### **Summary of Section Ratings**

#### **Framework for Great Schools**

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.

Section	Section Rating	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	ExceedingTarget
Rigorous Instruction	N/A				
<b>Collaborative Teachers</b>	N/A				
Supportive Environment	N/A				
Effective School Leadership	N/A				
Strong Family-Community Ties	N/A				
Trust	N/A				
Student Achievement	N/A				
		1	2	3	4 4.99

**Section scores** are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

#### **State Accountability Status: Priority**

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: <a href="http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm">http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm</a>

#### Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at <a href="http://schoolqualityreports.nyc">http://schoolqualityreports.nyc</a>

# Jonathan Levin High School for Media and Communications

## 2014-15 School Quality Guide / HS

**School Enrollment and Demographic Data** 

## **Student Enrollment**

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Grade 9	80	1	-
Grade 10	103	81	-
Grade 11	95	91	57
Grade 12	73	76	103
All students	351	249	160

## **Student Demographics**

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	37%	40%	40%
% Free Lunch Eligible	78%	78%	78%
% Student with IEPs	25%	25%	29%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	17%	16%	19%
% Overage Under-credited	23%	20%	19%
% HRA Eligible	-	-	64%
% Temporary Housing	-	-	21%
% Asian	1%	2%	1%
% Black	24%	23%	21%
% Hispanic	74%	75%	77%
% White	1%	1%	1%
% Other	0%	0%	0%
Average Incoming ELA Proficiency	2.40	2.14	2.05
Average Incoming Math Proficiency	2.49	2.04	1.98

### **Student Achievement Scoring Appendix**

Student Achievement Rating Student Achievement Score

		2014-15 Targets								
	Student Achievement Metrics		2014-15	Bottom of	Approaching	Meeting	Exceeding	Top of		
		n	School Value	Target Range	Target	Target	Target	Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
(	Credit Accumulation									
	Percent of Students Earning 10+ Credits in 1st Year			51.6%	64.2%	73.0%	81.3%	94.4%		0.00%
	Percent of Students in School's Lowest Third Earning 10+ Credits in 1st Year			26.5%	45.5%	58.8%	71.4%	91.2%		0.00%
	Percent of Students Earning 10+ Credits in 2nd Year			41.9%	55.5%	65.1%	74.1%	88.8%		0.00%
	Percent of Students in School's Lowest Third Earning 10+ Credits in 2nd Year			16.7%	35.6%	48.9%	61.5%	82.2%		0.00%
	Percent of Students Earning 10+ Credits in 3rd Year	40	55.0%	40.9%	53.8%	62.9%	71.5%	85.9%	2.13	5.00%
	Percent of Students in School's Lowest Third Earning 10+ Credits in 3rd Year	15	53.3%	17.9%	35.3%	47.4%	58.8%	78.5%	3.52	5.00%
	Regents Performance									
0	Average Completion Rate for Remaining Regents	113	18.8%	14.2%	27.6%	36.7%	45.3%	63.7%	1.34	5.00%
	Weighted Regents Pass Rate - ELA	92	0.66	0.44	0.64	0.78	0.92	1.15	2.14	5.00%
0	Weighted Regents Pass Rate - Math	54	0.57	0.32	0.62	0.83	1.03	1.36	1.83	5.00%
0	Weighted Regents Pass Rate - Science	56	0.50	0.25	0.65	0.92	1.18	1.63	1.63	5.00%
0	Weighted Regents Pass Rate - Global History	80	0.31	0.23	0.50	0.69	0.86	1.15	1.30	5.00%
0	Weighted Regents Pass Rate - U.S. History	76	0.54	0.31	0.58	0.78	0.96	1.26	1.85	5.00%
(	Graduation / Diploma									
0	4-Year Graduation Rate	86	40.7%	31.7%	45.1%	60.1%	70.8%	84.4%	1.67	7.50%
0	6-Year Graduation Rate	112	40.2%	48.4%	58.7%	70.1%	78.2%	88.6%	1.00	7.50%
	4-Year Weighted Diploma Rate	86	130.2%	68.4%	108.8%	153.8%	185.7%	227.8%	2.48	7.50%
	6-Year Weighted Diploma Rate	112	126.8%	88.6%	123.3%	161.6%	188.7%	225.6%	2.09	7.50%
(	College and Career Readiness									
0	College and Career Preparatory Course Index	86	1.2%	0.0%	7.8%	18.0%	26.3%	41.9%	1.15	6.00%
	4-Year College Readiness Index	86	4.7%	0.0%	3.3%	7.7%	11.2%	21.4%	2.32	6.00%
0	6-Year College Readiness Index with Persistence	112	17.9%	10.8%	20.2%	31.3%	40.4%	57.2%	1.76	6.00%
0	Postsecondary Enrollment Rate - 6 Months	100	15.0%	13.6%	23.1%	35.2%	45.1%	61.6%	1.15	6.00%
0	Postsecondary Enrollment Rate - 18 Months	112	25.9%	26.5%	35.3%	46.7%	56.0%	70.7%	1.00	6.00%

