



CITY OF NEW YORK OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER BUREAU OF FINANCIAL AUDIT WILLIAM C. THOMPSON, JR., COMPTROLLER

Audit Report on the Compliance of RCN Telecom Services of New York, Inc., With Its Franchise and Open Video Agreements January 1, 1999–December 31, 2005

FN06-078A

November 5, 2007



THE CITY OF NEW YORK OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER 1 CENTRE STREET NEW YORK, N.Y. 10007-2341

WILLIAM C. THOMPSON, JR. COMPTROLLER

To the Citizens of the City of New York

Ladies and Gentlemen:

In accordance with the Comptroller's responsibilities contained in Chapter 5, §93, of the New York City Charter, my office has audited the compliance of RCN Telecom Services of New York, Inc. (RCN) with the terms of its Franchise and Open Video System (OVS) Agreements with the New York City Department of Information Technology and Telecommunications (DoITT).

Under the OVS agreement, RCN is permitted to construct and operate OVS communication services, including the operation of its microwave-satellite system within the City. The franchise agreement grants RCN franchise rights for the occupation of City property in connection with the provision of its telecommunications services. We audit private parties that are lessees of the City to ensure that they comply with the terms of their agreements, properly report revenue, and pay all fees due the City.

The results of our audit, which are presented in this report, have been discussed with officials of RCN and DoITT, and their comments have been considered in preparing this report. Their complete written responses are attached to this report.

I trust that this report contains information that is of interest to you. If you have any questions concerning this report, please e-mail my audit bureau at audit@Comptroller.nyc.gov or telephone my office at 212-669-3747.

Very truly yours,

William C. Thompson, Jr.

WCT/FH

Report: FN06-078A Date: November 5, 2007 The City of New York Office of the Comptroller Bureau of Financial Audit

Audit Report on the Compliance of RCN Telecom Services of New York, Inc., With Its Franchise and Open Video Agreements January 1, 1999–December 31, 2005

FN06-078A

AUDIT REPORT IN BRIEF

The Department of Information Technology and Telecommunications (DoITT) has two agreements with RCN Telecom Services of New York, Inc. (RCN). The first agreement, a 10-year Open Video System (OVS) agreement signed on December 29, 1997, permits RCN to construct and operate OVS communication services, including the operation of its microwave-satellite system,¹ within the City. The second agreement, a 15-year franchise agreement signed on January 5, 1999, grants RCN franchise rights for the occupation of City property in connection with the provision of its telecommunication services. Under the OVS agreement, RCN is required to pay the City an OVS operator fee equal to five percent of gross revenue. Based on the franchise agreement, RCN is required to pay the City a franchise fee consisting of the greater of either \$200,000 or five percent of its annual gross revenue.

The audit determined whether RCN accurately reported its total revenue, calculated and paid the appropriate fee amounts to the City, and made the required payments on time; and complied with certain non-revenue-related requirements of its agreements (i.e., maintained the required insurance and security deposits, and made the required payments to the community access organizations (CAOs).²

Audit Findings and Conclusions

RCN complied with certain non-revenue-related requirements of the agreements, such as maintaining the required \$50 million property and liability insurance that named the City as an additional insured party, paying the \$1 million in a security deposit, and remitting the required

¹ Microwave-satellite affords the transmission of signals via the airwaves, without the use of wires, fiberoptic, etc.

² Community Access Organizations are nonprofit corporations designated by each Borough President pursuant to provisions of the cable television franchise agreements. CAOs are responsible for the use of public channels.

payments to the CAOs and to the City for education and government access and for subscriber services to government facilities.

However, RCN failed to report \$26,431,624 in revenue to the City for the period January 1, 1999, to December 31, 2005; it therefore owes the City an additional \$1,784,594 in fees and interest. RCN did not report to the City any of the revenue generated from its microwave-satellite operations, advertising sales commissions, resale services, and other revenue categories required to be reported to the City under the OVS and franchise agreements. In addition, RCN did not provide sufficient documentation that would allow us to ascertain whether its books and records accurately reflected the results of all its revenue activities. As noted in the scope limitation section of the report, RCN did not provide its building activity reports for calendar years 1999 and 2000. Consequently, we were not able to determine whether additional revenues should have been reported for these periods or whether additional fees are due the City.

As a result of the findings of our preliminary audit report, RCN remitted a check for \$1,286,637 representing a portion of the principal amount assessed by our audit. Therefore, after adjusting for the amount paid, RCN owes the City \$497,957 (\$1,784,594 - \$1,286,637).

Audit Recommendations

To address the issues raised by this audit, we make five recommendations to RCN, including that it:

- pays the remaining \$497,957 in fees and late charges due the City, and
- reports all its revenue to the City as required in its OVS and franchise agreements.

We make five recommendations to DoITT, including that it:

- ensures that RCN pays the City \$497,597 in fees and late charges,
- complies with the report's other recommendations, and
- establishes proper guidelines to monitor RCN's compliance with its City agreements.

INTRODUCTION

Background

RCN Telecom Services of New York, Inc., (RCN) provides a variety of telecommunication services through its local high-capacity and microwave-satellite video system operations in the City. RCN offers multiple service options to approximately 77,000 subscribers throughout Manhattan, Queens, and Brooklyn. Services consist of basic service TV channels, premium service TV channels, pay service TV channels, telephone connection, and high-speed Internet connection. In addition to revenue from subscribers, RCN generates revenue from home-shopping channels, advertising, and resale³ activities.

RCN operates under two agreements with the City of New York through the Department of Information Technology and Telecommunications (DoITT), which is responsible for monitoring RCN's compliance with the terms of its agreements.

The first agreement, a 10-year Open Video System (OVS) agreement signed on December 29, 1997, permits RCN to construct and operate OVS communication services, including the operation of its microwave-satellite system within the City. The second agreement, a 15-year franchise agreement signed on January 5, 1999, grants RCN franchise rights for the occupation of City property in connection with the provision of its telecommunication services.

Under the OVS agreement, RCN is required to pay the City an OVS operator fee equal to five percent of gross revenue from or in connection with the distribution of any service on the system or the provisions of any service-related activity in connection with the system. This requirement is affected by Appendix H of the OVS agreement, which provides for RCN to report its gross revenue derived from microwave-satellite activities on an escalating scale (from 20 to 100 percent) over the first five years of the OVS agreement (through December 29, 2002). The OVS agreement also requires RCN to submit to the City a gross revenue report no later than 45 days after the last day of each March, June, September, and December throughout the term of this agreement. In addition, §9.4 of the agreement provides that "in the event that any payment required by this agreement, interest thereon shall accrue from such date at a rate equal to the then prevailing prime rate of interest charged by Chase Manhattan Bank (or other national bank selected by the Comptroller) for commercial loans, compounded daily."

Under the franchise agreement, RCN is required to pay the City a franchise fee consisting of the greater of either \$200,000 or five percent of its annual gross revenue from telecommunication services not covered under the OVS agreement. The franchise agreement also requires RCN to submit to the City quarterly gross revenue statements with payments made no later than 45 days after the last day of March, June, September, and December. Additionally, §7.4 of the franchise agreement provides that "in the event that any payment required by this agreement is not actually received by the City on or before the date fixed in this agreement, interest thereon shall

³ Resale services involve the sale of services by RCN using the fiber-optic or other transmission facilities of an independent third party.

accrue from such date until received at a rate equal to the rate of interest then in effect charged by the City for late payments of real estate taxes."

In addition, RCN is required to:

- maintain a minimum combined amount of \$50,000,000 in insurance for bodily injury and property damage to comply with provisions of both the OVS and the franchise agreements;
- maintain a performance bond in the amount of \$800,000 in the form of a surety bond for the term of the OVS agreement;
- maintain a security fund deposit of \$1,000,000 in the form of an irrevocable letter of credit or other form of secure deposit acceptable under the OVS agreement;
- maintain a security fund deposit of \$2,000,000 in the form of an irrevocable letter of credit or other form of secure deposit acceptable under the franchise agreement. However, the franchise agreement further states that this amount may be reduced to \$1,000,000 provided that RCN maintains its \$800,000 performance bond; and
- make quarterly payments to the community access organizations (CAOs) and to the City for education and government access and for subscriber services to government facilities, as specified in Appendix C of the OVS agreement.

For calendar years 1999–2005 (January 1, 1999, through December 31, 2005), RCN reported gross revenues to the City totaling \$367.7 million and paid related fees totaling \$18.4 million, as shown in Table I, following:

Table I

Schedule of Gross Revenue Reported to the City And Related Fees Paid January 1, 1999, to December 31, 2005

	Gross R	levenue		
Calendar Year	OVS Agreement	Franchise Agreement	Total Gross Revenue	Total Fees
1999	\$ 11,654,820	\$ 13,035,900	\$ 24,690,720	\$1,234,536
2000	18,947,312	13,958,855	32,906,167	1,645,308
2001	26,949,173	18,644,581	45,593,754	2,279,688
2002	33,221,524	22,878,899	56,100,423	2,805,021
2003	38,534,641	26,221,433	64,756,074	3,237,804
2004	44,604,561	25,511,032	70,115,593	3,505,780
2005	47,724,882	25,814,077	73,538,959	3,676,948
TOTAL	\$ 221,636,913	\$ 146,064,777	\$ 367,701,690	\$18,385,085

Objectives

The objectives of this audit were to determine whether RCN:

- accurately reported its total revenue, calculated and paid the appropriate fee amounts to the City, and made the required payments on time; and
- complied with certain non-revenue-related requirements of its agreements (i.e., maintained required insurance and security deposits, and made the required payments to the CAOs).

Scope and Methodology

The scope of this audit was calendar years 1999 through 2005 (January 1, 1999, through December 31, 2005). To achieve our audit objectives, we reviewed the OVS and franchise agreements between RCN and the City and identified relevant terms and conditions. We reviewed correspondence, RCN's quarterly gross revenue statements, and other relevant documents on file at DoITT to ascertain whether RCN submitted the required revenue reports and paid the fees due the City on time.

To obtain an understanding of RCN operating procedures, we conducted walk-through and meetings with RCN officials and familiarized ourselves with the accounting and record-keeping functions, documenting our understanding in memoranda. In addition, we reviewed the company's chart of accounts, general ledger, trial balance, and statement of operations, and performed a

preliminary review of the RCN building activity reports⁴ to identify unusual trends and to use as a basis for our detailed testing.

In conducting our audit work, we relied upon several opinions issued by various external auditors, including PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, Friedman LLP, and Ernst & Young LLP, that covered the financial statements of RCN's parent, RCN Corporation, for the years 2003, 2004, and 2005 and RCN Corporation's internal controls over financial reporting for 2004 and 2005. We also relied upon the "Independent Service Auditor's Report" prepared for Convergys Information Management Group (as of October 31, 2001) as it applied to the ICOMS applications and data processing. RCN uses Convergys⁵ Information Management Group to manage its monthly subscriber billings.

Based on the this information, we assessed the reliability of the revenue data generated by RCN's billing system by conducting limited tests of transactions in the basic programming account for Manhattan residential subscribers, the highest revenue account in the RCN general ledger for 2004. We judgmentally selected transactions from the accounts receivable billing report, and traced the amounts to the customer ledger for accuracy.

To determine whether RCN accurately reported gross revenue to the City, we judgmentally selected all revenue transactions for 2004 (one of the years with the highest revenue) totaling \$70.1 million, or 19 percent of the \$367.7 million reported to the City by RCN for the period January 1, 1999, through December 31, 2005. We reconciled the amounts in the RCN trial balance, detailed general ledger, and the building activity report. We also reviewed all the billed revenue transactions generated by the RCN Convergys CableMaster 2000 ICOMS Application System (ICOMS) billing system that were reported in the detailed general ledger. To ascertain whether all billed revenue was properly accounted for and reported, we identified all revenue accounts in the chart of accounts and traced the accounts to the detailed general ledger. We then recalculated for accuracy the amounts in the accounts-receivable billed revenue (ARB051) account, deferred revenue account (ARB070S), and the revenue adjustments (ARB028), and, to determine their consistency, traced the amounts to the trial balance, the building activity report, and the revenue data that RCN reported to the City.

In addition, we analyzed detailed revenue transactions and revenue category totals reported in the building activity reports, reconciled the amounts to the trial balance and the statement of operations, and compared these to the revenue amounts reported to the City for 2001, 2002, 2003, and 2005. We also analyzed all revenue discrepancies identified to determine whether the exclusion of certain revenue categories from the quarterly revenue statements submitted to the City was appropriate. Finally, we reviewed RCN's bad-debt account and determined whether RCN properly

⁴ The building activity report details RCN's billing revenue activities by customer and by revenue category and reports all subscriber and non-subscriber revenue generated by Convergys/ICOMS. The building activity report serves as the basis for the revenue RCN reports to the City.

⁵ RCN uses Convergys Information Management Group to manage its monthly subscriber billings through ICOMS. This system processes subscriber billings and payments. The system also generates various management reports used by RCN to complete its financial data. ICOMS interfaces with the general ledger, trial balance, and building activity report.

recorded its bad-debt expenses and whether the amounts were appropriately excluded from the gross revenue statements submitted to the City.

To determine whether RCN correctly reported its advertising revenue, as required in the OVS agreements, we reviewed the advertising revenue reported in the building activity reports and traced the amounts to the trial balance. We also reviewed the RCN advertising agreement with ViaMedia, the firm responsible for handling RCN's advertising, and conducted limited tests on the amounts reported on ViaMedia's commission reports for the period January 1, 2004, through December 31, 2005.

