Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.



Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Good Standing

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at http://schoolgualityreports.nyc

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

J.H.S. 216 George J. Ryan

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Grade 6	466	434	437
Grade 7	425	477	446
Grade 8	426	453	498
All students	1317	1364	1381

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	8%	9%	10%
% Free Lunch Eligible	52%	52%	59%
% Student with IEPs	11%	10%	10%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	2%	2%	2%
% HRA Eligible	-	30%	28%
% Temporary Housing	-	4%	5%
% Asian	68%	71%	70%
% Black	7%	6%	6%
% Hispanic	10%	9%	10%
% White	14%	13%	13%
% Other	0%	1%	1%
Average Incoming ELA Proficiency	3.25	3.04	3.03
Average Incoming Math Proficiency	3.77	3.47	3.45

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

26Q216 J.H.S. 216 George J. Ryan

Student Achievement Rating Student Achievement Score **Exceeding Target**4.14

				2	2014-15 Targets		_		
Student Achievement Metrics		2014-15	Bottom of	Approaching	Meeting	Exceeding	Top of		
	n	School Value	Target Range	Target	Target	Target	Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA									
Average Student Proficiency	1340	3.10	2.36	2.70	2.88	3.08	3.29	4.10	9.80%
 Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4 	1340	56.5%	19.3%	31.1%	40.1%	50.2%	62.1%	4.53	9.80%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	1266	68.0	52.0	57.0	64.2	68.9	77.4	3.81	9.80%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	445	74.0	61.4	66.1	73.0	77.6	86.0	3.22	9.80%
State Test Results - Math									
Average Student Proficiency	1364	3.41	2.31	2.73	2.98	3.25	3.55	4.53	9.80%
 Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4 	1364	67.9%	18.5%	32.5%	43.8%	56.4%	72.6%	4.71	9.80%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	1299	66.0	44.0	51.4	62.1	69.2	81.9	3.55	9.80%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	443	69.0	54.8	60.9	69.8	75.8	86.3	2.91	9.80%
Core Course Pass Rates									
• ELA	1348	99.4%	80.6%	87.9%	91.5%	95.5%	100.0%	4.87	1.96%
 Math 	1348	98.1%	80.8%	88.2%	91.6%	95.6%	100.0%	4.57	1.96%
• Science	1348	98.8%	81.3%	88.2%	91.7%	95.6%	100.0%	4.73	1.96%
Social Studies	1348	99.1%	81.0%	88.9%	92.2%	95.8%	100.0%	4.79	1.96%
Percent of 8th Graders Earning HS Credit	486	74.1%	0.0%	21.7%	37.8%	55.8%	81.2%	4.72	3.92%
9th Grade Adjusted Credit Accumulation of Former 8th Graders	419	95.0%	79.0%	87.0%	91.0%	95.0%	100.0%	4.00	9.80%
								Weighted Average Score	4.02

