
Summary of Section Ratings P.S. 039 Henry Bristow

Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.



Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Reward

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at http://schoolqualityreports.nyc

P.S. 039 Henry Bristow

2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

| Grade | 2012 - 2013 | 2013 - 2014 | 2014 - 2015 |
|--------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Kindergarten | 63 | 76 | 72 |
| Grade 1 | 64 | 60 | 74 |
| Grade 2 | 60 | 61 | 59 |
| Grade 3 | 59 | 56 | 57 |
| Grade 4 | 49 | 54 | 56 |
| Grade 5 | 49 | 44 | 54 |
| All students | 380 | 387 | 408 |

Student Demographics

| | 2012 - 2013 | 2013 - 2014 | 2014 - 2015 |
|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| % English Language Learners | 4% | 3% | 3% |
| % Free Lunch Eligible | 21% | 21% | 18% |
| % Student with IEPs | 18% | 16% | 16% |
| % Student with IEPs (less than 20% time) | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| % HRA Eligible | - | 13% | 10% |
| % Temporary Housing | - | 1% | 1% |
| % Asian | 7% | 7% | 6% |
| % Black | 9% | 6% | 7% |
| % Hispanic | 19% | 15% | 11% |
| % White | 63% | 70% | 72% |
| % Other | 0% | 3% | 2% |

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

15K039 P.S. 039 Henry Bristow

| Student Achievement Rating | Student Achievement Score |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|
| Meeting Target | 3.86 |

| | 2014-15 Targets | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|---------|-----------|--------------|------------------------|------------|
| Student Achievement Metrics | | 2014-15 | Bottom of | Approaching | Meeting | Exceeding | Top of | | |
| | n | School Value | Target Range | Target | Target | Target | Target Range | Metric Score | Weight Pct |
| State Test Results - ELA | | | | | | | | | |
| Average Student Proficiency | 154 | 3.30 | 2.56 | 2.88 | 3.05 | 3.24 | 3.44 | 4.30 | 9.09% |
| Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4 | 154 | 68.8% | 31.4% | 45.9% | 54.8% | 64.9% | 76.1% | 4.35 | 9.09% |
| Median Adjusted Growth Percentile | 97 | 74.0 | 51.7 | 59.8 | 66.8 | 71.7 | 83.4 | 4.20 | 9.09% |
| Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third | 34 | 72.0 | 55.8 | 64.4 | 71.8 | 77.0 | 89.4 | 3.04 | 9.09% |
| Early Grade Progress | 54 | 2.90 | 1.34 | 1.97 | 2.43 | 2.75 | 3.52 | 4.19 | 9.09% |
| State Test Results - Math | | | | | | | | | |
| Average Student Proficiency | 153 | 3.45 | 2.70 | 3.10 | 3.29 | 3.51 | 3.75 | 3.73 | 9.09% |
| Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4 | 153 | 74.5% | 37.8% | 54.8% | 63.7% | 73.9% | 84.7% | 4.06 | 9.09% |
| Median Adjusted Growth Percentile | 97 | 68.0 | 45.2 | 55.6 | 64.5 | 70.7 | 85.5 | 3.56 | 9.09% |
| Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third | 35 | 68.0 | 51.7 | 61.4 | 69.9 | 75.8 | 89.9 | 2.78 | 9.09% |
| Early Grade Progress | 53 | 2.23 | 1.26 | 2.10 | 2.67 | 3.07 | 4.15 | 2.23 | 9.09% |
| MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students | 39 | 100.0% | 85.8% | 91.1% | 93.6% | 96.4% | 100.0% | 4.99 | 9.09% |
| | | | | | | | | Weighted Average Score | 3.77 |

