


Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.



Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Good Standing

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: <http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm>

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at <http://schoolqualityreports.nyc>

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	66	49	53
Grade 1	71	56	41
Grade 2	51	51	47
Grade 3	56	47	55
Grade 4	56	48	38
Grade 5	44	52	44
All students	380	338	306

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	7%	8%	11%
% Free Lunch Eligible	89%	89%	88%
% Student with IEPs	11%	18%	19%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	10%	9%	13%
% HRA Eligible	-	73%	74%
% Temporary Housing	-	16%	17%
% Asian	13%	16%	19%
% Black	78%	78%	73%
% Hispanic	4%	4%	4%
% White	3%	1%	3%
% Other	0%	1%	1%

2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES
Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

13K093
P.S. 093 William H. Prescott

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score
Approaching Target	2.70

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15 Targets								
	n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA									
Average Student Proficiency	132	2.32	1.98	2.19	2.32	2.47	2.74	3.00	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	132	21.2%	2.4%	11.6%	18.0%	25.3%	37.1%	3.44	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	73	54.0	46.6	54.4	61.2	65.9	77.2	1.95	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	25	60.0	58.4	66.9	74.2	79.4	91.6	1.19	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	51	0.80	0.40	1.02	1.56	1.94	2.88	1.65	9.09%
State Test Results - Math									
Average Student Proficiency	136	2.36	1.98	2.24	2.41	2.61	2.96	2.71	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	136	25.0%	2.0%	13.6%	21.7%	31.0%	45.9%	3.35	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	74	62.5	36.4	48.4	58.8	66.1	83.4	3.51	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	25	67.0	52.1	62.3	71.3	77.5	92.3	2.52	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	54	1.14	0.30	1.18	1.93	2.46	3.82	1.95	9.09%
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	41	90.2%	70.4%	78.9%	84.8%	91.6%	100.0%	3.79	9.09%
Weighted Average Score								2.64	

Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	2014-15 Targets			Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
						Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target				
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	23	17.4%	85.7%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	2	1.5%	7.6%		0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%		0.030	0.000
SETSS	3	2.3%	21.9%		0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%		0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	25	18.4%	91.5%	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	2	1.5%	7.7%		0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%		0.030	0.000
SETSS	3	2.2%	21.2%		0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%		0.030	0.000
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	5	6.8%	14.5%	20.0%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	28	38.4%	55.1%	17.9%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	16	21.9%	55.4%	6.3%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%	1.00	0.030	0.000
SC/ICT/SETSS	14	19.2%	43.8%	21.4%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	6	8.1%	16.6%	16.7%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	31	41.9%	57.6%	35.5%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%	2.29	0.030	0.010
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	14	18.9%	49.2%	42.9%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%	3.15	0.030	0.016
SC/ICT/SETSS	14	18.9%	43.8%	28.6%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	1.94	0.030	0.007
ELL Progress	30	10.8%	27.4%	76.7%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%	4.49	0.030	0.026
CtAG Additional Points											0.06	
Overall Student Achievement Score											2.70	

- Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).
- Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
Rigorous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Developing	2.00	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Developing	2.00	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	90%	3.52	34%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.84	

Collaborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2	Proficient	3.40	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	87%	3.28	50%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.36	

Supportive Environment			
Quality Review 3.4	Proficient	3.40	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	81%	2.44	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance			
EMS	60.8%	2.00	
HS			
Overall	60.8%	2.00	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments			
EMS	0.13	1.93	
HS			
Overall	0.13	1.93	5%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.56	

Effective School Leadership			
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	72%	2.40	100%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.40	

Strong Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	85%	3.08	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.08	

Trust			
NYC School Survey - Trust	86%	3.00	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.00	

2014-15 School Quality Reports
Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

