## 2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

**Summary of Section Ratings** 

#### **Framework for Great Schools**

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.

Section	Section Rating	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	ExceedingTarget
<b>Rigorous Instruction</b>	Approaching Target		2.64		
<b>Collaborative Teachers</b>	Approaching Target		2.16		
Supportive Environment	Meeting Target			3.00	
Effective School Leadership	Approaching Target		2.36		
Strong Family-Community Ties	Meeting Target			3.12	
Trust	Approaching Target		2.32		
Student Achievement	Meeting Target			3.06	
		1	2	3	4 4.99

**Section scores** are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

#### State Accountability Status: Good Standing

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: <a href="http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm">http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm</a>

#### Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at <u>http://schoolqualityreports.nyc</u>

# 2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

# **Student Enrollment**

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	54	52	62
Grade 1	52	58	52
Grade 2	54	51	55
Grade 3	52	63	44
Grade 4	54	55	62
Grade 5	57	52	57
All students	354	367	360

# **Student Demographics**

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	41%	39%	40%
% Free Lunch Eligible	95%	95%	97%
% Student with IEPs	31%	31%	32%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	8%	11%	12%
% HRA Eligible	-	79%	77%
% Temporary Housing	-	27%	29%
% Asian	3%	3%	2%
% Black	13%	14%	11%
% Hispanic	84%	83%	87%
% White	0%	0%	0%
% Other	0%	0%	1%



# 2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

Stud	ent Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score									
Mee	eting Target	3.06									
						2	014-15 Target	5			
Stud	dent Achievement Metrics		n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	<ul> <li>Top of</li> <li>Target Range</li> </ul>	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State	Test Results - ELA										
A	verage Student Proficiency		158	2.16	1.76	2.03	2.23	2.46	2.78	2.65	9.09%
Pe	ercentage of Students at Level 3 or 4		158	10.8%	0.0%	8.1%	13.9%	20.5%	32.6%	2.47	9.09%
N	ledian Adjusted Growth Percentile		104	62.0	51.1	58.0	63.9	68.0	77.9	2.68	9.09%
N	ledian Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third		35	82.0	63.0	70.9	77.5	82.2	93.2	3.96	9.09%
• Ea	arly Grade Progress		43	2.22	0.11	0.90	1.59	2.08	3.22	4.12	9.09%
State	Test Results - Math										
A	verage Student Proficiency		160	2.17	1.71	2.08	2.36	2.68	3.11	2.32	9.09%
O Pe	ercentage of Students at Level 3 or 4		160	10.6%	0.0%	11.3%	19.5%	28.8%	44.1%	1.94	9.09%
N	ledian Adjusted Growth Percentile		111	52.0	38.9	50.2	60.1	67.0	83.4	2.18	9.09%
N	ledian Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third		38	69.0	55.4	65.0	73.3	79.0	92.8	2.48	9.09%
Ea	arly Grade Progress		44	2.08	0.02	1.16	2.14	2.83	4.49	2.94	9.09%
• MS A	djusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students		45	93.8%	76.2%	82.9%	87.7%	93.2%	100.0%	4.09	9.09%
										Weighted Average Score	2.89

						2	014-15 Target	S	_			
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics			Population %	2014-15	Bottom of	Approaching	Meeting	Exceeding	Top of		Extra Points	Extra Points
	n	Population %	of Range	School Value	Target Range	Target	Target	Target	Target Range	Metric Score	Possible	Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
• Self-Contained	29	18.4%	90.6%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
<ul> <li>Integrated Co-Teaching</li> </ul>	14	8.9%	45.2%	0.0%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%	1.00	0.030	0.000
• SETSS	9	5.7%	54.3%	22.2%	0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%	4.99	0.030	0.030
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
• Self-Contained	29	18.1%	90.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
• Integrated Co-Teaching	14	8.8%	44.9%	0.0%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%	1.00	0.030	0.000
O SETSS	9	5.6%	53.8%	0.0%	0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%	1.00	0.030	0.000
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	56	53.8%	100.0%	39.3%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%	3.30	0.030	0.017
Lowest Third Citywide	63	60.6%	88.3%	52.4%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%	3.64	0.030	0.020
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	37	35.6%	90.1%	56.8%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%	4.05	0.030	0.023
• SC/ICT/SETSS	38	36.5%	93.4%	60.5%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	4.26	0.030	0.025
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	62	55.9%	100.0%	27.4%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%	2.46	0.030	0.011
Lowest Third Citywide	68	61.3%	84.2%	36.8%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%	2.42	0.030	0.011
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	41	36.9%	96.1%	36.6%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%	2.61	0.030	0.012
SC/ICT/SETSS	39	35.1%	90.5%	41.0%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	3.06	0.030	0.015
O ELL Progress	130	39.4%	100.0%	37.7%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%	1.46	0.030	0.003
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.17
									Overa	ll Student Achie	vement Score	3.06

• Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

• Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

### 2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

```
04M155
```

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
orous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Developing	2.00	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	84%	2.08	34%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.64	
aborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2	Developing	2.00	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	79%	2.28	50%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.16	
portive Environment			
Quality Review 3.4	Developing	2.00	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	91%	3.48	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance			
EMS	74.7%	3.32	
HS			
Overall	74.7%	3.32	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive			
environments			
EMS	0.31	3.60	
HS			
Overall	0.31	3.60	5%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.00	
ctive School Leadership			
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	74%	2.36	100%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.36	
ng Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	85%	3.12	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.12	
t			
NYC School Survey - Trust	79%	2.32	100%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.32	
section nating. Approaching ranger		2.32	

