40 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.68 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.60 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.60 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 2.84 NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 82% 2.84 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.84	NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	92%	4.00	50%
Quality Review 3.4 Proficient 3.40 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 96% 4.28 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 84.0% 3.32 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.29 3.40 5% Overall 0.29 3.40 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.68 Ective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 84% 3.60 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.60 Expression Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.60	Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.72	
Quality Review 3.4 Proficient 3.40 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 96% 4.28 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 84.0% 3.32 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.29 3.40 5% Overall 0.29 3.40 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.68 Ective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 84% 3.60 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.60 Expression Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.60	pportive Environment			
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS HS Overall 84.0% 3.32 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS Overall 0.29 3.40 HS Overall 0.29 3.40 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.68 CONG Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Trust 90% 3.36 100%		Proficient	3.40	30%
EMS HS Overall 84.0% 3.32 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS Overall 0.29 3.40 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.68 Ective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.60 Forms Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Trust 90% 3.32 3.32 3.36 3.36 3.36 3.36 3.36 3.36	·	96%	4.28	35%
Overall 84.0% 3.32 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.29 3.40 HS Overall 0.29 3.40 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.68 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.60 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 2.84 NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Score: 2.84 NYC School Survey - Trust 90% 3.36 100%	Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance			
Overall 84.0% 3.32 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.29 3.40 HS Overall 0.29 3.40 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.68 Ective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 84% 3.60 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.60 Fong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 82% 2.84 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.84 NYC School Survey - Trust 90% 3.36 100%	EMS	84.0%	3.32	
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS Doverall O.29 3.40 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.68 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.60 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 82% 2.84 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 3.60 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 3.60 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 3.60 100%	HS			
ective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Trust 90% 3.40 5% 3.60 100%	Overall	84.0%	3.32	30%
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Approaching Target NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Approaching Target NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Approaching Target NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Score: 100%				
Overall 0.29 3.40 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.68 Section Score: 3.68 Section Score: 3.60 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.60 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.60 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.84 NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 82% 2.84 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.84 NYC School Survey - Trust 90% 3.36 100%	EMS	0.29	3.40	
Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.68 Section Score: 3.68 Section Score: 3.60 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.60 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.60 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.60 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.84 NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.84 NYC School Survey - Trust 90% 3.36 100%	HS			
ective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.60 Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.84 NYC School Survey - Trust 90% 3.36 100%	Overall	0.29	3.40	5%
ective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.60 Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.84 NYC School Survey - Trust 90% 3.36 100%	Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.68	
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 84% 3.60 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.60 Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 82% 2.84 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.84 NYC School Survey - Trust 90% 3.36 100%	active School Leadership			
Prong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 82% 2.84 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.84 UST NYC School Survey - Trust 90% 3.36 100%	-	84%	3.60	100%
Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 82% 2.84 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.84 NYC School Survey - Trust 90% 3.36 100%		6	2.50	
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 82% 2.84 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.84 NYC School Survey - Trust 90% 3.36 100%	Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.60	
Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.84 UST NYC School Survey - Trust 90% 3.36 100%	ong Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Trust 90% 3.36 100%	NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	82%	2.84	100%
NYC School Survey - Trust 90% 3.36 100%	Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.84	
NYC School Survey - Trust 90% 3.36 100%				
Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.36	NYC School Survey - Trust	90%	3.36	100%
	Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.36	



