

2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES


Summary of Section Ratings

26Q173

P.S. 173 Fresh Meadows

Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.



State Accountability Status: Reward

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at:

<http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm>

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at <http://schoolqualityreports.nyc>

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	142	140	139
Grade 1	143	151	149
Grade 2	154	136	165
Grade 3	153	163	146
Grade 4	148	154	161
Grade 5	130	146	163
All students	928	945	964

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	12%	12%	12%
% Free Lunch Eligible	44%	44%	45%
% Student with IEPs	11%	13%	13%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	3%	3%	3%
% HRA Eligible	-	23%	22%
% Temporary Housing	-	1%	1%
% Asian	60%	59%	58%
% Black	2%	1%	1%
% Hispanic	10%	10%	11%
% White	27%	28%	28%
% Other	0%	1%	2%

2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES
Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

26Q173
P.S. 173 Fresh Meadows

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score
Meeting Target	3.62

Student Achievement Metrics	n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	2014-15 Targets			Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
				Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
State Test Results - ELA									
Average Student Proficiency	458	3.00	2.46	2.77	2.94	3.13	3.34	3.32	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	458	53.9%	26.0%	40.2%	49.1%	59.3%	71.0%	3.47	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	309	60.0	51.2	59.0	65.7	70.4	81.5	2.15	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	110	63.5	53.6	62.7	70.7	76.2	89.4	2.10	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	142	2.58	1.31	1.96	2.45	2.79	3.60	3.38	9.09%
State Test Results - Math									
● Average Student Proficiency	467	3.49	2.55	2.96	3.20	3.46	3.77	4.10	9.09%
● Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	467	73.9%	31.2%	48.5%	59.1%	71.2%	85.0%	4.20	9.09%
● Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	312	71.0	46.4	56.1	64.1	69.6	83.0	4.10	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	108	65.0	51.5	60.3	67.9	73.3	86.2	2.62	9.09%
● Early Grade Progress	143	3.38	1.28	2.17	2.78	3.22	4.34	4.14	9.09%
● MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	137	99.2%	88.9%	94.3%	95.9%	97.7%	100.0%	4.65	9.09%
								Weighted Average Score	3.48

Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	2014-15 Targets			Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
						Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target				
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	21	4.6%	22.7%	4.8%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%		0.030	0.000
○ Integrated Co-Teaching	32	7.0%	35.5%	0.0%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%	1.00	0.030	0.000
○ SETSS	14	3.1%	29.5%	0.0%	0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	21	4.5%	22.4%	19.0%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%		0.030	0.000
● Integrated Co-Teaching	33	7.1%	36.2%	24.2%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%	4.45	0.030	0.026
● SETSS	14	3.0%	28.8%	42.9%	0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%	4.99	0.030	0.030
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	50	16.2%	34.6%	34.0%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%	2.78	0.030	0.013
Lowest Third Citywide	32	10.4%	13.2%	53.1%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%		0.030	0.000
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	7	2.3%	5.8%	28.6%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%		0.030	0.000
SC/ICT/SETSS	43	13.9%	28.7%	41.9%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	2.71	0.030	0.013
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	52	16.7%	34.3%	42.3%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%	3.79	0.030	0.021
Lowest Third Citywide	21	6.7%	9.2%	52.4%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%		0.030	0.000
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	3	1.0%	2.6%		14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%		0.030	0.000
SC/ICT/SETSS	43	13.8%	29.1%	30.2%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	2.06	0.030	0.008
● ELL Progress	107	11.6%	29.4%	79.4%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%	4.61	0.030	0.027
											CtAG Additional Points	0.14
											Overall Student Achievement Score	3.62

- Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).
- Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
Rigorous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Well Developed	4.99	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Well Developed	4.99	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	91%	3.44	34%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.12	

Collaborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2	Well Developed	4.99	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	93%	4.12	50%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.56	

Supportive Environment			
Quality Review 3.4	Well Developed	4.99	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	94%	3.96	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance			
EMS	94.6%	4.08	
HS			
Overall	94.6%	4.08	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments			
EMS	0.14	2.00	
HS			
Overall	0.14	2.00	5%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.20	

Effective School Leadership			
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	81%	3.48	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.48	

Strong Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	85%	3.36	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.36	

Trust			
NYC School Survey - Trust	96%	4.28	100%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.28	