To determine whether RCN properly reported to the City the value of the courtesy service ("free service") it offers, as required in the OVS agreement, we reviewed RCN's list of all customers receiving free service and the schedule of rates to determine the reasonableness of the amounts of courtesy service reported. We then reviewed the revenue categories RCN reported in its quarterly revenue statements submitted to the City to determine whether the free service category was properly included.

To determine whether revenue from resale services was accurately calculated and reported to the City, as required in the agreement, we reviewed RCN's statement of operations and identified related amounts. In addition, we reviewed the agreement between RCN and the third-party provider, and also reviewed RCN's schedule of payments to the provider to ascertain whether the amount of resale revenue retained by RCN was accurately determined. We then compared the resale amount RCN retained to the quarterly revenue statements submitted to the City to determine whether the amount was appropriately included in the total revenue reported to the City.

The results of our tests, while not projectable to all RCN revenue, provided a reasonable basis to evaluate the appropriateness of the amounts that RCN reported and the fees it paid to the City.

Finally, to determine whether RCN complied with the non-revenue-related terms and conditions of its agreements, we reviewed insurance certificates to determine whether RCN maintained the required insurance coverage. We also reviewed security deposit records to determine whether RCN maintained the required security deposits. In addition, we examined RCN's schedule of payments to verify that the proper payments were made to the CAOs and to the City for education and government access and for subscriber services to government facilities.

Scope Limitation

To conduct our audit of the franchise and OVS agreements between RCN and the City, we requested specific data and detailed documentation to verify that RCN reported all its revenue and paid the appropriate fees to the City for the audit scope period from January 1, 1999, through December 31, 2005.

RCN did not provide the building activity reports for the period January 1, 1999, through December 31, 2000, and for the month of October, 2002. The building activity report provides

critical detailed information of all revenue billed, recorded, and reported by RCN. It is also used to identify the revenue RCN reports in its statement of operations and in its quarterly revenue reports submitted to the City. Therefore, without the building activity reports for these periods, we were not able to determine the amount of RCN revenue from resale, microwave-satellite, and other sources that should have—but might not have—been reported to the City for calendar years 1999 and 2000 and for the month of October 2002.

Likewise, we were unable to determine whether additional fees were due the City for any of the above sources of revenue that might not have been reported. We note that our audit disclosed significant amounts of unreported revenue in these revenue areas for the years in which we had access to the building activity reports (see Table II for the audit exceptions and additional fees owed the City). In addition, RCN's failure to provide critical documents represents a departure from compliance with specific requirements of its agreements with the City. According to §8.7.1 of the franchise agreement and §10.5.1 of the OVS agreement, "Throughout the Term of the agreement, the Company shall maintain complete and accurate books of account and records of the business, ownership, and operations of the Company with respect to the System in a manner that allows the City at all times to determine whether the Company is in compliance with the Agreement."

This audit was conducted in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards (GAGAS) and, with the exception of the lacking data cited in the scope limitation, included all tests considered necessary. The audit was performed in accordance with the audit responsibilities of the City Comptroller as set forth in Chapter 5, §93, of the New York City Charter.

Discussion of Audit Results

The matters covered in this report were discussed with RCN officials during and at the conclusion of this audit. A preliminary draft report was sent to RCN and DoITT officials on July 23, 2007. Subsequently, RCN provided us with additional information related to the issues discussed in the preliminary report. An exit conference was held on August 27, 2007, at which RCN remitted a payment of \$1,286,637 representing a portion of the principal balance owed the City. On September 12, 2007, we submitted a draft report to RCN and DoITT with request for comments.

We received written responses from DoITT on September 26, 2007, and from RCN on September 27, 2007. RCN officials agreed with the audit report findings and recommendations. In their response, RCN officials noted that significant changes in their business restructuring, and internal operations may have impacted their ability to accurately report all revenue to the City and pay the appropriate fees. They also stated that "in any event, RCN is committed to cooperating fully with DoITT to resolve any and all outstanding issues arising from the audit report, including, without limitation, late charges."

DoITT officials agreed with the report's recommendations; however, they took exception to the report's other issue regarding the adequacy of DoITT's oversight.

The full texts of the DoITT and RCN responses are included as addenda to this report.

FINDINGS

RCN complied with certain non-revenue-related requirements of its agreements with the City, such as maintaining the required \$50 million property and liability insurance that named the City as an additional insured party, paying the \$1 million in a security deposit, and remitting the required payments to the CAOs and to the City for education and government access and for subscriber services to government facilities.

However, RCN failed to report \$26,431,624 in revenue to the City for the period January 1, 1999, to December 31, 2005; it therefore owes the City an additional \$1,784,594 in fees and interest.⁶ Specifically, RCN did not report to the City any of the revenue generated from its microwave-satellite operations, advertising sales commissions, resale services, and other revenue categories required to be reported to the City under the OVS and franchise agreements. In addition, RCN did not provide sufficient documentation that would allow us to ascertain whether its books and records accurately reflected the results of all its revenue generating activities. As noted in the scope limitation section, RCN did not provide its building activity reports for calendar years 1999 and 2000 and October 2002. As a result, we were not able to determine whether additional revenues should have been reported for these periods or whether additional fees are due the City. See Table II, which follows, for the details.

⁶ As a result of the findings of our preliminary audit report, RCN remitted a check for \$1,286,637 representing a portion of the principal amount assessed by our audit. Therefore, after adjusting for the amount paid, RCN owes the City \$497,957 (\$1,784,594 - \$1,286,637).

Тa	hla	II
10		

Additional Fees and Interest Owed Based on Unreported Revenue Calendar Years 1999 through 2005

Calendar Year	Microwave	Advertising	Resale	Free Service	Other Revenue Categories	Revenue Omission	Bad Debt Write-Offs	Total Unreported Revenue Amount
1999		\$46,077		\$73,920				\$119,997
2000		161,886		131,915				293,801
2001	\$5,056,932	165,607	2,926,720	261,288	\$1,009,923	\$7,664	(\$2,182,936)	7,245,198
2002	5,008,570	248,116	1,930,016	380,046	1,282,981	201,855	(2,112,827)	6,938,757
2003	3,310,895	190,410		455,552	2,112,014	102,330	(1,507,266)	4,663,935
2004	3,274,070	218,495	310,828	515,591	666,800	325,579	(931,429)	4,379,934
2005	2,099,886	581,115	210,174	559,703	57,109		(717,985)	2,790,002
TOTAL UNDER- REPORTED REVENUE	\$18,750,353	\$1,611,706	\$5,377,738	\$2,378,015	\$5,128,827	\$637,428	(\$7,452,443)	\$26,431,624
FEES DUE THE CITY	937,518	80,585	268,887	118,901	256,441	31,871	(372,622)	1,321,581
LATE CHARGES	250,732	19,618	381,070	29,722	89,857	19,674	(327,660)	463,013
TOTAL OWED THE CITY	\$1,188,250	\$100,203	\$649,957	\$148,623	\$346,298	\$51,545	(\$700,282)*	\$1,784,594**

* The total revenue RCN did not report to the City has been adjusted to reflect the amount of bad-debt write-offs that RCN did not deduct in its revenue reports to the City from calendar years 2001 through 2005.

** As a result of the findings of our preliminary audit report, RCN remitted a check for \$1,286,637 representing a portion of the principal amount due the City, as illustrated in this table. Therefore, after adjusting for the amount paid, RCN owes the City \$497,957 (\$1,784,594 - \$1,286,637).

As illustrated in Table II, except for advertising and free-service activities, RCN did not provide revenue information for calendar years 1999 and 2000. We based our calculations of the amounts of unreported revenue and additional fees owed the City on the records that were provided. However, as disclosed in the scope limitation, we were not able to determine the total amount of revenue from RCN's operations that may not have been reported to the City, or any resulting additional fees, if any, that may be due.

These matters are discussed in detail in the following sections of this report.

<u>RCN Failed to Report \$18,750,353</u> <u>In Microwave-Satellite Revenue</u>

Our review of RCN's books and records for the period January 1, 2001, to December 31, 2005, found that RCN inappropriately excluded \$18,750,353 in microwave-satellite revenue from the gross revenue it reported to the City. Consequently, RCN owes the City \$1,188,250 in additional fees and late interest payment, as detailed in Table II.

RCN is required to pay an operator fee of five percent of gross revenue under its OVS agreement and to make payments (referred to as "Phase-In-Amounts") to the City equal to five percent of an escalating amount⁷ of gross revenue from its microwave-satellite operations over the first five years of the OVS agreement. However, our reconciliation of RCN's building activity reports and the quarterly revenue statements submitted to the City revealed that from January 1, 2001, through December 31, 2005, RCN failed to report a total of \$18,750,353 in microwave-satellite revenue to the City, which resulted in RCN owing the City \$1,188,250 in additional fees and late interest.

<u>RCN Inappropriately Excluded \$12,755,699</u> From the Revenue It Reported to the City

RCN failed to report to the City a total of \$12,755,699 in revenue generated from various operating activities that are specifically identified in the gross revenue definition of the agreements with the City, as follows:

\$1,611,706 in Advertising Revenue Was Not Reported to the City

RCN did not report a total of \$1,611,706 in advertising revenue in the quarterly revenue statements submitted to the City from January 1, 1999, to December 31, 2005. Consequently, RCN owes the City \$100,203 in additional fees and late interest payment, as detailed in Table II.

According to the definition of gross revenue in §1.26 of the OVS agreement, "Gross Revenue shall also include all advertising revenue which is derived directly or indirectly by the Company." However, our review of the RCN trial balance and statement of operations report from January 1, 1999, to December 31, 2005, revealed that RCN earned a total of \$1,691,647 in advertising revenue. Moreover, RCN quarterly revenue statements submitted to the City did not report advertising revenue from January 1, 1999, through December 31, 2003, and reported only \$79,941 in its quarterly gross revenue statements for 2004 and 2005. Therefore, RCN failed to report the remaining \$1,611,706 in advertising revenue to the City. As a result, RCN owes the City \$100,203 in additional fees and late interest payment, as detailed in Table II.

⁷ Based on the schedule of the phase-in amounts provided in Appendix H of the OVS agreement, RCN is required to pay five percent of: 20 percent of all microwave-satellite revenue of RCN and its affiliates on initial signing of the agreement; 40 percent on the first anniversary; 60 percent on the second anniversary; 80 percent on the third anniversary; and 100 percent thereafter.

\$5,377,738 in Resale Revenue Was Not Reported to the City

RCN did not report to the City a total of \$5,377,738 in revenue it generated from its resale activities. As a result, RCN owes the City \$649,957 in additional fees and late interest payment, as detailed in Table II.

RCN generates resale revenue by selling services that are then provided to the public through a third-party provider. According to the provisions of the franchise agreement, RCN is permitted to reduce gross revenue from resale by the amount RCN paid to its third-party provider. Our review of RCN's building activity reports and the schedule of payments to the provider found that for the period of January 1, 2001, to December 31, 2005, RCN reported total revenue from resale in the amount of \$15,570,705, and paid its third-party provider a total of \$10,192,967 for the cost of resale services. Since RCN is allowed to reduce its gross revenue from resale by the amount it paid to its third-party provider, RCN should have reported the difference of \$5,377,738 to the City. However, RCN quarterly revenue statements submitted to the City did not report revenue from resale. As a result, RCN owes an additional \$649,957 in fees and interest on late payments, as detailed in Table II.

\$5,128,827 in Other Revenue Categories Excluded From the Revenue Reported to the City

RCN did not report a total of \$5,128,827 in revenue categories classified as "other" in its building activity. Consequently, it owes the City \$346,298 in additional fees and interest on late payments, as detailed in Table II.

Our analysis of RCN's building activity reports identified several revenue categories that were not included in the report submitted to the City. In the category classified as "other," RCN did not report revenue from commissions received from the home shopping channel, franchise fees RCN passes on to the customers, installation and reconnection fees, and other, related revenue. According to the gross revenue definition in §1.16 of the franchise agreement and §1.26 of the OVS agreement, "Gross Revenue shall include all revenue, as determined in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, that is received directly or indirectly by the Company . . . from or in connection with any Telecommunication Services provided in accordance with this agreement which originate in and/or terminate in the City." However, for the period 2001 through 2005, RCN did not report to the City a total of \$5,128,827 in revenue it generated from other sale activities, contrary to its franchise agreement. As a result, RCN owes the City \$346,298 in additional fees and interest on late payments, as detailed in Table II.

\$637,428 Was Incorrectly Excluded From the Revenue Reported to the City

RCN omitted a total of \$637,428 from the gross revenue it submitted to the City. As a result, RCN owes the City \$51,545 in additional fees and interest on late payments, as detailed in Table II.

Our reconciliation of the RCN building activity report and the quarterly revenue statements found that RCN understated its gross revenue by incorrectly excluding the following revenue transactions:

- \$7,664 in revenue transactions during the months of August and September 2001.
- \$201,855 in revenue transactions during the months of July, August, September, and December 2002.
- \$102,330 in revenue transactions during January 2003.
- \$325,579 in revenue transactions during April, 2004.

As a result, RCN owes the City \$51,545 in additional fees and interest on late payment.

<u>RCN Failed to Report Free Service</u> With a Value of \$2,378,015

RCN did not report to the City the value of the free services it provided in the amount of \$2,378,015. Therefore, RCN owes \$148,623 in additional fees and late interest payment to the City, as detailed in Table II.