						2	014 15 Tauast					
		2014-15 School	Population %	2014-15	Bottom of	Approaching	014-15 Target: Meeting	S Exceeding	– Top of		Extra Points	Extra Points
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	Population %	of Range	School Value	Target Range	Target	Target	Target	Target Range	Metric Score	Possible	Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4		·				-						
Self-Contained	45	3.4%	17.6%	4.4%	0.0%	0.4%	0.8%	1.3%	2.2%		0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	58	4.3%	23.6%	20.7%	0.0%	1.6%	3.2%	5.1%	8.4%		0.030	0.000
• SETSS	44	3.3%	35.5%	20.5%	0.0%	2.6%	5.2%	8.2%	13.6%	4.99	0.030	0.030
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	45	3.3%	17.5%	8.9%	0.0%	0.7%	1.4%	2.3%	3.8%		0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	58	4.3%	23.8%	22.4%	0.0%	2.4%	4.8%	7.6%	12.6%		0.030	0.000
• SETSS	44	3.2%	34.8%	36.4%	0.0%	3.3%	6.6%	10.4%	17.2%	4.99	0.030	0.030
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	176	13.9%	31.1%	40.9%	17.2%	27.0%	36.6%	47.8%	68.0%	3.38	0.030	0.018
Lowest Third Citywide	145	11.5%	10.5%	60.7%	31.5%	39.4%	47.2%	56.3%	72.7%		0.030	0.000
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	20	1.6%	3.6%	70.0%	29.6%	38.0%	46.2%	55.9%	73.2%		0.030	0.000
SC/ICT/SETSS	143	11.3%	17.9%	42.0%	35.0%	42.9%	50.8%	60.0%	76.4%		0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	209	16.1%	33.2%	35.4%	12.4%	22.8%	33.0%	45.0%	66.4%	3.20	0.030	0.017
Lowest Third Citywide	109	8.4%	9.7%	49.5%	24.4%	34.1%	43.7%	54.9%	75.0%		0.030	0.000
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	25	1.9%	4.3%	44.0%	24.1%	34.0%	43.7%	55.1%	75.5%		0.030	0.000
SC/ICT/SETSS	142	10.9%	17.5%	39.4%	25.8%	34.5%	43.0%	53.1%	71.0%		0.030	0.000
ELL Progress	132	9.6%	26.6%	65.2%	11.3%	22.8%	34.2%	47.5%	71.3%	4.74	0.030	0.028
										CtAG Ad	ditional Points	0.12
									Ovei	rall Student Achie	evement Score	4.14

