
#### **Framework for Great Schools**

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.



**Section scores** are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

### State Accountability Status: Good Standing

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: <a href="http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm">http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm</a>

#### Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at <a href="http://schoolqualityreports.nyc">http://schoolqualityreports.nyc</a>

**School Enrollment and Demographic Data** 

## **Student Enrollment**

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	163	164	151
Grade 1	154	172	178
Grade 2	137	158	181
Grade 3	153	135	174
Grade 4	133	157	147
Grade 5	114	135	151
All students	909	975	1017

# **Student Demographics**

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	8%	8%	7%
% Free Lunch Eligible	37%	37%	36%
% Student with IEPs	14%	13%	13%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	2%	2%	2%
% HRA Eligible	-	8%	18%
% Temporary Housing	-	1%	2%
% Asian	39%	42%	44%
% Black	1%	1%	1%
% Hispanic	18%	19%	19%
% White	40%	37%	35%
% Other	0%	1%	1%

25Q079 P.S. 079 Francis Lewis

## **Student Achievement Scoring Appendix**

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score
Meeting Target	3.63

				2	014-15 Target	s			
Student Achievement Metrics		2014-15	Bottom of	Approaching	Meeting	Exceeding	Top of		
Student Achievement Wethes	n	School Value	Target Range	Target	Target	Target	Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA									
Average Student Proficiency	451	3.00	2.53	2.85	3.01	3.20	3.41	2.94	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	451	52.1%	29.9%	44.4%	53.3%	63.4%	74.8%	2.87	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	274	68.0	51.6	59.6	66.5	71.3	82.7	3.31	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	95	68.0	54.6	63.5	71.3	76.7	89.6	2.58	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	162	2.72	1.34	1.98	2.46	2.79	3.58	3.79	9.09%
State Test Results - Math									
Average Student Proficiency	448	3.40	2.65	3.06	3.27	3.51	3.78	3.54	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	448	70.1%	35.8%	53.0%	62.8%	73.9%	86.1%	3.66	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	279	70.0	45.6	55.7	64.3	70.2	84.4	3.97	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	96	74.0	50.4	60.0	68.4	74.2	88.1	3.97	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	162	3.10	1.32	2.17	2.73	3.13	4.20	3.93	9.09%
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	122	96.5%	86.0%	91.2%	93.7%	96.5%	100.0%	4.00	9.09%
								Weighted Average Score	3.51

						2	014-15 Target	S				
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	9	2.0%	9.9%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%		0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	34	7.5%	38.1%	14.7%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%	4.83	0.030	0.029
SETSS	10	2.2%	21.0%	30.0%	0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%		0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	9	2.0%	10.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%		0.030	0.000
<ul> <li>Integrated Co-Teaching</li> </ul>	32	7.1%	36.2%	34.4%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%	4.99	0.030	0.030
SETSS	10	2.2%	21.2%	30.0%	0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%		0.030	0.000
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	36	13.1%	28.0%	36.1%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%	2.98	0.030	0.015
Lowest Third Citywide	46	16.8%	22.8%	45.7%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%		0.030	0.000
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	8	2.9%	7.3%	37.5%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%		0.030	0.000
SC/ICT/SETSS	36	13.1%	26.4%	47.2%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	3.24	0.030	0.017
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	43	15.4%	31.6%	48.8%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%	4.16	0.030	0.024
Lowest Third Citywide	29	10.4%	14.3%	72.4%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%		0.030	0.000
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	6	2.2%	5.7%	83.3%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%		0.030	0.000
SC/ICT/SETSS	34	12.2%	24.5%	55.9%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%		0.030	0.000
ELL Progress	69	7.0%	17.8%	71.0%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%		0.030	0.000
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.12
									Overa	all Student Achie	vement Score	3.63

<sup>•</sup> Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

<sup>•</sup> Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

### 2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

25Q079

P.S. 079 Francis Lewis

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
orous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Developing	2.00	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	96%	4.04	34%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.32	
llaborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2	Developing	2.00	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	97%	4.52	50%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.28	
pportive Environment			
Quality Review 3.4	Proficient	3.40	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	99%	4.80	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS	90.7%	2.96	
HS			
Overall	90.7%	2.96	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments			
EMS	0.31	3.60	
HS			
Overall	0.31	3.60	5%
Costinu Datinu Mantinu Tourst	Castian Casus	2.70	
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.76	
antina Calca al Lacada valvia			
ective School Leadership	040/	4.22	4000/
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	91%	4.32	100%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.32	
ong Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	85%	3.20	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.20	
NVC Saharal Survey - Trust	070/	4.44	4000/
NYC School Survey - Trust	97%	4.44	100%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.44	