P.S. 038 George Cromwell

			City Range				
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rigorous Instruction							
Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	98	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.88	4.52
Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	100	83.3	93.1	100.0	1.00	4.99
Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
Quality of student discussion	Teachers	95	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.85	4.40
Section Results:		98%					4.64
Collaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers	99	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.90	
Cultural awareness	Parents	94	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.50	
Cultural awareness	Students		68.6	87.4	100.0		
Cultural awareness	Combined	96				0.70	3.80
Inclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	99	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.91	4.64
Quality of professional development	Teachers	75	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.48	2.92
School commitment	Teachers	80	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.49	2.96
Innovation	Teachers	91	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.70	3.80
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	100	87.9	95.9	100.0	1.00	4.99
Peer collaboration	Teachers	97	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.87	4.48
Focus on student learning	Teachers	95	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.85	4.40
 Collective responsibility 	Teachers	92	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.78	4.12
Section Results:		92%					4.00
supportive Environment Safety:							
Safety	Teachers	100	80.0	94.6	100.0	1.00	
Safety	Students		74.5	88.5	100.0		
 Safety 	Combined	100				1.00	4.99
Classroom behavior:							
Classroom behavior	Teachers	91	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.73	
Classroom behavior	Students		67.3	84.3	100.0		
Classroom behavior	Combined	91				0.73	3.92
 Social-emotional measure 	Teachers	100	89.0	96.6	100.0	1.00	4.99
Peer interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0		
Next-level guidance	Students						
Press toward academic achievement:							
Press toward academic achievement	Teachers	96	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.82	
Press toward academic achievement	Students		85.3	91.9	98.5		
 Press toward academic achievement 	Combined	96				0.82	4.28
Personal attention and support	Students		77.8	89.6	100.0		
Peer support for academic work:							
Peer support for academic work	Teachers	90	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.55	
Peer support for academic work	Parents	93	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.50	
Peer support for academic work	Students		50.4	73.8	97.2		
Peer support for academic work	Combined	91				0.53	3.12
ection Results:		96%					4.28

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

			City Range				
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	90	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.50	3.00
Teacher influence	Teachers	56	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.42	2.68
Program coherence	Teachers	92	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.80	4.20
Principal instructional leadership	Teachers	96	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.88	4.52
Section Results:		84%					3.60
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	98	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.86	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	92	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.50	
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	95				0.68	3.72
 Parent involvement in the schools 	Parents	69	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.24	1.96
Section Results:		82%					2.84
Trust							
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	93	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.50	3.00
Parent-principal trust	Parents	89	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.38	2.52
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	79	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.52	3.08
 Teacher-teacher trust 	Teachers	99	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.95	4.80
Section Results:		90%					3.36

Targets for 2015-16

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15		2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
State Test Results - ELA*								
Average Student Proficiency	2.75	2.59 or lower	2.60 to 2.70	2.71 to 2.78	2.79 or higher			
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.33	1.95 or lower	1.96 to 2.07	2.08 to 2.16	2.17 or higher			
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	41.0%	31.3% or lower	31.4% to 36.8%	36.9% to 40.9%	41.0% or higher			
State Test Results - Math*								
Average Student Proficiency	2.88	2.78 or lower	2.79 to 2.93	2.94 to 3.05	3.06 or higher			
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.29	1.98 or lower	1.99 to 2.14	2.15 to 2.27	2.28 or higher			
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	46.1%	40.7% or lower	40.8% to 47.9%	48.0% to 53.4%	53.5% or higher			
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	96.2%	86.7% or lower	86.8% to 90.1%	90.2% to 92.6%	92.7% or higher			
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15		2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating								
Self-Contained	2.00	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.88	1.89 to 1.95	1.96 or higher			
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.37	2.13 or lower	2.14 to 2.23	2.24 to 2.32	2.33 or higher			
SETSS		2.02 or lower	2.03 to 2.14	2.15 to 2.24	2.25 or higher			
ELL	2.46	2.31 or lower	2.32 to 2.45	2.46 to 2.55	2.56 or higher			
Lowest Third Citywide	2.02	1.93 or lower	1.94 to 2.00	2.01 to 2.05	2.06 or higher			
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	2.08	1.86 or lower	1.87 to 1.93	1.94 to 1.99	2.00 or higher			
Math - Average Proficiency Rating								
Self-Contained	1.95	1.91 or lower	1.92 to 2.05	2.06 to 2.15	2.16 or higher			
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.77	2.31 or lower	2.32 to 2.48	2.49 to 2.61	2.62 or higher			
SETSS		2.14 or lower	2.15 to 2.32	2.33 to 2.45	2.46 or higher			
ELL	2.76	2.56 or lower	2.57 to 2.76	2.77 to 2.90	2.91 or higher			
Lowest Third Citywide	1.91	1.94 or lower	1.95 to 2.02	2.03 to 2.08	2.09 or higher			
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.90	1.94 or lower	1.95 to 2.03	2.04 to 2.09	2.10 or higher			
ELL Progress	65.8%	50.3% or lower	50.4% to 60.2%	60.3% to 67.8%	67.9% or higher			

^{*}To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	84.0%	76.1% or lower	76.2% to 82.2%	82.3% to 86.8%	86.9% or higher			
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.29	0.20 or lower	0.21 to 0.30	0.31 to 0.39	0.40 or higher			