
Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.



Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Good Standing

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at http://schoolqualityreports.nyc

P.S. 193 Alfred J. Kennedy

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	97	95	70
Grade 1	66	98	98
Grade 2	67	58	98
Grade 3	57	65	60
Grade 4	70	61	70
Grade 5	71	71	58
All students	481	500	534

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	12%	13%	12%
% Free Lunch Eligible	28%	28%	31%
% Student with IEPs	17%	14%	15%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	5%	4%	4%
% HRA Eligible	-	20%	16%
% Temporary Housing	-	1%	1%
% Asian	21%	23%	23%
% Black	0%	1%	1%
% Hispanic	18%	17%	18%
% White	61%	57%	57%
% Other	0%	1%	1%

25Q193 P.S. 193 Alfred J. Kennedy

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score
Meeting Target	3.11

				2	1014-15 Target	S			
Student Achievement Metrics		2014-15	Bottom of	Approaching	Meeting	Exceeding	Top of		
Student Achievement Wethes	n	School Value	Target Range	Target	Target	Target	Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA									
Average Student Proficiency	180	2.83	2.48	2.80	2.97	3.16	3.38	2.18	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	180	42.8%	27.1%	41.5%	50.6%	61.0%	72.9%	2.14	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	121	66.0	51.2	59.1	66.0	70.8	82.2	3.00	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	42	67.0	53.6	63.0	71.1	76.8	90.2	2.49	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	57	2.00	1.36	1.98	2.45	2.77	3.54	2.04	9.09%
State Test Results - Math									
Average Student Proficiency	180	3.22	2.58	2.99	3.22	3.49	3.80	3.00	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	180	63.3%	32.2%	49.7%	60.4%	72.6%	86.4%	3.24	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	120	74.0	45.8	55.9	64.4	70.4	84.6	4.25	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	41	74.0	50.8	60.4	68.8	74.6	88.5	3.90	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	58	2.27	1.31	2.17	2.76	3.18	4.27	2.17	9.09%
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	67	98.9%	88.8%	94.2%	95.8%	97.7%	100.0%	4.52	9.09%
								Weighted Average Score	2.99

		2014-15 Targets										
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	5	2.8%	13.8%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%		0.030	0.000
O Integrated Co-Teaching	25	13.9%	70.6%	0.0%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%	1.00	0.030	0.000
SETSS	3	1.7%	16.2%		0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%		0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	5	2.8%	13.9%	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%		0.030	0.000
 Integrated Co-Teaching 	25	13.9%	70.9%	20.0%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%	4.13	0.030	0.024
SETSS	3	1.7%	16.3%		0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%		0.030	0.000
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	19	15.7%	33.5%	26.3%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%	2.03	0.030	0.008
Lowest Third Citywide	22	18.2%	24.9%	54.5%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%		0.030	0.000
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	3	2.5%	6.3%		23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%		0.030	0.000
SC/ICT/SETSS	23	19.0%	43.3%	47.8%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	3.30	0.030	0.017
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
• ELL	20	16.7%	34.3%	55.0%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%	4.41	0.030	0.026
Lowest Third Citywide	17	14.2%	19.5%	47.1%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%		0.030	0.000
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide			0.0%		14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%		0.030	0.000
SC/ICT/SETSS	24	20.0%	47.0%	45.8%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	3.50	0.030	0.019
ELL Progress	54	11.9%	30.2%	72.2%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%	4.30	0.030	0.025
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.12
									Overa	III Student Achie	vement Score	3.11

