
Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.



Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Local Assistance Plan

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at http://schoolqualityreports.nyc

P.S. 152 Dyckman Valley

2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	90	90	99
Grade 1	98	105	115
Grade 2	102	87	111
Grade 3	141	103	82
Grade 4	146	131	107
Grade 5	104	103	100
All students	706	651	664

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	36%	36%	35%
% Free Lunch Eligible	87%	87%	91%
% Student with IEPs	17%	18%	19%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	4%	3%	4%
% HRA Eligible	-	65%	68%
% Temporary Housing	-	32%	38%
% Asian	0%	0%	0%
% Black	2%	1%	2%
% Hispanic	96%	97%	96%
% White	1%	1%	1%
% Other	0%	0%	1%

06M152 P.S. 152 Dyckman Valley

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score
Meeting Target	3.98

		2014-15 Targets								
Student Achievement Metrics	n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct	
State Test Results - ELA									-	
Average Student Proficiency	270	2.25	1.96	2.14	2.26	2.39	2.66	2.92	9.09%	
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	270	11.9%	3.2%	10.6%	15.5%	21.0%	32.4%	2.27	9.09%	
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	179	72.0	48.3	56.0	62.8	67.5	78.7	4.40	9.09%	
 Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third 	64	83.0	60.9	68.8	75.6	80.3	91.5	4.24	9.09%	
Early Grade Progress	77	2.07	0.61	1.21	1.72	2.07	2.96	4.00	9.09%	
State Test Results - Math										
Average Student Proficiency	282	2.46	2.00	2.25	2.41	2.59	2.93	3.28	9.09%	
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	282	24.1%	3.9%	14.7%	21.9%	30.2%	44.7%	3.27	9.09%	
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	186	63.0	41.0	51.1	59.9	66.0	80.6	3.51	9.09%	
 Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third 	65	80.0	54.9	64.2	72.3	77.9	91.3	4.16	9.09%	
Early Grade Progress	79	3.65	0.56	1.51	2.29	2.84	4.22	4.59	9.09%	
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	90	96.4%	75.8%	82.6%	87.5%	93.1%	100.0%	4.48	9.09%	
								Weighted Average Score	3.74	

						2014-15 Targets						
		2014-15 School	Population %	2014-15	Bottom of	Approaching	Meeting	Exceeding	– Top of		Extra Points	Extra Points
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	Population %	of Range	School Value	Target Range	Target	Target	Target	Target Range	Metric Score	Possible	Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	12	4.4%	21.7%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%		0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	22	8.1%	41.1%	9.1%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%	3.93	0.030	0.022
o SETSS	23	8.5%	81.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	12	4.3%	21.4%	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%		0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	22	7.8%	39.8%	9.1%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%	2.33	0.030	0.010
• SETSS	23	8.2%	78.8%	17.4%	0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%	4.05	0.030	0.023
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
• ELL	64	35.8%	76.5%	59.4%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%	4.55	0.030	0.027
Lowest Third Citywide	113	63.1%	92.1%	55.8%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%	4.02	0.030	0.023
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	62	34.6%	87.6%	56.5%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%	4.04	0.030	0.023
SC/ICT/SETSS	49	27.4%	67.3%	63.3%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	4.38	0.030	0.025
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	71	38.2%	78.4%	38.0%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%	3.41	0.030	0.018
Lowest Third Citywide	91	48.9%	67.2%	46.2%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%	3.33	0.030	0.018
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	43	23.1%	60.2%	44.2%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%	3.26	0.030	0.017
• SC/ICT/SETSS	49	26.3%	65.1%	55.1%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	4.15	0.030	0.024
ELL Progress	212	34.5%	87.6%	53.8%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%	2.87	0.030	0.014
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.24
									Overa	II Student Achie	vement Score	3.98

[•] Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

[•] Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

06M152

P.S. 152 Dyckman Valley

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
orous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	91%	3.40	34%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.40	
aborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2	Proficient	3.40	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	87%	3.20	50%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.32	
portive Environment	Des Catalon	2.40	200/
Quality Review 3.4	Proficient	3.40	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	89%	3.16	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS	78.4%	3.36	
HS	78.4%	5.50	
Overall	78.4%	3.36	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive	70.470	3.30	3070
environments			
EMS	0.06	1.43	
HS	0.00	1.73	
Overall	0.06	1.43	5%
overu	0.00	1.13	3,0
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.20	
ective School Leadership			
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	79%	3.28	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.28	
ang Family-Community Ties			
ong Family-Community Ties		3.16	100%
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	84%	3.10	10070
	84% Section Score:	3.16	100%
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Meeting Target			100%
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Meeting Target st			100%
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.16	

