2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

Summary of Section Ratings

Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.

Section	Section Rating	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	ExceedingTarget
Rigorous Instruction	Exceeding Target				4.04
Collaborative Teachers	Exceeding Target				4.72
Supportive Environment	Exceeding Target				4.36
Effective School Leadership	Meeting Target			3	.88
Strong Family-Community Ties	Meeting Target			3.48	
Trust	Exceeding Target				4.12
Student Achievement	Meeting Target				3.93
		1	2	3	4 4.99

Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Good Standing

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at http://schoolqualityreports.nyc

2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	149	128	129
Grade 1	136	151	130
Grade 2	129	134	151
Grade 3	135	134	133
Grade 4	140	129	130
Grade 5	113	132	115
All students	802	808	788

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	12%	12%	12%
% Free Lunch Eligible	72%	72%	72%
% Student with IEPs	14%	16%	15%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	4%	5%	4%
% HRA Eligible	-	52%	50%
% Temporary Housing	-	6%	5%
% Asian	18%	20%	22%
% Black	1%	1%	1%
% Hispanic	72%	70%	66%
% White	8%	8%	10%
% Other	0%	1%	1%



2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score									
Meeting Target	3.93									
					2	2014-15 Target	S			
Student Achievement Metrics		n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	 Top of Target Range 	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA										
Average Student Proficiency		360	2.64	2.14	2.39	2.54	2.71	2.96	3.59	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4		360	33.1%	9.6%	21.0%	28.5%	36.9%	48.4%	3.55	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile		224	59.5	48.4	55.3	61.3	65.4	75.6	2.70	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third		83	71.0	56.9	64.6	71.3	76.0	87.2	2.96	9.09%
Early Grade Progress		129	2.58	0.85	1.51	2.05	2.43	3.35	4.16	9.09%
State Test Results - Math										
Average Student Proficiency		366	2.94	2.10	2.48	2.73	3.02	3.40	3.72	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4		366	46.7%	7.3%	24.7%	36.9%	50.9%	68.4%	3.70	9.09%
 Median Adjusted Growth Percentile 		225	68.0	42.7	52.7	61.4	67.4	81.8	4.04	9.09%
 Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third 		76	76.0	53.4	62.3	70.1	75.5	88.5	4.04	9.09%
Early Grade Progress		132	3.05	0.23	1.53	2.66	3.45	5.33	3.49	9.09%
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students		118	100.0%	72.8%	80.5%	85.9%	92.2%	100.0%	4.99	9.09%
									Weighted Average Score	3.72

						2	014-15 Targets	s				
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	– Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	8	2.2%	10.8%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%		0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	44	12.2%	61.9%	9.1%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%	3.93	0.030	0.022
SETSS	7	1.9%	18.1%	28.6%	0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%		0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	8	2.2%	10.9%	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%		0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	44	12.0%	61.2%	31.8%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%	4.99	0.030	0.030
SETSS	7	1.9%	18.3%	14.3%	0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%		0.030	0.000
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	36	16.1%	34.4%	38.9%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%	3.26	0.030	0.017
Lowest Third Citywide	50	22.3%	31.0%	50.0%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%	3.35	0.030	0.018
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	26	11.6%	29.4%	50.0%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%	3.42	0.030	0.018
SC/ICT/SETSS	39	17.4%	38.7%	35.9%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	2.10	0.030	0.008
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	36	16.0%	32.9%	41.7%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%	3.74	0.030	0.021
Lowest Third Citywide	54	24.0%	33.0%	59.3%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%	4.26	0.030	0.025
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	21	9.3%	24.2%	52.4%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%		0.030	0.000
SC/ICT/SETSS	39	17.3%	39.2%	61.5%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	4.41	0.030	0.026
ELL Progress	95	12.1%	30.7%	68.4%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%	4.14	0.030	0.024
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.21
									Overa	II Student Achie	vement Score	3.93

• Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

• Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
orous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Well Developed	4.99	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	96%	4.24	34%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.04	
laborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2	Well Developed	4.99	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	95%	4.99	50%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.72	
portive Environment			
Quality Review 3.4	Well Developed	4.99	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	95%	4.32	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance			
EMS	88.1%	4.04	
HS			
Overall	88.1%	4.04	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive			
environments			
EMS	0.23	2.82	
HS			
Overall	0.23	2.82	5%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.36	
ective School Leadership	000/		1000/
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	88%	3.88	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.88	
ong Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	85%	3.48	100%
NTC School Survey - Scrong Panniy-Community hes	0370	5.40	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.48	
st			
NYC School Survey - Trust	95%	4.12	100%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.12	

