
#### **Framework for Great Schools**

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.



**Section scores** are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

#### **State Accountability Status: Good Standing**

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: <a href="http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm">http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm</a>

#### Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at <a href="http://schoolqualityreports.nyc">http://schoolqualityreports.nyc</a>

P.S. 274 Kosciusko

# 2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

**School Enrollment and Demographic Data** 

## **Student Enrollment**

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	89	94	97
Grade 1	99	85	87
Grade 2	97	97	77
Grade 3	91	98	87
Grade 4	84	80	85
Grade 5	87	74	69
All students	600	582	555

# **Student Demographics**

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	19%	21%	22%
% Free Lunch Eligible	96%	96%	93%
% Student with IEPs	13%	12%	14%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	6%	5%	7%
% HRA Eligible	-	73%	68%
% Temporary Housing	-	24%	23%
% Asian	2%	2%	2%
% Black	17%	13%	11%
% Hispanic	80%	84%	83%
% White	0%	0%	2%
% Other	0%	1%	2%

## **Student Achievement Scoring Appendix**

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score
Meeting Target	3.19

				2	014-15 Target:	5			
Student Achievement Metrics	n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA									_
Average Student Proficiency	234	2.20	1.95	2.20	2.37	2.56	2.85	2.00	9.09%
O Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	234	11.1%	1.7%	12.5%	20.1%	28.8%	41.2%	1.87	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	148	67.5	44.7	53.4	61.0	66.3	78.8	4.10	9.09%
<ul> <li>Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third</li> </ul>	53	78.0	56.9	65.1	72.4	77.4	89.4	4.05	9.09%
O Early Grade Progress	84	1.14	0.36	1.15	1.85	2.33	3.48	1.99	9.09%
State Test Results - Math									
Average Student Proficiency	236	2.42	1.95	2.29	2.52	2.78	3.16	2.57	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	236	25.8%	0.9%	15.8%	26.5%	38.6%	55.0%	2.93	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	149	65.0	39.8	50.0	58.9	65.1	80.0	3.98	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	53	70.0	53.6	62.6	70.3	75.8	88.7	2.96	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	84	1.57	0.19	1.34	2.34	3.04	4.71	2.23	9.09%
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	69	95.8%	79.0%	85.0%	89.2%	94.0%	100.0%	4.30	9.09%
								Weighted Average Score	3.00

		2014-15 Targets					s					
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	– Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
<ul> <li>Self-Contained</li> </ul>	22	9.4%	46.3%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	6	2.6%	13.2%	0.0%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%		0.030	0.000
SETSS	6	2.6%	24.8%	0.0%	0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%		0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
<ul> <li>Self-Contained</li> </ul>	23	9.7%	48.3%	8.7%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%	4.25	0.030	0.024
Integrated Co-Teaching	6	2.5%	12.8%	33.3%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%		0.030	0.000
SETSS	5	2.1%	20.2%	0.0%	0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%		0.030	0.000
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	38	25.7%	54.9%	31.6%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%	2.54	0.030	0.012
<ul> <li>Lowest Third Citywide</li> </ul>	72	48.6%	70.4%	56.9%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%	4.08	0.030	0.023
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	34	23.0%	58.2%	52.9%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%	3.72	0.030	0.020
SC/ICT/SETSS	19	12.8%	25.5%	52.6%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	3.79	0.030	0.021
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
• ELL	39	26.2%	53.8%	56.4%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%	4.46	0.030	0.026
Lowest Third Citywide	58	38.9%	53.4%	44.8%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%	3.19	0.030	0.016
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	26	17.4%	45.3%	53.8%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%	4.04	0.030	0.023
SC/ICT/SETSS	19	12.8%	26.2%	42.1%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	3.16	0.030	0.016
O ELL Progress	110	21.9%	55.6%	44.5%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%	1.98	0.030	0.007
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.19
									Overa	II Student Achie	vement Score	3.19

<sup>•</sup> Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

<sup>•</sup> Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

### 2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

32K274 P.S. 274 Kosciusko

Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
Proficient	3.40	22%
Proficient	3.40	22%
Well Developed	4.99	22%
91%	3.24	34%
Section Score:	3.68	
Well Developed	4.99	50%
88%	3.44	50%
Section Score:	4.24	
Well Developed	4.99	30%
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		35%
69.3%	2.32	33%
00.00/		
69.3%	2.32	30%
0.19	2.45	
0.19	2.45	5%
Section Score:	3.76	
010/	2 40	1000/
δ1%	5.48	100%
Section Score:	3.48	
85%	3.24	100%
Section Score:	3.24	
93%	3.96	100%
	Proficient Well Developed 91%  Section Score:  Well Developed 88%  Section Score:  Well Developed 95% 69.3% 69.3% 0.19 0.19 Section Score:	Proficient       3.40         Well Developed       4.99         91%       3.24         Section Score:         3.68         Well Developed       4.99         88%       3.44         Section Score:       4.24         Well Developed       4.99         95%       4.12         69.3%       2.32         69.3%       2.32         0.19       2.45         Section Score:       3.76



