## 2014-15 School Quality Guide / MS

**Summary of Section Ratings** 

Science, Technology and Research Early College High School at Erasmus

#### **Framework for Great Schools**

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.

Section	Section Rating	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	ExceedingTarget	
<b>Rigorous Instruction</b>	Exceeding Target				4.16	
<b>Collaborative Teachers</b>	Exceeding Target					4.80
Supportive Environment	Exceeding Target				4.36	
Effective School Leadership	Exceeding Target				4.36	
Strong Family-Community Ties	Exceeding Target					4.96
Trust	Exceeding Target				4.36	
Student Achievement	Meeting Target			3.12		
		1	2	3	4	4.99

**Section scores** are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

#### State Accountability Status: Good Standing

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: <a href="http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm">http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm</a>

#### Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at http://schoolqualityreports.nyc

# 2014-15 School Quality Guide / MS

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

# **Student Enrollment**

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Grade 6	72	56	58
Grade 7	68	73	55
Grade 8	76	70	77
All students	216	199	190

# **Student Demographics**

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	0%	0%	1%
% Free Lunch Eligible	69%	69%	69%
% Student with IEPs	2%	4%	6%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	0%	0%	0%
% HRA Eligible	-	43%	38%
% Temporary Housing	-	5%	3%
% Asian	1%	1%	2%
% Black	92%	92%	89%
% Hispanic	6%	4%	7%
% White	0%	1%	1%
% Other	0%	3%	2%
Average Incoming ELA Proficiency	3.19	3.01	3.07
Average Incoming Math Proficiency	3.64	3.22	3.33

# 17K543 Science, Technology and Research Early College High School at Erasmus



## 2014-15 School Quality Guide / MS

**Student Achievement Scoring Appendix** 

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score										
Meeting Target	3.12										
					2014-15 Targets						
Student Achievement Metrics		n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	— Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct	
State Test Results - ELA											
Average Student Proficiency		188	2.96	2.38	2.72	2.90	3.10	3.30	3.30	9.80%	
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4		188	47.9%	20.0%	31.9%	40.9%	51.1%	63.2%	3.69	9.80%	
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile		185	63.0	49.7	55.4	63.6	69.0	78.8	2.93	9.80%	
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third		63	67.0	58.6	64.3	72.5	78.0	87.6	2.33	9.80%	
State Test Results - Math											
Average Student Proficiency		188	2.98	2.33	2.74	2.99	3.26	3.55	2.96	9.80%	
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4		188	46.3%	19.1%	33.1%	44.5%	57.1%	73.4%	3.14	9.80%	
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile		185	64.0	41.9	49.9	61.7	69.5	83.5	3.29	9.80%	
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third		65	67.0	53.8	60.4	69.8	76.1	87.3	2.70	9.80%	
Core Course Pass Rates											
ELA		187	92.0%	82.0%	89.3%	92.5%	96.0%	100.0%	2.84	1.96%	
Math		187	97.3%	80.4%	87.7%	91.3%	95.4%	100.0%	4.41	1.96%	
Science		187	99.5%	79.6%	86.7%	90.6%	95.0%	100.0%	4.90	1.96%	
Social Studies		187	93.0%	81.8%	89.7%	92.7%	96.1%	100.0%	3.09	1.96%	
Percent of 8th Graders Earning HS Credit		75	64.0%	0.0%	21.7%	37.9%	55.9%	81.5%	4.32	3.92%	
9th Grade Adjusted Credit Accumulation of Former 8th Graders		67	91.0%	80.0%	88.0%	92.0%	96.0%	100.0%	2.75	9.80%	
									Weighted Average Score	3.12	