[•] Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

[•] Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

P.S. 193 Alfred J. Kennedy

25Q193

Proficient 3.40 22% 22		Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
Doality Review 1.1	gorous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.2	_	Proficient	3.40	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction 98% 4.60 34%	·	Proficient	3.40	22%
Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.80 Section Score: 3.80 Section Score: 3.80 Section Score: 3.80 Section Score: 3.80 Section Score: 3.80 Section Score: 3.80 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.88 Section Score: 3.80 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.80 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.40 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.40 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.80 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.80 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.80 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.40		Proficient	3.40	22%
Ollaborative Teachers Quality Review 4.2 Proficient 3.40 50% NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 95% 4.32 50% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.88 Ipportive Environment Quality Review 3.4 Proficient 3.40 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 99% 4.76 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 88.6% 2.80 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.23 2.82 HS Overall 0.23 2.82 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.68 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.80 Fetive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 88% 3.80 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.80 Forms Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 86% 3.44 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.44 NYC School Survey - Trust 93% 3.64 100%	NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	98%	4.60	34%
Quality Review 4.2 Proficient 3.40 50% NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 95% 4.32 50% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.88 Ipportive Environment Quality Review 3.4 Proficient 3.40 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 99% 4.76 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 48.6% 2.80 35% Overall 88.6% 2.80 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 50 0.23 2.82 HS 50 0.23 2.82 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.68 Fective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 88% 3.80 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.44 Frong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 86% 3.44 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.44 For Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.44 For Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.44	Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.80	
Quality Review 4.2 Proficient 3.40 50% NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 95% 4.32 50% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.88 Ipportive Environment Quality Review 3.4 Proficient 3.40 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 99% 4.76 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 48.6% 2.80 35% Overall 88.6% 2.80 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 50 0.23 2.82 HS 50 0.23 2.82 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.68 Fective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 88% 3.80 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.44 Frong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 86% 3.44 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.44 For Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.44 For Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.44	ollahorative Teachers			
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 95% 4.32 50% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.88 Ipportive Environment Quality Review 3.4 Proficient 3.40 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 99% 4.76 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 88.6% 2.80 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.23 2.82 EMS 0.23 2.82 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.68 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.80 Fective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 88% 3.80 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.80 Fong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 86% 3.44 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.44 Lust NYC School Survey - Trust 93% 3.64 100%		Proficient	2 40	50%
Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.88 Inportive Environment Quality Review 3.4 Proficient 3.40 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 99% 4.76 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 88.6% 2.80 HS Overall 88.6% 2.80 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.23 2.82 HS Overall 0.23 2.82 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.68 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.80 rong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 86% 3.44 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.44 NYC School Survey - Trust 93% 3.64 100%				
Apportive Environment Quality Review 3.4 Proficient 3.40 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 99% 4.76 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS HS Overall 88.6% 2.80 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS Overall 0.23 2.82 HS Overall 0.23 2.82 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.68 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.80 Fective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 86% 3.44 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.44 NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 86% 3.44 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.64 100%	NTC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	9370	4.32	30%
Quality Review 3.4 Proficient 3.40 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 99% 4.76 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 88.6% 2.80 30% NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.44 100% NYC School Survey - Trust 93% 3.64 100% NYC School Survey - Trust 93% 3.64 100% NYC School Survey - Trust 93% 3.64 100%	Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.88	