P.S. 274 Kosciusko

				City Range			
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
igorous Instruction			•			•	
Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	92	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.50	3.00
Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	93	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.57	3.28
Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
Quality of student discussion	Teachers	88	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.62	3.48
ection Results:		91%					3.24
ollaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers	97	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.82	
Cultural awareness	Parents	95	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.75	
Cultural awareness	Students	95	68.6	87.4	100.0	0.75	
		06	08.0	87.4	100.0	0.70	4.12
Cultural awareness	Combined	96	04.3	04.6	100.0	0.78	4.12
Inclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	100	84.2	94.6	100.0	1.00	4.99
Quality of professional development	Teachers	79	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.56	3.24
School commitment	Teachers	80	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.49	2.96
Innovation	Teachers	81	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.35	2.40
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	93	87.9	95.9	100.0	0.50	3.00
Peer collaboration	Teachers	91	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.59	3.36
Focus on student learning	Teachers	94	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.81	4.24
Collective responsibility ection Results:	Teachers	78 88%	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.37	2.48 <b>3.44</b>
upportive Environment							
Safety:							
	Teachers	100	80.0	94.6	100.0	1.00	
Safety:	Teachers Students	100	80.0 74.5	94.6 88.5	100.0 100.0	1.00	
Safety: Safety		100				1.00	4.99
Safety Safety Safety	Students						4.99
Safety: Safety Safety Safety	Students						4.99
Safety: Safety Safety Safety Classroom behavior:	Students Combined	100	74.5	88.5 85.5	100.0	1.00	4.99
Safety: Safety Safety Safety Classroom behavior: Classroom behavior	Students Combined Teachers	<b>100</b> 90	74.5 66.9	88.5	100.0	1.00 0.70	
Safety: Safety Safety Safety Classroom behavior: Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Classroom behavior	Students Combined Teachers Students Combined	100 90 90	74.5 66.9 67.3	88.5 85.5 84.3	100.0 100.0 100.0	1.00 0.70 0.70	3.80
Safety: Safety Safety Safety Classroom behavior: Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Social-emotional measure	Students Combined Teachers Students Combined Teachers	<b>100</b> 90	74.5 66.9 67.3 89.0	88.5 85.5 84.3 96.6	100.0 100.0 100.0	1.00 0.70	
Safety: Safety Safety Safety Classroom behavior: Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Social-emotional measure Peer interactions	Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students	100 90 90	74.5 66.9 67.3	88.5 85.5 84.3	100.0 100.0 100.0	1.00 0.70 0.70	3.80
Safety: Safety Safety Safety Classroom behavior: Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Social-emotional measure	Students Combined Teachers Students Combined Teachers	100 90 90	74.5 66.9 67.3 89.0	88.5 85.5 84.3 96.6	100.0 100.0 100.0	1.00 0.70 0.70	3.80
Safety Safety Safety Safety Classroom behavior: Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Social-emotional measure Peer interactions Next-level guidance	Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students	100 90 90	74.5 66.9 67.3 89.0	88.5 85.5 84.3 96.6	100.0 100.0 100.0	1.00 0.70 0.70	3.80
Safety Safety Safety Classroom behavior: Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Social-emotional measure Peer interactions Next-level guidance Press toward academic achievement:	Students Combined Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students Students Students Teachers	90 90 96	74.5 66.9 67.3 89.0 68.2	88.5 85.5 84.3 96.6 84.8	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0	1.00 0.70 0.70 0.75	3.80
Safety Safety Safety Classroom behavior: Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Social-emotional measure Peer interactions Next-level guidance Press toward academic achievement Press toward academic achievement	Students Combined Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students Students Students Teachers Students	90 90 96	74.5 66.9 67.3 89.0 68.2	88.5 85.5 84.3 96.6 84.8	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0	1.00 0.70 0.70 0.75	3.80 4.00
Safety Safety Safety Classroom behavior: Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Social-emotional measure Peer interactions Next-level guidance Press toward academic achievement Press toward academic achievement Press toward academic achievement	Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students Students Teachers Students Combined	90 90 96	74.5 66.9 67.3 89.0 68.2 75.0 85.3	88.5 85.5 84.3 96.6 84.8	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 98.5	1.00 0.70 0.70 0.75	3.80
Safety Safety Safety Classroom behavior: Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Social-emotional measure Peer interactions Next-level guidance Press toward academic achievement	Students Combined Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students Students Students Teachers Students	90 90 96	74.5 66.9 67.3 89.0 68.2	88.5 85.5 84.3 96.6 84.8	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0	1.00 0.70 0.70 0.75	3.80 4.00
Safety Safety Safety Classroom behavior: Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Social-emotional measure Peer interactions Next-level guidance Press toward academic achievement Personal attention and support Peer support for academic work:	Students Combined  Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students Students  Teachers Students Combined Students	90 90 96 93 93	74.5 66.9 67.3 89.0 68.2 75.0 85.3	88.5 85.5 84.3 96.6 84.8 88.8 91.9	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 98.5	1.00 0.70 0.70 0.75	3.80 4.00
Safety Safety Safety Classroom behavior: Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Social-emotional measure Peer interactions Next-level guidance Press toward academic achievement Personal attention and support Peer support for academic work	Students Combined  Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students Students Teachers Students Combined Students Combined Students	90 90 96 93 93	74.5 66.9 67.3 89.0 68.2 75.0 85.3 77.8	88.5 85.5 84.3 96.6 84.8 88.8 91.9	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 98.5	1.00 0.70 0.70 0.75 0.73	3.80 4.00
Safety Safety Safety Classroom behavior: Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Social-emotional measure Peer interactions Next-level guidance Press toward academic achievement Personal attention and support Peer support for academic work Peer support for academic work	Students Combined  Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students Students Teachers Students Combined Students Combined Students	90 90 96 93 93	74.5 66.9 67.3 89.0 68.2 75.0 85.3 77.8 76.5 88.4	88.5 85.5 84.3 96.6 84.8 88.8 91.9 89.6	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 98.5 100.0 100.0	1.00 0.70 0.70 0.75	3.80 4.00
Safety Safety Safety Classroom behavior: Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Social-emotional measure Peer interactions Next-level guidance Press toward academic achievement Personal attention and support Peer support for academic work	Students Combined  Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students Students Teachers Students Combined Students Combined Students	90 90 96 93 93	74.5 66.9 67.3 89.0 68.2 75.0 85.3 77.8	88.5 85.5 84.3 96.6 84.8 88.8 91.9	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 98.5	1.00 0.70 0.70 0.75 0.73	3.80 4.00