[•] Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

[•] Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

J.H.S. 216 George J. Ryan

26Q216

Section Struction		Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
Quality Review 1.2 Well Developed 4.99 2.2% Quality Review 2.2 Well Developed 4.99 2.2% NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction 88% 3.20 34% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.40 Unablity Review 4.2 Well Developed 4.99 50% NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 85% 3.04 50% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.04 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Well Developed 4.99 30% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Well Developed 4.99 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 85% 3.16 35% Precentage of students with disabilities to less restrictive EMS 4.24 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 3.25 5% EMS 0.39 3.25 5% Section Rating: Exceed	orous Instruction			
Quality Review 2.2 Well Developed 4.99 22% NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction 88% 3.20 34% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.40 Illaborative Teachers Well Developed 4.99 50% Quality Review 4.2 Well Developed 4.99 50% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.04 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.04 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Well Developed 4.99 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 85% 3.16 35% Percentage of Students with 90%+ attendance 85% 3.16 35% EMS 95.4% 4.24 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments 85% 3.25 5% EMS 0.39 3.25 5% Overall 0.39 3.25 5% BY Overall 0.39 3.25 5% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score:		Well Developed	4.99	22%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.40 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: Well Developed 4.99 50% NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 85% 3.04 50% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.04 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Well Developed 4.99 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment Quality Review 3.4 Well Developed 4.99 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 85% 3.16 35% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 85% 95.4% 4.24 Soverall Movement of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 95.4% 4.24 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.39 3.25 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.04 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.04 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 3.16 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.16 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.60 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.60 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.60	Quality Review 1.2	Well Developed	4.99	22%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.40 Ilaborative Teachers	Quality Review 2.2	Well Developed	4.99	22%
Ilaborative Teachers Quality Review 4.2 Well Developed 4.99 50% NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 85% 3.04 50% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.04 Poportive Environment Quality Review 3.4 Well Developed 4.99 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 85% 3.16 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 95.4% 4.24 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.39 3.25 HS Overall 0.39 3.25 5% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.04 Sective School Leadership 81% 3.16 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.16 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.16 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.60	NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	88%	3.20	34%
Quality Review 4.2 Well Developed 4.99 50% NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 85% 3.04 50% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.04 Well Developed 4.99 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 85% 3.16 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 95.4% 4.24 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.39 3.25 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.04 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.04 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.16 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 2.60 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.60	Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.40	
Quality Review 4.2 Well Developed 4.99 50% NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 85% 3.04 50% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.04 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.16 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 2.60 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.60 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.60	llaborative Teachers			
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 85% 3.04 50% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.04 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.04 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.04 Well Developed 4.99 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 85% 3.16 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 95.4% 4.24 HS Overall 95.4% 4.24 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.39 3.25 HS Overall 0.39 3.25 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.04 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 3.16 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.16 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.60 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.60		Well Developed	4.99	50%
Quality Review 3.4 Well Developed 4.99 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 85% 3.16 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 95.4% 4.24 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.39 3.25 HS Overall 0.39 3.25 5% Overall 0.39 3.25 5% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.04 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.16 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.16 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.60 Section Score: 3.16 Section Score: 3.1	·	·		
Quality Review 3.4 Well Developed 4.99 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 85% 3.16 35% Percentage of Students with 90%+ attendance EMS 95.4% 4.24 HS Overall 95.4% 4.24 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.39 3.25 5% Overall 0.39 3.25 5% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.04 4.04 4.04 4.04 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00	Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.04	
Quality Review 3.4 Well Developed 4.99 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 85% 3.16 35% Percentage of Students with 90%+ attendance EMS 95.4% 4.24	pportive Environment			
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 85% 3.16 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 95.4% 4.24 HS Overall 95.4% 4.24 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.39 3.25 HS Overall 0.39 3.25 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.04 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.16 Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 75% 2.60 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.60 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.60	· -	Well Developed	4.99	30%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS HS Overall 95.4% 4.24 HS Overall 95.4% 4.24 Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS Overall 0.39 3.25 MOVER Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.04 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.16 FOOR Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 86% 2.96 100%	·	·	3.16	
Overall 95.4% 4.24 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.39 3.25 HS Overall 0.39 3.25 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.04 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.16 Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 75% 2.60 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.60 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.60	Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance	95.4%	4.24	
Overall 95.4% 4.24 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.39 3.25 HS Overall 0.39 3.25 5% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.04 Ective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 81% 3.16 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.16 FORG Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 75% 2.60 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.60 ISSECTION RATING: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.60		33.170	1.2 1	
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS Doveall O.39 3.25 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.04 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: A.04 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: A.04 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: Section Score: Section Score: A.04 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: Section Score: A.04 Section Score: A.04 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: A.05 A.06 A.07 A.08 A.09 A.09 A.09 A.00 A.0		95.4%	4.24	30%
EMS HS Overall 0.39 3.25 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.04 Section Score: 4.04 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.16 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.16 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: Sectio	Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive			
Overall 0.39 3.25 5% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.04 Sective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 81% 3.16 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.16 Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 75% 2.60 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.60 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.60		0.39	3.25	
Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.16 Tong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Approaching Target NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Approaching Target NYC School Survey - Trust 86% 2.96 100%		0.39	3.25	5%
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 81% 3.16 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.16 Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 75% 2.60 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.60 IST NYC School Survey - Trust 86% 2.96 100%	Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.04	
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 81% 3.16 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.16 Tong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 75% 2.60 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.60 NYC School Survey - Trust 86% 2.96 100%				
Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.16 Tong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 75% 2.60 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.60 NYC School Survey - Trust 86% 2.96 100%	-	81%	3.16	100%
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 75% 2.60 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.60 USt NYC School Survey - Trust 86% 2.96 100%				
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 75% 2.60 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.60 ust NYC School Survey - Trust 86% 2.96 100%	Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.16	
Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.60 ust NYC School Survey - Trust 86% 2.96 100%	ong Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Trust 86% 2.96 100%	NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	75%	2.60	100%
NYC School Survey - Trust 86% 2.96 100%	Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.60	
NYC School Survey - Trust 86% 2.96 100%	ıct			
		9 60/	2 06	100%
Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.96	INTO SCHOOL SULVEY - ITUSE	0070	۷.50	100%
	Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.96	