						2	014-15 Targets	5	_			
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics			Population %		Bottom of	Approaching	Meeting	Exceeding	Top of		Extra Points	Extra Points
-	n	Population %	of Range	School Value	Target Range	Target	Target	Target	Target Range	Metric Score	Possible	Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4	2											
Self-Contained	3	1.6%	8.3%		0.0%	0.4%	0.8%	1.3%	2.2%		0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	4	2.1%	11.5%		0.0%	1.6%	3.2%	5.1%	8.4%		0.030	0.000
SETSS	1	0.5%	5.4%		0.0%	2.6%	5.2%	8.2%	13.6%		0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	3	1.6%	8.5%		0.0%	0.7%	1.4%	2.3%	3.8%		0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	4	2.1%	11.6%		0.0%	2.4%	4.8%	7.6%	12.6%		0.030	0.000
SETSS	1	0.5%	5.4%		0.0%	3.3%	6.6%	10.4%	17.2%		0.030	0.000
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	2	1.1%	2.5%		17.2%	27.0%	36.6%	47.8%	68.0%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	21	11.4%	10.4%	66.7%	31.5%	39.4%	47.2%	56.3%	72.7%		0.030	0.000
, Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	12	6.5%	14.5%	83.3%	29.6%	38.0%	46.2%	55.9%	73.2%		0.030	0.000
SC/ICT/SETSS	8	4.3%	0.0%	50.0%	35.0%	42.9%	50.8%	60.0%	76.4%		0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	2	1.1%	2.3%		12.4%	22.8%	33.0%	45.0%	66.4%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	12	6.5%	7.3%	50.0%	24.4%	34.1%	43.7%	54.9%	75.0%		0.030	0.000
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	9	4.9%	11.0%	44.4%	24.1%	34.0%	43.7%	55.1%	75.5%		0.030	0.000
SC/ICT/SETSS	8	4.3%	0.0%	37.5%	25.8%	34.5%	43.0%	53.1%	71.0%		0.030	0.000
ELL Progress	1	0.5%	1.4%		11.3%	22.8%	34.2%	47.5%	71.3%		0.030	0.000
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.00
									Over	rall Student Achie	vement Score	3.12

• Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

• Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

## Science, Technology and Research Early College High School at Erasmus

## 2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

Science, Technology and Research Early College High School at Erasmus

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
prous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Well Developed	4.99	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	97%	4.64	34%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.16	
a ha an a ha an			
aborative Teachers	Well Developed	4.00	500/
Quality Review 4.2 NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	Well Developed 96%	4.99 4.56	50% 50%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.80	
portive Environment			
Quality Review 3.4	Well Developed	4.99	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	89%	4.24	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance			
EMS	94.3%	3.96	
HS	87.0%	3.88	
Overall	90.7%	3.92	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive			
environments			
EMS	1.17	4.99	
HS	0.62	3.68	
Overall	0.89	4.34	5%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.36	
ctive School Leadership	019/	4.26	10.0%
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	91%	4.36	100%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.36	
ng Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	90%	4.96	100%
		4.00	
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.96	
st			
NYC School Survey - Trust	94%	4.36	100%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.36	
action manifer Execcaning mager		-100	

### 2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

```
Science, Technology and Research Early College High School at Erasmus
```