| | | | | | | 2 | 014-15 Target | s | | | | |
|---|----|--------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|
| Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics | n | 2014-15 School Population % | Population % of Range | 2014-15 School Value | Bottom of Target Range | Approaching Target | Meeting Target | Exceeding Target | Top of Target Range | Metric Score | Extra Points Possible | Extra Points Earned |
| ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Self-Contained | 6 | 3.9% | 19.2% | 16.7% | 0.0% | 1.1% | 1.9% | 2.7% | 4.6% | | 0.030 | 0.000 |
| Integrated Co-Teaching | 22 | 14.3% | 72.6% | 27.3% | 0.0% | 3.7% | 6.5% | 9.3% | 15.8% | 4.99 | 0.030 | 0.030 |
| SETSS | 3 | 1.9% | 18.1% | | 0.0% | 3.5% | 6.2% | 8.9% | 15.0% | | 0.030 | 0.000 |
| Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Self-Contained | 6 | 3.9% | 19.4% | 16.7% | 0.0% | 2.9% | 5.2% | 7.4% | 12.6% | | 0.030 | 0.000 |
| Integrated Co-Teaching | 21 | 13.7% | 69.9% | 38.1% | 0.0% | 7.2% | 12.9% | 18.4% | 31.2% | 4.99 | 0.030 | 0.030 |
| SETSS | 3 | 2.0% | 19.2% | | 0.0% | 6.6% | 11.7% | 16.8% | 28.4% | | 0.030 | 0.000 |
| ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ELL | 3 | 3.1% | 6.6% | | 12.7% | 26.0% | 36.3% | 46.4% | 69.9% | | 0.030 | 0.000 |
| Lowest Third Citywide | 7 | 7.2% | 8.4% | 57.1% | 28.0% | 38.8% | 47.1% | 55.4% | 74.4% | | 0.030 | 0.000 |
| Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide | 1 | 1.0% | 2.5% | | 23.6% | 36.2% | 45.9% | 55.6% | 77.8% | | 0.030 | 0.000 |
| SC/ICT/SETSS | 23 | 23.7% | 56.7% | 39.1% | 22.0% | 34.9% | 44.8% | 54.7% | 77.4% | 2.42 | 0.030 | 0.011 |
| Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ELL | 4 | 4.1% | 8.4% | | 7.8% | 22.3% | 33.5% | 44.6% | 70.2% | | 0.030 | 0.000 |
| Lowest Third Citywide | 8 | 8.2% | 11.3% | 37.5% | 19.1% | 32.5% | 42.8% | 53.1% | 76.7% | | 0.030 | 0.000 |
| Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide | 1 | 1.0% | 2.6% | | 14.4% | 29.5% | 41.2% | 52.8% | 79.4% | | 0.030 | 0.000 |
| SC/ICT/SETSS | 23 | 23.7% | 57.6% | 39.1% | 15.4% | 29.5% | 40.4% | 51.3% | 76.2% | 2.88 | 0.030 | 0.014 |
| ELL Progress | 11 | 3.0% | 7.6% | 81.8% | 31.6% | 44.8% | 55.1% | 65.2% | 88.6% | | 0.030 | 0.000 |
| | | | | | | | | | | CtAG Add | ditional Points | 0.09 |
| | | | | | | | | | Overs | all Student Achie | vement Score | 3.86 |

[•] Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

[•] Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

15K039

P.S. 039 Henry Bristow

| | Metric Value | Metric Score | Weight Pct |
|---|----------------|--------------|------------|
| orous Instruction | | | |
| Quality Review 1.1 | Well Developed | 4.99 | 22% |
| Quality Review 1.2 | Well Developed | 4.99 | 22% |
| Quality Review 2.2 | Well Developed | 4.99 | 22% |
| NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction | 87% | 2.52 | 34% |
| Section Rating: Exceeding Target | Section Score: | 4.16 | |
| laborative Teachers | | | |
| Quality Review 4.2 | Well Developed | 4.99 | 50% |
| NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers | 80% | 2.60 | 50% |
| Section Rating: Meeting Target | Section Score: | 3.80 | |
| | | | |
| portive Environment | | | |
| Quality Review 3.4 | Well Developed | 4.99 | 30% |
| NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment | 89% | 3.16 | 35% |
| Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS | 94.8% | 4.00 | |
| HS | | | |
| Overall | 94.8% | 4.00 | 30% |
| Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments | | | |
| EMS HS | 0.23 | 2.82 | |
| Overall | 0.23 | 2.82 | 5% |
| Section Rating: Meeting Target | Section Score: | 3.96 | |
| | | | |
| ective School Leadership | | | |
| NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership | 69% | 2.36 | 100% |
| Section Rating: Approaching Target | Section Score: | 2.36 | |
| | | | |
| ong Family-Community Ties | | | |
| NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties | 92% | 4.28 | 100% |
| | | 4 20 | |
| Section Rating: Exceeding Target | Section Score: | 4.28 | |
| Section Rating: Exceeding Target | Section Score: | 4.28 | |
| st | | | 100% |
| | Section Score: | 3.00 | 100% |