Quality Review 3.4 Proficient 3.40 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 99% 4.76 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 88.6% 2.80 30% NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.44 100% NYC School Survey - Trust 93% 3.64 100% NYC School Survey - Trust 93% 3.64 100% NYC School Survey - Trust 93% 3.64 100%	Innortive Environment			
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 99% 4.76 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS BS.6% 2.80 Woverall 88.6% 2.80 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS Overall 0.23 2.82 EMS Overall 0.23 2.82 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.68 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.80 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.80 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.80 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.44 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.44 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.44 NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 86% 3.44 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.44		Proficient	3.40	30%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS HS Overall 88.6% 2.80 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS Overall 0.23 2.82 HS Overall 0.23 2.82 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.68 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.80 For partial Section Score: 3.80 F	·			
EMS HS Overall 88.6% 2.80 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS Overall 0.23 2.82 HS Overall 0.23 2.82 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.68 Fective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 88% 3.80 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.80 Frong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.44 NYC School Survey - Trust 93% 3.64 100%		3370	1.70	3370
Overall 88.6% 2.80 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.23 2.82 5% HS 0.23 2.82 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.68 Fective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 88% 3.80 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.80 Frong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 86% 3.44 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.44		88.6%	2.80	
Overall 88.6% 2.80 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.23 2.82 5% HS Overall 0.23 2.82 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.68 fective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 88% 3.80 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.80 rong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 86% 3.44 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.44 ust NYC School Survey - Trust 93% 3.64 100%				
environments EMS HS Overall 0.23 2.82 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.68 Fective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.80 Frong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.44 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.44 NYC School Survey - Trust 93% 3.64 100%		88.6%	2.80	30%
EMS HS Overall 0.23 2.82 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.68 Fective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.80 Frong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.44 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.44 NYC School Survey - Trust 93% 3.64 100%	Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Trust NYC School Survey - Trust 93% 3.64 100%		0.23	2.82	
Overall 0.23 2.82 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.68 fective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 88% 3.80 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.80 rong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 86% 3.44 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.44 ust NYC School Survey - Trust 93% 3.64 100%		0.23	2.02	
fective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.80 rong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.44 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.44		0.23	2.82	5%
fective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.80 rong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.44 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.44				
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 88% 3.80 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.80 rong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 86% 3.44 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.44 rust NYC School Survey - Trust 93% 3.64 100%	Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.68	
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 88% 3.80 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.80 rong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 86% 3.44 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.44 rust NYC School Survey - Trust 93% 3.64 100%				
Section Rating: Meeting Target Frong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.44 Section Score: 3.44 NYC School Survey - Trust 93% 3.64 100%	-			
rong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 86% 3.44 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.44 ust NYC School Survey - Trust 93% 3.64 100%	NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	88%	3.80	100%
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 86% 3.44 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.44 ust NYC School Survey - Trust 93% 3.64 100%	Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.80	
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 86% 3.44 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.44 Fust NYC School Survey - Trust 93% 3.64 100%				
Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.44 Fust NYC School Survey - Trust 93% 3.64 100%	rong Family-Community Ties			
rust NYC School Survey - Trust 93% 3.64 100%	NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	86%	3.44	100%
NYC School Survey - Trust 93% 3.64 100%	Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.44	
NYC School Survey - Trust 93% 3.64 100%				
		93%	3.64	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.64	THE SCHOOL SULVEY THUSE	55/0	5.07	100/0
	Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.64	