Rigorous Instruction         • Common Core shifts in literacy       Teacher         • Course clarity       Student         • Quality of student discussion       Teacher         • Quality of student discussion       Teacher         Section Results:       Collaborative Teachers         Cultural awareness:       Cultural awareness         Cultural awareness       Teacher         Cultural awareness       Student         • Cultural awareness       Student         • Cultural awareness       Student         • Cultural awareness       Combin         • School commitment       Teacher	rs 100 s 93 s 98 97% 75 100 55 88 ed 96 rs 96 rs 96 rs 90 rs 100 rs 100 rs 93	Bottom of Range 78.0 73.4 79.8 58.6 85.7 85.4 69.5 81.4 45.8 60.1	City Range City Avg 90.2 86.8 87.6 79.2 94.3 92.4 80.9 92.4 80.9	Top of Range 100.0 100.0 95.4 99.8 100.0 99.4 92.3 100.0	Percent of Range 0.83 1.00 0.85 0.96 1.00 1.00 0.99 0.81 0.93 0.79	Score 4.32 4.99 4.40 4.84 <b>4.64</b>
<ul> <li>Common Core shifts in literacy Teacher</li> <li>Common Core shifts in math Teacher</li> <li>Course clarity Student</li> <li>Quality of student discussion Teacher</li> <li>Section Results:</li> </ul> Collaborative Teachers           Cultural awareness:           Cultural awareness	rs 96 rs 100 rs 93 rs 98 97% rs 98 97% rs 100 99 rs 88 ed 96 rs 97 rs 98 rs 96 rs 96 rs 97 rs 97	78.0 73.4 79.8 58.6 85.7 85.4 69.5 81.4 45.8 60.1	90.2 86.8 87.6 79.2 94.3 92.4 80.9 92.4	100.0 100.0 95.4 99.8 100.0 99.4 92.3 100.0	0.83 1.00 0.85 0.96 1.00 0.99 0.81 0.93	4.32 4.99 4.40 4.84 <b>4.64</b>
<ul> <li>Common Core shifts in literacy Teacher</li> <li>Common Core shifts in math Teacher</li> <li>Course clarity Student</li> <li>Quality of student discussion Teacher</li> <li>Section Results:</li> </ul> Collaborative Teachers           Cultural awareness:           Cultural awareness	rs 100 s 93 s 98 97% 75 100 55 88 ed 96 rs 96 rs 96 rs 90 rs 100 rs 100 rs 93	73.4 79.8 58.6 85.7 85.4 69.5 81.4 45.8 60.1	86.8 87.6 79.2 94.3 92.4 80.9 92.4	100.0 95.4 99.8 100.0 99.4 92.3 100.0	1.00 0.85 0.96 	4.99 4.40 4.84 <b>4.64</b>
<ul> <li>Common Core shifts in math</li> <li>Course clarity</li> <li>Quality of student discussion</li> <li>Teacher</li> <li>Section Results:</li> <li>Collaborative Teachers</li> <li>Cultural awareness:</li> <li>Cultural awareness</li> <li>Cultural awareness</li> <li>Cultural awareness</li> <li>Cultural awareness</li> <li>Cultural awareness</li> <li>Student</li> <li>Cultural awareness</li> <li>Combin</li> <li>Inclusive classroom instruction</li> <li>Teacher</li> <li>Quality of professional development</li> </ul>	rs 100 s 93 s 98 97% 75 100 55 88 ed 96 rs 96 rs 96 rs 90 rs 100 rs 100 rs 93	73.4 79.8 58.6 85.7 85.4 69.5 81.4 45.8 60.1	86.8 87.6 79.2 94.3 92.4 80.9 92.4	100.0 95.4 99.8 100.0 99.4 92.3 100.0	1.00 0.85 0.96 	4.99 4.40 4.84 <b>4.64</b>
<ul> <li>Course clarity</li> <li>Quality of student discussion</li> <li>Teacher</li> <li>Section Results:</li> <li>Collaborative Teachers</li> <li>Cultural awareness:</li> <li>Cultural awareness</li> <li>Cultural awareness</li> <li>Cultural awareness</li> <li>Cultural awareness</li> <li>Cultural awareness</li> <li>Cultural awareness</li> <li>Student</li> <li>Cultural awareness</li> <li>Cultural awareness</li> <li>Cultural awareness</li> <li>Cultural awareness</li> <li>Cultural awareness</li> <li>Cultural awareness</li> <li>Combin</li> <li>Inclusive classroom instruction</li> <li>Teacher</li> <li>Quality of professional development</li> </ul>	s 93 98 97% 100 99 s 88 ed 96 s 96 s 96 s 96 s 90 s 100 s 93	79.8 58.6 85.7 85.4 69.5 81.4 45.8 60.1	87.6 79.2 94.3 92.4 80.9 92.4	95.4 99.8 100.0 99.4 92.3 100.0	0.85 0.96 1.00 0.99 0.81 0.93	4.40 4.84 <b>4.64</b> 4.72
<ul> <li>Quality of student discussion Teacher</li> <li>Section Results:</li> <li>Collaborative Teachers</li> <li>Cultural awareness:</li> <li>Cultural awareness</li> <li>Cultural awareness</li> <li>Cultural awareness</li> <li>Cultural awareness</li> <li>Cultural awareness</li> <li>Cultural awareness</li> <li>Student</li> <li>Cultural awareness</li> <li>Combin</li> <li>Inclusive classroom instruction</li> <li>Quality of professional development</li> </ul>	rs 98 97% 	58.6 85.7 85.4 69.5 81.4 45.8 60.1	79.2 94.3 92.4 80.9 92.4	99.8 100.0 99.4 92.3 100.0	0.96 1.00 0.99 0.81 0.93	4.84 <b>4.64</b> 4.72
