2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

Summary of Section Ratings

Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.

Section	Section Rating	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	ExceedingTarget
Rigorous Instruction	Meeting Target			3.56	
Collaborative Teachers	Meeting Target			3	3.88
Supportive Environment	Exceeding Target				4.08
Effective School Leadership	Exceeding Target				4.28
Strong Family-Community Ties	Meeting Target			3.08	
Trust	Exceeding Target				4.28
Student Achievement	Meeting Target			3.43	
		1	2	3	4 4.99

Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Focus

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at <u>http://schoolqualityreports.nyc</u>

2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	76	53	49
Grade 1	71	79	60
Grade 2	92	66	71
Grade 3	86	78	62
Grade 4	73	73	65
Grade 5	95	64	64
All students	527	449	405

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	15%	12%	13%
% Free Lunch Eligible	89%	89%	91%
% Student with IEPs	22%	24%	21%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	9%	7%	6%
% HRA Eligible	-	76%	78%
% Temporary Housing	-	14%	13%
% Asian	1%	0%	0%
% Black	34%	36%	36%
% Hispanic	61%	60%	60%
% White	1%	2%	2%
% Other	0%	2%	1%

07X154 P.S. 154 Jonathan D. Hyatt

2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score									
Meeting Target	3.43									
					2	014-15 Target	S			
Student Achievement Metrics		n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA										
Average Student Proficiency		181	2.29	1.93	2.13	2.26	2.41	2.69	3.20	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4		181	17.7%	0.8%	9.1%	15.1%	21.8%	33.8%	3.39	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile		117	75.0	46.9	55.7	63.3	68.7	81.4	4.50	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowes	t Third	47	78.0	61.7	69.8	76.6	81.4	92.8	3.29	9.09%
Early Grade Progress		57	1.46	0.34	0.97	1.53	1.92	2.89	2.88	9.09%
State Test Results - Math										
Average Student Proficiency		182	2.33	1.89	2.17	2.36	2.59	2.96	2.84	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4		182	20.3%	0.0%	11.7%	20.1%	29.6%	45.0%	3.02	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile		118	66.5	39.0	50.3	60.2	67.0	83.4	3.93	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowes	t Third	43	77.0	56.4	65.8	74.0	79.8	93.3	3.52	9.09%
Early Grade Progress		57	1.25	0.02	1.08	2.00	2.64	4.20	2.18	9.09%
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students		64	84.4%	73.3%	80.8%	86.2%	92.3%	100.0%	2.67	9.09%
									Weighted Average Score	3.22

						2	014-15 Target	S	_			
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	- Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4			01 1101160		i al Bet Hange	10.801	laiget	. u. Bet	i al Bet Hange			Lunicu
O Self-Contained	13	7.2%	35.5%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	18	9.9%	50.3%	5.6%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%	2.68	0.030	0.013
SETSS	3	1.7%	16.2%		0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%		0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
• Self-Contained	13	7.1%	35.3%	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
• Integrated Co-Teaching	18	9.9%	50.5%	5.6%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%	1.78	0.030	0.006
SETSS	3	1.6%	15.4%		0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%		0.030	0.000
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
• ELL	19	16.2%	34.6%	52.6%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%	4.26	0.030	0.025
Lowest Third Citywide	63	53.8%	78.1%	60.3%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%	4.26	0.030	0.025
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	27	23.1%	58.5%	51.9%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%	3.62	0.030	0.020
• SC/ICT/SETSS	21	17.9%	40.1%	57.1%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	4.11	0.030	0.023
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
• ELL	19	16.1%	33.1%	57.9%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%	4.52	0.030	0.026
Lowest Third Citywide	71	60.2%	82.7%	47.9%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%	3.50	0.030	0.019
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	24	20.3%	52.9%	41.7%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%	3.04	0.030	0.015
• SC/ICT/SETSS	21	17.8%	40.6%	76.2%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	4.99	0.030	0.030
O ELL Progress	49	13.2%	33.5%	40.8%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%	1.70	0.030	0.005
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.21
									Overa	ll Student Achie	vement Score	3.43

• Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

• Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

07X154 P.S. 154 Jonathan D. Hyatt

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

```
07X154
```

P.S. 154 Jonathan D. Hyatt

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
orous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	93%	3.84	34%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.56	
laborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2	Proficient	3.40	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	95%	4.32	50%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.88	
portive Environment			
Quality Review 3.4	Well Developed	4.99	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	92%	3.60	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance	5270	5.00	3378
EMS	74.9%	3.76	
HS			
Overall	74.9%	3.76	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive			
environments			
EMS	0.35	4.00	
HS			
Overall	0.35	4.00	5%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.08	
Section Nating. Exceeding ranget	Section Score.	7.00	
ctive School Leadership			
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	91%	4.28	100%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.28	
ong Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	84%	3.08	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.08	
		5.00	
st	2001	4.00	
NYC School Survey - Trust	96%	4.28	100%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.28	