According to the OVS agreement, RCN is required to include the value of free services it provides in the calculation of gross revenue it reports to the City. However, we found that from 1999 to 2005, RCN provided courtesy services with a total value of \$2,378,015 to its employees and apartment building managers. This amount, however, was not reported in RCN's quarterly revenue statements submitted to the City. Consequently, RCN owes the City \$148,623 in additional fees and interest on late payments, as detailed in Table II.

<u>RCN Did Not Report Its Bad-Debt</u> <u>Write-Offs to the City</u>

According to the OVS agreement, RCN is permitted to exclude bad-debt write-offs from the gross revenue amounts it reports to the City. Based on our review of RCN's bad-debt account from calendar years 2001 through 2005, we found that RCN recorded bad-debt write-offs totaling \$7,452,443. However, RCN did not include deductions for bad-debts in its revenue statements submitted to the City. As a result, we adjusted the amount of unreported revenue to the City to reflect a reduction of \$7,452,443 in bad-debt expense and a corresponding credit of \$700,282 to RCN, as detailed in Table II.

Other Issue

Inadequate Oversight by DoITT

DoITT did not exercise its responsibility to ensure that RCN reported its revenue to the City in accordance with the agreements. Under the City Charter, every agreement memorializing the terms and conditions of a franchise, irrevocable consent, or concession must contain adequate provisions to secure efficiency of the services provided, assure the maintenance of the property of the City, and provide for adequate compensation to the City. The City Charter also requires that the agency designated to have primary expertise and responsibility for the franchise must monitor the performance of the grantee and enforce the terms and conditions of the franchise under its jurisdiction.⁸ The agreements between RCN and the City require RCN to establish and maintain managerial and operational records, standards, procedures, and controls to enable it to prove in reasonable detail and to the reasonable satisfaction of the oversight agency, in this case DoITT, that RCN is in compliance with the agreements at all times throughout the term.

However, our audit found no evidence that DoITT had required RCN to submit detailed financial records to support the revenue reported to the City. Instead, DoITT accepted the amounts RCN reported as well as the related payments RCN made without exercising the proper oversight review. Such oversight review would have determined the accuracy and completeness of the revenue amounts that RCN reported to the City. As our audit disclosed, for the period covered, calendar years 1999 to 2005, RCN understated its revenue to the City by excluding \$26,331,753 in revenue from microwave-satellite, advertising, resale, and other activities specifically required to be reported under the definition of gross revenue in the agreements.

For 1999 to 2000, RCN did not provide books and records that would have enabled us to verify the accuracy of the revenue reported to the City or the extent of additional fees that may be due the City resulting from unreported revenue. According to the agreements, "the Company shall keep comprehensive itemized records of all revenues received and of all services provided, in sufficient detail to enable the City to determine whether all compensation owed to the City is being paid to the City."

Because of RCN's departure from certain significant compliance provisions of the agreements, specifically as it relates to the gross revenue provisions, we recommend that DoITT actively monitor RCN's compliance with the agreements and establish proper procedures to ensure that RCN reports all its revenue and that it maintains detailed and accurate books and records in support of all its business activities, as required by the agreements.

⁸ These requirements are contained in Chapter 14 §365 (a)(c), Terms of Agreements: Enforcement.

RECOMMENDATIONS

We recommend that RCN:

1. Pay the City the balance of \$497,957, which includes \$34,944 in additional OVS and franchise fees and \$463,013 in late charges.

RCN Response: "Although the City is permitted to impose such charges under the respective agreements, we hope that the City would offer some leniency with RCN in this regard, because RCN did not intentionally or fraudulently withhold any amounts due the City during the review period or thereafter. In fact, as stated above, any failure to pay amounts due the City resulted from oversight on RCN's part. Secondly, due to the constant state of flux in RCN's financial status and operations during the review period, we are certain that it was difficult for DOIIT (or any other third party) to ascertain whether RCN had fully complied with all of its revenue and non-revenue obligations during the review period. In any event, now that we have delivered payment for a majority of the past due OVS and franchise fees, we are committed to cooperating fully with DOITT to resolve any and all outstanding issues arising from the audit report, including, without limitation, late charges."

2. Ensure that all future revenue from microwave-satellite, advertising, resale, and other business operations are accurately reported and that the appropriate fees are paid to the City, in accordance with the OVS and franchise agreements.

RCN Response: "Agreed. We have revised our process to include all revenue required, in accordance with the OVS and franchise agreement, to properly calculate fees."

3. Ensure that bad-debt expenses are properly deducted from gross revenue reported to the City.

RCN Response: "Agreed. Bad debt expenses will be factored into all future reporting."

4. Ensure that all revenue reported in its books and records is properly reconciled and included in the quarterly revenue statements it submits to the City.

RCN Response: "Agreed. We will put a process in place to provide the proper reconciliation for our quarterly revenue statements."

5. Maintain complete and accurate books of accounts and records of business in a manner that would allow the DoITT to determine whether RCN is in compliance with the agreements.

RCN Response: "Agreed. We will maintain accurate records and work closely with DoITT to ensure RCN is in compliance with the agreements."

We recommend that DoITT:

6. Ensure that RCN pays the City the balance of \$497,957.

DoITT Response: "DoITT will aggressively pursue immediate payment of the full balance. Moreover, it should be noted that RCN's Open Video System (OVS) franchise with the City expires in December of this year. Payment of the balance will be a precondition of DoITT's approval of any new franchise or other franchise-related action that may be sought by RCN. Finally, if necessary, DoITT will pursue an affirmative legal claim against RCN for the balance."

7. Ensure that RCN complies with the other recommendations, especially those relating to potential unreported revenue and additional fees due the City.

DoITT Response: "DoITT will negotiate a franchise provision with RCN whereby a designated RCN senior executive must certify the completeness and accuracy of quarterly revenue submissions, with the same certified annually by RCN's chief financial officer. In addition, DoITT will designate in-house personnel to examine revenue reports from RCN and other franchisees. DoITT will also consider the utility of engaging outside auditors to augment these operations."

8. Ensure that RCN reports to the City any additional revenue for the period January 1, 1999, through December 31, 2000, and for the month of October 2002, as required by the terms of the OVS and franchise agreements, and that RCN pays the City additional fees that may be due based on any additional revenue reported resulting from the implementation of this recommendation.

DoITT Response: "DoITT will continue to aggressively pursue revenue reports from RCN for the above noted periods, as well as any associated fees and late charges owed to the City."

9. Establish the proper guidelines and a review process to monitor RCN's compliance with the City agreements. DoITT should ensure that RCN reports all its subsequent revenue to the City in compliance with the revenue provisions of the agreements and that it pays its fees accordingly.

DoITT Response: "DoITT will issue to appropriate staff a written franchise review procedure, 'formalizing' established practices and implementing new best practices. The procedure will reinforce the requirement that responsible DoITT staff maintain thorough knowledge of the revenue provisions of the franchise agreements and require that such staff document, on a quarterly basis, the actions that they may have taken to ensure compliance with the agreements. In addition, DoITT will negotiate to include new remedy provisions in any future RCN franchise(s), in the event that the company is found to be substantially out of compliance with the revenue provisions of the revenue provisions of the agreements."

RCN Telecom Services of New York City, Inc. Schedule of Late Fees Due on Unreported Microwave Revenue January 1, 2001, through December 31, 2005

		Janus	ary 1, 2001, t	hrough Decen	iber 31, 2005			
		acommolestade				Number St Days	Interest	THE REPORT OF A
		A C History and the state of the state o	Due/Date	Francia			Rate	
	DueChys	a de la come			ANA MATANA MATANA ANA ANA ANA ANA ANA ANA ANA ANA AN	(All Shi ya sangi ya sa		
2001	\$ 65,641	\$ 65,641	05/15/01	5/16/2001	6/27/2001	43	7.50%	\$ 582
1st Quarter	\$ 65,641	66,223	0,10,01	6/28/2001	8/14/2001	48	7.00%	612
		66,836						
2- 4 Overter	64,170	131,006	08/14/01	8/15/2001	8/21/2001	7	6.75%	170
2nd Quarter	04,170	131,176		8/22/2001	9/17/2001	27	6.50%	632
		131,808		9/18/2001	10/2/2001	15	6.00%	325
		132,133		10/3/2001	11/6/2001	35	5.50%	699
		132,832		11/7/2001	11/14/2001	8	5.00%	146
		132,977						
3rd Quarter	63,013	195,990	11/14/01	11/15/2001	12/11/2001	27	5.00%	726
		196,717		12/12/2001	2/14/2002	65	4.75%	1,671
		198,388		1				
4th Quarter	60,023	258,411	02/14/02	2/15/2002	5/15/2002	. 90	4.75%	3,044
2002		261,455						
1st Quarter	74,945	336,400	05/15/02	5/16/2002	8/14/2002	91	4.75%	4,007
		340,407						
2nd Quarter	72,325	412,732	08/14/02	8/15/2002	11/6/2002	84	4.75%	4,536
		417,268		11/7/2002	11/14/2002	8	4.25%	389
		417,657						
3rd Quarter	72,229	489,886	11/14/02	11/15/2002	2/14/2003	92	4.25%	5,276
		495,162					4.0.50/	5.540
4th Quarter	30,929		02/14/03	2/15/2003	5/15/2003	90	4.25%	5,542
2003		531,633			c/0.c/0.000	10	4.25%	2,743
1st Quarter	28,001		05/15/03	5/16/2003	6/26/2003	42	4.23%	3,028
		562,377		6/27/2003	8/14/2003	49	4.0070	5,020
	1	565,405	- + 11 / 10 0		11/14/2002	92	4.00%	6,148
2nd Quarter	41,310		08/14/03	8/15/2003	11/14/2003	94	4.0070	0,140
1		612,862	11/14/02	11/16/2002	2/14/2004	92	4.00%	6,703
3rd Quarter	48,641		11/14/03	11/15/2003	2/14/2004	74	4.0070	0,705
	17.500	668,206	02/14/04	2/15/2004	5/15/2004	91	4.00%	7,174
4th Quarter			02/14/04	2/13/2004	3/13/2004			
2004		722,973	05/15/04	5/16/2004	6/29/2004	45	4.00%	3,794
1st Quarter	44,531	771,298	05/35/04	6/30/2004	8/9/2004	41	4.25%	3,691
		774,989		8/10/2004	8/14/2004	5	4.50%	478
l		775,467		0,10,2004				
2-4.0	42,49		08/14/04	8/15/2004	9/20/2004	37	4.50%	3,740
2nd Quarte	42,49.	821,701	00/14/04	9/21/2004	11/9/2004	50	4.75%	5,364
		827,065		11/10/2004	11/14/2004	5	5.00%	567
1		827,632						
		. 027,032						

		98003	ary 1, 2001, 1	Intough Decen				er (Deser
	(Concess)	Ar ophicial (Color) (Balantet Are	Favment	Econe	A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACT OF	Nimber doli Days	and the stand of the stand of the stand of the	andsete Liniefesta Charges
Date	Due City 2	IN A CALCULATION OF THE OWNER OF	Due Done 11/14/04	11/15/2004	12/13/2004	29	5.00%	3,446
3rd Quarter	38,051	865,683	11/14/04	12/14/2004	2/1/2005	50	5.25%	6,273
		869,128		2/2/2005	2/14/2005	13	5.50%	1,716
i l		875,401		2/2/2005	2/14/2000			·
	20 (27)	877,117	02/14/05	2/15/2005	3/21/2005	35	5.50%	4,842
4th Quarter	38,627	915,744 920,586	02/14/03	3/22/2005	5/2/2005	42	5.75%	6,111
		920,586 926,697		5/3/2005	5/15/2005	13	6.00%	1,982
had beer lands and some some meneral birtister i		928,679		5/5/2002				
2005	36,139	928,079 964,818	05/15/05	5/16/2005	6/29/2005	45	6.00%	7,163
1st Quarter	50,155	971,981	00/10/00	6/30/2005	8/8/2005	40	6.25%	6,680
		978,661		8/9/2005	8/14/2005	6	6.50%	1,046
		979,707						ļ
2nd Quarter	30,840	1,010,547	08/14/05	8/15/2005	9/19/2005	36	6.50%	6,499
Zitti Quarter	50,010	1,017,046		9/20/2005	10/31/2005	42	6.75%	7,930
		1,024,975		11/1/2005	11/14/2005	14	7.00%	2,755
		1,027,731						
3rd Quarter	22,415	1,050,146	11/14/05	11/15/2005	12/12/2005	28	7.00%	5,654
Sid Quarter	,	1,055,799		12/13/2005	1/30/2006	49	7.25%	10,325
		1,066,125		1/31/2006	2/14/2006	15	7.50%	3,291
		1,069,415						
4th Quarter	15,600	1,085,015	02/14/06	2/15/2006	3/27/2006	41	7.50%	9,179
	,	1,094,194		3/28/2006	5/9/2006	43	7,75%	10,035
		1,104,229		5/10/2006	6/28/2006	50	8.00%	12,166
		1,116,395		6/29/2006	3/31/2007	276	8.25%	71,855
Total	\$ 937,518							\$ 250,732

RCN Telecom Services of New York City, Inc. Schedule of Late Fees Due on Unreported Microwave Revenue January 1, 2001, through December 31, 2005

(a) The "number of days overduc" fluctuates depending on the interest rate that should be applied to the specific day, to calculate the late interest charge.

- (b) Section 9.4 of the OVS agreement requires that "in the event that any payment required by this agreement is not actually received by the City on or before the applicable dated fixed in this agreement, interest thereon shall accrue from such date at a rate equal to the then prevailing prime rate of interest charged by Chase Manhattan Bank (or any other national bank selected by the Comptroller) for commercial loans, compounded daily).
- (c) Late interest charges were calculated through March 31, 2007.