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

P.S. 274 Kosciusko

		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Range City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	91	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.55	3.20
Teacher influence	Teachers	47	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.28	2.12
Program coherence	Teachers	89	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.72	3.88
<ul> <li>Principal instructional leadership</li> </ul>	Teachers	97	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.93	4.72
Section Results:		81%					3.48
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	96	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.75	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	94	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.61	
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	95				0.68	3.72
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	75	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.44	2.76
Section Results:		85%					3.24
Trust							
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	96	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.75	4.00
Parent-principal trust	Parents	95	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.75	4.00
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	87	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.70	3.80
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	94	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.75	4.00
Section Results:		93%					3.96

32K274

Targets for 2015-16 P.S. 274 Kosciusko

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15		2015-16	Targets	
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
State Test Results - ELA*					
Average Student Proficiency	2.20	2.24 or lower	2.25 to 2.34	2.35 to 2.42	2.43 or higher
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.92	1.87 or lower	1.88 to 1.99	2.00 to 2.08	2.09 or higher
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	11.1%	12.8% or lower	12.9% to 18.3%	18.4% to 22.5%	22.6% or higher
State Test Results - Math*					
Average Student Proficiency	2.42	2.40 or lower	2.41 to 2.56	2.57 to 2.68	2.69 or higher
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.99	1.86 or lower	1.87 to 2.03	2.04 to 2.16	2.17 or higher
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	25.8%	23.3% or lower	23.4% to 30.5%	30.6% to 36.0%	36.1% or higher
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	95.8%	85.1% or lower	85.2% to 88.9%	89.0% to 91.7%	91.8% or higher
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15	2015-16 Targets			
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating					
Self-Contained	1.63	1.70 or lower	1.71 to 1.79	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 or higher
Integrated Co-Teaching	1.85	1.98 or lower	1.99 to 2.09	2.10 to 2.17	2.18 or higher
SETSS	1.75	1.98 or lower	1.99 to 2.10	2.11 to 2.19	2.20 or higher
ELL	1.98	2.04 or lower	2.05 to 2.18	2.19 to 2.28	2.29 or higher
Lowest Third Citywide	2.03	1.90 or lower	1.91 to 1.97	1.98 to 2.02	2.03 or higher
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.97	1.84 or lower	1.85 to 1.92	1.93 to 1.99	2.00 or higher
Math - Average Proficiency Rating					
Self-Contained	1.89	1.76 or lower	1.77 to 1.90	1.91 to 2.00	2.01 or higher
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.40	2.04 or lower	2.05 to 2.21	2.22 to 2.34	2.35 or higher
SETSS	1.81	2.00 or lower	2.01 to 2.18	2.19 to 2.31	2.32 or higher
ELL	2.27	2.20 or lower	2.21 to 2.40	2.41 to 2.54	2.55 or higher
Lowest Third Citywide	1.97	1.91 or lower	1.92 to 1.99	2.00 to 2.05	2.06 or higher
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.99	1.87 or lower	1.88 to 1.96	1.97 to 2.02	2.03 or higher
ELL Progress	44.5%	43.5% or lower	43.6% to 53.5%	53.6% to 61.0%	61.1% or higher

<sup>\*</sup>To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	69.3%	69.1% or lower	69.2% to 76.0%	76.1% to 81.1%	81.2% or higher			
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.19	0.16 or lower	0.17 to 0.25	0.26 to 0.33	0.34 or higher			