
I.S. 061 William A Morris

Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.



Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Local Assistance Plan

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at http://schoolgualityreports.nyc

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Grade 6	380	397	392
Grade 7	438	345	395
Grade 8	391	441	344
All students	1209	1183	1131

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	7%	7%	7%
% Free Lunch Eligible	70%	70%	72%
% Student with IEPs	23%	25%	26%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	11%	13%	12%
% HRA Eligible	-	54%	58%
% Temporary Housing	-	13%	12%
% Asian	4%	4%	3%
% Black	34%	35%	34%
% Hispanic	40%	42%	45%
% White	21%	18%	17%
% Other	0%	1%	1%
Average Incoming ELA Proficiency	2.73	2.42	2.42
Average Incoming Math Proficiency	3.06	2.55	2.53

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

31R061 I.S. 061 William A Morris

Student Achievement Rating Student Achievement Score

Meeting Target 3.87

		2014-15 Targets							
Student Achievement Metrics		2014-15	Bottom of	Approaching	Meeting	Exceeding	Top of		
	n	School Value	Target Range	Target	Target	Target	Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA									
Average Student Proficiency	1091	2.45	1.96	2.18	2.30	2.44	2.69	4.04	9.80%
 Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4 	1091	23.2%	1.4%	8.5%	13.6%	19.4%	27.6%	4.46	9.80%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	1048	66.0	46.6	52.7	61.4	67.2	77.5	3.79	9.80%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	367	78.0	61.4	67.3	76.0	81.7	91.9	3.35	9.80%
State Test Results - Math									
Average Student Proficiency	1093	2.32	1.83	2.12	2.31	2.53	2.85	3.05	9.80%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	1093	15.7%	0.0%	8.8%	15.4%	22.7%	32.4%	3.04	9.80%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	1054	64.0	40.3	48.2	59.7	67.4	81.0	3.56	9.80%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	369	78.0	59.1	65.0	73.6	79.3	89.4	3.77	9.80%
Core Course Pass Rates									
ELA	1081	89.9%	70.1%	78.8%	85.0%	92.0%	100.0%	3.70	1.96%
Math	1081	91.2%	70.0%	78.7%	85.0%	92.0%	100.0%	3.89	1.96%
Science	1081	89.3%	74.5%	82.2%	87.4%	93.3%	100.0%	3.32	1.96%
Social Studies	1081	84.4%	65.1%	75.1%	82.4%	90.6%	100.0%	3.24	1.96%
Percent of 8th Graders Earning HS Credit	337	30.6%	0.0%	12.3%	21.4%	31.7%	43.7%	3.89	3.92%
9th Grade Adjusted Credit Accumulation of Former 8th Graders	395	82.0%	54.0%	67.0%	77.0%	88.0%	100.0%	3.45	9.80%
								Weighted Average Score	3.62

						2	014-15 Target	s	_			
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	151	13.8%	71.5%	1.3%	0.0%	0.4%	0.8%	1.3%	2.2%	4.00	0.030	0.023
Integrated Co-Teaching	90	8.2%	45.1%	3.3%	0.0%	1.6%	3.2%	5.1%	8.4%	3.05	0.030	0.015
• SETSS	45	4.1%	44.1%	8.9%	0.0%	2.6%	5.2%	8.2%	13.6%	4.13	0.030	0.024
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	153	14.0%	74.1%	1.3%	0.0%	0.7%	1.4%	2.3%	3.8%	2.86	0.030	0.014
Integrated Co-Teaching	88	8.1%	44.8%	2.3%	0.0%	2.4%	4.8%	7.6%	12.6%	1.96	0.030	0.007
SETSS	45	4.1%	44.6%	6.7%	0.0%	3.3%	6.6%	10.4%	17.2%	3.03	0.030	0.015
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	144	13.7%	30.6%	43.1%	17.2%	27.0%	36.6%	47.8%	68.0%	3.58	0.030	0.019
Lowest Third Citywide	416	39.7%	49.7%	52.9%	31.5%	39.4%	47.2%	56.3%	72.7%	3.63	0.030	0.020
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	217	20.7%	46.3%	52.1%	29.6%	38.0%	46.2%	55.9%	73.2%	3.61	0.030	0.020
SC/ICT/SETSS	278	26.5%	64.1%	56.8%	35.0%	42.9%	50.8%	60.0%	76.4%	3.65	0.030	0.020
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	149	14.1%	29.1%	32.2%	12.4%	22.8%	33.0%	45.0%	66.4%	2.92	0.030	0.014
Lowest Third Citywide	547	51.9%	63.8%	50.3%	24.4%	34.1%	43.7%	54.9%	75.0%	3.59	0.030	0.019
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	247	23.4%	52.6%	51.4%	24.1%	34.0%	43.7%	55.1%	75.5%	3.68	0.030	0.020
SC/ICT/SETSS	276	26.2%	64.6%	49.6%	25.8%	34.5%	43.0%	53.1%	71.0%	3.65	0.030	0.020
ELL Progress	78	7.0%	19.4%	37.2%	11.3%	22.8%	34.2%	47.5%	71.3%		0.030	0.000
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.25
									Ove	rall Student Achie	vement Score	3.87