RCN Telecom Services of New York City, Inc. Schedule of Late Fees Due on Unreported Advertising Revenue January 1, 1999, through December 31, 2005_____

		Janua	ry 1, 1999, th	rough Decem	ber 31, 2005	and a marked	27 30 CH 4 1 5 2 5 1 1 1 1 1 1	(C).
						an a		
	ALCICINIODAL				ferriod	of Dave	Interest	Increst
	DRECEP	al Duct 1	Dimentine a			Overdue	Raie	Charge
T999	and the second second second second							
Ist Quarter	\$ 576	\$ 576	5/15/1999	5/16/1999	6/30/1999	46	7.75%	\$ 6
13t Quanter	•1•	582	l	7/1/1999	8/14/1999	45	8.00%	6
		587						2
2nd Quarter	576	1,163	8/14/1999	8/15/1999	8/24/1999	10	8.00%	3
<		1,166		8/25/1999	11/14/1999	82	8.25%	22
		1,188					0.050/	, I
3rd Quarter	576	1,764	11/14/1999	11/15/1999	11/16/1999	2	8.25%	
		1,765		11/17/1999	2/2/2000	78	8.50%	32
		1,797		2/3/2000	2/13/2000	11	8.75%	5
1		1,802					0.750/	21
4th Quarter	576	2,378	2/14/2000	2/15/2000	3/21/2000	36	8.75%	21
		2,398		3/22/2000	5/15/2000	55	9.00%	33
# 5 2000 ·	×	2,431					0.000/	
1st Quarter	2,023	4,454	5/15/2000	5/16/2000	5/16/2000	1	9.00%	106
		4,455		5/17/2000	8/14/2000	90	9.50%	100
		4,561		- / /		02	9.50%	160
2nd Quarter	2,023	6,584	8/14/2000	8/15/2000	11/14/2000	92	9.50%	100
		6,743				- CO	9.50%	115
3rd Quarter	2,024	1	11/14/2000	11/15/2000	1/3/2001	50	9.30%	62
		8,882		1/4/2001	1/31/2001	28	9.00% 8.50%	29
4		8,944		2/1/2001	2/14/2001	14	8.50%	27
		8,973		a. (1 a. (1 A. A. 1	2/22/2201	24	8.50%	87
4th Quarter	2,024		2/14/2001	2/15/2001	3/20/2001	34	8.00%	117
		11,084		2/1/2001	3/20/2001	48	8.00%	71
		11,201		3/21/2001	4/18/2001	29 27	7.50%	63
		11,273	i	4/19/2001	5/15/2001		7.5070	
2001		11,336		5/16/0001	6/27/2001	43	7.50%	116
1st Quarter	1,694		5/15/2001	5/16/2001	8/14/2001	43	7,00%	122
		13,145		6/28/2001	0/14/2001		1,0070	
		13,267	0/1/2001	8/15/2001	8/21/2001	7	6.75%	19
2nd Quarte:	r 1,679		8/14/2001	8/22/2001	9/17/2001	27	6.50%	72
	Į	14,965		9/18/2001	10/2/2001	15	6.00%	37
1		15,037	1	10/3/2001	11/6/2001	35	5.50%	80
		15,074		11/7/2001	11/14/2001	8	5.00%	17
		15,154		117//2003	11, 17, 2001			ļ
		15,171		11/15/2001	12/11/2001	27	5.00%	65
3rd Quarte	r 2,24			12/12/2001	2/14/2002	65	4.75%	. 148
		17,476	1	12/12/2001	A 1 1/2002			
		17,625		2/15/2002	5/15/2002	90	4.75%	239
4th Quarte	r 2,66	6 20,291	2/14/2002	2/15/2002	57 57 200%			

RCN Telecom Services of New York City, Inc. Schedulc of Late Fees Due on Unreported Advertising Revenue January 1, 1999, through December 31, 2005_____

		Janus	ry 1, 1999, th	rough Decem	ber 31, 2005			(c) at
					and the second secon	Nämper		Later.
	and the second second	Accountated					Jiterest	Interest
		Banoce Lin	na spinau ag Dinatkina (Overdoe		с вытее
	DISALISA	20,530		and the second		(19) Ya Let Well hadd in dines (neddolor)		
2002	2 733	20,330	5/15/2002	5/16/2002	8/14/2002	91	4.75%	289
1st Quarter	3,732	24,252	57,572002					
2-4 Ouerter	. 2,124	26,675	8/14/2002	8/15/2002	11/6/2002	84	4.75%	293
2nd Quarter	2,124	26,968		11/7/2002	11/14/2002	8	4.25%	25
		26,993	- *					
3rd Quarter	1,888	28,881	11/14/2002	11/15/2002	2/14/2003	92	4.25%	311
Jie Quinte	ŕ	29,192						0.57
4th Quarter	4,662	33,854	2/14/2003	2/15/2003	5/15/2003	90	4.25%	357
2003		34,211				10	4.25%	188
1st Quarter	4,194	38,405	5/15/2003	5/16/2003	6/26/2003	42 49	4.23%	208
		38,593		6/27/2003	<u>8/1</u> 4/2003	49	4.0070	200
1		38,801	A/1 4/2002	8/15/2003	11/14/2003	92	4.00%	408
2nd Quarter	1,496	40,297	8/14/2003	8/13/2003	11/14/2003			
	a 000	40,705 43,033	11/14/2003	11/15/2003	2/14/2004	92	4.00%	436
3rd Quarter	2,328	43,469	11/14/2005	11/15/2005	1.1.1.1000			
Ath Ownerson	1,502	44,971	2/14/2004	2/15/2004	5/15/2004	91	4.00%	45 <u>1</u>
4th Quarter	1,002	45,422						
1st Quarter	4,328	49,750	5/15/2004	5/16/2004	6/29/2004	45	4.00%	246
Lac Quanter		49,996		6/30/2004	8/9/2004	41	4.25%	239
		50,235		8/10/2004	8/14/2004	5	4.50%	31
		50,266	· · · ·					1
2nd Quarter	3,400	53,666	8/14/2004	8/15/2004	9/20/2004	37	4.50%	245 352
		53,911		9/21/2004	11/9/2004	50	4.75%	37
		54,263		11/10/2004	11/14/2004	5	5.00%	
		54,300	11/14/0004	11/15/0004	12/13/2004	29	5.00%	229
3rd Quarter	3,197	57,497	11/14/2004	11/15/2004	2/1/2005	50	5.25%	417
		57,726	· · ·	2/2/2005	2/1/2005	13	5.50%	114
		58,143 58,257		21212000				
4th Owenter		58,257	2/14/2005	2/15/2005	3/21/2005	35	5.50%	308.
4th Quarter	_	58,565		3/22/2005	5/2/2005	42	5.75%	389
		58,953		5/3/2005	5/15/2005	13	6.00%	126
1		59,080						
Ist Quarter	2,895	-	5/15/2005	5/16/2005	6/29/2005	45	6.00%	460
		62,435		6/30/2005	8/8/2005	40	6.25%	429
		62,864		8/9/2005	8/14/2005	6	6.50%	67
1		62,931			A 11 A 10 A 6 7	26	6 5004	426
2nd Quarter	3,364			8/15/2005	9/19/2005	36 42	6.50% 6.75%	520
		66,721		9/20/2005	10/31/2005		7.00%	181
1		67,241		11/1/2005	11/14/2003	1.7		
- t		67,422						

		Janus	arv 1, 1999, t	hrough Decen	nber 31, 2005			
							Constant (Constant)	
					34 8 S Y D S Z	S Number V		+ AL ates
		Balmiterri		a this docen	Period	OL DAYS	at the rest of	Buterest
			Sone Date	de Fromese	Sector Income	A CAXARDIA	Give Bin C ellin	Contractory of the second
	2,254	69,676	11/14/2005	11/15/2005	12/12/2005	28	7.00%	375
3rd Quarter	4,204	70,051		12/13/2005	1/30/2006	49	7.25%	685
		70,736		1/31/2006	2/14/2006	15	7.50%	218
		70,955						1
Ath Owenton	20,543	91,498	2/14/2006	2/15/2006	3/27/2006	41	7.50%	774
4th Quarter	20,545	92,272		3/28/2006	5/9/2006	43	7.75%	846
		93,118		5/10/2006	6/28/2006	50	8.00%	1,026
		94,144		6/29/2006	3/31/2007	276	8.25%	6,059
Tetal	\$ 80,585							\$ 19,618
Total	<u> 00,000</u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>					

RCN Telecom Services of New York City, Inc. Schedule of Late Fees Due on Unreported Advertising Revenue January 1, 1999, through December 31, 2005

(a) The "number of days overdue" fluctuates depending on the interest rate that should be applied to the specific day, to calculate the late interest charge.

(b) Section 9.4 of the OVS agreement requires that "in the event that any payment required by this agreement is not actually received by the City on or before the applicable dated fixed in this agreement, interest thereon shall accrue from such date at a rate equal to the then prevailing prime rate of interest charged by Chase Manhattan Bank (or any other national bank selected by the Comptroller) for commercial loans, compounded daily).

(c) Late interest charges were calculated through March 31, 2007.

RCN Telecom Services of New York City, Inc. Schedule of Late Fees Due on Unreported Resale Revenue January 1, 2001, through December 31, 2005