Weighted	Average	Score

							2	014-15 Targets	S	_			
C	losing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
F	our-Year Weighted Diploma Rate												
	English Language Learners	40	46.5%	83.5%	117.5%	25.9%	103.0%	163.9%	225.7%	320.1%	2.24	0.036	0.011
0	Self-Contained / ICT / SETSS	28	32.6%	82.7%	85.7%	0.0%	110.0%	197.0%	285.2%	420.0%	1.78	0.036	0.007
	Lowest Third Citywide	50	58.1%	87.2%	100.0%	0.0%	71.2%	127.5%	184.6%	271.8%	2.51	0.036	0.014
	Black / Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	32	37.2%	95.4%	98.4%	0.0%	65.3%	116.9%	169.2%	249.2%	2.64	0.036	0.015
C	ollege and Career Readiness												
0	Students in Lowest Third Citywide, College and Career	50	58.1%	87.2%	0.0%	0.0%	7.3%	13.0%	18.9%	27.8%	1.00	0.036	0.000
	Preparatory Course Index												
0	Students in Lowest Third Citywide, 4-Year College Readiness	50	58.1%	87.2%	0.0%	0.0%	1.4%	2.5%	3.7%	5.4%	1.00	0.036	0.000
	Index												
0	Students in the Lowest Third Citywide, Postsecondary	49	49.0%	80.6%	6.1%	0.0%	11.4%	20.4%	29.5%	43.4%	1.54	0.036	0.005
	Enrollment Rate - 6 Months												