P.S. 079 Francis Lewis

				City Range			
		Survey % Positive Bottom of Range		City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
ligorous Instruction							
Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	97	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.77	4.08
Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	94	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.61	3.44
Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
Quality of student discussion	Teachers	97	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.90	4.60
ection Results:		96%					4.04
Collaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers	100	85.4	95.0	100.0	1.00	
Cultural awareness	Parents	96	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.75	
Cultural awareness	Students	90	68.6	87.4	100.0	0.75	
	Combined	00	08.0	87.4	100.0	0.00	4.52
Cultural awareness     Inclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	98	84.2	04.6	100.0	0.88	4.52
Inclusive classroom instruction     Ouglity of professional development		99		94.6	100.0	0.94	4.76
Quality of professional development	Teachers	89	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.78	4.12
School commitment	Teachers	99	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.98	4.92
• Innovation	Teachers	97	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.91	4.64
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	97	87.9	95.9	100.0	0.75	4.00
Peer collaboration	Teachers	98	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.91	4.64
Focus on student learning	Teachers	98	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.94	4.76
Collective responsibility     ection Results:	Teachers	95 97%	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.85	4.40 <b>4.52</b>
upportive Environment Safety:							
Safety	Teachers	100	80.0	94.6	100.0	1.00	
Safety	Students		74.5	88.5	100.0		
<ul> <li>Safety</li> </ul>	Combined	100				1.00	4.99
Classroom behavior:							
Classroom behavior	Teachers	98	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.92	
Classroom behavior	Students		67.3	84.3	100.0		
<ul> <li>Classroom behavior</li> </ul>	Combined	98				0.92	4.68
<ul> <li>Social-emotional measure</li> </ul>	Teachers	100	89.0	96.6	100.0	1.00	4.99
Peer interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0		
Next-level guidance	Students						
Press toward academic achievement:							
Press toward academic achievement	Teachers	99	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.96	
Press toward academic achievement	Students		85.3	91.9	98.5		
<ul> <li>Press toward academic achievement</li> </ul>	Combined	99				0.96	4.84
Personal attention and support	Students		77.8	89.6	100.0		
Peer support for academic work:							
Peer support for academic work	Teachers	100	76.5	91.5	100.0	1.00	
Peer support for academic work	Parents	97	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.75	
			FO. 4	72.0	07.2		
Peer support for academic work	Students		50.4	73.8	97.2		
<ul> <li>Peer support for academic work</li> <li>Peer support for academic work</li> </ul>	Students Combined	99	50.4	/3.8	97.2	0.88	4.52

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

				City Range			
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective Cohool Londovskip							
Effective School Leadership	Davanta	95	79.3	00.0	100.0	0.77	4.08
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents			90.9	100.0	_	
Teacher influence	Teachers	77	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.76	4.04
Program coherence	Teachers	95	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.87	4.48
<ul> <li>Principal instructional leadership</li> </ul>	Teachers	97	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.93	4.72
Section Results:		91%					4.32
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	99	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.94	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	93	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.50	
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	96				0.72	3.88
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	73	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.38	2.52
Section Results:		85%					3.20
Trust							
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	95	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.75	4.00
Parent-principal trust	Parents	97	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.82	4.28
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	100	56.4	85.0	100.0	1.00	4.99
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	97	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.88	4.52
Section Results:		97%					4.44
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •							

25Q079

Targets for 2015-16 P.S. 079 Francis Lewis

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
State Test Results - ELA*							
Average Student Proficiency	3.00	2.91 or lower	2.92 to 3.02	3.03 to 3.10	3.11 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.31	2.30 or lower	2.31 to 2.42	2.43 to 2.51	2.52 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	52.1%	48.4% or lower	48.5% to 53.9%	54.0% to 58.0%	58.1% or higher		
State Test Results - Math*							
Average Student Proficiency	3.40	3.14 or lower	3.15 to 3.29	3.30 to 3.41	3.42 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.61	2.37 or lower	2.38 to 2.54	2.55 to 2.67	2.68 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	70.1%	58.1% or lower	58.2% to 65.4%	65.5% to 70.9%	71.0% or higher		
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	96.5%	88.5% or lower	88.6% to 91.4%	91.5% to 93.6%	93.7% or higher		
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15	2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained	2.06	1.86 or lower	1.87 to 1.94	1.95 to 2.01	2.02 or higher		
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.31	2.13 or lower	2.14 to 2.23	2.24 to 2.32	2.33 or higher		
SETSS	2.58	2.07 or lower	2.08 to 2.20	2.21 to 2.29	2.30 or higher		
ELL	2.56	2.36 or lower	2.37 to 2.50	2.51 to 2.60	2.61 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide	2.10	2.02 or lower	2.03 to 2.09	2.10 to 2.14	2.15 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	2.00	1.97 or lower	1.98 to 2.04	2.05 to 2.09	2.10 or higher		
Math - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained	1.93	2.01 or lower	2.02 to 2.15	2.16 to 2.26	2.27 or higher		
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.68	2.34 or lower	2.35 to 2.51	2.52 to 2.64	2.65 or higher		
SETSS	2.74	2.32 or lower	2.33 to 2.49	2.50 to 2.63	2.64 or higher		
ELL	3.17	2.77 or lower	2.78 to 2.96	2.97 to 3.11	3.12 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide	2.21	1.98 or lower	1.99 to 2.06	2.07 to 2.12	2.13 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	2.23	1.98 or lower	1.99 to 2.07	2.08 to 2.14	2.15 or higher		
ELL Progress	71.0%	57.6% or lower	57.7% to 67.6%	67.7% to 75.1%	75.2% or higher		

<sup>\*</sup>To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	90.7%	80.8% or lower	80.9% to 85.7%	85.8% to 89.4%	89.5% or higher			
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.31	0.15 or lower	0.16 to 0.24	0.25 to 0.31	0.32 or higher			