Additional (Main Sector) Accounting (Main Sector) Proment (Main Sector) Proment (Main Sector) Proment (Main Sector) Number (Main Sector) Number (Main Sector) <t< th=""><th>Late Interest3 Charge 1,679 3,473 5,332 7,115 8,630 10,246</th></t<>	Late Interest3 Charge 1,679 3,473 5,332 7,115 8,630 10,246
DateDueDueDueDueDueDueTo x DverdueRate20011st Quarter1st Quarter36,58436,58436,58436,58474,8478/14/20018/15/200111/14/20029218.00%36,58474,8478/14/20018/15/200111/14/20029218.00%36,58478,3203rd Quarter36,58411/14/200111/15/20012/14/20029018.00%4th Quarter36,584156,8202/14/20022/15/20025/15/20029018.00%1st Quarter24,125188,0605/15/20028/15/200211/14/20029118.00%2nd Quarter24,12518,0605/15/200211/14/200311/14/20039218,00%11/14/200411/14/20039218,00%18,00%18,00%18,00%18,00%18,00%11/14/200211/14/200311/14/200311/14/200311/14/200311/14/200311/14/200311/14/200311/14/200311/14/200311/14/200311/14/2003	Lharge 1,679 3,473 5,332 7,115 8,630
Jack SpectJoin and StrutJoin and	1,679 3,473 5,332 7,115 8,630
1 st Quarter\$ $36,584$ \$ $36,584$ \$ $36,584$ \$ $5/15/2001$ $5/16/2001$ $8/14/2001$ 91 18.00% 38.263 2nd Quarter $36,584$ $74,847$ $8/14/2001$ $8/15/2001$ $11/14/2001$ 92 18.00% 3rd Quarter $36,584$ $114,904$ $11/14/2001$ $11/15/2001$ $2/14/2002$ 92 18.00% 3rd Quarter $36,584$ $114,904$ $11/14/2001$ $11/15/2001$ $2/14/2002$ 92 18.00% 4th Quarter $36,584$ $156,820$ $2/14/2002$ $2/15/2002$ $5/15/2002$ 90 18.00% 4th Quarter $36,584$ $156,820$ $2/14/2002$ $2/15/2002$ $5/15/2002$ 90 18.00% 3t Quarter $24,125$ $188,060$ $5/15/2002$ $5/16/2002$ $8/14/2002$ 91 18.00% 2nd Quarter $24,125$ $220,815$ $8/14/2002$ $8/15/2002$ $11/14/2002$ 92 18.00% 2nd Quarter $24,125$ $220,815$ $8/14/2002$ $8/15/2002$ $11/14/2002$ 92 18.00%	3,473 5,332 7,115 8,630
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	5,332 7,115 8,630
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	5,332 7,115 8,630
78,320 $78,320$ $11/14/2001$ $11/15/2001$ $2/14/2002$ 92 $18.00%$ $3rd Quarter$ $36,584$ $114,904$ $11/14/2001$ $11/15/2001$ $2/14/2002$ 92 $18.00%$ $4th Quarter$ $36,584$ $156,820$ $2/14/2002$ $2/15/2002$ $5/15/2002$ 90 $18.00%$ $4th Quarter$ $36,584$ $156,820$ $2/14/2002$ $2/15/2002$ $5/15/2002$ 90 $18.00%$ 2002 $163,935$ $15/2002$ $5/15/2002$ $8/14/2002$ 91 $18.00%$ $1st Quarter$ $24,125$ $138,060$ $5/15/2002$ $8/14/2002$ $8/14/2002$ 92 $18.00%$ $2nd Quarter$ $24,125$ $220,815$ $8/14/2002$ $8/15/2002$ $11/14/2002$ 92 $18.00%$ $2nd Quarter$ $24,125$ $220,815$ $8/14/2002$ $8/15/2002$ $11/14/2003$ 92 $18.00%$	7,115 8,630
3rd Quarter $36,584$ $114,904$ $11/14/2001$ $10/10/2001$ $21/10/2001$ $21/10/2001$ 4th Quarter $36,584$ $156,820$ $2/14/2002$ $2/15/2002$ $5/15/2002$ 90 18.00% 4th Quarter $36,584$ $163,935$ $163,935$ $163,935$ $188,060$ $5/15/2002$ $8/14/2002$ 91 18.00% 1st Quarter $24,125$ $188,060$ $5/15/2002$ $5/16/2002$ $8/14/2002$ 92 18.00% 2nd Quarter $24,125$ $220,815$ $8/14/2002$ $8/15/2002$ $11/14/2002$ 92 18.00% 2nd Quarter $24,125$ $220,815$ $8/14/2002$ $8/15/2002$ $11/14/2002$ 92 18.00%	7,115 8,630
4th Quarter 36,584 120,236 156,820 2/14/2002 2/15/2002 5/15/2002 90 18.00% 4th Quarter 36,584 156,820 2/14/2002 2/15/2002 5/15/2002 90 18.00% 163,935 163,935 188,060 5/15/2002 5/16/2002 8/14/2002 91 18.00% 1st Quarter 24,125 188,060 5/15/2002 5/16/2002 8/14/2002 91 18.00% 2nd Quarter 24,125 220,815 8/14/2002 8/15/2002 11/14/2002 92 18.00% 231,061 11/14/2002 2/14/2003 92 18.00%	8,630
4th Quarter 36,384 130,820 2/14/2002 2/16/2002 2/16/2002 2/16/2002 91 18.00% 2002 163,935 163,935 188,060 5/15/2002 5/16/2002 8/14/2002 91 18.00% 1st Quarter 24,125 188,060 5/15/2002 5/16/2002 8/14/2002 91 18.00% 2nd Quarter 24,125 220,815 8/14/2002 8/15/2002 11/14/2002 92 18.00% 231,061 231,061 11/14/2002 2/14/2003 92 18.00%	8,630
1st Quarter 24,125 188,060 5/15/2002 5/16/2002 8/14/2002 91 18.00% 2nd Quarter 24,125 220,815 8/14/2002 8/15/2002 11/14/2002 92 18.00% 2nd Quarter 24,125 220,815 8/14/2002 8/15/2002 11/14/2002 92 18.00%	
1st Quarter 24,125 186,000 5113/2002 0/11/2002 92 18.00% 2nd Quarter 24,125 220,815 8/14/2002 8/15/2002 11/14/2002 92 18.00% 2nd Quarter 24,125 220,815 8/14/2002 8/15/2002 11/14/2002 92 18.00%	
2nd Quarter 24,125 220,815 8/14/2002 8/15/2002 11/14/2002 92 18.00% 231,061 231,061 11/14/2002 2/14/2003 92 18.00%	10,246
2nd Quarter 24,125 220,813 8/14/2002 0/15/2002 11/14/2003 92 18,00%	
3rd Quarter 24,125 255,100 x77 2002 1 4 4 4 4	11,841
267,027	
4th Quarter 24,126 291,153 2/14/2003 2/15/2003 5/15/2003 90 18.00%	13,210
304,364	
1st Quarter - 304,364 5/15/2003 5/16/2003 8/14/2003 91 18.00%	13,966
318,330	14 773
2nd Quarter - 318,330 8/14/2003 8/15/2003 11/14/2003 92 18.00%	14,772
333,102	15,457
3rd Quarter - 555,102 (1)14/2005 11/16/2005 2/10/2005	
348,558 348,558 2/14/2004 5/15/2004 91 18.00%	15,994
4th Quarter - 346,338 2/14/2004 2/14/2004	
5/16/2004 = 8/14/2004 = 91 = 18.00%	16,907
1st Quarter 3,885 368,438 571572004 571672004 671472004	
2nd Quarter 3,885 389,230 8/14/2004 8/15/2004 11/14/2004 92 18.00%	18,061
407,291	10.000
3rd Quarter 3,885 411,176 11/14/2004 11/15/2004 2/14/2005 92 18.00%	19,080
430,256	19,698
4th Quarter 3,886 434,142 2/14/2005 2/19/2005	19,098
453,840	20,946
1st Quarter 2,627 456,467 571572005 571572005 6716,2000	20,0 00
2 nd Quarter 2 627 480,040 8/14/2005 8/15/2005 11/14/2005 92 18.00%	22,275
2nd Quarter 2,627 480,040 8/14/2005 8/15/2005 11/14/2005 92 18.00%	
	23,431
3rd Quarter 2,027 504,342 517 00400 528.373	
531.001 2/14/2006 2/15/2006 3/31/2007 410 18.00%	118,956
4th Quarter 2,628 531,001 2/14/2006 2/15/2006 5/1/2007 14 Total \$ 268,887 \$	\$ 381,070

(a) Section 7.4 of the Franchise agreement requires that "in the event that any payment required by this Agreement is not actually received by the City on or before the applicable dated fixed in this Agreement, interest thereon shall accrue from such date until received at a rate equal to rate of interest then in effect charged by the City for late payments of real estate taxes.

(b) Late interest charges were calculated through March 31, 2007.

RCN Telecom Services of New York City, Inc. Schedule of Late Fees Due on Unreported "Other" Revenue - OVS Agreement January 1, 2001, through December 31, 2005

		Janua	ry 1, 2001, thr	ough Decemb	er 31, 2005		in the (b) where the	(C) (C)
	Andhioma	arcomilanet.				Number -		Late
	a 27/6 Fersier	a sissiance	Payment -	Coverest		of Duys.	Anterest	Charge
· · · · · · · · ·	Due Cuv	Die	Dore Date					
		÷ 10.073	5/15/2001	5/16/2001	6/27/2001	43	7.50%	\$ 91
st Quarter	\$ 10,272	\$ 10,272	5/15/2001	6/28/2001	8/14/2001	48	7.00%	96
		10,363 10,459		0,20,2001				
	12 786	24,245	8/14/2001	8/15/2001	8/21/2001	7	6.75%	31
2nd Quarter	13,786	24,245	0,14,200.	8/22/2001	9/17/2001	27	6.50%	117
		24,393		9/18/2001	10/2/2001	15	6.00%	60
		24,454		10/3/2001	11/6/2001	35	5.50%	129
		24,583		11/7/2001	11/14/2001	8	5.00%	27
		24,610						
3rd Quarter	6,727	31,337	11/14/2001	11/15/2001	12/11/2001	27	5.00%	116
Jiu Quarter		31,453		12/12/2001	2/14/2002	65	4.75%	267
		31,720						
4th Quarter	16,999	48,719	2/14/2002	2/15/2002	5/15/2002	90	4.75%	574
2002 H		49,293						796
1st Quarter	16,572	65,865	5/15/2002	5/16/2002	8/14/2002	91	4.75%	785
	· ·	66,650					4.750/	902
2nd Quarter	15,379	82,029	8/14/2002	8/15/2002	11/6/2002	84	4.75%	77
•		82,930	ļ l	11/7/2002	11/14/2002	8	4.25%	
		83,007					4.0596	1,090
3rd Quarter	18,182	101,189	11/14/2002	11/15/2002	2/14/2003	92	4.25%	1,0,50
		102,279	1 1		F.1 /2002	00	4.25%	1,235
4th Quarter	14,936	117,215	2/14/2003	2/15/2003	5/15/2003	90	4.2370	1,400
	8 1	118,450		- 4 4 9 9 9 9 9	c/0.c/2002	42	4.25%	667
1st Quarter	17,571	136,021	5/15/2003	5/16/2003	6/26/2003	42	4,00%	736
		136,688		6/27/2003	8/14/2003	***7	1,0070	
		137,424	FUL 4/0000	8/16/2003	11/14/2003	92	4,00%	1,678
2nd Quarter	28,159		8/14/2003	8/15/2003	11/14/2005	52	1	
		167,260	11/14/2002	11/15/2003	2/14/2004	92	4.00%	1,896
3rd Quarter	19,864		11/14/2003	11/13/2003	2/14/2004			
		189,021	2/14/2004	2/15/2004	5/15/2004	91	4.00%	2,032
4th Quarter		202,779 204,811		2/10/2007				
- 2004 at				5/16/2004	6/29/2004	45	4,00%	1,135
1st Quarter	24,864	230,810		6/30/2004	8/9/2004	41	4.25%	1,104
		231,915		8/10/2004	8/14/2004	5	4.50%	143
	1	232,058						
a l Overte	r 8,252			8/15/2004	9/20/2004	37	4.50%	
2nd Quarte	(o,252	241,408	1	9/21/2004	11/9/2004	50	4.75%	1,576
Į		242,984		11/10/2004	11/14/2004	· 5	5.00%	166
		243,151					1	
3rd Quarte	r (53)	1		11/15/2004			5.00%	
5rd Quarte	· (33.	243,583		12/14/2004	2/1/2005	50	5.25%	
ł		245,34		2/2/2005	2/14/2005	13	5.50%	481
I.		245,822						

		Janua	i y 1, 2001, in	rough Decem				(c) ≁.
	the weather way in the second state of the second state	Summard.	Payment:	Sector Manyor		Number nof Dayster	Unterest	jilate Interest a
	Son Ferrar	Die Banoce	Dare Dare	a Barbail	LET MARKENMENT CONTRACTORY INTO A CONTRACT OF THE	#Øverdue#	DEVELOPMENT OF A REPORT OF A	A CED DE SE SE
Dinte		244,327	2/14/2005	2/15/2005	3/21/2005	35	5.50%	1,292
4th Quarter	(1,495)	244,527	271472000	3/22/2005	5/2/2005	42	5.75%	1,630
Ì		245,019		5/3/2005	5/15/2005	13	6.00%	529
2005		247,778						
1st Quarter	_	247,778	5/15/2005	5/16/2005	6/29/2005	45	6.00%	1,840
ISI Quarter		249,618		6/30/2005	8/8/2005	40	6.25%	1,715
		251,333		8/9/2005	8/14/2005	6	6.50%	269
		251,602					< 500/	1,619
2nd Quarter	103	251,705	8/14/2005	8/15/2005	9/19/2005	36	6.50%	1,975
		253,324		9/20/2005	10/31/2005	42	6.75%	
		255,299		11/1/2005	11/14/2005	14	7.00%	686
		255,985		11/15/2005	12/12/2005	28	7.00%	1,378
3rd Quarter	-	255,985	11/14/2005	12/13/2005	1/30/2006	49	7.25%	2,517
		257,363 259,880		1/31/2006	2/14/2006	15	7.50%	803
		260,682			ļ			
		260,682	2/14/2006	2/15/2006	3/27/2006	41	7.50%	2,20
4th Quarter		262,888	2, ,	3/28/2006	5/9/2006	43	7.75%	2,41
		265,298	Į	5/10/2006	6/28/2006	50	8.00%	2,92
		268,221		6/29/2006	3/31/2007	276	8,25%	17,26
Sub-Total	5 223,396	200,221						\$ 62,08

RCN Telecom Services of New York City, Inc. Schedule of Late Fees Due on Unreported "Other" Revenue - OVS Agreement January 1, 2001, through December 31, 2005

(a) The "number of days overdue" fluctuates depending on the interest rate that should be applied to the specific day, to calculate the late interest charge.

- (b) Section 9.4 of the OVS agreement requires that "in the event that any payment required by this agreement is not actually received by the City on or before the applicable dated fixed in this agreement, interest thereon shall accrue from such date at a rate equal to the then prevailing prime rate of interest charged by Chase Manhattan Bank (or any other national bank selected by the Comptroller) for commercial loans, compounded daily).
- (c) Late interest charges were calculated through March 31, 2007.

RCN Telecom Services of New York City, Inc. Schedule of Late Fees Due on Unreported "Other" Revenue - Franchise Agreement January 1, 2001, through December 31, 2005

		JAHUA	ry 1, 2001, thr	·		ar francarda	(0)	(B)
		Accomunited.	Payment	Cover	Period #	Number of Days		Late Linterest
Date	5% Fees	Due	DueDate	Econt	TO STATE	Overdue	ze Rate	Charge
2001))()(A) (A) (A) (A) (A) (A) (A) (A) (A)					ļ	
st Quarter \$	(618)							
		\$ (618)	B/1 4/2001	8/15/2001	11/14/2001	92	18.00%	\$ 13
nd Quarter	3,484	2,866 2,999	8/14/2001	8/19/2001		. –		
		2,999	11/14/2001	11/15/2001	2/14/2002	92	18.00%	13
ord Quarter	-	3,138	,					
4th Quarter	(153)	2,985	2/14/2002	2/15/2002	5/15/2002	90	18.00%	1
2002		3,121				01	18.00%	
1st Quarter	(1,293)		5/15/2002	5/16/2002	8/14/2002	91	18.00%	
		1,911	0/14/2002	8/15/2002	11/14/2002	92	18.00%	
2nd Quarter	-	1,911	8/14/2002	8/13/2004	11/14/2002			
	207	2,000 2,307	11/14/2002	11/15/2002	2/14/2003	92	18.00%	1
3rd Quarter	307	2,414						_
4th Quarter	66	2,480	2/14/2003	2/15/2003	<u>5/15/2003</u>	90	18.00%	1
2003		2,593					18.0004	3
1st Quarter	5,389	7,982	5/15/2003	5/16/2003	8/14/2003	91	18.00%	
		8,348	a.H.4/2002	9/15/2002	11/14/2003	92	18.00%	4
2nd Quarter	1,113		8/14/2003	8/15/2003	11/14/2005			
	0.617	9,900 18,517	11/14/2003	11/15/2003	2/14/2004	92	18.00%	8
3rd Quarter	8,617	19,376						
4th Quarter	11,129		2/14/2004	2/15/2004	5/15/2004	91	18.00%	1,4
2004 x		31,905				0.1	18 008/	1,0
1st Quarter	2,977		5/15/2004	5/16/2004	8/14/2004	. 91	18.00%	1,,
		36,483	0/14/2204	R/16/2004	11/14/2004	92	18.00%	1,4
2nd Quarter	(773			8/15/2004	11/14/2004			
	(25)	37,367 37,108		11/15/2004	2/14/2005	92	18.00%	1.
3rd Quarter	(259	38,830	1					
4th Quarter	30			2/15/2005	5/15/2005	90	18.00%	<u> </u>
2005		40.912	,			0.1	18.00%	1,
ist Quarter	31			5/16/2005	8/14/2005	91	10,0070	· · ·
-		43,123		8/15/2005	11/14/2005	92	18.00%	2,
2nd Quarter	9			0/15/2005	11,14,2000			
		45,228 5 45,703		11/15/2003	5 2/14/2006	92	18.00%	2.
3rd Quarter	47	43,703						
4th Quarter	1,85	1 10 10		2/15/2006	3/31/2007	410	18.00%	<u>11</u> \$ 27
Sub-Total	\$ 33,04	5						\$ 62
A dd OV/C	\$ 223,39	6				en l'Alabaharawan arangen kilatar	AND THE ADDRESS OF THE POST OF	89

(a) Section 7.4 of the Franchise agreement requires that "in the event that any payment required by this Agreement is not actually received by the City on or before the applicable dated fixed in this Agreement, interest thereon shall accrue from such date until received at a rate equal to rate of interest then in effect charged by the City for late payments of real estate taxes.

