
Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.



Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Focus

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at http://schoolqualityreports.nyc

P.S. 310 Marble Hill

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	120	115	139
Grade 1	129	128	114
Grade 2	140	119	122
Grade 3	105	136	111
Grade 4	110	105	121
Grade 5	102	110	105
All students	760	767	768

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	24%	20%	18%
% Free Lunch Eligible	93%	93%	93%
% Student with IEPs	18%	20%	21%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	3%	4%	2%
% HRA Eligible	-	79%	75%
% Temporary Housing	-	25%	31%
% Asian	2%	1%	2%
% Black	10%	10%	9%
% Hispanic	85%	86%	86%
% White	3%	2%	3%
% Other	0%	1%	0%

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

10X310 P.S. 310 Marble Hill

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score
Meeting Target	3.15

		2014-15 Targets								
	Student Achievement Metrics	n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
9	State Test Results - ELA									
	Average Student Proficiency	319	2.23	1.94	2.13	2.26	2.41	2.68	2.77	9.09%
0	Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	319	9.1%	1.8%	9.7%	15.1%	21.3%	33.1%	1.92	9.09%
	Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	202	56.5	46.5	54.6	61.6	66.5	78.2	2.27	9.09%
	Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	78	71.0	58.7	67.4	75.0	80.3	92.9	2.47	9.09%
	Early Grade Progress	107	1.44	0.37	1.06	1.66	2.08	3.09	2.63	9.09%
9	State Test Results - Math									
	Average Student Proficiency	323	2.56	1.93	2.20	2.38	2.58	2.94	3.90	9.09%
	Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	323	28.2%	1.2%	12.3%	20.1%	29.1%	44.1%	3.90	9.09%
	Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	210	62.0	39.4	50.0	59.2	65.7	81.0	3.43	9.09%
	Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	71	67.0	56.8	65.5	73.0	78.1	90.5	2.20	9.09%
	Early Grade Progress	109	2.17	0.19	1.24	2.15	2.79	4.34	3.03	9.09%
•	MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	103	94.0%	73.3%	80.8%	86.2%	92.3%	100.0%	4.22	9.09%
									Weighted Average Score	2.98

						2	014-15 Target	s				
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4		•					-					
O Self-Contained	19	6.0%	29.6%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	30	9.4%	47.7%	3.3%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%	1.89	0.030	0.007
o SETSS	29	9.1%	86.7%	0.0%	0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
 Self-Contained 	20	6.2%	30.8%	25.0%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%	4.99	0.030	0.030
Integrated Co-Teaching	31	9.6%	49.0%	22.6%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%	4.33	0.030	0.025
SETSS	29	9.0%	86.5%	6.9%	0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%	2.06	0.030	0.008
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	53	26.2%	56.0%	28.3%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%	2.22	0.030	0.009
Lowest Third Citywide	81	40.1%	57.6%	42.0%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%	2.39	0.030	0.010
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	37	18.3%	46.3%	37.8%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%	2.16	0.030	0.009
SC/ICT/SETSS	55	27.2%	66.8%	38.2%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	2.33	0.030	0.010
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	61	29.0%	59.5%	34.4%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%	3.08	0.030	0.016
Lowest Third Citywide	71	33.8%	46.4%	38.0%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%	2.53	0.030	0.012
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	34	16.2%	42.2%	41.2%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%	3.00	0.030	0.015
o SC/ICT/SETSS	56	26.7%	66.3%	26.8%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	1.81	0.030	0.006
ELL Progress	125	17.6%	44.7%	57.6%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%	3.25	0.030	0.017
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.17
									Overa	all Student Achie	vement Score	3.15