		Survey % Positive	City Range			Percent of Range	Score
			Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range		
Rigorous Instruction							
• Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	95	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.75	4.00
Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	92	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.50	3.00
Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
Quality of student discussion	Teachers	86	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.57	3.28
Section Results:		91%					3.44
Collaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers	95	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.75	
Cultural awareness	Parents	96	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.75	
Cultural awareness	Students		68.6	87.4	100.0		
• Cultural awareness	Combined	95				0.75	4.00
• Inclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	97	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.81	4.24
Quality of professional development	Teachers	80	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.60	3.40
• School commitment	Teachers	92	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.80	4.20
• Innovation	Teachers	93	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.78	4.12
• Reflective dialogue	Teachers	99	87.9	95.9	100.0	0.92	4.68
• Peer collaboration	Teachers	97	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.84	4.36
• Focus on student learning	Teachers	92	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.76	4.04
• Collective responsibility	Teachers	92	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.78	4.12
Section Results:		93%					4.12
Supportive Environment							
Safety:							
Safety	Teachers	98	80.0	94.6	100.0	0.89	
Safety	Students		74.5	88.5	100.0		
• Safety	Combined	98				0.89	4.56
Classroom behavior:							
Classroom behavior	Teachers	89	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.65	
Classroom behavior	Students		67.3	84.3	100.0		
Classroom behavior	Combined	89				0.65	3.60
• Social-emotional measure	Teachers	97	89.0	96.6	100.0	0.75	4.00
Peer interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0		
Next-level guidance	Students						
Press toward academic achievement:							
Press toward academic achievement	Teachers	90	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.58	
Press toward academic achievement	Students		85.3	91.9	98.5		
Press toward academic achievement	Combined	90				0.58	3.32
Personal attention and support	Students		77.8	89.6	100.0		
Peer support for academic work:							
Peer support for academic work	Teachers	97	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.86	
Peer support for academic work	Parents	98	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.81	
Peer support for academic work	Students		50.4	73.8	97.2		
• Peer support for academic work	Combined	97				0.84	4.36
Section Results:		94%					3.96

		Survey % Positive	City Range			Percent of Range	Score
			Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range		
Effective School Leadership							
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	94	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.70	3.80
Teacher influence	Teachers	51	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.35	2.40
Program coherence	Teachers	86	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.64	3.56
• Principal instructional leadership	Teachers	91	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.77	4.08
Section Results:		81%					3.48
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	97	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.78	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	95	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.75	
• Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	96				0.77	4.08
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	74	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.40	2.60
Section Results:		85%					3.36
Trust							
• Parent-teacher trust	Parents	97	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.75	4.00
• Parent-principal trust	Parents	98	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.86	4.44
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
• Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	91	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.80	4.20
• Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	97	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.88	4.52
Section Results:		96%					4.28

2014-15 School Quality Reports / ES
Targets for 2015-16

26Q173

P.S. 173 Fresh Meadows

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15 School Value	2015-16 Targets			
		Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
State Test Results - ELA*					
Average Student Proficiency	3.00	2.77 or lower	2.78 to 2.88	2.89 to 2.95	2.96 or higher
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.40	2.17 or lower	2.18 to 2.30	2.31 to 2.39	2.40 or higher
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	53.9%	41.3% or lower	41.4% to 46.8%	46.9% to 51.0%	51.1% or higher
State Test Results - Math*					
Average Student Proficiency	3.49	3.11 or lower	3.12 to 3.26	3.27 to 3.38	3.39 or higher
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.87	2.35 or lower	2.36 to 2.52	2.53 to 2.64	2.65 or higher
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	73.9%	57.2% or lower	57.3% to 64.5%	64.6% to 69.9%	70.0% or higher
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	99.2%	89.5% or lower	89.6% to 92.2%	92.3% to 94.2%	94.3% or higher

Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15 School Value	2015-16 Targets			
		Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating					
Self-Contained	1.93	1.78 or lower	1.79 to 1.86	1.87 to 1.93	1.94 or higher
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.14	2.07 or lower	2.08 to 2.18	2.19 to 2.26	2.27 or higher
SETSS	2.19	2.08 or lower	2.09 to 2.21	2.22 to 2.30	2.31 or higher
ELL	2.73	2.36 or lower	2.37 to 2.50	2.51 to 2.60	2.61 or higher
Lowest Third Citywide	1.98	1.93 or lower	1.94 to 2.00	2.01 to 2.05	2.06 or higher
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.98	1.86 or lower	1.87 to 1.93	1.94 to 1.99	2.00 or higher
Math - Average Proficiency Rating					
Self-Contained	2.38	1.96 or lower	1.97 to 2.10	2.11 to 2.21	2.22 or higher
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.50	2.32 or lower	2.33 to 2.49	2.50 to 2.62	2.63 or higher
SETSS	2.61	2.26 or lower	2.27 to 2.44	2.45 to 2.57	2.58 or higher
ELL	3.34	2.79 or lower	2.80 to 2.98	2.99 to 3.13	3.14 or higher
Lowest Third Citywide	1.99	1.93 or lower	1.94 to 2.01	2.02 to 2.08	2.09 or higher
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide		1.90 or lower	1.91 to 1.98	1.99 to 2.05	2.06 or higher
ELL Progress	79.4%	55.9% or lower	56.0% to 65.9%	66.0% to 73.4%	73.5% or higher

*To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below and have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15 School Value	2015-16 Targets			
		Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	94.6%	81.5% or lower	81.6% to 86.2%	86.3% to 89.8%	89.9% or higher
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.14	0.14 or lower	0.15 to 0.23	0.24 to 0.29	0.30 or higher