(b) Late interest charges were calculated through March 31, 2007.

RCN Telecom Services of New York City, Inc. Schedule of Late Fees Due From Revenue Omissions - OVS Agreement January 1, 2001, through December 31, 2005

		Janus	iry 1, 2001, th	оц <u>Б</u>		(n) - (n)	1997 (b) (1997)	(t) –
Date	Addisional 5% Recept Dire Chy	Accomplated Balance For	Payments Due Dates	<u>cove</u> nd A Com	eriad. #	Number of Days Overdue	Interest Rate	Late Interesta Charge
2003	(CONSIGNATION CONTRACTOR OF A C					42	4,25%	\$ 25
	\$ 5,316	\$ 5,116	5/15/2003	5/16/2003	6/26/2003	42	4.00%	28
		5,141		6/27/2003	8/14/2003	. 47	4,0070	~
		5,169		a 11 a 19 a a a	11/14/2003	92	4.00%	52
nd Quarter	I	5,169	8/14/2003	8/15/2003	[1/14/2003	92	4.007#	
		5,221		11/15/0002	2/14/2004	92	4.00%	5
rd Quarter		5,221	11/14/2003	11/15/2003	2/14/2004	74		
		5,274	2/74/2004	2/15/2004	5/15/2004	91	4.00%	5
th Quarter		5,274	2/14/2004	2/15/2004	571572004			
2004		5,327	5/15/2004	5/16/2004	6/29/2004	45	4.00%	2
st Quarter		5,327 5,353	5/15/2004	6/30/2004	8/9/2004	41	4.25%	2
		5,379		8/10/2004	8/14/2004	5	4.50%	
		5,382		0,				
			8/14/2004	8/15/2004	9/20/2004	37	4.50%	6
nd Quarter	7,835	13,278	0, 1, 200 .	9/21/2004	11/9/2004	50	4.75%	1
		13,364		11/10/2004	11/14/2004	5	5.00%	
		13,373						
-4 Overter		13,373	11/14/2004	11/15/2004	12/13/2004	29	5,00%	
rd Quarter		13,427		12/14/2004	2/1/2005	50	5.25%	
		13,524		2/2/2005	2/14/2005	13	5.50%	
		13,550						i .
4th Quarter		13,550	2/14/2005	2/15/2005	3/21/2005	35	5,50%	
an Gamer		13,622		3/22/2005	5/2/2005	42	5.75%	
		13,712		5/3/2005	5/15/2005	13	6.00%	·
2005	8	13,741					6.000/	1
lst Quarter		13,741	5/15/2005	5/16/2005	6/29/2005	45	6.00%	
		13,843		6/30/2005	8/8/2005	40	6.25%	
		13,939		8/9/2005	8/14/2005	6	6.50%	
		13,954				1 24	6 6004	
2nd Quarter	т	13,954	8/14/2005	8/15/2005	9/19/2005	36	6.50% 6.75%	1 1
		14,043		9/20/2005	10/31/2005		7.00%	
		14,153		11/1/2005	11/14/2005	14	7.0070	
		14,191		11/16/0000	10/10/2006	28	7.00%	1
3rd Quarter	т	14,191	1			49	7.25%	1
		14.267		12/13/2005	2/14/2006	1	7.50%	
		14,407	1	1/31/2006	2/14/2000			
		14,45		2/15/2006	3/27/2006	41	7.50%	
4th Quarte	r	14,45		3/28/2006	5/9/2006	43	7.75%	
		14,57		5/10/2006			8.00%	
		14,70		6/29/2006	3/31/2007		8.25%	9
Sub-Total	\$ 12,9	14,86	×	0/29/2000	5.5172007			\$ 2,

(a) The "number of days overdue" fluctuates depending on the interest rate that should be applied to the specific day, to calculate the late interest charge.

- (b) Section 9.4 of the OVS agreement requires that "in the event that any payment required by this agreement is not actually received by the City on or before the applicable dated fixed in this agreement, interest thereon shall accrue from such date at a rate equal to the then prevailing prime rate of interest charged by Chase Manhattan Bank (or any other national bank selected by the Comptroller) for commercial loans, compounded daily).
- (c) Late interest charges were calculated through March 31, 2007.

RCN Telecom Services of New York City, Inc. Schedule of Late Fees Due From Revenue Omissions - Franchise Agreement January 1, 2001, through December 31, 2005

			V N N J	1, 2001, thro			ting in second de		
	Additional:			Pavmedia Due Date	Cuver. From	errod w.	Number af Davs Overdue	Interesta	Lan: Interest- Charge
Deate (<u>n n de l'en an anna a</u> n an		<u>an an</u> an		i		01	18.00%	_
st Quarter	-			5/15/2001	5/16/2001	8/14/2001	91	16,0070	
nd Quarter	-		-	8/14/2001	8/15/2001	11/14/2001	92	18.00%	-
	\$ 383	\$	- 383	11/14/2001	11/15/2001	2/14/2002	92	18.00%	\$ 18
			401 401	2/14/2002	2/15/2002	5/15/2002	90	18.00%	18
th Quarter	-		419	Li X IIIII					
st Quarter			419	5/15/2002	5/16/2002	8/14/2002	91	18.00%	19
nd Quarter			438 438	8/14/2002	8/15/2002	11/14/2002	92	18.00%	20
rd Quarter	(517)	459 -	11/14/2002	11/15/2002	2/14/2003	92	18.00%	-
-			(58) 10,552	2/14/2003	2/15/2003	5/15/2003	90	<u>18.00%</u>	479
4th Quarter	10,610	+	11,030 11,030	5/15/2003	5/16/2003	8/14/2003	91	18.00%	50
1st Quarter 2nd Quarter			11,536 11,536	8/14/2003	8/15/2003	11/14/2003	92	18.00%	53:
3rd Quarter			12,072 12,072	11/14/2003	11/15/2003	2/14/2004	92	18.00%	56
			12,632 12,632	2/14/2004	2/15/2004	5/15/2004	91	18.00%	58
4th Quarter	n	+	13,212	2/14/2004					
1st Quarter	9		13,212	5/15/2004	5/16/2004	8/14/2004	91	18.00%	60
2nd Quarter	8,44	4	13,818 22,262	8/14/2004	8/15/2004	11/14/2004	92	18.00%	1,03
3rd Quarter			23,295 23,295	11/14/2004	11/15/2004	2/14/2005	92	18.00%	1,08
			24,376 24, <u>3</u> 76		2/15/2005	5/15/2005	90	18.00%	1,10
4th Quarter 2005 1st Quarter	6		25,482		5/16/2005	8/14/2005	91	18.00%	1,10
2nd Quarter			26,651 26,651		8/15/2005	11/14/200	5 92	18.00%	1,2
3rd Quarter			27,888 27,888	3	5 11/15/200	5 2/14/2006	92	18.00%	6 1,2
-	l l		29,182 29,182	2	2/15/2000	5 3 <u>/31/2007</u>	7 410	18.00%	6 6, <u>5</u> \$ 16,7
4th Quarter 29,182 2/14/2000 2/15/2000 9/15/2000 Sub-Total \$ 18,920									
Index-initial				<u>inana a</u> nana					\$ 2,8

(a) Section 7.4 of the Franchisc agreement requires that "in the event that any payment required by this Agreement is not actually received by the City on or before the applicable dated fixed in this Agreement, interest thereon shall accure from such date until received at a rate equal to rate of interest then in effect charged by the City for late payments of real estate taxes.

(b) Late interest charges were calculated through March 31, 2007.

RCN Telecom Services of New York City, Inc. Schedule of Late Fees Due on Unreported Free Service Revenue January 1, 1999, through December 31, 2005

		January 1, 1999, through December 31, 2005							
	And a second					NUMBER		AND A CATEGORY S	
		As consultation.			Permit P	Diana and Diana and Angle	abitonieste	Auteren	
Date	Due Cirv	Duelles		il and more	MARTS	e Overdue e	Ante	r Charge d	
		ana ana amin'ny solatana dia kaominina dia kaominina dia kaominina dia kaominina dia kaominina dia kaominina di	in a second the second seco						
1st Quarter	s 924	\$ 924	5/15/1999	5/16/1999	6/30/1999	46	7.75%	\$9	
	-	933		7/1/1999	8/14/1999	45	8.00%	9	
		942							
2nd Quarter	924	1,866	8/14/1999	8/15/1999	8/24/1999	10	8.00%	4	
		1,870		8/25/1999	11/14/1999	82	8.25%	35	
		1,905							
3rd Quarter	. 924	2,829	11/14/1999	11/15/1999	11/16/1999	2	8.25%	1	
~		2,831		11/17/1999	2/2/2000	78	8.50%	52	
		2,883		2/3/2000	2/13/2000	11	8.75%	8	
		2,890							
4th Quarter	924	3,814	2/14/2000	2/15/2000	3/21/2000	36	8.75%	33	
		3,847		3/22/2000	5/15/2000	55	9.00%	53	
2000		3,900						•	
1st Quarter	1,649	5,549	5/15/2000	5/16/2000	5/16/2000	1	9.00%	1 I	
-		5,550		5/17/2000	8/14/2000	90	9.50%	132	
		5,682							
2nd Quarter	1,649	7,331	8/14/2000	8/15/2000	11/14/2000	92	9.50%	178	
		7,508							
3rd Quarter	1,649	9,157	11/14/2000	11/15/2000	1/3/2001	50	9.50%	120	
		9,277		1/4/2001	1/31/2001	28	9.00%	64	
	1	9,341		2/1/2001	2/14/2001	14	8,50%	31	
		9,372							
4th Quarter	1,649		2/14/2001	2/15/2001	3/20/2001	34	8.50%	88	
		11,109		2/1/2001	3/20/2001	48	8.00%	117	
	1	11,226		3/21/2001	4/18/2001	29	8,00%	72	
		11,298		4/19/2001	5/15/2001	27	7.50%	63	
//==2001%	¥.	11,360							
1st Quarter	3,266	14,626	5/15/2001	5/16/2001	6/27/2001	43	7.50%	130	
		14,756	1	6/28/2001	8/14/2001	48	7.00%	136	
		14,893							
2nd Quarter	3,266	18,159	8/14/2001	8/15/2001	8/21/2001	7	6.75%	· 24	
		18,182	· ·	8/22/2001	9/17/2001	27	6.50%	88	
	1	18,270		9/18/2001	10/2/2001	15	6.00%	45	
		18,315		10/3/2001	11/6/2001	35	5.50%	97	
		18,412		11/7/2001	11/14/2001	8	5.00%	20	
		18,432							
3rd Quarter	3,266	21,698	11/14/2001	11/15/2001	12/11/2001	27	5.00%	80	
		21,778		12/12/2001	2/14/2002	65	4.75%	185	
		21,963							
4th Quarter	3,266	25,229	2/14/2002	2/15/2002	5/15/2002	90	4.75%	297	
20024		25,527							
1st Quarter		30,278	5/15/2002	5/16/2002	8/14/2002	91	4.75%	361	
		30,638							
2nd Quarter	4,751	35,389	8/14/2002	8/15/2002	11/6/2002	. 84	4.75%	389	
		35,778		11/7/2002	11/14/2002	8	4.25%	33	
		35,812							
3rd Quarter	4,751	40,563	11/14/2002	11/15/2002	2/14/2003	92	4.25%	437	
	· ·	40,999			1				
4th Quarter	4,751	45,750	2/14/2003	2/15/2003	5/15/2003	90	4.25%	482	

RCN Telecom Services of New York City, Inc.	
Schedule of Late Fees Due on Unreported Free Service F	ζcvenue
January 1, 1999, through December 31, 2005 _	