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

07X154 P.S. 154 Jonathan D. Hyatt

				City Range			
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rigorous Instruction				, 0		0	
 Common Core shifts in literacy 	Teachers	99	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.95	4.80
Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	93	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.57	3.28
Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
Quality of student discussion	Teachers	87	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.60	3.40
Section Results:		93%					3.84
Collaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers	95	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.75	
Cultural awareness	Parents	96	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.75	
Cultural awareness	Students	50	68.6	87.4	100.0	0.75	
Cultural awareness	Combined	95	00.0	07.4	100.0	0.75	4.00
 Inclusive classroom instruction 	Teachers	98	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.86	4.44
Quality of professional development	Teachers	86	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.72	3.88
 School commitment 	Teachers	94	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.84	4.36
 Innovation 	Teachers	95	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.83	4.32
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	99	87.9	95.9	100.0	0.88	4.52
 Peer collaboration 	Teachers	97	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.84	4.36
 Focus on student learning 	Teachers	98	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.94	4.76
 Collective responsibility 	Teachers	95	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.85	4.40
Section Results:	reachers	95%	00.7	01.7	100.0	0.05	4.32
Supportive Environment Safety:							
Safety	Teachers	94	80.0	94.6	100.0	0.70	
Safety	Students		74.5	88.5	100.0		
Safety	Combined	94				0.70	3.80
Classroom behavior:							
Classroom behavior	Teachers	88	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.64	
Classroom behavior	Students		67.3	84.3	100.0		
Classroom behavior	Combined	88				0.64	3.56
 Social-emotional measure 	Teachers	99	89.0	96.6	100.0	0.89	4.56
Peer interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0		
Next-level guidance	Students						
Press toward academic achievement:							
Press toward academic achievement	Teachers	92	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.66	
Press toward academic achievement	Students		85.3	91.9	98.5		
Press toward academic achievement	Combined	92				0.66	3.64
Personal attention and support	Students		77.8	89.6	100.0		
Peer support for academic work:							
Peer support for academic work	Teachers	83	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.27	
Peer support for academic work	Parents	92	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.50	
Peer support for academic work	Students		50.4	73.8	97.2		
Peer support for academic work	Combined	88				0.38	2.52
Section Results:		92%					3.60

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

```
07X154
P.S. 154 Jonathan D. Hyatt
```

				City Range			
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	94	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.70	3.80
Teacher influence	Teachers	70	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.64	3.56
 Program coherence 	Teachers	98	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.95	4.80
 Principal instructional leadership 	Teachers	100	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.99	4.96
Section Results:		91%					4.28
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	98	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.88	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	94	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.58	
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	96				0.73	3.92
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	71	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.30	2.20
Section Results:		84%					3.08
Trust							
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	95	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.75	4.00
Parent-principal trust	Parents	94	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.64	3.56
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
 Teacher-principal trust 	Teachers	97	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.94	4.76
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	98	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.93	4.72
Section Results:		96%					4.28

2014-15 School Quality Reports / ES

Targets for 2015-16

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
State Test Results - ELA*							
Average Student Proficiency	2.29	2.12 or lower	2.13 to 2.23	2.24 to 2.31	2.32 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.00	1.80 or lower	1.81 to 1.92	1.93 to 2.01	2.02 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	17.7%	8.7% or lower	8.8% to 13.8%	13.9% to 17.7%	17.8% or higher		
State Test Results - Math*							
Average Student Proficiency	2.33	2.20 or lower	2.21 to 2.35	2.36 to 2.47	2.48 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.84	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.92	1.93 to 2.05	2.06 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	20.3%	13.2% or lower	13.3% to 20.4%	20.5% to 25.9%	26.0% or higher		
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	84.4%	85.2% or lower	85.3% to 88.9%	89.0% to 91.8%	91.9% or higher		
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15		2015-16	Targets	gets		
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained	1.73	1.69 or lower	1.70 to 1.79	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 or higher		
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.03	1.88 or lower	1.89 to 1.99	2.00 to 2.07	2.08 or higher		
SETSS		1.82 or lower	1.83 to 1.95	1.96 to 2.04	2.05 or higher		
ELL	2.27	1.98 or lower	1.99 to 2.12	2.13 to 2.23	2.24 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide	2.06	1.88 or lower	1.89 to 1.95	1.96 to 2.00	2.01 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	2.05	1.85 or lower	1.86 to 1.93	1.94 to 1.99	2.00 or higher		
Math - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained	1.71	1.77 or lower	1.78 to 1.91	1.92 to 2.01	2.02 or higher		
Integrated Co-Teaching	1.98	1.91 or lower	1.92 to 2.08	2.09 to 2.21	2.22 or higher		
SETSS		1.87 or lower	1.88 to 2.05	2.06 to 2.18	2.19 or higher		
ELL	2.12	2.12 or lower	2.13 to 2.31	2.32 to 2.46	2.47 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide	1.95	1.87 or lower	1.88 to 1.95	1.96 to 2.01	2.02 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.95	1.84 or lower	1.85 to 1.93	1.94 to 2.00	2.01 or higher		
ELL Progress	40.8%	36.8% or lower	36.9% to 46.8%	46.9% to 54.3%	54.4% or highe		

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

*To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	74.9%	65.8% or lower	65.9% to 72.6%	72.7% to 77.8%	77.9% or higher			
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.35	0.13 or lower	0.14 to 0.21	0.22 to 0.27	0.28 or higher			