P.S. 193 Alfred J. Kennedy

				City Range			
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
igorous Instruction							
Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	100	86.4	94.8	100.0	1.00	4.99
Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	97	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.82	4.28
Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
Quality of student discussion	Teachers	96	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.87	4.48
ection Results:		98%					4.60
ollaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers	100	85.4	95.0	100.0	1.00	
Cultural awareness	Parents	94	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.50	
Cultural awareness	Students	34	68.6	87.4	100.0	0.50	
	Combined	97	08.0	87.4	100.0	0.75	4.00
 Cultural awareness Inclusive classroom instruction 	Teachers		84.2	04.6	100.0		4.00
		100		94.6	100.0	1.00	4.99
Quality of professional development	Teachers	87	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.73	3.92
School commitment	Teachers	99	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.97	4.88
Innovation	Teachers	91	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.69	3.76
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	96	87.9	95.9	100.0	0.75	4.00
Peer collaboration	Teachers	99	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.95	4.80
Focus on student learning	Teachers	99	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.97	4.88
Collective responsibility ection Results:	Teachers	87 95%	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.63	3.52 4.32
unnartiva Envirance							
upportive Environment Safety:							
	Teachers	100	80.0	94.6	100.0	1.00	
Safety:	Teachers Students	100	80.0 74.5	94.6 88.5	100.0 100.0	1.00	
Safety:		100 100				1.00	4.99
Safety: Safety Safety	Students						4.99
Safety: Safety Safety Safety	Students						4.99
Safety: Safety Safety Safety Classroom behavior:	Students Combined	100	74.5	88.5	100.0	1.00	4.99
Safety: Safety Safety Safety Classroom behavior: Classroom behavior	Students Combined Teachers	100	74.5 66.9	88.5 85.5	100.0	1.00	4.99 4.72
Safety: Safety Safety Safety Classroom behavior: Classroom behavior Classroom behavior	Students Combined Teachers Students	100 98	74.5 66.9	88.5 85.5	100.0	1.00 0.93	4.72
Safety: Safety Safety Safety Classroom behavior: Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Classroom behavior	Students Combined Teachers Students Combined	100 98 98	74.5 66.9 67.3	88.5 85.5 84.3	100.0 100.0 100.0	1.00 0.93 0.93	4.72
Safety: Safety Safety Safety Classroom behavior: Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Social-emotional measure	Students Combined Teachers Students Combined Teachers	100 98 98	74.5 66.9 67.3 89.0	88.5 85.5 84.3 96.6	100.0 100.0 100.0	1.00 0.93 0.93	4.72
Safety: Safety Safety Safety Classroom behavior: Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Social-emotional measure Peer interactions	Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students	100 98 98	74.5 66.9 67.3 89.0	88.5 85.5 84.3 96.6	100.0 100.0 100.0	1.00 0.93 0.93	4.72
Safety: Safety Safety Safety Classroom behavior: Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Social-emotional measure Peer interactions Next-level guidance	Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students	100 98 98	74.5 66.9 67.3 89.0	88.5 85.5 84.3 96.6	100.0 100.0 100.0	1.00 0.93 0.93	4.72
Safety Safety Safety Safety Classroom behavior: Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Social-emotional measure Peer interactions Next-level guidance Press toward academic achievement:	Students Combined Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students Students	98 98 100	74.5 66.9 67.3 89.0 68.2	88.5 85.5 84.3 96.6 84.8	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0	1.00 0.93 0.93 1.00	4.72
Safety Safety Safety Safety Classroom behavior: Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Social-emotional measure Peer interactions Next-level guidance Press toward academic achievement Press toward academic achievement	Students Combined Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students Students Students Teachers Students	98 98 100	74.5 66.9 67.3 89.0 68.2	88.5 85.5 84.3 96.6 84.8	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0	1.00 0.93 0.93 1.00	4.72 4.99
Safety Safety Safety Safety Classroom behavior: Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Social-emotional measure Peer interactions Next-level guidance Press toward academic achievement Press toward academic achievement Press toward academic achievement	Students Combined Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students Students Teachers Students Combined	98 98 100	74.5 66.9 67.3 89.0 68.2 75.0 85.3	88.5 85.5 84.3 96.6 84.8	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 98.5	1.00 0.93 0.93 1.00	4.72 4.99
Safety Safety Safety Safety Classroom behavior: Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Social-emotional measure Peer interactions Next-level guidance Press toward academic achievement	Students Combined Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students Students Students Teachers Students	98 98 100	74.5 66.9 67.3 89.0 68.2	88.5 85.5 84.3 96.6 84.8	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0	1.00 0.93 0.93 1.00	4.72 4.99
Safety Safety Safety Classroom behavior: Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Social-emotional measure Peer interactions Next-level guidance Press toward academic achievement Personal attention and support Peer support for academic work:	Students Combined Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students Students Teachers Students Combined Students	98 98 100 98	74.5 66.9 67.3 89.0 68.2 75.0 85.3	88.5 85.5 84.3 96.6 84.8 88.8 91.9	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 98.5	1.00 0.93 0.93 1.00	4.72 4.99
Safety Safety Safety Classroom behavior: Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Social-emotional measure Peer interactions Next-level guidance Press toward academic achievement Personal attention and support Peer support for academic work	Students Combined Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students Students Teachers Students Combined Students Combined Students	98 98 100 98	74.5 66.9 67.3 89.0 68.2 75.0 85.3 77.8	88.5 85.5 84.3 96.6 84.8 88.8 91.9	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 98.5	1.00 0.93 0.93 1.00	4.72 4.99
Safety Safety Safety Classroom behavior: Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Social-emotional measure Peer interactions Next-level guidance Press toward academic achievement Personal attention and support Peer support for academic work Peer support for academic work	Students Combined Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students Students Teachers Students Combined Students Combined Students	98 98 100 98	74.5 66.9 67.3 89.0 68.2 75.0 85.3 77.8	88.5 85.5 84.3 96.6 84.8 88.8 91.9 89.6	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 98.5 100.0 100.0 100.0	1.00 0.93 0.93 1.00	4.72 4.99
Safety Safety Safety Classroom behavior: Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Social-emotional measure Peer interactions Next-level guidance Press toward academic achievement Personal attention and support Peer support for academic work	Students Combined Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students Students Teachers Students Combined Students Combined Students	98 98 100 98	74.5 66.9 67.3 89.0 68.2 75.0 85.3 77.8	88.5 85.5 84.3 96.6 84.8 88.8 91.9	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 98.5	1.00 0.93 0.93 1.00	