### 2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Range City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
prous Instruction							
Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	91	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.50	3.00
Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	82	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.00	1.00
Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
Quality of student discussion	Teachers	78	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.30	2.20
ion Results:		84%					2.08
aborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers	93	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.51	
Cultural awareness	Parents	91	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.50	
Cultural awareness	Students		68.6	87.4	100.0		
Cultural awareness	Combined	92				0.50	3.00
Inclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	94	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.61	3.44
Quality of professional development	Teachers	60	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.17	1.68
School commitment	Teachers	71	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.28	2.12
Innovation	Teachers	70	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.00	1.00
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	85	87.9	95.9	100.0	0.25	2.00
Peer collaboration	Teachers	85	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.34	2.36
Focus on student learning	Teachers	79	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.33	2.32
Collective responsibility	Teachers	78	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.37	2.48
ion Results:		79%		0.117	20010		2.28
portive Environment							
Safety:							
Safety	Teachers	94	80.0	94.6	100.0	0.72	
Safety	Students		74.5	88.5	100.0		
Safety	Combined	94				0.72	3.88
Classroom behavior:							
Classroom behavior	Teachers	85	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.55	
Classroom behavior	Students		67.3	84.3	100.0		
Classroom behavior	Combined	85				0.55	3.20
Social-emotional measure	Teachers	95	89.0	96.6	100.0	0.75	4.00
Peer interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0		
Next-level guidance	Students						
Press toward academic achievement:							
Press toward academic achievement	Teachers	85	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.40	
Press toward academic achievement	Students		85.3	91.9	98.5		
Press toward academic achievement	Combined	85				0.40	2.60
Personal attention and support	Students		77.8	89.6	100.0		
Peer support for academic work:							
Peer support for academic work	Teachers	92	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.64	
Peer support for academic work	Parents	97	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.77	
Peer support for academic work	Students		50.4	73.8	97.2		
Peer support for academic work	Combined	94				0.70	3.80
ion Results:		91%					3.48
Press toward academic achievement Personal attention and support Peer support for academic work: Peer support for academic work Peer support for academic work Peer support for academic work	Combined Students Teachers Parents Students	92 97 <b>94</b>	<b>77.8</b> 76.5 88.4	<b>89.6</b> 91.5 94.8	100.0 100.0 100.0	0.64 0.77	

### 2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

		<b></b> -			
	City Range				
Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
80	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.05	1.20
61	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.51	3.04
72	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.31	2.24
81	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.49	2.96
74%					2.36
91	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.50	
90	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.50	
91				0.50	3.00
78	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.55	3.20
85%					3.12
93	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.50	3.00
93	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.61	3.44
	64.6	85.2	100.0		
66	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.22	1.88
64	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.00	1.00
79%					2.32
	80 61 72 81 74% 91 90 91 90 91 78 85% 93 93 93 93 93	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c } & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

#### 2014-15 School Quality Reports / ES

Targets for 2015-16

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15		2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target				
State Test Results - ELA*									
Average Student Proficiency	2.16	2.03 or lower	2.04 to 2.14	2.15 to 2.21	2.22 or higher				
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.81	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher				
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	10.8%	7.3% or lower	7.4% to 11.5%	11.6% to 14.9%	15.0% or higher				
State Test Results - Math*									
Average Student Proficiency	2.17	2.15 or lower	2.16 to 2.31	2.32 to 2.42	2.43 or higher				
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.78	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.91	1.92 to 2.04	2.05 or higher				
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	10.6%	11.7% or lower	11.8% to 18.4%	18.5% to 23.6%	23.7% or higher				
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	93.8%	84.9% or lower	85.0% to 88.7%	88.8% to 91.6%	91.7% or higher				
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15		2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target				
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating									
Self-Contained	1.86	1.71 or lower	1.72 to 1.79	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 or higher				
Integrated Co-Teaching	1.97	1.84 or lower	1.85 to 1.95	1.96 to 2.03	2.04 or higher				
SETSS	2.21	1.87 or lower	1.88 to 1.99	2.00 to 2.08	2.09 or higher				
ELL	2.01	1.89 or lower	1.90 to 2.03	2.04 to 2.14	2.15 or higher				
Lowest Third Citywide	1.90	1.82 or lower	1.83 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher				
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.89	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher				
Math - Average Proficiency Rating									
Self-Contained	1.98	1.76 or lower	1.77 to 1.90	1.91 to 2.00	2.01 or higher				
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.00	1.99 or lower	2.00 to 2.16	2.17 to 2.29	2.30 or higher				
SETSS	2.18	1.94 or lower	1.95 to 2.11	2.12 to 2.25	2.26 or higher				
ELL	1.99	2.03 or lower	2.04 to 2.22	2.23 to 2.37	2.38 or higher				
Lowest Third Citywide	1.86	1.86 or lower	1.87 to 1.94	1.95 to 2.00	2.01 or higher				
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.82	1.83 or lower	1.84 to 1.92	1.93 to 1.99	2.00 or higher				

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

\*To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15		-16 Targets		
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	74.7%	71.6% or lower	71.7% to 78.4%	78.5% to 83.6%	83.7% or higher
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.31	0.14 or lower	0.15 to 0.23	0.24 to 0.29	0.30 or higher