		./21103	u y x, x, x,	irougn Decem			CDN -	
	WANRA DINIGRATIAN	Second de la company				NUMBER		Lane
	A CATINES A		2 avneute	Cirvers		THE PAPER PRODUCTION OF THE PROPERTY OF	humust	Interest
dis donne com	<u>. Dore Cheves</u>		Sentence:	From set	a an	State of the second second		
2003		46,232	- 11 - 10 - 0.00	c/1 / 2000	6/06/0003	42	4.25%	255
1st Quarter	5,694	51,926	5/15/2003	5/16/2003	6/26/2003 8/14/2003	42 49	4.23%	281
		52,181 52,462		6/27/2003	۵/14/2003		4.0070	201
2.10	5 6DA	52,462	8/14/2003	8/15/2003	11/14/2003	92	4.00%	589
2nd Quarter	5,694	58,745	0/14/2005	0,15,2005	1 1/ 1 1/ 2002			
3rd Quarter	5,694	64,439	11/14/2003	11/15/2003	2/14/2004	92	4,00%	653
JIL QUALLEL	0,004	65,092						
4th Quarter	5,695	70,787	2/14/2004	2/15/2004	5/15/2004	91	4.00%	709
2004		71,496						
1st Quarter	6,445	77,941	5/15/2004	5/16/2004	6/29/2004	45	4.00%	385
_		78,327		6/30/2004	8/9/2004	41	4,25%	375
		78,702		8/10/2004	8/14/2004	5	4.50%	49
		78,750		04.5/0004	0.000/0004		4.50%	389
2nd Quarter	6,445	85,195	8/14/2004	8/15/2004	9/20/2004	37 50	4.30%	559
		85,585	Ì	9/21/2004 11/10/2004	11/9/2004	5	5.00%	59
		86,143 86,202		11/10/2004	11/14/2004		5.0070	
3rd Quarter	6,445	92,647	11/14/2004	11/15/2004	12/13/2004	29	5.00%	369
Siti Quarter	0,44,0	93,016	1,1,1,1,2001	12/14/2004	2/1/2005	50	5.25%	671
		93,687		2/2/2005	2/14/2005	13	5.50%	184
		93,871						
4th Quarter	6,445	100,316	2/14/2005	2/15/2005	3/21/2005	35	5.50%	530
		100,846		3/22/2005	5/2/2005	42	5.75%	669
		101,516		5/3/2005	5/15/2005	13	6.00%	217
2005		101,733		1				
1st Quarter	6,996	108,729	5/15/2005	5/16/2005	6/29/2005	45	6.00%	807
		109,536		6/30/2005	8/8/2005	40	6.25%	753
		110,289		8/9/2005	8/14/2005	6	6.50%	118
		110,407	0/14/0005	P/16/2006	0/10/2005	36	6.50%	755
2nd Quarter	6,996	117,403	8/14/2005	8/15/2005	9/19/2005	42	6.75%	921
1		118,158 119,079		9/20/2005	11/14/2005	14	7.00%	320
		119,079		11/1/2005	11/14/2005	14	/,00/0	
3rd Quarter	6,996	119,399	11/14/2005	11/15/2005	12/12/2005	28	7.00%	680
and Quarter	0,550	120,075		12/13/2005	1/30/2006	49	7.25%	1,243
1		128,318		1/31/2006	2/14/2006	15	7.50%	396
		128,714						
4th Quarter	6,996	135,710	2/14/2006	2/15/2006	3/27/2006	41	7.50%	1,148
		136,859		3/28/2006	5/9/2006	43	7.75%	1,255
		138,114		5/10/2006	6/28/2006	50	8.00%	1,522
		139,635		6/29/2006	3/31/2007	276	8.25%	8,987 \$ 29,722
Total	\$ 118,901	İ						\$ 29,722

(a) The "number of days overdue" fluctuates depending on the interest rate that should be applied to the specific day, to calculate the late interest charge.

(b) Section 9.4 of the OVS agreement requires that "in the event that any payment required by this agreement is not actually received by the City on or before the applicable dated fixed in this agreement, interest thereon shall accrue from such date at a rate equal to the then prevailing prime rate of interest charged by Chase Manhattan Bank (or any other national bank selected by the Comptroller) for commercial loans, compounded daily".

⁽c) Late interest charges were calculated through March 31, 2007.

RCN Telecom Services of New York City, Inc. Schedule of Late Fees Adjustment for Bad Debt - OVS Agreement January 1, 2001, through December 31, 2005

.

		•	anuary 1, 200	I, through Dec			server (b)	
	Station and B					Normoká-si a		Unter
	STATES A		Baynen		Geninitary	si alionsia.	Set different	and the restored
e side e	infome outver	Pare as l	- Deter	ensellen mit sie	distant distant	S Overdoles o		and the spectrum
2001					<i>c (37</i> /300)	43	7.50%	\$ (28)
1st Quarter	\$ (3,170)		05/15/01	5/16/2001	6/27/2001	45 48	7.00%	(30)
		(3,198)		6/28/2001	8/14/2001	40	7.0076	(50)
		(3,228)	60/1 //O1	R/1 E /0001	8/21/2001	7	6.75%	(11)
2nd Quarter	(5,528)	(8,756)	08/14/01	8/15/2001 8/22/2001	9/17/2001	27	6,50%	(42)
		(8,767) (8,200)		8/22/2001 9/18/2001	10/2/2001	15	6.00%	(22)
		(8,809) (8,821)		10/3/2001	11/6/2001	35	5.50%	(47)
		(8,831) (8,878)		10/3/2001	11/14/2001	8	5,00%	(10)
		(8,887)		11///2001	11/14/2001	5		(,
2-1 0	(4,149)	(13,036)	11/14/01	11/15/2001	12/11/2001	27	5.00%	(48)
3rd Quarter	(4,149)	(13,085)	11/14/01	12/12/2001	2/14/2002	65	4.75%	(111)
		(13,196)		10.10.0				
4th Quarter	370	(12,826)	02/14/02	2/15/2002	5/15/2002	90	4.75%	(151)
2002		(12,977)						
1st Quarter	(7,163)		05/15/02	5/16/2002	8/14/2002	91	4.75%	(240)
101 2		(20,380)						
2nd Quarter	(7,113)		08/14/02	8/15/2002	11/6/2002	84	4.75%	(302)
		(27,795)		11/7/2002	11/14/2002	8	4.25%	(26)
		(27,821)						
3rd Quarter	(9,839)	(37,660)	11/14/02	11/15/2002	2/14/2003	92	4.25%	(406)
		(38,066)					1	
4th Quarter	(30,887)	(68,953)	02/14/03	2/15/2003	5/15/2003	90	4.25%	(726)
2003		(69,679)						
1st Quarter	(11,539)		05/15/03	5/16/2003	6/26/2003	42	4.25%	(398)
		(81,616)		6/27/2003	8/14/2003	49	4.00%	(439)
		(82,055)					4 0.00	(0.11)
2nd Quarter	(10,853)		08/14/03	8/15/2003	11/14/2003	92	4.00%	(941)
		(93,850)		11/20/0000	0/14/2004	92	4.00%	(983)
3rd Quarter	(3,174)			11/15/2003	2/14/2004	92	4.00%	(303)
	(2.5.5)	(98.007)		7/16/2004	6/16/2004	91	4.00%	(1,058)
4th Quarter	(7,515)		02/14/04	2/15/2004	5/15/2004	71	4.00%	(1,000)
2004		(106,580)	05/15/04	5/16/2004	6/29/2004	45	4.00%	(577)
1st Quarter	(10,165)	(116,745) (117,322)		6/30/2004	8/9/2004	41	4.25%	(561)
		(117,883)		8/10/2004	8/14/2004	5	4.50%	(73)
		(117,885)		011072004	0,14,2004	-		
2nd Quarter	(3,501)			8/15/2004	9/20/2004	37	4,50%	(555)
2nd Quarter	(5,501)	(122,012)		9/21/2004	11/9/2004	50	4.75%	(796)
		(122,808)		11/10/2004	11/14/2004	5	5.00%	(84)
		(122,893)						
3rd Quarter	. (5,371)			11/15/2004	12/13/2004	29	5.00%	(511)
Sid Quarter		(128,774)		12/14/2004	2/1/2005	50	5,25%	(929)
		(129,703)		2/2/2005	2/14/2005	13	5.50%	(254)
1		(129,958)					ł	
4th Quarter	(5,080)			2/15/2005	3/21/2005	35	5.50%	(714)
		(135,752)		3/22/2005	5/2/2005	42	5.75%	(901)
		(136,653)		5/3/2005	5/15/2005	13	6.00%	(292)

		•	anuary 1, 200	, through see		CENTRAL CENTRAL STATE	(b) (b)	A BARA
		Secondines.				. Tainbert f	Literation and D	Late
		Balance	Povment	1997 - 19		CONTROL PROVIDENCES COLORIDADE DE CONTROL DE LA COLORIZACIÓN	55 Interest	Linevests.
e Date i	o dine con c		a Due Dutes	- diam'r	LANG TO MAKE	DAX-DOVE	E HE	Свясрени
2005		(136,945)						
1st Quarter	(1,852)	(138,797)	05/15/05	5/16/2005	6/29/2005	45	6.00%	(1,030)
x2		(139,828)		6/30/2005	8/8/2005	40	6.25%	(961)
		(140,789)		8/9/2005	8/14/2005	6	6.50%	(150)
i		(140,939)						
2nd Quarter	(6,109)	(147,048)	08/14/05	8/15/2005	9/19/2005	36	6.50%	(946)
		(147,994)		9/20/2005	10/31/2005	42	6.75%	(1,154)
		(149,148)		11/1/2005	11/14/2005	14	7.00%	(401)
		(149,549)						
3rd Quarter	(4,582)		11/14/05	11/15/2005	12/12/2005	28	7.00%	(830)
•··· <		(154,960)		12/13/2005	1/30/2006	49	7.25%	(1,515)
		(156,476)		1/31/2006	2/14/2006	15	7.50%	(483)
		(156,959)						
4th Quarter	(5,829)	(162,788)	02/14/06	2/15/2006	3/27/2006	41	7.50%	(1,377)
		(164,165)		3/28/2006	5/9/2006	43	7.75%	(1,506)
		(165,670)		5/10/2006	6/28/2006	50	8.00%	(1,825)
1		(167,496)		6/29/2006	3/31/2007	276	8.25%	(10,781)
Sub-Total	\$ (143,049)						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	\$ (35,227)

RCN Telecom Services of New York City, Inc. Schedule of Late Fees Adjustment for Bad Debt - OVS Agreement January 1, 2001, through December 31, 2005______

(a) The "number of days overdue" fluctuates depending on the interest rate that should be applied to the specific day, to calculate the late interest charge.

- (b) Section 9.4 of the OVS agreement requires that "in the event that any payment required by this agreement is not actually received by the City on or before the applicable dated fixed in this agreement, interest thereon shall accrue from such date at a rate equal to the then prevailing prime rate of interest charged by Chase Manhattan Bank (or any other national bank selected by the Comptroller) for commercial loans, compounded daily).
- (c) Late interest charges were calculated through March 31, 2007.

RCN Telecom Services of New York City, Inc. Schedule of Late Fees Adjustment for Bad Debt - Franchise Agreement January 1, 2001, through December 31, 2005

		JANDA	ry 1, 2001, m	rougn Decem			er (G D //	supplication and an
	A DATA PARTY AND A VALUE AND A REAL OF A DATA AND A DATA	Accomplated				* Namber :		Late Late
	Duet it	Balance-a	esPayments:			of Daysm. Overtue		Color of the book and well as your set of the total of the book and t
2001						and the second	100000-1-1-1-1-1-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-	
1st Quarter	\$ (71,170)	\$ (71,170)	5/15/2001	5/16/2001	8/14/2001	91	18.00%	\$ (3,266)
		(74,436)				<u>.</u>	10.000/	(2.754)
2nd Quarter	(6,469)	(80,905)	8/14/2001	8/15/2001	11/14/2001	92	18.00%	(3,754)
- 10 - 11	(11.440)	(84,659) (96,099)	11/14/2001	11/15/2001	2/14/2002	92	18.00%	(4,459)
3rd Quarter	(11,440)	(100,558)	11/1/4/2007	11/10/2001	211.02000			
4th Quarter	(7,592)	(108,150)	2/14/2002	2/15/2002	5/15/2002	90	18.00%	(4,907)
		(113,057)						10.000
1st Quarter	(10,312)		5/15/2002	5/16/2002	8/14/2002	91	18.00%	(5,661)
2.10	(0.661)	(129,030) (138,681)	8/14/2002	8/15/2002	11/14/2002	92	18.00%	(6,435)
2nd Quarter	(9,651)	(138,081)	6/14/2002	0/ (0/ 2002	1014/2002			(-,,
3rd Quarter	(3,590)		11/14/2002	11/15/2002	2/14/2003	92	18.00%	(6,900)
		(155,607)						(8.990)
4th Quarter	(27,086)	(182,693)	2/14/2003	2/15/2003	5/15/2003	90	18.00%	(8,289)
2003	(9,153)	(190,982) (200,135)	5/15/2003	5/16/2003	8/14/2003	91	18.00%	(9,184)
1st Quarter	(3,153)	(209,319)	5/15/2005	2/10/2000				
2nd Quarter	(14,665)		8/14/2003	8/15/2003	11/14/2003	92	18.00%	(10,394)
		(234,377)						(11.047)
3rd Quarter	(10,154)		11/14/2003	11/15/2003	2/14/2004	92	18.00%	(11,347)
4th Quarter	(8,310)	(255,878) (264,188)	2/14/2004	2/15/2004	5/15/2004	91	18.00%	(12,123)
411 Quarter	(0,510)	(276,311)						
1st Quarter	(8,393)	1	5/15/2004	5/16/2004	8/14/2004	91	18.00%	(13,064)
		(297,769)		/= _ + .				(10.000)
2nd Quarter	(3,681)		8/14/2004	8/15/2004	11/14/2004	92	18.00%	(13,988)
3rd Quarter	(4,477)	(315,438) (319,915)	11/14/2004	11/15/2004	2/14/2005	92	18.00%	(14,845)
Jit Quarter		(334,760)						
4th Quarter	(5,902)	(340,662)	2/14/2005	2/15/2005	5/15/2005	90	18.00%	(15,456)
2005-8.0		(356,118)		E.11.6 (2000)	0.0.4/0004	01	18 008/	(16,501)
1st Quarter	(3,482)			5/16/2005	8/14/2005	91	18.00%	(10,501)
2nd Quarter	(3,706)	(376,101) (379,807)		8/15/2005	11/14/2005	92	18.00%	(17,624)
Zna Quarter	(3,700)	(397,432)	1					
3rd Quarter	(5,470)	(402,902)	11/14/2005	11/15/2005	2/14/2006	92	18.00%	(18,696)
		(421,598)		0/16/2005	3/31/0007		10 000/	
4th Quarter	(4,870) \$ (229,573)		2/14/2006	2/15/2006	3/31/2007	410	18.00%	(95,538) \$ (292,<u>433)</u>
Sub-Total Add OVS:	¢ (143 049)							\$ (35,227)
Total	\$ (372,622						n apress in fr	\$ (327,660)

(a) Section 7.4 of the Franchise agreement requires that "in the event that any payment required by this Agreement is not actually received by the City on or before the applicable dated fixed in this Agreement, interest thereon shall accrue from such date until received at a rate equal to rate of interest then in effect charged by the City for late payments of real estate taxes.