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

			City Range				
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rigorous Instruction			•	, .		•	
Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	96	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.75	4.00
Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	94	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.64	3.56
Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
Quality of student discussion	Teachers	82	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.42	2.68
Section Results:		91%					3.40
Collaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers	96	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.75	
Cultural awareness	Parents	97	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.76	
Cultural awareness	Students	37	68.6	87.4	100.0	0.70	
Cultural awareness	Combined	97	00.0	07.4	100.0	0.76	4.04
Inclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	94	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.61	3.44
Quality of professional development	Teachers	78	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.54	3.44
School commitment	Teachers	83	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.58	3.32
Innovation	Teachers	78	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.25	2.00
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	95	87.9	95.9	100.0	0.75	4.00
Peer collaboration	Teachers	87	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.41	2.64
Focus on student learning	Teachers	84	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.49	2.96
Collective responsibility	Teachers	84	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.54	3.16
Section Results:	reactions	87%	03.7	04.7	100.0	0.54	3.20
Supportive Environment Safety:	Tarakawa	0.2	00.0	0.4.6	100.0	0.65	
Safety	Teachers	93	80.0	94.6	100.0	0.65	
Safety	Students	02	74.5	88.5	100.0	0.65	2.60
Safety	Combined	93				0.65	3.60
Classroom behavior:		00	66.0	05.5	400.0	0.40	
Classroom behavior	Teachers	83	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.49	
Classroom behavior	Students		67.3	84.3	100.0	0.40	
Classroom behavior	Combined	83	00.0	0.5.5	400.0	0.49	2.96
Social-emotional measure	Teachers	96	89.0	96.6	100.0	0.75	4.00
Peer interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0		
Next-level guidance	Students						
Press toward academic achievement:	Tanalism	00	75.0	00.0	100.0	0.34	
Press toward academic achievement	Teachers	80	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.21	
Press toward academic achievement	Students	20	85.3	91.9	98.5	0.24	4.04
Press toward academic achievement	Combined	80	77.0	00.6	400.0	0.21	1.84
Personal attention and support	Students		77.8	89.6	100.0		
Peer support for academic work:	Taxaba	0.0	76.5	04.5	400.0	0.40	
Peer support for academic work	Teachers	86	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.40	
Peer support for academic work	Parents	97	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.75	
Peer support for academic work	Students	04	50.4	73.8	97.2	0.50	2.22
Peer support for academic work	Combined	91				0.58	3.32
Section Results:		89%					3.16

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

P.S. 152 Dyckman Valley	

			City Range				
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	94	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.70	3.80
Teacher influence	Teachers	51	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.34	2.36
Program coherence	Teachers	86	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.66	3.64
Principal instructional leadership	Teachers	84	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.57	3.28
Section Results:		79%					3.28
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	91	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.50	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	95	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.75	
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	93				0.63	3.52
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	75	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.44	2.76
Section Results:		84%					3.16
Trust							
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	96	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.75	4.00
Parent-principal trust	Parents	96	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.76	4.04
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	79	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.52	3.08
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	82	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.29	2.16
Section Results:		88%					3.32

Targets for 2015-16

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
State Test Results - ELA*							
Average Student Proficiency	2.25	2.19 or lower	2.20 to 2.29	2.30 to 2.37	2.38 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.92	1.83 or lower	1.84 to 1.95	1.96 to 2.04	2.05 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	11.9%	12.3% or lower	12.4% to 17.8%	17.9% to 22.0%	22.1% or higher		
State Test Results - Math*							
Average Student Proficiency	2.46	2.36 or lower	2.37 to 2.51	2.52 to 2.63	2.64 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.92	1.84 or lower	1.85 to 2.01	2.02 to 2.14	2.15 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	24.1%	20.1% or lower	20.2% to 27.3%	27.4% to 32.8%	32.9% or higher		
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	96.4%	85.5% or lower	85.6% to 89.1%	89.2% to 91.9%	92.0% or higher		
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15		2015-16	Targets			
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained	1.77	1.69 or lower	1.70 to 1.79	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 or higher		
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.08	1.81 or lower	1.82 to 1.92	1.93 to 2.00	2.01 or higher		
SETSS	1.99	1.83 or lower	1.84 to 1.95	1.96 to 2.05	2.06 or higher		
ELL	2.12	1.95 or lower	1.96 to 2.09	2.10 to 2.19	2.20 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide	1.99	1.89 or lower	1.90 to 1.96	1.97 to 2.01	2.02 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.99	1.84 or lower	1.85 to 1.92	1.93 to 1.99	2.00 or higher		
Math - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained	1.77	1.70 or lower	1.71 to 1.84	1.85 to 1.94	1.95 or higher		
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.06	1.90 or lower	1.91 to 2.07	2.08 to 2.20	2.21 or higher		
SETSS	2.34	1.90 or lower	1.91 to 2.08	2.09 to 2.21	2.22 or higher		
ELL	2.27	2.12 or lower	2.13 to 2.31	2.32 to 2.46	2.47 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide	1.95	1.92 or lower	1.93 to 2.00	2.01 to 2.06	2.07 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.92	1.90 or lower	1.91 to 1.98	1.99 to 2.05	2.06 or higher		
ELL Progress	53.8%	40.7% or lower	40.8% to 50.6%	50.7% to 58.2%	58.3% or higher		

^{*}To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	78.4%	73.6% or lower	73.7% to 80.3%	80.4% to 85.4%	85.5% or higher			
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.06	0.10 or lower	0.11 to 0.16	0.17 to 0.20	0.21 or higher			