[•] Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

[•] Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

31R061 I.S. 061 William A Morris

Quality Review 4.2 Well Developed 4.99 50% NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 85% 3.00 50% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.00 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.00 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Well Developed 4.99 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 81% 2.40 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 71.4% 2.60 35% Overall 71.4% 2.60 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.22 2.29 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.24 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 2.56 Oorg Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 74% 2.44 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.44		Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
Quality Review 1.1 Well Developed 4.99 2.2% Quality Review 1.2 Proficient 3.40 2.2% Quality Review 2.2 Well Developed 4.99 2.2% NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction 8.1% 2.48 34% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.80 Islaborative Teachers Quality Review 4.2 Well Developed 4.99 50% NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 85% 3.00 50% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.00 Opertive Environment Quality Review 3.4 Well Developed 4.99 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 81% 2.40 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 2.60 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.22 2.29 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.24 <td< td=""><td>orous Instruction</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	orous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.2 Proficient 3.40 2.2% Quality Review 2.2 Well Developed 4.99 2.2% NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction 81% 2.48 34% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.80 Illaborative Teachers Well Developed 4.99 50% NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 85% 3.00 50% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.00 Proportive Environment Quality Review 3.4 Well Developed 4.99 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 81% 2.40 35% Preventage of students with 90%+ attendance 2.40 35% EMS 71.4% 2.60 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive 2.60 30% EMS 0.22 2.29 5% EMS 0.22 2.29 5% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive 8 2.24 100% </td <td></td> <td>Well Developed</td> <td>4.99</td> <td>22%</td>		Well Developed	4.99	22%
Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.80 Ilaborative Teachers		Proficient	3.40	22%
Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.80 Ilaborative Teachers	Quality Review 2.2	Well Developed	4.99	22%
Comparison Com	NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	81%	2.48	34%
Quality Review 4.2 Well Developed 4.99 50% NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 85% 3.00 50% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.00 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 4.00 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.56 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.44	Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.80	
Quality Review 4.2 Well Developed 4.99 50% NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 85% 3.00 50% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.00 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.24 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.56 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.44				
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 85% 3.00 50% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.00 Poportive Environment Quality Review 3.4 Well Developed 4.99 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 81% 2.40 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 71.4% 2.60 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.22 2.29 HS Overall 0.22 2.29 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.24 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.56 Portion Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 74% 2.44 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.44 NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 74% 2.44 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.44				
Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.00 pportive Environment Quality Review 3.4 NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 81% 2.40 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 171.4% 2.60 HS Overall 71.4% 2.60 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS Overall 0.22 2.29 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.24 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.56 TONG Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Trust 86% 2.92 100%	·			
pportive Environment Quality Review 3.4 Well Developed 4.99 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 81% 2.40 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS HS Overall 71.4% 2.60 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS Overall 0.22 2.29 HS Overall 0.22 2.29 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.24 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.56 Tong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 74% 2.44 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.44	NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	85%	3.00	50%
Quality Review 3.4 Well Developed 4.99 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 81% 2.40 35% Percentage of Students with 90%+ attendance EMS 71.4% 2.60 Survey - Supportive Environment 71.4% 2.60 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS Overall 0.22 2.29 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.24 Section Score: 3.24 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.56 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.44 NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.44 NYC School Survey - Trust 86% 2.92 100%	Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.00	
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 81% 2.40 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS Overall 71.4% 2.60 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS Overall 0.22 2.29 HS Overall 0.22 2.29 Soction Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.24 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.56 Prong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 74% 2.44 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.44 NYC School Survey - Trust 86% 2.92 100%	pportive Environment			
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS	Quality Review 3.4	Well Developed	4.99	30%
EMS HS Overall 71.4% 2.60 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS Overall 0.22 2.29 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.24 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.56 Tong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.44 NYC School Survey - Trust 86% 2.92 100%	NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	81%	2.40	35%
Overall 71.4% 2.60 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.22 2.29 HS Overall 0.22 2.29 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.24 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.56 Fong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 74% 2.44 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.44 NYC School Survey - Trust 86% 2.92 100%	Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance			
Overall 71.4% 2.60 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.22 2.29 HS Overall 0.22 2.29 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.24 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.56 FORGE Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 74% 2.44 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.44 NYC School Survey - Trust 86% 2.92 100%		71.4%	2.60	
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS Overall O.22 2.29 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.24 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: NYC School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: Section Score: 2.56 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.44 NYC School Survey - Trust 86% 2.92 100%	HS			
environments EMS HS Overall 0.22 2.29 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.24 Fective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Tong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Trust 86% 2.92 100%		71.4%	2.60	30%
EMS HS Overall 0.22 2.29 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.24 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.24 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.44 NYC School Survey - Trust 86% 2.92 100%				
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.56 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.44 NYC School Survey - Trust 86% 2.92 100%		0.22	2.29	
Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.24 Fective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.56 Frong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.44 Section Score: 2.44 NYC School Survey - Trust 86% 2.92 100%				
Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.56 Tong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.44 Section Score: 2.44 NYC School Survey - Trust 86% 2.92 100%	Overall	0.22	2.29	5%
ective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.44 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.44 NYC School Survey - Trust 86% 2.92 100%	Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.24	
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 75% 2.56 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.56 Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 74% 2.44 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.44 NYC School Survey - Trust 86% 2.92 100%				
rong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.44 ust NYC School Survey - Trust 86% 2.92 100%	-	75%	2.56	100%
Prong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.44 NYC School Survey - Trust 86% 2.92 100%	Section Rating: Annroaching Target	Section Score	2 56	
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 74% 2.44 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.44 ust NYC School Survey - Trust 86% 2.92 100%	Section Ruting. Approaching ranger	Section Score.	2.30	
Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.44 ust NYC School Survey - Trust 86% 2.92 100%	ong Family-Community Ties			
ust NYC School Survey - Trust 86% 2.92 100%	NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	74%	2.44	100%
NYC School Survey - Trust 86% 2.92 100%	Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.44	
NYC School Survey - Trust 86% 2.92 100%				
Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.92	NYC School Survey - Trust	86%	2.92	100%
	Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.92	