Section Results: Collaborative Teachers Cultural awareness: Cultural awareness Cultural awareness Cultural awareness Cultural awareness Cultural awareness Cultural awareness Cultural awareness Cultural awareness Cultural awareness Combin Inclusive classroom instruction Quality of professional development Teacher	97% 97% 100 99 100 99 100 100 100 100	85.7 85.4 69.5 81.4 45.8 60.1	94.3 92.4 80.9 92.4	100.0 99.4 92.3 100.0	1.00 0.99 0.81 <b>0.93</b>	<b>4.64</b> 4.72
Collaborative Teachers         Cultural awareness:         Cultural awareness         Cultural awareness         Cultural awareness         Cultural awareness         Cultural awareness         Cultural awareness         Student         Cultural awareness         Cultural awareness         Cultural awareness         Cultural awareness         Cultural awareness         Combin         Inclusive classroom instruction         Teacher         Quality of professional development	rs 100 99 ss 88 ed 96 rs 96 rs 90 rs 90 rs 100 rs 93	85.4 69.5 81.4 45.8 60.1	92.4 80.9 <b>92.4</b>	99.4 92.3 100.0	0.99 0.81 <b>0.93</b>	4.72
Cultural awareness:       Teacher         Cultural awareness       Teacher         Cultural awareness       Parents         Cultural awareness       Student         Cultural awareness       Combin         Inclusive classroom instruction       Teacher         Quality of professional development       Teacher	99 88 96 96 96 90 90 90 90 90 93	85.4 69.5 81.4 45.8 60.1	92.4 80.9 <b>92.4</b>	99.4 92.3 100.0	0.99 0.81 <b>0.93</b>	
Cultural awareness:       Teacher         Cultural awareness       Teacher         Cultural awareness       Parents         Cultural awareness       Student         Cultural awareness       Combin         Inclusive classroom instruction       Teacher         Quality of professional development       Teacher	99 88 96 96 96 90 90 90 90 90 93	85.4 69.5 81.4 45.8 60.1	92.4 80.9 <b>92.4</b>	99.4 92.3 100.0	0.99 0.81 <b>0.93</b>	
Cultural awarenessTeacherCultural awarenessParentsCultural awarenessStudent• Cultural awarenessCombin• Inclusive classroom instructionTeacher• Quality of professional developmentTeacher	99 88 96 96 96 90 90 90 90 90 93	85.4 69.5 81.4 45.8 60.1	92.4 80.9 <b>92.4</b>	99.4 92.3 100.0	0.99 0.81 <b>0.93</b>	
Cultural awarenessParentsCultural awarenessStudent• Cultural awarenessCombin• Inclusive classroom instructionTeacher• Quality of professional developmentTeacher	99 88 96 96 96 90 90 90 90 90 93	85.4 69.5 81.4 45.8 60.1	92.4 80.9 <b>92.4</b>	99.4 92.3 100.0	0.99 0.81 <b>0.93</b>	
Cultural awarenessStudentCultural awarenessCombinInclusive classroom instructionTeacherQuality of professional developmentTeacher	rs 88 ed 96 rs 96 rs 90 rs 100 rs 93	69.5 81.4 45.8 60.1	80.9 92.4	92.3 100.0	0.81 0.93	
<ul> <li>Cultural awareness</li> <li>Inclusive classroom instruction</li> <li>Quality of professional development</li> <li>Teacher</li> </ul>	ed 96 rs 96 rs 90 rs 100 rs 93	81.4 45.8 60.1	92.4	100.0	0.93	
<ul> <li>Inclusive classroom instruction</li> <li>Quality of professional development</li> <li>Teacher</li> </ul>	rs 96 rs 90 rs 100 rs 93	45.8 60.1				
Quality of professional development     Teacher	rs 90 rs 100 rs 93	45.8 60.1				
	rs 100 rs 93	60.1	/5.2	100.0	0.79	4.10
School commitment	rs 93			100.0		
		62.0	85.3	100.0	1.00	4.99
Innovation     Teacher	100	63.0	84.2	100.0	0.81	4.24
Reflective dialogue     Teacher		85.6	95.2	100.0	1.00	4.99
Peer collaboration     Teacher		72.0	89.8	100.0	0.92	4.68
Focus on student learning     Teacher		64.0	86.6	100.0	0.93	4.72
Collective responsibility     Teacher		54.5	80.5	100.0	0.81	4.24
Section Results:	96%					4.56
Commention For incoment						
Supportive Environment						
Safety:						
Safety Teacher		co =	<u> </u>	0.0 =	0.50	
Safety Student		69.7	83.1	96.5	0.53	
Safety Combin	ed 84				0.53	3.12
Classroom behavior:						
Classroom behavior Teacher						
Classroom behavior Student		67.0	80.4	93.8	0.83	
Classroom behavior Combin	ed 89				0.83	4.32
Social-emotional measure     Teacher	rs 99	86.5	95.3	100.0	0.94	4.76
Peer interactions Student	s 83	63.1	76.5	89.9	0.73	3.92
Next-level guidance     Student	s 92	72.1	83.3	94.5	0.88	4.52
Press toward academic achievement:						
Press toward academic achievement Teacher	S					
Press toward academic achievement Student	s 94	80.3	87.9	95.5	0.87	
Press toward academic achievement Combin	ed 94				0.87	4.48
Personal attention and support     Student		73.6	83.0	92.4	0.76	4.04
Peer support for academic work:						
Peer support for academic work Teacher	'S					
Peer support for academic work Parents		72.8	86.8	100.0	0.98	
Peer support for academic work Student		45.3	61.7	78.1	0.85	
Peer support for academic work     Combin			01.7	, 012	0.91	4.64
Section Results:	89%				0.51	4.04