J.H.S. 216 George J. Ryan

				City Range			
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	nge Percent of Range	
gorous Instruction			_			_	
Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	92	79.4	91.4	100.0	0.61	3.44
Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	85	68.9	87.1	100.0	0.52	3.08
Course clarity	Students	88	81.3	89.7	98.1	0.38	2.52
Quality of student discussion	Teachers	86	53.2	78.4	100.0	0.70	3.80
ection Results:		88%					3.20
ollaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers	91	84.5	94.1	100.0	0.50	
Cultural awareness	Parents	93	87.1	93.3	99.5	0.50	
Cultural awareness	Students	80	70.6	84.2	97.8	0.35	
Cultural awareness	Combined	88				0.45	2.80
Inclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	89	81.7	93.3	100.0	0.40	2.60
Quality of professional development	Teachers	74	54.0	77.4	100.0	0.44	2.76
School commitment	Teachers	83	59.7	84.3	100.0	0.57	3.28
Innovation	Teachers	84	65.8	85.2	100.0	0.52	3.08
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	90	86.6	95.8	100.0	0.50	3.00
Peer collaboration	Teachers	88	76.7	91.9	100.0	0.48	2.92
Focus on student learning	Teachers	87	68.4	88.4	100.0	0.60	3.40
Collective responsibility	Teachers	86	57.5	82.3	100.0	0.67	3.68
ction Results:		85%					3.04
pportive Environment Safety:							
Safety	Teachers						
Safety	Students						
		27	67.5	22 9	98.3	0.63	
•		87 87	67.5	82.9	98.3	0.63	2 52
Safety	Combined	87 87	67.5	82.9	98.3	0.63 0.63	3.52
Safety Classroom behavior:	Combined		67.5	82.9	98.3		3.52
Safety Classroom behavior: Classroom behavior	Combined Teachers	87				0.63	3.52
Safety Classroom behavior: Classroom behavior Classroom behavior	Combined Teachers Students	87 76	63.4	82.9 79.2	98.3	0.63	
Safety Classroom behavior: Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Classroom behavior	Teachers Students Combined	76 76	63.4	79.2	95.0	0.63 0.39 0.39	2.56
Safety Classroom behavior: Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Social-emotional measure	Teachers Students Combined Teachers	76 76 94	63.4 84.7	79.2 95.3	95.0 100.0	0.63 0.39 0.39 0.62	2.56 3.48
Safety Classroom behavior: Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Social-emotional measure Peer interactions	Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students	76 76 94 82	63.4 84.7 67.5	79.2 95.3 80.7	95.0 100.0 93.9	0.63 0.39 0.39 0.62 0.54	2.56 3.48 3.16
Safety Classroom behavior: Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Social-emotional measure Peer interactions Next-level guidance	Teachers Students Combined Teachers	76 76 94	63.4 84.7	79.2 95.3	95.0 100.0	0.63 0.39 0.39 0.62	2.56
Safety Classroom behavior: Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Social-emotional measure Peer interactions Next-level guidance Press toward academic achievement:	Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students Students	76 76 94 82	63.4 84.7 67.5	79.2 95.3 80.7	95.0 100.0 93.9	0.63 0.39 0.39 0.62 0.54	2.56 3.48 3.16
Safety Classroom behavior: Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Social-emotional measure Peer interactions Next-level guidance Press toward academic achievement: Press toward academic achievement	Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students Students Students Teachers	76 76 94 82 89	63.4 84.7 67.5 76.9	79.2 95.3 80.7 88.3	95.0 100.0 93.9 99.7	0.63 0.39 0.39 0.62 0.54 0.52	2.56 3.48 3.16
Safety Classroom behavior: Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Social-emotional measure Peer interactions Next-level guidance Press toward academic achievement: Press toward academic achievement	Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students Students Teachers Students	76 76 94 82 89	63.4 84.7 67.5	79.2 95.3 80.7	95.0 100.0 93.9	0.63 0.39 0.62 0.54 0.52	2.56 3.48 3.16 3.08