P.S. 039 Henry Bristow

| | | | | | City Range | | | |
|--------|--------------------------------------|----------|-------------------|-----------------|------------|--------------|------------------|---------------------|
| | | | Survey % Positive | Bottom of Range | City Avg | Top of Range | Percent of Range | Score |
| Rigoro | ous Instruction | | | • | , , | | • | |
| _ | ommon Core shifts in literacy | Teachers | 91 | 86.4 | 94.8 | 100.0 | 0.50 | 3.00 |
| Co | ommon Core shifts in math | Teachers | 88 | 83.3 | 93.1 | 100.0 | 0.25 | 2.00 |
| Co | ourse clarity | Students | | 84.3 | 92.7 | 100.0 | | |
| | uality of student discussion | Teachers | 81 | 68.7 | 85.3 | 100.0 | 0.38 | 2.52 |
| | n Results: | | 87% | | | | | 2.52 |
| ollab | oorative Teachers | | | | | | | |
| | ultural awareness: | | | | | | | |
| - Ct | Cultural awareness | Teachers | 91 | 85.4 | 95.0 | 100.0 | 0.50 | |
| | Cultural awareness | Parents | 96 | 90.5 | 94.9 | 99.3 | 0.75 | |
| | Cultural awareness | Students | 30 | 68.6 | 87.4 | 100.0 | 0.75 | |
| | Cultural awareness | Combined | 93 | 00.0 | 07.4 | 100.0 | 0.63 | 3.52 |
| In | clusive classroom instruction | Teachers | 91 | 84.2 | 94.6 | 100.0 | 0.50 | 3.00 |
| | uality of professional development | Teachers | 46 | 51.4 | 77.4 | 100.0 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| | chool commitment | Teachers | 60 | 59.9 | 85.3 | 100.0 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| | novation | Teachers | 87 | 70.3 | 86.7 | 100.0 | 0.57 | 3.28 |
| | eflective dialogue | Teachers | 96 | 87.9 | 95.9 | 100.0 | 0.75 | 4.00 |
| | eer collaboration | Teachers | 86 | 77.6 | 92.2 | 100.0 | 0.73 | 2.48 |
| | | Teachers | 69 | 68.2 | 89.0 | 100.0 | 0.03 | 1.12 |
| | ocus on student learning | | 93 | 65.7 | | | | |
| | ollective responsibility n Results: | Teachers | 80% | 65.7 | 84.7 | 100.0 | 0.79 | 4.16 2.60 |
| | ortive Environment afety: | | | | | | | |
| | Safety | Teachers | 98 | 80.0 | 94.6 | 100.0 | 0.90 | |
| | Safety | Students | | 74.5 | 88.5 | 100.0 | | |
| • | Safety | Combined | 98 | | | | 0.90 | 4.60 |
| Cl | assroom behavior: | | | | | | | |
| | Classroom behavior | Teachers | 75 | 66.9 | 85.5 | 100.0 | 0.23 | |
| | Classroom behavior | Students | | 67.3 | 84.3 | 100.0 | | |
| 0 | Classroom behavior | Combined | 75 | | | | 0.23 | 1.92 |
| Sc | ocial-emotional measure | Teachers | 93 | 89.0 | 96.6 | 100.0 | 0.50 | 3.00 |
| Pe | eer interactions | Students | | 68.2 | 84.8 | 100.0 | | |
| Ne | ext-level guidance | Students | | | | | | |
| Pr | ress toward academic achievement: | | | | | | | |
| | Press toward academic achievement | Teachers | 85 | 75.0 | 88.8 | 100.0 | 0.40 | |
| | Press toward academic achievement | Students | | 85.3 | 91.9 | 98.5 | | |
| | Press toward academic achievement | Combined | 85 | | | | 0.40 | 2.60 |
| Pe | ersonal attention and support | Students | | 77.8 | 89.6 | 100.0 | | |
| Pe | eer support for academic work: | | | | | | | |
| | Peer support for academic work | Teachers | 88 | 76.5 | 91.5 | 100.0 | 0.49 | |
| | Peer support for academic work | Parents | 99 | 88.4 | 94.8 | 100.0 | 0.90 | |
| | Peer support for academic work | Students | | 50.4 | 73.8 | 97.2 | | |
| | Peer support for academic work | Combined | 93 | | | | 0.69 | 3.76 |
| ectio | n Results: | | 89% | | | | | 3.16 |

P.S. 039 Henry Bristow

| n of Range City A | Avg Top of Range | Percent of Range | Score |
|-------------------|--|---|--|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | 0.75 | 4.00 |
| 28.8 60. | 8 92.8 | 0.22 | 1.88 |
| 60.0 85. | 2 100.0 | 0.31 | 2.24 |
| 61.6 87. | 0 100.0 | 0.09 | 1.36 |
| | | | 2.36 |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| 84.5 94. | 5 100.0 | 0.77 | |
| 86.0 92. | 6 99.2 | 0.79 | |
| | | 0.78 | 4.12 |
| 62.4 76. | 6 90.8 | 0.86 | 4.44 |
| | | | 4.28 |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| 90.9 95. | 3 99.7 | 0.78 | 4.12 |
| 82.7 93. | 3 100.0 | 0.54 | 3.16 |
| 64.6 85. | 2 100.0 | | |
| 56.4 85. | 0 100.0 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| 74.1 90. | 5 100.0 | 0.69 | 3.76 |
| | | | 3.00 |
| | 28.8 60. 60.0 85. 61.6 87. 84.5 94. 86.0 92. 62.4 76. 90.9 95. 82.7 93. 64.6 85. 56.4 85. | 28.8 60.8 92.8 60.0 85.2 100.0 61.6 87.0 100.0 84.5 94.5 100.0 86.0 92.6 99.2 62.4 76.6 90.8 90.9 95.3 99.7 82.7 93.3 100.0 64.6 85.2 100.0 56.4 85.0 100.0 | 28.8 60.8 92.8 0.22 60.0 85.2 100.0 0.31 61.6 87.0 100.0 0.09 84.5 94.5 100.0 0.77 86.0 92.6 99.2 0.79 0.78 0.78 0.86 90.9 95.3 99.7 0.78 82.7 93.3 100.0 0.54 64.6 85.2 100.0 0.00 56.4 85.0 100.0 0.00 |

