
### **Framework for Great Schools**

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.



**Section scores** are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

#### **State Accountability Status: Focus**

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: <a href="http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm">http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm</a>

#### Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at <a href="http://schoolqualityreports.nyc">http://schoolqualityreports.nyc</a>

P.S. 273 Wortman

# **School Enrollment and Demographic Data**

## **Student Enrollment**

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	63	53	38
Grade 1	53	58	45
Grade 2	72	45	56
Grade 3	57	67	44
Grade 4	57	61	68
Grade 5	69	56	54
All students	371	340	340

## **Student Demographics**

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	3%	2%	2%
% Free Lunch Eligible	72%	72%	72%
% Student with IEPs	20%	26%	28%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	9%	9%	10%
% HRA Eligible	-	70%	69%
% Temporary Housing	-	14%	17%
% Asian	0%	1%	0%
% Black	80%	79%	76%
% Hispanic	19%	18%	22%
% White	0%	1%	1%
% Other	0%	1%	1%

19K273 P.S. 273 Wortman

### **Student Achievement Scoring Appendix**

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score
Meeting Target	3.36

		2014-15 Targets							
Student Achievement Metrics		2014-15	Bottom of	Approaching	Meeting	Exceeding	Top of		
Student Achievement Wethes	n	School Value	Target Range	Target	Target	Target	Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA									
Average Student Proficiency	160	2.36	1.99	2.19	2.31	2.45	2.72	3.36	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	160	21.3%	3.2%	12.0%	17.9%	24.7%	36.3%	3.50	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	115	61.0	43.6	52.6	60.3	65.8	78.6	3.13	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	39	76.0	54.4	64.2	72.7	78.6	92.8	3.56	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	42	1.92	0.37	1.03	1.61	2.02	3.00	3.76	9.09%
State Test Results - Math									
Average Student Proficiency	161	2.33	1.93	2.23	2.43	2.66	3.03	2.50	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	161	16.1%	0.2%	13.4%	22.9%	33.7%	49.4%	2.28	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	115	55.0	37.0	48.8	59.1	66.3	83.3	2.60	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	39	74.0	52.9	63.0	71.8	78.0	92.6	3.35	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	43	2.42	0.02	1.17	2.17	2.86	4.53	3.36	9.09%
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	51	94.6%	78.5%	84.7%	89.0%	93.8%	99.9%	4.13	9.09%
								Weighted Average Score	3.23

					2014-15 Targets							
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	– Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points  Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4		•					-					
Self-Contained	22	13.8%	68.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	11	6.9%	35.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%	1.00	0.030	0.000
SETSS SETSS	8	5.0%	47.6%	0.0%	0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	22	13.7%	68.2%	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	12	7.5%	38.3%	8.3%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%	2.19	0.030	0.009
SETSS	8	5.0%	48.1%	12.5%	0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%	3.16	0.030	0.016
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	4	3.5%	7.5%		12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	49	42.6%	61.4%	44.9%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%	2.73	0.030	0.013
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	29	25.2%	63.8%	44.8%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%	2.89	0.030	0.014
SC/ICT/SETSS	29	25.2%	61.0%	55.2%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	4.02	0.030	0.023
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	4	3.5%	7.2%		7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	61	53.0%	72.8%	47.5%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%	3.46	0.030	0.018
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	31	27.0%	70.3%	41.9%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%	3.06	0.030	0.015
SC/ICT/SETSS	29	25.2%	62.0%	48.3%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	3.72	0.030	0.020
ELL Progress	7	2.3%	5.8%	42.9%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%		0.030	0.000
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.13
									Overa	III Student Achie	vement Score	3.36

<sup>•</sup> Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

<sup>•</sup> Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

### 2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

19K273

P.S. 273 Wortman

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
igorous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Developing	2.00	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	96%	4.20	34%
, -			
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.36	
ollaborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2	Proficient	3.40	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	94%	4.12	50%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.76	
ipportive Environment			
Quality Review 3.4	Proficient	3.40	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	92%	3.68	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance			
EMS	63.9%	2.12	
HS			
Overall	63.9%	2.12	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive			
environments			
EMS	0.08	1.57	
HS			
Overall	0.08	1.57	5%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.04	
Section nating. Meeting ranger	occuron occure.	3.04	
fective School Leadership			
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	85%	3.84	100%
The series series and a series series series and a series series series and a series series and a series series and a series series series and a series series and a series series and a series series series and a series series series and a series se	33,1	3.0 .	100/0
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.84	
rong Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	88%	3.88	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.88	
Section Rating. Meeting ranger	Section Score.	3.00	
ust			
NYC School Survey - Trust	94%	4.08	100%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.08	