Safety Classroom behavior: Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Social-emotional measure Peer interactions Next-level guidance Press toward academic achievement: Press toward academic achievement Press toward academic achievement Press toward academic achievement	Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students Students Teachers Students Combined	87 76 76 94 82 89	63.4 84.7 67.5 76.9	79.2 95.3 80.7 88.3	95.0 100.0 93.9 99.7	0.63 0.39 0.39 0.62 0.54 0.52	2.56 3.48 3.16 3.08
Safety Classroom behavior: Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Social-emotional measure Peer interactions Next-level guidance Press toward academic achievement: Press toward academic achievement	Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students Students Teachers Students	76 76 94 82 89	63.4 84.7 67.5 76.9	79.2 95.3 80.7 88.3	95.0 100.0 93.9 99.7	0.63 0.39 0.62 0.54 0.52	2.56 3.48 3.16 3.08
Safety Classroom behavior: Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Social-emotional measure Peer interactions Next-level guidance Press toward academic achievement: Press toward academic achievement Personal attention and support Peer support for academic work:	Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students Students Teachers Students Combined Students	87 76 76 94 82 89	63.4 84.7 67.5 76.9	79.2 95.3 80.7 88.3	95.0 100.0 93.9 99.7	0.63 0.39 0.39 0.62 0.54 0.52	2.56 3.48 3.16 3.08
Safety Classroom behavior: Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Social-emotional measure Peer interactions Next-level guidance Press toward academic achievement: Press toward academic achievement Press toward academic achievement Press toward academic achievement Press toward academic achievement Personal attention and support Peer support for academic work: Peer support for academic work	Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students Students Students Teachers Students Combined Students Teachers	87 76 76 94 82 89 89 89	63.4 84.7 67.5 76.9 80.6	79.2 95.3 80.7 88.3 88.2	95.0 100.0 93.9 99.7 95.8 96.9	0.63 0.39 0.39 0.62 0.54 0.52 0.57 0.57 0.29	2.56 3.48 3.16 3.08
Safety Classroom behavior: Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Social-emotional measure Peer interactions Next-level guidance Press toward academic achievement: Press toward academic achievement Press toward academic achievement Press toward academic achievement Press toward academic achievement Personal attention and support Peer support for academic work: Peer support for academic work	Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students Students Students Teachers Students Combined Students Teachers	87 76 76 94 82 89 89 89 81	63.4 84.7 67.5 76.9 80.6 74.1	79.2 95.3 80.7 88.3 88.2 85.5	95.0 100.0 93.9 99.7 95.8 96.9	0.63 0.39 0.62 0.54 0.52 0.57 0.57 0.29	2.56 3.48 3.16 3.08
Safety Classroom behavior: Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Social-emotional measure Peer interactions Next-level guidance Press toward academic achievement: Press toward academic achievement Press toward academic achievement Press toward academic achievement Press toward academic achievement Personal attention and support Peer support for academic work: Peer support for academic work	Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students Students Students Teachers Students Combined Students Teachers	87 76 76 94 82 89 89 89	63.4 84.7 67.5 76.9 80.6	79.2 95.3 80.7 88.3 88.2	95.0 100.0 93.9 99.7 95.8 96.9	0.63 0.39 0.39 0.62 0.54 0.52 0.57 0.57 0.29	2.56 3.48 3.16 3.08