[•] Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

[•] Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

10X310

P.S. 310 Marble Hill

Quality Review 1.1 Well Developed 4.99 22% Quality Review 1.2 Proficient 3.40 22% Quality Review 2.2 Proficient 3.40 22% Average 2.2 Proficient 3.40 22% Average 2.2 Proficient 3.40		Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
Quality Review 1.1	gorous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.2	_	Well Developed	4.99	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction 93% 3.68 34% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.84 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.84 Section Rating: Meeting Target Well Developed 4.99 50% NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 84% 3.00 50% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.00 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Proficient 3.40 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 92% 3.64 35% Percentage of Students with 90%+ attendance EMS 79.2% 3.92 30% Movement of Students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.35 4.00 HS 0.40 1.50 1.50 1.50 1.50 1.50 1.50 1.50 1.5	·	·	3.40	22%
Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.84 Section Score: 3.84 Section Score: 3.84 Section Score: 3.84 Section Score: 3.84 Section Score: 3.84 Section Score: 3.84 Section Score: 3.84 Section Score: 3.85 Section Sco	Quality Review 2.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
Ollaborative Teachers Quality Review 4.2 Well Developed 4.99 50% NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 84% 3.00 50% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.00 Ipportive Environment Quality Review 3.4 Proficient 3.40 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 92% 3.64 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 79.2% 3.92 HS 79.2% 3.92 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.35 4.00 HS Overall 0.35 4.00 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.68 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 2.68 Fettive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 74% 2.68 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.52 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.52 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.52	NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	93%	3.68	34%
Quality Review 4.2 Well Developed 4.99 50% NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 84% 3.00 50% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.00 Ipportive Environment	Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.84	
Quality Review 4.2 Well Developed 4.99 50% NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 84% 3.00 50% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.00 Ipportive Environment				
NVC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 84% 3.00 50% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.00 Ipportive Environment Quality Review 3.4 Proficient 3.40 30% NVC School Survey - Supportive Environment 92% 3.64 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 79.2% 3.92 HS Overall 79.2% 3.92 30% Movement of Students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.35 4.00 HS Overall 0.35 4.00 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.68 Fective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 74% 2.68 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.68 Frong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 82% 2.52 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.52 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.52	llaborative Teachers			
Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.00 Ipportive Environment Quality Review 3.4 Proficient 3.40 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 92% 3.64 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 79.2% 3.92 HS Overall 79.2% 3.92 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.35 4.00 HS Overall 0.35 4.00 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.68 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 2.68 TONG Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 82% 2.52 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.52 UST NYC School Survey - Trust 86% 2.80 100%	Quality Review 4.2	Well Developed	4.99	50%
Apportive Environment Quality Review 3.4 Proficient 3.40 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 92% 3.64 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 79.2% 3.92 HS Overall 79.2% 3.92 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.35 4.00 HS Overall 0.35 4.00 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.68 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.68 TOUS Consuments Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.52 NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 82% 2.52 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.52	NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	84%	3.00	50%
Apportive Environment Quality Review 3.4 Proficient 3.40 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 92% 3.64 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 79.2% 3.92 HS Overall 79.2% 3.92 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.35 4.00 HS Overall 0.35 4.00 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.68 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.68 Prong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 82% 2.52 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.52 NYC School Survey - Trust 86% 2.80 100%	Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.00	
Quality Review 3.4 Proficient 3.40 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 92% 3.64 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 79.2% 3.92 30%	ŭ ŭ			
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 92% 3.64 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 79.2% 3.92 3.92 HS Overall 79.2% 3.92 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.35 4.00 HS Overall 0.35 4.00 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.68 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.68 Forms Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.52 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.52 WYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 82% 2.52 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.52	pportive Environment			
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EM/S Overall 79.2% 3.92 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EM/S Overall 0.35 4.00 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.68 Fective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.52 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.52 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.52	Quality Review 3.4	Proficient	3.40	30%
EMS HS Overall 79.2% 3.92 Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS Overall 0.35 4.00 HS Overall 0.35 4.00 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.68 Fective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.68 Formally-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.52 US\$ NYC School Survey - Trust 86% 2.80 100%	NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	92%	3.64	35%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.35 4.00 HS Overall 0.35 4.00 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.68 fective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 74% 2.68 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.68 rong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 82% 2.52 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.52 ust NYC School Survey - Trust 86% 2.80 100%				
Overall 79.2% 3.92 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.35 4.00 5% HS Overall 0.35 4.00 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.68 fective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 74% 2.68 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.68 rong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 82% 2.52 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.52 ust NYC School Survey - Trust 86% 2.80 100%		79.2%	3.92	
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS Overall 0.35 4.00 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.68 fective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 74% 2.68 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.68 rong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.52 ust NYC School Survey - Trust 86% 2.80 100%		70.00/	2.02	200/
environments EMS HS Overall 0.35 4.00 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.68 Fective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 74% 2.68 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.68 Frong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.52 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.52 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.52		79.2%	3.92	30%
EMS HS Overall 0.35 4.00 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.68 Fective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 74% 2.68 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.68 Frong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.52 Section Score: 2.52 Ust NYC School Survey - Trust 86% 2.80 100%				
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.52 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.52 Ust NYC School Survey - Trust 86% 2.80 100%		0.35	4.00	
Overall 0.35 4.00 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.68 fective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 74% 2.68 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.68 rong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 82% 2.52 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.52 ust NYC School Survey - Trust 86% 2.80 100%		0.35	4.00	
Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.68 fective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.68 rong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.52 section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.52 ust NYC School Survey - Trust 86% 2.80 100%		0.25	4.00	E0/
fective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 74% 2.68 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.68 rong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 82% 2.52 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.52 ust NYC School Survey - Trust 86% 2.80 100%	Overali	0.55	4.00	5%
fective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 74% 2.68 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.68 rong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 82% 2.52 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.52 ust NYC School Survey - Trust 86% 2.80 100%	Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.68	
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 74% 2.68 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.68 rong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 82% 2.52 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.52 ust NYC School Survey - Trust 86% 2.80 100%	J J J			
Section Rating: Approaching Target Frong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.52 Ust NYC School Survey - Trust 86% 2.80 100%				
rong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 82% 2.52 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.52 ust NYC School Survey - Trust 86% 2.80 100%	NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	74%	2.68	100%
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 82% 2.52 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.52 ust NYC School Survey - Trust 86% 2.80 100%	Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.68	
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 82% 2.52 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.52 Fust NYC School Survey - Trust 86% 2.80 100%				
Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.52 Fust NYC School Survey - Trust 86% 2.80 100%	rong Family-Community Ties			
rust NYC School Survey - Trust 86% 2.80 100%	NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	82%	2.52	100%
NYC School Survey - Trust 86% 2.80 100%	Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.52	
NYC School Survey - Trust 86% 2.80 100%				
		86%	2 80	100%
Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.80	THE SCHOOL SULVEY TRUST	30/0	2.00	100/0
	Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.80	



P.S. 310 Marble Hill

	City Range						
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
igorous Instruction							
Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	96	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.75	4.00
Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	90	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.50	3.00
Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
 Quality of student discussion 	Teachers	92	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.75	4.00
ection Results:		93%					3.68
ollaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers	94	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.58	
Cultural awareness	Parents	95	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.75	
Cultural awareness	Students		68.6	87.4	100.0	0.7.0	
Cultural awareness	Combined	94	00.0	0711	100.0	0.66	3.64
Inclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	94	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.62	3.48
Quality of professional development	Teachers	66	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.29	2.16
School commitment	Teachers	70	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.25	2.00
Innovation	Teachers	82	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.41	2.64
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	96	87.9	95.9	100.0	0.75	4.00
Peer collaboration	Teachers	91	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.58	3.32
Focus on student learning	Teachers	83	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.46	2.84
Collective responsibility	Teachers	82	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.48	2.92
ection Results:		84%		U	200.0	0.10	3.00
upportive Environment Safety:	Totalore	0.2	00.0	0.4.6	400.0	0.62	
Safety	Teachers	93	80.0	94.6	100.0	0.63	
Safety	Students	00	74.5	88.5	100.0	0.62	2.52
Safety	Combined	93				0.63	3.52
Classroom behavior:				0==	4000	0.04	
Classroom behavior	Teachers	87	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.61	
Classroom behavior	Students		67.3	84.3	100.0		
Classroom behavior	Combined	87				0.61	3.44
Social-emotional measure	Teachers	95	89.0	96.6	100.0	0.75	4.00
Peer interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0		
Next-level guidance	Students						
Press toward academic achievement:	T		75.0	00.0	400.0	0.50	
Press toward academic achievement	Teachers	90	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.59	
Press toward academic achievement	Students	22	85.3	91.9	98.5	2 - 2	
Press toward academic achievement	Combined	90		00.0	4000	0.59	3.36
Personal attention and support	Students		77.8	89.6	100.0		
Peer support for academic work:		9.5		0.1 =	405.5	0.55	
Peer support for academic work	Teachers	92	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.66	
Peer support for academic work	Parents	96	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.75	
Peer support for academic work	Students	2.	50.4	73.8	97.2	0.74	2.2
Peer support for academic work	Combined	94				0.71	3.84
ection Results:		92%					3.64

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

			City Range				
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	87	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.37	2.48
Teacher influence	Teachers	44	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.23	1.92
Program coherence	Teachers	79	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.48	2.92
Principal instructional leadership	Teachers	85	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.61	3.44
Section Results:		74%					2.68
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	87	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.25	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	92	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.50	
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	90				0.38	2.52
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	73	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.38	2.52
Section Results:		82%					2.52
Trust							
	Davanta	0.4	00.0	05.3	00.7	0.50	2.00
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	94	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.50	3.00
Parent-principal trust	Parents	91	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.50	3.00
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	71	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.34	2.36
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	86	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.46	2.84
Section Results:		86%					2.80

10X310

Targets for 2015-16 P.S. 310 Marble Hill

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
State Test Results - ELA*							
Average Student Proficiency	2.23	2.23 or lower	2.24 to 2.33	2.34 to 2.41	2.42 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.96	1.81 or lower	1.82 to 1.93	1.94 to 2.02	2.03 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	9.1%	13.3% or lower	13.4% to 18.8%	18.9% to 23.0%	23.1% or higher		
State Test Results - Math*							
Average Student Proficiency	2.56	2.38 or lower	2.39 to 2.53	2.54 to 2.65	2.66 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.02	1.80 or lower	1.81 to 1.97	1.98 to 2.10	2.11 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	28.2%	22.0% or lower	22.1% to 29.3%	29.4% to 34.7%	34.8% or higher		
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	94.0%	85.3% or lower	85.4% to 89.0%	89.1% to 91.8%	91.9% or higher		
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15		2015-16 Targets				
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained	1.86	1.74 or lower	1.75 to 1.83	1.84 to 1.90	1.91 or higher		
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.05	1.89 or lower	1.90 to 2.00	2.01 to 2.08	2.09 or higher		
SETSS	1.97	1.85 or lower	1.86 to 1.97	1.98 to 2.07	2.08 or higher		
ELL	2.11	2.00 or lower	2.01 to 2.14	2.15 to 2.24	2.25 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide	1.97	1.87 or lower	1.88 to 1.94	1.95 to 1.99	2.00 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.93	1.84 or lower	1.85 to 1.91	1.92 to 1.99	2.00 or higher		
Math - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained	2.46	1.91 or lower	1.92 to 2.05	2.06 to 2.15	2.16 or higher		
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.37	2.06 or lower	2.07 to 2.23	2.24 to 2.36	2.37 or higher		
SETSS	2.15	1.96 or lower	1.97 to 2.14	2.15 to 2.27	2.28 or higher		
ELL	2.39	2.15 or lower	2.16 to 2.35	2.36 to 2.50	2.51 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide	2.01	1.88 or lower	1.89 to 1.96	1.97 to 2.02	2.03 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	2.02	1.84 or lower	1.85 to 1.93	1.94 to 2.00	2.01 or higher		
ELL Progress	57.6%	38.2% or lower	38.3% to 48.2%	48.3% to 55.7%	55.8% or higher		

^{*}To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	79.2%	68.5% or lower	68.6% to 75.4%	75.5% to 80.5%	80.6% or higher			
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.35	0.12 or lower	0.13 to 0.19	0.20 to 0.25	0.26 or higher			