P.S. 273 Wortman

			Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Range City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rigoroı	us Instruction							
• Cor	mmon Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	99	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.95	4.80
Cor	mmon Core shifts in math	Teachers	94	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.66	3.64
Cou	urse clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
<ul><li>Qua</li></ul>	ality of student discussion	Teachers	94	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.80	4.20
Section	Results:		96%					4.20
Collabo	orative Teachers							
Cul	tural awareness:							
	Cultural awareness	Teachers	99	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.90	
	Cultural awareness	Parents	97	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.75	
	Cultural awareness	Students		68.6	87.4	100.0		
•	Cultural awareness	Combined	98				0.82	4.28
<ul><li>Incl</li></ul>	lusive classroom instruction	Teachers	98	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.87	4.48
Qua	ality of professional development	Teachers	87	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.72	3.88
Sch	ool commitment	Teachers	89	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.73	3.92
Inn	ovation	Teachers	87	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.56	3.24
<ul><li>Ref</li></ul>	lective dialogue	Teachers	99	87.9	95.9	100.0	0.88	4.52
• Pee	er collaboration	Teachers	98	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.90	4.60
<ul><li>Foc</li></ul>	cus on student learning	Teachers	95	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.85	4.40
Col	lective responsibility	Teachers	91	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.73	3.92
Section	Results:		94%					4.12
	tive Environment ety:							
	Safety	Teachers	99	80.0	94.6	100.0	0.97	
	Safety	Students		74.5	88.5	100.0		
•	Safety	Combined	99				0.97	4.88
Clas	ssroom behavior:							
	Classroom behavior	Teachers	84	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.53	
	Classroom behavior	Students		67.3	84.3	100.0		
	Classroom behavior	Combined	84				0.53	3.12
	cial-emotional measure	Teachers	96	89.0	96.6	100.0	0.75	4.00
	er interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0		
	xt-level guidance	Students						
Pre	ss toward academic achievement:							
	Press toward academic achievement	Teachers	92	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.66	
	Press toward academic achievement	Students		85.3	91.9	98.5		
_	Press toward academic achievement	Combined	92				0.66	3.64
	sonal attention and support	Students		77.8	89.6	100.0		
Pee	er support for academic work:							
	Peer support for academic work	Teachers	86	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.40	
	Peer support for academic work	Parents	93	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.50	
	Peer support for academic work	Students		50.4	73.8	97.2	•	
	Peer support for academic work	Combined	90				0.45	2.80
Section			92%					3.68

P.S. 273 Wortman

		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Range City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
		Survey 70 T Solite	Dottom of hunge	City Avg	rop or numbe	r creent or nunge	30010
Effective School Leadership							
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	94	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.73	3.92
Teacher influence	Teachers	60	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.49	2.96
Program coherence	Teachers	91	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.77	4.08
<ul> <li>Principal instructional leadership</li> </ul>	Teachers	94	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.85	4.40
Section Results:		85%					3.84
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	100	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.97	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	97	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.80	
<ul> <li>Teacher outreach to parents</li> </ul>	Combined	98				0.89	4.56
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	78	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.55	3.20
Section Results:		88%					3.88
Trust							
<ul> <li>Parent-teacher trust</li> </ul>	Parents	98	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.78	4.12
<ul> <li>Parent-principal trust</li> </ul>	Parents	95	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.75	4.00
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
<ul> <li>Teacher-principal trust</li> </ul>	Teachers	90	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.77	4.08
<ul> <li>Teacher-teacher trust</li> </ul>	Teachers	94	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.77	4.08
Section Results:		94%					4.08

19K273

Targets for 2015-16 P.S. 273 Wortman

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15		2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
State Test Results - ELA*								
Average Student Proficiency	2.36	2.21 or lower	2.22 to 2.31	2.32 to 2.39	2.40 or higher			
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.89	1.82 or lower	1.83 to 1.94	1.95 to 2.03	2.04 or higher			
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	21.3%	13.0% or lower	13.1% to 18.5%	18.6% to 22.6%	22.7% or higher			
State Test Results - Math*								
Average Student Proficiency	2.33	2.27 or lower	2.28 to 2.42	2.43 to 2.54	2.55 or higher			
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.86	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.92	1.93 to 2.05	2.06 or higher			
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	16.1%	17.1% or lower	17.2% to 24.4%	24.5% to 29.9%	30.0% or higher			
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	94.6%	84.0% or lower	84.1% to 88.1%	88.2% to 91.2%	91.3% or higher			
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating								
Self-Contained	1.94	1.72 or lower	1.73 to 1.81	1.82 to 1.89	1.90 or higher			
Integrated Co-Teaching	1.98	1.85 or lower	1.86 to 1.96	1.97 to 2.05	2.06 or higher			
SETSS	2.05	1.85 or lower	1.86 to 1.97	1.98 to 2.07	2.08 or higher			
ELL	2.25	2.17 or lower	2.18 to 2.31	2.32 to 2.41	2.42 or higher			
Lowest Third Citywide	1.93	1.91 or lower	1.92 to 1.97	1.98 to 2.03	2.04 or higher			
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.89	1.87 or lower	1.88 to 1.94	1.95 to 2.00	2.01 or higher			
Math - Average Proficiency Rating								
Self-Contained	1.91	1.78 or lower	1.79 to 1.92	1.93 to 2.03	2.04 or higher			
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.11	1.88 or lower	1.89 to 2.05	2.06 to 2.18	2.19 or higher			
SETSS	2.10	1.89 or lower	1.90 to 2.07	2.08 to 2.20	2.21 or higher			
ELL	2.18	2.34 or lower	2.35 to 2.54	2.55 to 2.69	2.70 or higher			
Lowest Third Citywide	1.95	1.88 or lower	1.89 to 1.96	1.97 to 2.02	2.03 or higher			
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.98	1.85 or lower	1.86 to 1.94	1.95 to 2.00	2.01 or higher			
ELL Progress	42.9%	32.4% or lower	32.5% to 42.3%	42.4% to 49.9%	50.0% or higher			

<sup>\*</sup>To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	63.9%	60.5% or lower	60.6% to 67.4%	67.5% to 72.5%	72.6% or higher			
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.08	0.12 or lower	0.13 to 0.19	0.20 to 0.25	0.26 or higher			