J.H.S. 216 George J. Ryan

			City Range				
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective Calculation devolution							
Effective School Leadership		22	22.4	00 =	00.0	0.50	2.25
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	92	82.1	90.7	99.3	0.59	3.36
Teacher influence	Teachers	62	34.5	67.1	99.7	0.43	2.72
Program coherence	Teachers	85	60.8	85.2	100.0	0.63	3.52
Principal instructional leadership	Teachers	84	67.2	88.0	100.0	0.52	3.08
Section Results:		81%					3.16
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	89	79.9	92.5	100.0	0.43	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	89	81.6	90.6	99.6	0.41	
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	89				0.42	2.68
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	61	47.1	66.3	85.5	0.37	2.48
Section Results:		75%					2.60
Trust							
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	94	88.9	94.3	99.7	0.50	3.00
Parent-principal trust	Parents	95	88.6	94.8	100.0	0.75	4.00
Student-teacher trust	Students	81	69.2	82.0	94.8	0.47	2.88
Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	86	63.2	87.4	100.0	0.63	3.52
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	76	74.2				
	reachers		74.2	90.6	100.0	0.09	1.36
Section Results:		86%					2.96

Targets for 2015-16

J.H.S. 216 George J. Ryan

26Q216

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15		2015-16	Targets		
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	
State Test Results - ELA*						
Average Student Proficiency	3.10	2.94 or lower	2.95 to 3.01	3.02 to 3.07	3.08 or higher	
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.47	2.31 or lower	2.32 to 2.39	2.40 to 2.46	2.47 or higher	
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	56.5%	48.8% or lower	48.9% to 52.5%	52.6% to 55.5%	55.6% or higher	
State Test Results - Math*						
Average Student Proficiency	3.41	3.11 or lower	3.12 to 3.24	3.25 to 3.34	3.35 or higher	
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.57	2.35 or lower	2.36 to 2.47	2.48 to 2.57	2.58 or higher	
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	67.9%	53.2% or lower	53.3% to 59.0%	59.1% to 63.7%	63.8% or higher	
Core Course Pass Rates						
ELA	99.4%	83.9% or lower	84.0% to 87.7%	87.8% to 90.7%	90.8% or highe	
Math	98.1%	85.2% or lower	85.3% to 88.7%	88.8% to 91.5%	91.6% or highe	
Science	98.8%	86.0% or lower	86.1% to 89.2%	89.3% to 91.9%	92.0% or highe	
Social Studies	99.1%	81.9% or lower	82.0% to 86.1%	86.2% to 89.6%	89.7% or highe	
Percent of 8th Graders Earning HS Credit	74.1%	27.6% or lower	27.7% to 39.7%	39.8% to 49.6%	49.7% or highe	
9th Grade Adjusted Credit Accumulation of Former 8th Graders	95.0%	84.9% or lower	85.0% to 88.9%	89.0% to 91.9%	92.0% or highe	
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15	2015-16 Targets				
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating						
Self-Contained	2.08	1.83 or lower	1.84 to 1.90	1.91 to 1.95	1.96 or higher	
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.44	2.25 or lower	2.26 to 2.32	2.33 to 2.39	2.40 or higher	
SETSS	2.53	2.30 or lower	2.31 to 2.41	2.42 to 2.51	2.52 or higher	
ELL	2.37	2.19 or lower	2.20 to 2.30	2.31 to 2.40	2.41 or higher	
Lowest Third Citywide	2.11	1.97 or lower	1.98 to 2.02	2.03 to 2.06	2.07 or higher	
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	2.01	1.92 or lower	1.93 to 1.97	1.98 to 2.01	2.02 or higher	
Math - Average Proficiency Rating						
Self-Contained	2.05	1.82 or lower	1.83 to 1.91	1.92 to 1.98	1.99 or higher	
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.52	2.24 or lower	2.25 to 2.36	2.37 to 2.45	2.46 or higher	
SETSS	2.88	2.30 or lower	2.31 to 2.46	2.47 to 2.58	2.59 or higher	
ELL	2.97	2.52 or lower	2.53 to 2.68	2.69 to 2.81	2.82 or higher	
Lowest Third Citywide	2.04	1.91 or lower	1.92 to 1.98	1.99 to 2.04	2.05 or higher	
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.97	1.87 or lower	1.88 to 1.94	1.95 to 1.99	2.00 or higher	
ELL Progress	65.2%	43.0% or lower	43.1% to 52.8%	52.9% to 60.8%	60.9% or highe	

^{*}To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets				
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	95.4%	80.6% or lower	80.7% to 85.2%	85.3% to 88.9%	89.0% or higher	
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.39	0.19 or lower	0.20 to 0.30	0.31 to 0.39	0.40 or higher	

^{*} If the participation in state tests is low, the targets may be adjusted to reflect the students at the school that actually take the tests.