(b) Late interest charges were calculated through March 31, 2007.



September 27, 2007

John Graham Deputy Comptroller Audits, Accountancy & Contracts The City of New York Office of the Comptroller 1 Centre Street New York, New York 10007

Re: New York City Office of the Comptroller Audit Report on Compliance of RCN Telecom Services, Inc. with its Franchise and Open Video Systems Agreements for the Period of January 1, 1999 through December 31, 2005; FN06-178A

Dear Mr. Graham:

I have reviewed the above-captioned draft report and I am writing to you to provide my comments and response to your recommendations based on your findings following the audit.

First, I would like to provide you with some background information regarding RCN's history and state of operations during the audit review period. As stated in the audit report, about one (1) year prior to the review period, RCN had just entered into a ten (10) year OVS agreement for the operation of OVS communications services and a microwave satellite system in the City. Towards the beginning of the review period, around January 1999, RCN had just entered into its fifteen (15) year franchise agreement with the City for the provision of its telecommunications services. From the effective date of the OVS agreement through the beginning of the review period, RCN was able to obtain a substantial amount of capital investments into its business. These investments enabled RCN to aggressively build out its network, dedicate a substantial amount of internal and external resources towards the conduct of its business operations, and otherwise devote meaningful capital expenditures towards constructing and operating its own fiber optic cable network within the City. From the period of 1997 through 1999, we anticipated growing our business and providing City residents with a competitive choice of cable, telephone, and Internet providers. (In fact, despite market circumstances, we believe that we have substantially delivered on our objective in this regard.)

Unfortunately, during most of the review period, from about January 2000 through March 2005, RCN experienced a downturn in the communications and telecommunications market. During this period, we were not able to obtain enough capital investments, nor sustain the necessary customer and revenue growth, to continue to aggressively build out our network. Accordingly, we ceased expanding our network within the City. During year 2001, we began to further scale back our costs, which unfortunately included reductions in workforce. For the next two (2) years, although RCN was able to continue its operations in a limited capacity, we were not able to secure the necessary capital investments to grow the business, nor were we able to meet our revenue goals. As a result, during year 2003, RCN further scaled back its general and administrative costs by further reducing its workforce, halting capital investments for network construction, and closing offices and operations in several locations. For example, our Queens and Manhattan markets were merged into a single operating group. We also sold our cable systems in Central New Jersey and Carmel, New York. During the period of January 2000 through March 2005, executive management and control of our operations in the City changed five (5) times. This period of turmoil in the communications and telecommunications market ultimately led to the Chapter 11 restructuring of RCN Corporation, the parent company of RCN Telecom Services, Inc.

Considering the state of our business (and generally, the entire telecommunications industry) during most of the review period, we believe that any failure on our part to accurately report revenues and pay the appropriate percentages thereof was unintentional and a result of the overall confusion caused by the turmoil in the telecommunication industry which caused the restructuring of our business. Despite this fact, RCN still paid approximately \$30 million to the City in OVS, franchise and public, educational, and governmental ("PEG") fees during the review period.

With respect to RCN's revenue obligations under the franchise and OVS agreements, we do not disagree with the Comptroller's Office's general statement of facts. Indeed, under Appendix H of the OVS agreement, RCN was obligated to pay franchise fees with respect to revenues received from its microwave satellite customers according to the five (5) year "Phase In Amount" schedule set forth therein, beginning with twenty percent (20%) of such revenues. From the fourth (4th) anniversary of the effective date through the remainder of the term of the OVS agreement, RCN is obligated to pay to the City franchise fees based on one hundred percent (100%) of revenues received from its microwave satellite customers. Unfortunately, as previously stated, although we have otherwise remitted gross revenue, PEG, and CAO payments to the City with respect to our video subscriber revenues, we never set up the appropriate administrative processes and procedures to remit microwave satellite revenues to the City. Furthermore, although we expected to convert our microwave satellite customers to our fiber optic network during the phase in period, we were unsuccessful in doing so and, in fact, continue to maintain approximately 750 microwave satellite customers at present. Additionally, it is important to note that we also have not collected any such franchise, PEG, CAO, or other similar "pass through" fees from our microwave subscribers throughout the term of the relevant agreements. Due to frequent executive management changes, and the distraction of dealing with financial issues during the review period, one may understand why we missed passing such fees through to our microwave subscribers, and thereby didn't include microwave satellite revenues within our OVS fee calculations to the City.

As the new vice president and general manager of the New York market, I apologize for this past oversight and can assure you that I will work to make sure it does not happen again. As you are aware, RCN has already paid over 97% of the OVS and franchise fees owed and is in the process of paying the balance of the fees. I hope that this brief historical summary provides a glimpse into the tumultuous times at RCN.

I have reviewed the audit recommendations and offer the following responses:

Audit Recommendation

1. Pay the City the balance of \$497,957, which includes \$34,944 in additional OVS and franchise fees and \$463,013 in late charges

Although the City is permitted to impose such charges under the respective agreements, we hope that the City would offer some leniency with RCN in this regard, because RCN did not intentionally or fraudulently withhold any amounts due to the City during the review period or thereafter. In fact, as stated above, any failure to pay amounts due to the City resulted from oversight on RCN's part. Secondly, due to the constant state of flux in RCN's financial status and operations during the review period, we are certain that it was difficult for DOITT (or any other third party) to ascertain whether RCN had fully complied with all of its revenue and non-revenue obligations during the review period. In any event, now that we have delivered payment for a majority of the past due OVS and franchise fees, we are committed to cooperating fully with DOITT to resolve any and all outstanding issues arising from the audit report, including, without limitation, late charges.

2. Ensure that all future revenue from microwave-satellite, advertising, resale, and other business operations are accurately reported and that the appropriate fees are paid to the City, in accordance with the OVS and franchise agreements

Agreed. We have revised our process to include all revenues required, in accordance with the OVS and franchise agreement, to properly calculate fees.

3. Ensure that bad-debt expenses are properly deducted from gross revenue reported to the City.

Agreed. Bad debt expenses will be factored into all future reporting.

4. Ensure that all revenue reported in its books and records is properly reconciled and included in the quarterly revenue statements it submits to the City.

Agreed. We will put a process in place to provide the proper reconciliation for our quarterly revenue statements.

5. Maintain complete and accurate books of accounts and records of business in a manner that would allow the DoITT to determine whether RCN is in compliance with the agreements.

Agreed. We will maintain accurate records and work closely with the DoITT to ensure RCN is in compliance with our agreements.

If you have any questions about this correspondence, please feel free to contact me. I may be reached at (212) 842-7706. As always, thank you for your continued cooperation and support. I look forward to resolving this matter promptly.

Sincetely

Anthony J. Oniveros Vice President / General Manager RCN of New York City

c: Alma Fana, Audit Manager



THE CITY OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY & TELECOMMUNICATIONS Paul J. Cosgrave, Commissioner

MITCHEL AHLBAUM Deputy Commissioner Franchise Administration and Planning/General Counsel

September 26, 2007

Mr. John Graham Deputy Comptroller The New York City Office of the Comptroller Audits, Accountancy & Contracts 1 Centre Street, 5th Floor South New York, NY 10007

Re: Audit Report of the Compliance of RCN Telecom Services of New York, Inc., With Its Franchise and Open Video Agreements January 1, 1999-December 31, 2005 FN06-078A

Dear Deputy Comptroller Graham:

I am writing in response to the above-captioned draft report ("RCN" audit) dated September 12, 2007.

First, on bchalf of the Department of Information Technology and Telecommunications ("DoITT"), I would like to recognize the diligent efforts by your audit staff, who determined that, from calendar years 1999 to 2005, RCN's "unpaid fees" due to the City of New York ("City") were \$1,321,581 (plus late charges of \$463,013). Further, DoITT has reviewed the audit's proposed underpayment and late charges, and will direct RCN to comply with the financial determination.

In addition, before responding to the audit recommendations, I would like to comment briefly on the section of the draft report that discusses DoITT's oversight activities. In pertinent part, this section states that "DoITT accepted the amounts RCN reported as well as the related payments RCN made without exercising the proper oversight review." Specifically, the section faults DoITT for not verifying the accuracy of revenues reported to the City. In fact, over the six-year period covered by the audit, DoITT successfully collected about \$719 million in franchise fees, on several billions of dollars in franchise revenues reported to, and verified by, the agency. We therefore request, without at all diminishing the significance of the approximately \$1.3 million in underpayments identified in the audit, that this section of the report be amended to point out that DoITT collected approximately \$719 million in franchise revenues during the audit period. In exercising its oversight responsibilities, DoITT does indeed assess the reasonableness of the revenues reported by its franchisees. Such activities include the reconciliation of revenues reported by cable operators against their subscriber counts. DoITT acknowledges the audit's finding that, with respect to microwave revenues, the agency did not receive reports that RCN is required to provide. However, this was not related to inadequate oversight by DoITT; but, rather, due to protracted delays by RCN in responding to DoITT's repeated requests for such records. Indeed, as stated in the draft audit, for the period 1999 to 2000, RCN did not provide certain books and records to the Comptroller's audit staff. We believe these difficulties are attributable to the substantial restructuring activities and bankruptcy proceedings that took place at RCN during the audit period. Nonetheless, DoITT will continue to work aggressively to obtain the required reports and any other data to substantiate franchise revenues owed to the City.

I assure you that DoITT takes the reporting requirements of the franchises it oversees very seriously, and will carefully review the recommendations contained in the audit report with the goal of further strengthening these controls and procedures. Accordingly, I would like to address DoITT's planned initiatives in response to the audit's recommendations.

Audit Recommendation: Ensure that RCN pays the City the balance of \$497,957.

Proposed Action: DoITT will aggressively pursue immediate payment of the full balance. Moreover, it should be noted that RCN's Open Video System ("OVS") franchise with the City expires in December of this year. Payment of the balance will be a precondition of DoITT's approval of any new franchise or other franchise-related action that may be sought by RCN. Finally, if necessary, DoITT will pursue an affirmative legal claim against RCN for the balance.

Audit Recommendation: Ensure that RCN complies with the other recommendations, especially those relating to potential unreported revenue and additional fees due to the City.

Agency Response: DoITT will negotiate a franchise provision with RCN whereby a designated RCN senior executive must certify the completeness and accuracy of quarterly revenue submissions, with the same certified annually by RCN's chief financial officer. In addition, DoITT will designate in-house personnel to examine revenue reports from RCN and other franchisees. DoITT will also consider the utility of engaging outside auditors to augment these operations.

Audit Recommendation: Ensure that RCN reports to the City any additional revenue for the period January 1, 1999, through December 31, 2000, and for the month of October 2002, as required by the terms of the OVS and franchise agreements [and] that RCN pays the City additional fees that may be due based on any additional revenue reported resulting from the implementation of [this recommendation].

Agency Response: DoITT will continue to aggressively pursue revenue reports from RCN for the above noted periods, as well as any associated fees and late charges owed to the City.

2

3

Audit Recommendation: Establish the proper guidelines and a review process to monitor RCN's compliance with the City agreements. DoITT should ensure that RCN reports all its subsequent revenue to the City in compliance with the revenue provisions of the agreements and that it pays its fees accordingly.

Agency Response: DoITT will issue to appropriate staff a written franchise review procedure, "formalizing" established practices and implementing new best practices. The procedure will reinforce the requirement that responsible DoITT staff maintain thorough knowledge of the revenue provisions of franchise agreements and require that such staff document, on a quarterly basis, the actions that they have taken to ensure compliance with the agreements. In addition, DoITT will negotiate to include new remedy provisions in any future RCN franchise(s), in the event that the company is found to be substantially out of compliance with the revenue provisions of the agreements.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the RCN audit. If you have questions regarding this response or wish to discuss the audit further, I can be reached at (212) 788-6640.

Sincercly. Mitchel Ahlbaum

_____ ·

Paul J. Cosgrave John Winker Peter J. Schwab Linda Mercurio George Davis, III Tracy Bloch Bruce Regal Emily Rubenstein Alma Fana

c: