
Summary of Section Ratings

Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.



Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Good Standing

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at http://schoolqualityreports.nyc

P.S. 223 Lyndon B. Johnson

2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	122	114	101
Grade 1	122	125	98
Grade 2	111	110	109
Grade 3	101	104	96
Grade 4	90	92	89
Grade 5	108	86	99
All students	685	661	625

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	6%	4%	3%
% Free Lunch Eligible	82%	82%	89%
% Student with IEPs	12%	19%	23%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	7%	8%	8%
% HRA Eligible	-	62%	59%
% Temporary Housing	-	12%	11%
% Asian	9%	8%	8%
% Black	71%	73%	70%
% Hispanic	15%	13%	14%
% White	1%	1%	1%
% Other	0%	6%	7%

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

27Q223 P.S. 223 Lyndon B. Johnson

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score
Meeting Target	3.59

				2	2014-15 Target	s			
Student Achievement Metrics		2014-15	Bottom of	Approaching	Meeting	Exceeding	Top of		
	n	School Value	Target Range	Target	Target	Target	Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA									
Average Student Proficiency	274	2.45	2.06	2.28	2.40	2.54	2.79	3.36	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	274	28.1%	5.7%	15.2%	21.3%	28.4%	39.7%	3.96	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	174	66.0	47.5	55.2	61.9	66.5	77.7	3.89	9.09%
 Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third 	61	80.0	59.3	67.2	73.9	78.7	89.9	4.12	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	92	2.44	0.59	1.18	1.69	2.04	2.94	4.44	9.09%
State Test Results - Math									
Average Student Proficiency	276	2.52	2.00	2.32	2.54	2.78	3.14	2.91	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	276	27.9%	2.6%	17.1%	27.3%	39.0%	55.0%	3.05	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	175	57.0	41.0	51.8	61.3	67.8	83.5	2.55	9.09%
 Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third 	59	57.0	54.0	63.5	71.8	77.6	91.3	1.32	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	92	3.48	0.08	1.23	2.22	2.92	4.58	4.34	9.09%
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	75	91.8%	69.3%	78.2%	84.3%	91.3%	100.0%	4.06	9.09%
								Weighted Average Score	3.45

	2014-15 Targets											
					-				,			
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4		•					Ū					
 Self-Contained 	26	9.5%	46.8%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	12	4.4%	22.3%	0.0%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%		0.030	0.000
SETSS	21	7.7%	73.3%	4.8%	0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%	2.48	0.030	0.011
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
 Self-Contained 	26	9.4%	46.8%	7.7%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%	4.06	0.030	0.023
Integrated Co-Teaching	12	4.3%	21.9%	0.0%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%		0.030	0.000
O SETSS	21	7.6%	73.1%	4.8%	0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%	1.73	0.030	0.005
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	10	5.7%	12.2%	60.0%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	87	50.0%	72.5%	52.9%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%	3.70	0.030	0.020
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	50	28.7%	72.7%	54.0%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%	3.84	0.030	0.021
• SC/ICT/SETSS	40	23.0%	54.7%	65.0%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	4.45	0.030	0.026
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	11	6.3%	12.9%	18.2%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	101	57.7%	79.3%	33.7%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%	2.12	0.030	0.008
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	55	31.4%	81.8%	40.0%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%	2.90	0.030	0.014
SC/ICT/SETSS	40	22.9%	55.3%	42.5%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	3.19	0.030	0.016
ELL Progress	19	3.2%	8.1%	52.6%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%		0.030	0.000
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.14
									Overa	II Student Achie	vement Score	3.59

[•] Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

[•] Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

P.S. 223 Lyndon B. Johnson

27Q223

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
orous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	86%	2.76	34%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.20	
laborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2	Proficient	3.40	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	89%	3.48	50%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.44	
pportive Environment		4.00	2004
Quality Review 3.4	Well Developed	4.99	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	92%	3.60	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance	77.60/	2.24	
EMS HS	77.6%	3.24	
	77.60/	2.24	200/
Overall Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive	77.6%	3.24	30%
environments			
EMS	0.32	3.70	
HS	0.32	3.70	
Overall	0.32	3.70	5%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.92	
ective School Leadership			
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	79%	3.20	100%
		0.20	
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.20	
ong Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	85%	3.20	100%
TATE SCHOOL Survey - Strong Fairing-Community ries	03/0	3.20	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.20	
-c-t			
NVC School Suprov. Trust	020/	2 72	1000/
NYC School Survey - Trust	92%	3.72	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.72	



P.S. 223 Lyndon B. Johnson

			City Range				
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
ligorous Instruction		•	J	, ,		J	
Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	90	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.50	3.00
Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	90	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.50	3.00
Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
Quality of student discussion	Teachers	79	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.33	2.32
ection Results:	. 6006.6	86%		00.0		0.00	2.76
Collaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers	92	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.50	
Cultural awareness	Parents	94	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.50	
Cultural awareness	Students		68.6	87.4	100.0		
Cultural awareness	Combined	93				0.50	3.00
Inclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	92	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.51	3.04
Quality of professional development	Teachers	80	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.58	3.32
School commitment	Teachers	86	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.65	3.60
Innovation	Teachers	85	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.50	3.00
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	99	87.9	95.9	100.0	0.88	4.52
Peer collaboration	Teachers	95	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.78	4.12
Focus on student learning	Teachers	86	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.57	3.28
Collective responsibility	Teachers	87	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.62	3.48
ection Results:		89%					3.48
Supportive Environment Safety:							
Safety	Teachers	100	80.0	94.6	100.0	1.00	
Safety	Students		74.5	88.5	100.0		
 Safety 	Combined	100				1.00	4.99
Classroom behavior:							
Classroom behavior	Teachers	85	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.54	
Classroom behavior	Students		67.3	84.3	100.0		
Classroom behavior	Combined	85				0.54	3.16
 Social-emotional measure 	Teachers	97	89.0	96.6	100.0	0.75	4.00
Peer interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0		
Next-level guidance	Students						
Press toward academic achievement:							
Press toward academic achievement	Teachers	85	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.41	
Press toward academic achievement	Students		85.3	91.9	98.5		
Press toward academic achievement	Combined	85				0.41	2.64
Personal attention and support	Students		77.8	89.6	100.0		
Peer support for academic work:							
Peer support for academic work	Teachers	90	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.59	
Peer support for academic work	Parents	94	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.50	
	Ctordonto		FO 4	72.0	97.2		
Peer support for academic work	Students		50.4	73.8	97.2		
Peer support for academic work Peer support for academic work	Combined	92	50.4	/3.8	37.2	0.54	3.16

P.S. 223 Lyndon B. Johnson

				City Range			
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	90	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.50	3.00
Teacher influence	Teachers	50	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.33	2.32
Program coherence	Teachers	87	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.68	3.72
Principal instructional leadership	Teachers	87	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.67	3.68
Section Results:		79%					3.20
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	95	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.75	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	93	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.52	
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	94				0.64	3.56
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	75	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.45	2.80
Section Results:		85%					3.20
Trust							
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	95	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.75	4.00
Parent-principal trust	Parents	91	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.50	3.00
Student-teacher trust	Students	31	64.6	85.2	100.0	0.50	3.00
Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	86	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.69	3.76
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	94	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.76	4.04
Section Results:		92%					3.72

Targets for 2015-16

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15		2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
State Test Results - ELA*								
Average Student Proficiency	2.45	2.28 or lower	2.29 to 2.39	2.40 to 2.47	2.48 or higher			
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.88	1.83 or lower	1.84 to 1.95	1.96 to 2.04	2.05 or higher			
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	28.1%	16.3% or lower	16.4% to 21.8%	21.9% to 26.0%	26.1% or higher			
State Test Results - Math*								
Average Student Proficiency	2.52	2.38 or lower	2.39 to 2.53	2.54 to 2.65	2.66 or higher			
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.87	1.81 or lower	1.82 to 1.98	1.99 to 2.11	2.12 or higher			
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	27.9%	21.6% or lower	21.7% to 28.9%	29.0% to 34.4%	34.5% or higher			
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	91.8%	85.3% or lower	85.4% to 89.0%	89.1% to 91.8%	91.9% or higher			
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating								
Self-Contained	1.82	1.73 or lower	1.74 to 1.82	1.83 to 1.89	1.90 or higher			
Integrated Co-Teaching	1.83	1.89 or lower	1.90 to 2.00	2.01 to 2.08	2.09 or higher			
SETSS	1.89	1.94 or lower	1.95 to 2.06	2.07 to 2.16	2.17 or higher			
ELL	2.53	2.12 or lower	2.13 to 2.26	2.27 to 2.36	2.37 or higher			
Lowest Third Citywide	1.95	1.86 or lower	1.87 to 1.93	1.94 to 1.99	2.00 or higher			
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.90	1.84 or lower	1.85 to 1.91	1.92 to 1.99	2.00 or higher			
Math - Average Proficiency Rating								
Self-Contained	1.92	1.86 or lower	1.87 to 2.00	2.01 to 2.11	2.12 or higher			
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.05	1.93 or lower	1.94 to 2.11	2.12 to 2.24	2.25 or higher			
SETSS	2.04	1.99 or lower	2.00 to 2.17	2.18 to 2.30	2.31 or higher			
ELL	2.39	2.26 or lower	2.27 to 2.46	2.47 to 2.61	2.62 or higher			
Lowest Third Citywide	1.93	1.90 or lower	1.91 to 1.98	1.99 to 2.04	2.05 or higher			
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.89	1.87 or lower	1.88 to 1.96	1.97 to 2.02	2.03 or higher			
ELL Progress	52.6%	48.1% or lower	48.2% to 58.1%	58.2% to 65.6%	65.7% or higher			

^{*}To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	77.6%	71.1% or lower	71.2% to 77.9%	78.0% to 83.1%	83.2% or higher			
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.32	0.14 or lower	0.15 to 0.22	0.23 to 0.28	0.29 or higher			