			City Pango				
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Range City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rigorous Instruction		Survey 70 r Ositive	Dottom of Kange	City Avg	Top of hange	refeelt of Kalige	Score
Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	83	79.4	91.4	100.0	0.19	1.76
Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	86	68.9	87.1	100.0	0.54	3.16
Course clarity	Students	88	81.3	89.7	98.1	0.42	2.68
Quality of student discussion	Teachers	68	53.2	78.4	100.0	0.32	2.28
Section Results:	reactiers	81%	33.2	70.4	100.0	0.32	2.48
Section results.		01/0					2.40
Collaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers	91	84.5	94.1	100.0	0.50	
Cultural awareness	Parents	90	87.1	93.3	99.5	0.50	
Cultural awareness	Students	75	70.6	84.2	97.8	0.16	
Cultural awareness	Combined	86	70.0	04.2	37.0	0.39	2.56
Inclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	93	81.7	93.3	100.0	0.61	3.44
Quality of professional development	Teachers	76	54.0	93.3 77.4	100.0	0.48	2.92
School commitment	Teachers	85	59.7	84.3	100.0	0.48	3.52
	Teachers	78	65.8	85.2	100.0	0.36	2.44
Innovation				95.8		0.52	3.08
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	94	86.6		100.0		
Peer collaboration	Teachers	93	76.7	91.9	100.0	0.69	3.76
Focus on student learning	Teachers	86	68.4	88.4	100.0	0.56	3.24
Collective responsibility	Teachers	70	57.5	82.3	100.0	0.29	2.16
Section Results:		85%					3.00
Consorting Forting work							
Supportive Environment							
Safety:							
Safety	Teachers	=0	c= =	00.0	00.0	0.00	
Safety	Students	79	67.5	82.9	98.3	0.38	
Safety	Combined	79				0.38	2.52
Classroom behavior:							
Classroom behavior	Teachers						
Classroom behavior	Students	78	63.4	79.2	95.0	0.45	
Classroom behavior	Combined	78				0.45	2.80
Social-emotional measure	Teachers	93	84.7	95.3	100.0	0.57	3.28
Peer interactions	Students	80	67.5	80.7	93.9	0.46	2.84
Next-level guidance	Students	83	76.9	88.3	99.7	0.28	2.12
Press toward academic achievement:							
Press toward academic achievement	Teachers						
Press toward academic achievement	Students	85	80.6	88.2	95.8	0.26	
Press toward academic achievement	Combined	85				0.26	2.04
 Personal attention and support 	Students	78	74.1	85.5	96.9	0.18	1.72
Peer support for academic work:							
Peer support for academic work	Teachers						
Peer support for academic work	Parents	80	76.8	88.6	100.0	0.14	
Peer support for academic work	Students	61	48.0	66.6	85.2	0.36	
Peer support for academic work	Combined	71				0.25	2.00
Section Results:		81%					2.40