### 2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

Science, Technology and Research Early College High School at Erasmus

		City Range					
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
<ul> <li>Inclusive principal leadership</li> </ul>	Parents	100	76.7	88.9	100.0	0.99	4.96
Teacher influence	Teachers	69	44.5	71.1	97.7	0.46	2.84
<ul> <li>Program coherence</li> </ul>	Teachers	100	52.0	80.8	100.0	1.00	4.99
<ul> <li>Principal instructional leadership</li> </ul>	Teachers	95	56.6	85.0	100.0	0.89	4.56
Section Results:		91%					4.36
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	99	77.3	90.9	100.0	0.97	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	99	76.9	88.1	99.3	0.98	
• Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	99				0.98	4.92
• Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	81	48.2	65.0	81.8	0.99	4.96
Section Results:		90%					4.96
Trust							
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	100	86.5	93.3	100.0	0.97	4.88
<ul> <li>Parent-principal trust</li> </ul>	Parents	99	84.5	93.1	100.0	0.96	4.84
Student-teacher trust	Students	85	69.1	79.9	90.7	0.74	3.96
<ul> <li>Teacher-principal trust</li> </ul>	Teachers	94	56.9	85.9	100.0	0.86	4.44
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	91	74.0	90.8	100.0	0.67	3.68
Section Results:		94%					4.36