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

| Student Achievement Metrics | 2014-15 | 2015-16 Targets | | | | | | |
|--|--------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------|------------------|--|--|--|
| | School Value | Not Meeting Target | Approaching Target | Meeting Target | Exceeding Target | | | |
| State Test Results - ELA* | | | | | | | | |
| Average Student Proficiency | 3.30 | 3.03 or lower | 3.04 to 3.14 | 3.15 to 3.22 | 3.23 or higher | | | |
| Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third | 2.72 | 2.43 or lower | 2.44 to 2.55 | 2.56 to 2.64 | 2.65 or higher | | | |
| Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4 | 68.8% | 56.3% or lower | 56.4% to 61.8% | 61.9% to 65.9% | 66.0% or higher | | | |
| State Test Results - Math* | | | | | | | | |
| Average Student Proficiency | 3.45 | 3.22 or lower | 3.23 to 3.37 | 3.38 to 3.49 | 3.50 or higher | | | |
| Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third | 2.84 | 2.53 or lower | 2.54 to 2.70 | 2.71 to 2.83 | 2.84 or higher | | | |
| Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4 | 74.5% | 62.6% or lower | 62.7% to 69.9% | 70.0% to 75.4% | 75.5% or higher | | | |
| MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students | 100.0% | 88.4% or lower | 88.5% to 91.4% | 91.5% to 93.6% | 93.7% or higher | | | |
| Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics* | 2014-15 | | 2015-16 | Targets | | | | |
| - | School Value | Not Meeting Target | Approaching Target | Meeting Target | Exceeding Target | | | |
| ELA - Average Proficiency Rating | | | | | | | | |
| Self-Contained | 2.47 | | | | | | | |
| Integrated Co-Teaching | 2.56 | 2.29 or lower | 2.30 to 2.40 | 2.41 to 2.48 | 2.49 or higher | | | |
| SETSS | | 2.29 or lower | 2.30 to 2.41 | 2.42 to 2.51 | 2.52 or higher | | | |
| ELL | 2.30 | 2.39 or lower | 2.40 to 2.53 | 2.54 to 2.63 | 2.64 or higher | | | |
| Lowest Third Citywide | 2.05 | 1.97 or lower | 1.98 to 2.04 | 2.05 to 2.10 | 2.11 or higher | | | |
| Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide | | 1.92 or lower | 1.93 to 1.99 | 2.00 to 2.05 | 2.06 or higher | | | |
| Math - Average Proficiency Rating | | | | | | | | |
| Self-Contained | 2.31 | | | | | | | |
| Integrated Co-Teaching | 2.68 | 2.39 or lower | 2.40 to 2.56 | 2.57 to 2.69 | 2.70 or higher | | | |
| SETSS | | 2.47 or lower | 2.48 to 2.65 | 2.66 to 2.78 | 2.79 or higher | | | |
| ELL | 2.69 | 2.78 or lower | 2.79 to 2.97 | 2.98 to 3.12 | 3.13 or higher | | | |
| Lowest Third Citywide | 2.25 | 2.02 or lower | 2.03 to 2.10 | 2.11 to 2.16 | 2.17 or higher | | | |
| Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide | | 1.96 or lower | 1.97 to 2.05 | 2.06 to 2.12 | 2.13 or higher | | | |
| ELL Progress | 81.8% | 60.8% or lower | 60.9% to 70.4% | 70.5% to 77.7% | 77.8% or higher | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

^{*}To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

| Supportive Environment Metrics | 2014-15 | 2015-16 Targets | | | | | | |
|---|--------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------|------------------|--|--|--|
| | School Value | Not Meeting Target | Approaching Target | Meeting Target | Exceeding Target | | | |
| Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance | 94.8% | 82.1% or lower | 82.2% to 86.7% | 86.8% to 90.1% | 90.2% or higher | | | |
| Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments | 0.23 | 0.11 or lower | 0.12 to 0.17 | 0.18 to 0.23 | 0.24 or higher | | | |