		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Range City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	85	82.1	90.7	99.3	0.25	2.00
Teacher influence	Teachers	42	34.5	67.1	99.7	0.12	1.48
Program coherence	Teachers	86	60.8	85.2	100.0	0.64	3.56
Principal instructional leadership	Teachers	85	67.2	88.0	100.0	0.55	3.20
Section Results:	reachers	75%	07.2	00.0	100.0	0.55	2.56
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	94	79.9	92.5	100.0	0.70	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	86	81.6	90.6	99.6	0.25	
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	90				0.47	2.88
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	57	47.1	66.3	85.5	0.25	2.00
Section Results:		74%					2.44
Trust							
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	91	88.9	94.3	99.7	0.50	3.00
Parent-principal trust	Parents	93	88.6	94.8	100.0	0.50	3.00
 Student-teacher trust 	Students	75	69.2	82.0	94.8	0.24	1.96
Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	84	63.2	87.4	100.0	0.57	3.28
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	89	74.2	90.6	100.0	0.58	3.32
Section Results:		86%					2.92

Targets for 2015-16 I.S. 061 William A Morris

31R061

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15		2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Targe			
State Test Results - ELA*								
Average Student Proficiency	2.45	2.28 or lower	2.29 to 2.35	2.36 to 2.42	2.43 or higher			
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.93	1.84 or lower	1.85 to 1.93	1.94 to 1.99	2.00 or higher			
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	23.2%	14.5% or lower	14.6% to 18.2%	18.3% to 21.2%	21.3% or highe			
State Test Results - Math*								
Average Student Proficiency	2.32	2.19 or lower	2.20 to 2.32	2.33 to 2.42	2.43 or higher			
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.84	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher			
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	15.7%	11.1% or lower	11.2% to 16.9%	17.0% to 21.6%	21.7% or highe			
Core Course Pass Rates								
ELA	89.9%	78.5% or lower	78.6% to 83.5%	83.6% to 87.6%	87.7% or highe			
Math	91.2%	79.7% or lower	79.8% to 84.4%	84.5% to 88.3%	88.4% or highe			
Science	89.3%	81.8% or lower	81.9% to 86.1%	86.2% to 89.5%	89.6% or highe			
Social Studies	84.4%	77.4% or lower	77.5% to 82.7%	82.8% to 87.0%	87.1% or highe			
Percent of 8th Graders Earning HS Credit	30.6%	11.2% or lower	11.3% to 17.6%	17.7% to 22.9%	23.0% or highe			
9th Grade Adjusted Credit Accumulation of Former 8th Graders	82.0%	76.9% or lower	77.0% to 80.9%	81.0% to 84.9%	85.0% or highe			
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
-	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Targe			
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating								
Self-Contained	1.88	1.77 or lower	1.78 to 1.84	1.85 to 1.89	1.90 or higher			
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.09	1.99 or lower	2.00 to 2.07	2.08 to 2.13	2.14 or higher			
SETSS	2.19	2.01 or lower	2.02 to 2.13	2.14 to 2.22	2.23 or higher			
ELL	2.06	1.96 or lower	1.97 to 2.08	2.09 to 2.17	2.18 or higher			
Lowest Third Citywide	1.95	1.90 or lower	1.91 to 1.95	1.96 to 1.99	2.00 or higher			
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.91	1.86 or lower	1.87 to 1.91	1.92 to 1.99	2.00 or highe			
Math - Average Proficiency Rating								
Self-Contained	1.78	1.72 or lower	1.73 to 1.80	1.81 to 1.89	1.90 or higher			
Integrated Co-Teaching	1.98	1.88 or lower	1.89 to 2.00	2.01 to 2.09	2.10 or highe			
SETSS	2.04	1.91 or lower	1.92 to 2.06	2.07 to 2.19	2.20 or higher			
ELL	1.98	1.95 or lower	1.96 to 2.11	2.12 to 2.24	2.25 or highe			
Lowest Third Citywide	1.90	1.81 or lower	1.82 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or highe			
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.89	1.80 or lower	1.81 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher			
ELL Progress	37.2%	27.7% or lower	27.8% to 37.5%	37.6% to 45.5%	45.6% or highe			

^{*}To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets				
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	71.4%	65.9% or lower	66.0% to 71.8%	71.9% to 76.6%	76.7% or higher	
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.22	0.22 or lower	0.23 to 0.36	0.37 to 0.47	0.48 or higher	

^{*} If the participation in state tests is low, the targets may be adjusted to reflect the students at the school that actually take the tests.