### 2014-15 School Quality Reports / MS

Targets for 2015-16

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
State Test Results - ELA*								
Average Student Proficiency	2.96	2.92 or lower	2.93 to 2.99	3.00 to 3.06	3.07 or higher			
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.42	2.52 or lower	2.53 to 2.60	2.61 to 2.67	2.68 or higher			
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	47.9%	48.0% or lower	48.1% to 51.6%	51.7% to 54.7%	54.8% or higher			
itate Test Results - Math*								
Average Student Proficiency	2.98	2.99 or lower	3.00 to 3.12	3.13 to 3.23	3.24 or higher			
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.42	2.53 or lower	2.54 to 2.65	2.66 to 2.74	2.75 or higher			
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	46.3%	49.3% or lower	49.4% to 55.1%	55.2% to 59.8%	59.9% or higher			
Core Course Pass Rates								
ELA	92.0%	83.7% or lower	83.8% to 87.5%	87.6% to 90.6%	90.7% or higher			
Math	97.3%	85.2% or lower	85.3% to 88.7%	88.8% to 91.5%	91.6% or higher			
Science	99.5%	86.2% or lower	86.3% to 89.4%	89.5% to 92.0%	92.1% or higher			
Social Studies	93.0%	82.3% or lower	82.4% to 86.4%	86.5% to 89.8%	89.9% or higher			
Percent of 8th Graders Earning HS Credit	64.0%	31.0% or lower	31.1% to 43.1%	43.2% to 52.9%	53.0% or higher			
Oth Grade Adjusted Credit Accumulation of Former 8th Graders	91.0%	86.9% or lower	87.0% to 89.9%	90.0% to 91.9%	92.0% or higher			
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15		2015-16	Targets				
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
LA - Average Proficiency Rating								
Self-Contained		1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.85	1.86 to 1.91	1.92 or higher			
Integrated Co-Teaching		2.02 or lower	2.03 to 2.10	2.11 to 2.16	2.17 or higher			
SETSS		2.06 or lower	2.07 to 2.17	2.18 to 2.26	2.27 or higher			
		2.51 or lower	2.52 to 2.63	2.64 to 2.72	2.73 or higher			
ELL								
	2.27	2.01 or lower	2.02 to 2.05	2.06 to 2.09	2.10 or higher			
ELL	2.27 2.32		2.02 to 2.05 1.98 to 2.02	2.06 to 2.09 2.03 to 2.06	2.10 or higher 2.07 or higher			
ELL Lowest Third Citywide Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide		2.01 or lower			_			
ELL Lowest Third Citywide Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide		2.01 or lower			_			
ELL Lowest Third Citywide Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide Math - Average Proficiency Rating		2.01 or lower 1.97 or lower	1.98 to 2.02	2.03 to 2.06	2.07 or higher 1.96 or higher			
ELL Lowest Third Citywide Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide Math - Average Proficiency Rating Self-Contained		2.01 or lower 1.97 or lower 1.80 or lower	1.98 to 2.02 1.81 to 1.88	2.03 to 2.06 1.89 to 1.95	2.07 or higher 1.96 or higher 2.21 or higher			
ELL Lowest Third Citywide Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide Math - Average Proficiency Rating Self-Contained Integrated Co-Teaching		2.01 or lower 1.97 or lower 1.80 or lower 1.98 or lower	1.98 to 2.02 1.81 to 1.88 1.99 to 2.10	2.03 to 2.06 1.89 to 1.95 2.11 to 2.20	2.07 or higher			
ELL Lowest Third Citywide Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide Math - Average Proficiency Rating Self-Contained Integrated Co-Teaching SETSS		2.01 or lower 1.97 or lower 1.80 or lower 1.98 or lower 2.01 or lower	1.98 to 2.02 1.81 to 1.88 1.99 to 2.10 2.02 to 2.16	2.03 to 2.06 1.89 to 1.95 2.11 to 2.20 2.17 to 2.29	2.07 or higher 1.96 or higher 2.21 or higher 2.30 or higher			
ELLLowest Third CitywideBlack and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third CitywideMath - Average Proficiency RatingSelf-ContainedIntegrated Co-TeachingSETSSELL	2.32	2.01 or lower 1.97 or lower 1.80 or lower 1.98 or lower 2.01 or lower 2.75 or lower	1.98 to 2.02 1.81 to 1.88 1.99 to 2.10 2.02 to 2.16 2.76 to 2.91	2.03 to 2.06 1.89 to 1.95 2.11 to 2.20 2.17 to 2.29 2.92 to 3.04	<ul><li>2.07 or higher</li><li>1.96 or higher</li><li>2.21 or higher</li><li>2.30 or higher</li><li>3.05 or higher</li></ul>			

\*To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below and have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	94.3%	80.4% or lower	80.5% to 85.0%	85.1% to 88.7%	88.8% or higher			
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	1.17	0.15 or lower	0.16 to 0.25	0.26 to 0.32	0.33 or higher			

\* If the participation in state tests is low, the targets may be adjusted to reflect the students at the school that actually take the tests.

ge	Γ	ıg	