13K093
P.S. 093 William H. Prescott

		Survey % Positive	City Range			Percent of Range	Score
			Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range		
Rigorous Instruction							
● Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	100	86.4	94.8	100.0	1.00	4.99
Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	94	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.66	3.64
Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
○ Quality of student discussion	Teachers	76	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.23	1.92
Section Results:		90%					3.52
Collaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers	91	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.50	
Cultural awareness	Parents	96	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.75	
Cultural awareness	Students		68.6	87.4	100.0		
Cultural awareness	Combined	94				0.63	3.52
Inclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	92	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.50	3.00
Quality of professional development	Teachers	75	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.49	2.96
○ School commitment	Teachers	65	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.12	1.48
Innovation	Teachers	84	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.46	2.84
● Reflective dialogue	Teachers	100	87.9	95.9	100.0	1.00	4.99
Peer collaboration	Teachers	90	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.55	3.20
Focus on student learning	Teachers	89	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.67	3.68
Collective responsibility	Teachers	90	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.71	3.84
Section Results:		87%					3.28
Supportive Environment							
Safety:							
Safety	Teachers	98	80.0	94.6	100.0	0.90	
Safety	Students		74.5	88.5	100.0		
● Safety	Combined	98				0.90	4.60
Classroom behavior:							
Classroom behavior	Teachers	53	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.00	
Classroom behavior	Students		67.3	84.3	100.0		
○ Classroom behavior	Combined	53				0.00	1.00
● Social-emotional measure	Teachers	97	89.0	96.6	100.0	0.75	4.00
Peer interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0		
Next-level guidance	Students						
Press toward academic achievement:							
Press toward academic achievement	Teachers	74	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.00	
Press toward academic achievement	Students		85.3	91.9	98.5		
○ Press toward academic achievement	Combined	74				0.00	1.00
Personal attention and support	Students		77.8	89.6	100.0		
Peer support for academic work:							
Peer support for academic work	Teachers	75	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.00	
Peer support for academic work	Parents	89	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.25	
Peer support for academic work	Students		50.4	73.8	97.2		
○ Peer support for academic work	Combined	82				0.13	1.52
Section Results:		81%					2.44

		Survey % Positive	City Range			Percent of Range	Score
			Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range		
Effective School Leadership							
○ Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	84	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.21	1.84
Teacher influence	Teachers	45	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.25	2.00
Program coherence	Teachers	77	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.42	2.68
Principal instructional leadership	Teachers	82	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.52	3.08
Section Results:		72%					2.40
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	94	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.62	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	93	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.52	
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	93				0.57	3.28
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	76	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.47	2.88
Section Results:		85%					3.08
Trust							
● Parent-teacher trust	Parents	95	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.75	4.00
Parent-principal trust	Parents	87	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.27	2.08
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	67	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.25	2.00
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	93	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.74	3.96
Section Results:		86%					3.00

2014-15 School Quality Reports / ES

Targets for 2015-16

13K093

P.S. 093 William H. Prescott

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15 School Value	2015-16 Targets			
		Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
State Test Results - ELA*					
Average Student Proficiency	2.32	2.22 or lower	2.23 to 2.32	2.33 to 2.40	2.41 or higher
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.89	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	21.2%	14.9% or lower	15.0% to 20.4%	20.5% to 24.6%	24.7% or higher
State Test Results - Math*					
Average Student Proficiency	2.36	2.26 or lower	2.27 to 2.41	2.42 to 2.53	2.54 or higher
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.86	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.91	1.92 to 2.04	2.05 or higher
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	25.0%	17.0% or lower	17.1% to 24.3%	24.4% to 29.8%	29.9% or higher
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	90.2%	85.3% or lower	85.4% to 89.0%	89.1% to 91.8%	91.9% or higher

Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15 School Value	2015-16 Targets			
		Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating					
Self-Contained	1.71	1.70 or lower	1.71 to 1.79	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 or higher
Integrated Co-Teaching					
SETSS					
ELL	1.77	2.05 or lower	2.06 to 2.18	2.19 to 2.29	2.30 or higher
Lowest Third Citywide	1.82	1.84 or lower	1.85 to 1.90	1.91 to 1.99	2.00 or higher
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.81	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher
Math - Average Proficiency Rating					
Self-Contained	1.76	1.75 or lower	1.76 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher
Integrated Co-Teaching					
SETSS					
ELL	1.83	2.12 or lower	2.13 to 2.31	2.32 to 2.46	2.47 or higher
Lowest Third Citywide	1.91	1.85 or lower	1.86 to 1.93	1.94 to 2.00	2.01 or higher
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.95	1.81 or lower	1.82 to 1.90	1.91 to 1.99	2.00 or higher
ELL Progress	76.7%	49.5% or lower	49.6% to 59.5%	59.6% to 67.0%	67.1% or higher

*To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below and have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15 School Value	2015-16 Targets			
		Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	60.8%	54.8% or lower	54.9% to 61.6%	61.7% to 69.9%	70.0% or higher
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.13	0.19 or lower	0.20 to 0.30	0.31 to 0.38	0.39 or higher