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

P.S. 193 Alfred J. Kennedy

			City Range				
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
5" 61 1. 1							
Effective School Leadership							
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	86	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.31	2.24
Teacher influence	Teachers	76	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.74	3.96
 Program coherence 	Teachers	95	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.88	4.52
 Principal instructional leadership 	Teachers	94	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.85	4.40
Section Results:		88%					3.80
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	99	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.92	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	92	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.50	
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	95				0.71	3.84
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	77	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.50	3.00
Section Results:		86%					3.44
Trust							
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	95	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.75	4.00
Parent-principal trust	Parents	86	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.25	2.00
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0	0.23	2.00
Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	95	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.88	4.52
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	94	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.75	4.00
Section Results:	reactiets	93%	/4.1	30.3	100.0	0.75	3.64

Targets for 2015-16 P.S. 193 Alfred J. Kennedy

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
State Test Results - ELA*								
Average Student Proficiency	2.83	2.81 or lower	2.82 to 2.91	2.92 to 2.99	3.00 or higher			
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.16	2.24 or lower	2.25 to 2.36	2.37 to 2.45	2.46 or higher			
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	42.8%	43.8% or lower	43.9% to 49.3%	49.4% to 53.4%	53.5% or higher			
State Test Results - Math*								
Average Student Proficiency	3.22	3.13 or lower	3.14 to 3.28	3.29 to 3.40	3.41 or higher			
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.45	2.43 or lower	2.44 to 2.60	2.61 to 2.73	2.74 or higher			
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	63.3%	58.0% or lower	58.1% to 65.3%	65.4% to 70.8%	70.9% or higher			
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	98.9%	88.9% or lower	89.0% to 91.7%	91.8% to 93.9%	94.0% or higher			
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating								
Self-Contained	1.84	1.77 or lower	1.78 to 1.86	1.87 to 1.92	1.93 or higher			
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.16	2.09 or lower	2.10 to 2.20	2.21 to 2.28	2.29 or higher			
SETSS		2.07 or lower	2.08 to 2.19	2.20 to 2.28	2.29 or higher			
ELL	2.50	2.38 or lower	2.39 to 2.52	2.53 to 2.62	2.63 or higher			
Lowest Third Citywide	2.05	1.97 or lower	1.98 to 2.04	2.05 to 2.10	2.11 or higher			
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide		1.92 or lower	1.93 to 1.99	2.00 to 2.05	2.06 or higher			
Math - Average Proficiency Rating								
Self-Contained	2.01	2.00 or lower	2.01 to 2.14	2.15 to 2.24	2.25 or higher			
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.37	2.26 or lower	2.27 to 2.43	2.44 to 2.56	2.57 or higher			
SETSS		2.26 or lower	2.27 to 2.44	2.45 to 2.57	2.58 or higher			
ELL	3.15	2.82 or lower	2.83 to 3.02	3.03 to 3.17	3.18 or higher			
Lowest Third Citywide	2.14	2.00 or lower	2.01 to 2.08	2.09 to 2.15	2.16 or higher			
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide		1.92 or lower	1.93 to 2.01	2.02 to 2.07	2.08 or higher			
ELL Progress	72.2%	57.5% or lower	57.6% to 67.5%	67.6% to 75.0%	75.1% or higher			

^{*}To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	88.6%	80.6% or lower	80.7% to 85.6%	85.7% to 89.3%	89.4% or higher			
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.23	0.13 or lower	0.14 to 0.21	0.22 to 0.27	0.28 or higher			