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

			City Range					
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score	
Rigorous Instruction								
 Common Core shifts in literacy 	Teachers	98	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.82	4.28	
 Common Core shifts in math 	Teachers	98	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.88	4.52	
Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0			
Quality of student discussion	Teachers	91	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.73	3.92	
Section Results:		96%					4.24	
Collaborative Teachers								
Cultural awareness:								
Cultural awareness	Teachers	99	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.93		
Cultural awareness	Parents	95	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.75		
Cultural awareness	Students	33	68.6	87.4	100.0	0.75		
Cultural awareness	Combined	97	08.0	07.4	100.0	0.84	4.36	
 Inclusive classroom instruction 	Teachers	100	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.97	4.88	
Quality of professional developme		75	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.48	2.92	
 School commitment 	Teachers	93	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.83	4.32	
 Innovation 	Teachers	96	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.87	4.48	
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	99	87.9	95.9	100.0	0.92	4.68	
Peer collaboration	Teachers	100	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.98	4.92	
 Focus on student learning 	Teachers	99	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.96	4.84	
 Collective responsibility 	Teachers	96	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.88	4.52	
Section Results:	reachers	95%	05.7	04.7	100.0	0.00	4.32	
Safety:	Tabaa	400	00.0	04.6	100.0	4.00		
Safety	Teachers	100	80.0	94.6	100.0	1.00		
Safety	Students	400	74.5	88.5	100.0	4.00	4.00	
Safety	Combined	100				1.00	4.99	
Classroom behavior:	- 1	22	66.0	05.5	400.0	0.64		
Classroom behavior	Teachers	88	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.64		
Classroom behavior	Students	00	67.3	84.3	100.0	0.64	2.56	
Classroom behavior	Combined	88	00.0	0.5.5	400.0	0.64	3.56	
Social-emotional measure	Teachers	100	89.0	96.6	100.0	1.00	4.99	
Peer interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0			
Next-level guidance	Students							
Press toward academic achieveme		0.2	75.0	00.0	100.0	0.67		
Press toward academic achiev		92	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.67		
Press toward academic achiev		02	85.3	91.9	98.5	0.67	2.60	
Press toward academic achiev		92	77.0	00.0	400.0	0.67	3.68	
Personal attention and support	Students		77.8	89.6	100.0			
Peer support for academic work:	Teechara	07		04 5	100.0	0.07		
Peer support for academic wo		97	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.87		
Peer support for academic wo		98	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.78		
Peer support for academic wo		07	50.4	73.8	97.2	0.00	4.22	
Peer support for academic wo	ork Combined	97				0.83	4.32	
Section Results:		95%					4.32	

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

			City Range				
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Loodership							
Effective School Leadership	Devente	01	70.2	00.0	100.0	0.55	2.20
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	91	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.55	3.20
Teacher influence	Teachers	77	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.76	4.04
 Program coherence 	Teachers	91	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.77	4.08
 Principal instructional leadership 	Teachers	92	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.78	4.12
Section Results:		88%					3.88
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	100	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.99	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	95	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.75	
 Teacher outreach to parents 	Combined	97				0.87	4.48
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	73	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.36	2.44
Section Results:		85%					3.48
Trust							
 Parent-teacher trust 	Parents	96	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.75	4.00
Parent-principal trust	Parents	94	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.67	3.68
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
 Teacher-principal trust 	Teachers	90	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.76	4.04
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	98	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.94	4.76
Section Results:		95%					4.12

2014-15 School Quality Reports / ES

Targets for 2015-16

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
State Test Results - ELA*							
Average Student Proficiency	2.64	2.47 or lower	2.48 to 2.58	2.59 to 2.66	2.67 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.17	2.00 or lower	2.01 to 2.12	2.13 to 2.21	2.22 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	33.1%	24.4% or lower	24.5% to 29.9%	30.0% to 34.0%	34.1% or higher		
State Test Results - Math*							
Average Student Proficiency	2.94	2.74 or lower	2.75 to 2.89	2.90 to 3.01	3.02 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.34	2.11 or lower	2.12 to 2.28	2.29 to 2.41	2.42 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	46.7%	39.7% or lower	39.8% to 47.0%	47.1% to 52.5%	52.6% or higher		
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	100.0%	87.9% or lower	88.0% to 90.9%	91.0% to 93.3%	93.4% or higher		
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15		Targets				
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained	1.76	1.73 or lower	1.74 to 1.82	1.83 to 1.89	1.90 or higher		
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.23	2.04 or lower	2.05 to 2.14	2.15 to 2.23	2.24 or higher		
SETSS	2.49	2.07 or lower	2.08 to 2.19	2.20 to 2.28	2.29 or higher		
ELL	2.36	2.17 or lower	2.18 to 2.31	2.32 to 2.41	2.42 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide	2.06	1.97 or lower	1.98 to 2.04	2.05 to 2.10	2.11 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	2.02	1.96 or lower	1.97 to 2.03	2.04 to 2.08	2.09 or higher		
Math - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained	1.82	1.92 or lower	1.93 to 2.06	2.07 to 2.16	2.17 or higher		
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.67	2.32 or lower	2.33 to 2.49	2.50 to 2.62	2.63 or higher		
SETSS	2.53	2.36 or lower	2.37 to 2.54	2.55 to 2.67	2.68 or higher		
ELL	2.61	2.43 or lower	2.44 to 2.63	2.64 to 2.78	2.79 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide	2.23	2.01 or lower	2.02 to 2.09	2.10 to 2.15	2.16 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	2.19	1.91 or lower	1.92 to 2.00	2.01 to 2.07	2.08 or higher		
ELL Progress	68.4%	46.2% or lower	46.3% to 56.2%	56.3% to 63.7%	63.8% or higher		

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

*To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	88.1%	77.4% or lower	77.5% to 83.1%	83.2% to 87.5%	87.6% or higher		
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.23	0.14 or lower	0.15 to 0.23	0.24 to 0.29	0.30 or higher		