**CtAG Additional Points** 

**Overall Student Achievement Score** 

<sup>•</sup> Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

<sup>•</sup> Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

### 2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

Jonathan Levin High School for Media and Communications

09X414

Quality Review 1.1 Quality Review 1.2 Quality Review 1.2 Quality Review 2.2 Developing 2.00 NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction 84% 2.92  Section Rating: Section Score:		Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
Quality Review 1.2   Developing   2.00   Quality Review 2.2   Developing   2.00   Quality Review 2.2   Developing   2.00   S4%   2.92   Section Rating:   Section Score:	gorous Instruction			
Quality Review 2.2  Section Rating:  Section Score:  Section Score:  Section Rating:  Section Score:  Quality Review 4.2  Developing  2.00  NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers  Quality Review 4.2  Section Rating:  Section Score:  Section Rating:  Section Score:  Section Rating:  Section Score:  Section Rating:  Section Score:  Section Score:	Quality Review 1.1	Proficient	3.40	
Section Rating:  Section Score:    Section Score:   Section Score:   Section Score:   Section Rating:   Section Score:   Section Score:   Section Rating:   Section Score:   Section Score	Quality Review 1.2	Developing	2.00	
Section Rating:  Section Score:  Quality Review 4.2 Quality Review 4.2 NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers  Quality Review 4.2 Section Rating:  Section Score:  Ppoprtive Environment Quality Review 3.4 Proficient Boy 2.76 Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS HS Overall Social Survey - Supportive Environment 80% 2.76 Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS HS Overall 1.52 Overall 1.07 Section Rating: Section Score:  Section Rating: Section Score:  Feetive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 90% 4.12 Section Rating: Section Rating: Section Score:  Forg Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Section Score:  Section Score:	Quality Review 2.2	Developing	2.00	
Quality Review 4.2 Developing 2.00 NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 90% 3.80  Section Rating: Section Score:    Poportive Environment	NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	84%	2.92	
Quality Review 4.2 Developing 2.00 NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 90% 3.80  Section Rating: Section Score:  Proficient 3.40 NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 80% 2.76 Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS HS 30.2% 1.52 Overall 30.2% 1.52 Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS 1.07 4.99 Overall 1.07 4.99 Section Rating: Section Score:  Fective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 90% 4.12 Section Rating: Section Score:  Prong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 89% 4.80 Section Rating: Section Score:	Section Rating:	Section Scor	e:	
Quality Review 4.2 Developing 2.00 NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 90% 3.80  Section Rating: Section Score:  Proficient 3.40 NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 80% 2.76 Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS HS 30.2% 1.52 Overall 30.2% 1.52 Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS 1.07 4.99 Overall 1.07 4.99 Section Rating: Section Score:  Fective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 90% 4.12 Section Rating: Section Score:  Prong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 89% 4.80 Section Rating: Section Score:	allahorative Teachers			
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers  Section Rating:  Section Score:    Poportive Environment		Develoning	2.00	
pportive Environment Quality Review 3.4 Proficient 3.40 NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 80% 2.76 Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS HS Overall 30.2% 1.52 Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS Overall 1.07 4.99 Overall 1.07 4.99  Section Rating: Section Score:  Fective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 90% 4.12  Section Rating: Section Score:  Forg Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 89% 4.80  Section Rating: Section Score:	·			
pportive Environment Quality Review 3.4 Proficient 3.40 NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 80% 2.76 Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS HS Overall 30.2% 1.52 Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS Overall 1.07 4.99 Overall 1.07 4.99  Section Rating: Section Score:  Fective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 90% 4.12  Section Rating: Section Score:  Forg Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 89% 4.80  Section Rating: Section Score:	Castian Batings	Saction Scor	٥٠	
Quality Review 3.4 Proficient 3.40 NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 80% 2.76 Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS HS 30.2% 1.52 Overall 30.2% 1.52 Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS 1.07 4.99 Overall 1.07 4.99 Overall 1.07 4.99 Section Rating: Section Score:  Fective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 90% 4.12  Section Rating: Section Score:  Frong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 89% 4.80  Section Rating: Section Score:	Section Rating:	Section Scor	e.	
Quality Review 3.4 Proficient 3.40 NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 80% 2.76 Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS HS 30.2% 1.52 Overall 30.2% 1.52 Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS 1.07 4.99 Overall 1.07 4.99 Overall 1.07 4.99 Section Rating: Section Score:  Fective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 90% 4.12  Section Rating: Section Score:  Frong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 89% 4.80  Section Rating: Section Score:				
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 80% 2.76  Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance  EMS  HS 30.2% 1.52  Overall 30.2% 1.52  Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments  EMS  HS 1.07 4.99  Overall 1.07 4.99  Overall 5.00 4.99  Section Rating: Section Score:  Fective School Leadership  NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 90% 4.12  Section Rating: Section Score:  NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 89% 4.80  Section Rating: Section Score:		Proficient	3.40	
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance  EMS  HS  Overall  30.2%  1.52  Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments  EMS  HS  Overall  1.07  4.99  Overall  1.07  4.99  Section Rating:  Section Score:  Fective School Leadership  NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership  NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties  Section Score:  Ust  NYC School Survey - Trust  92%  4.00	·			
EMS HS Overall 30.2% 1.52  Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments  EMS HS Overall 1.07 4.99 Overall 1.07 4.99  Section Rating: Section Score:  Fective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 90% 4.12  Section Rating: Section Score:  Frong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Section Score:  Formula Section Score:  Frong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Section Score:		0070	2.70	
HS Overall 30.2% 1.52  Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments  EMS HS Overall 1.07 4.99 Overall 1.07 4.99  Section Rating:  Section Score:  Fective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Section Score:  WEST NYC School Survey - Trust 92% 4.00				
Overall 30.2% 1.52  Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments  EMS HS Overall 1.07 4.99 Overall 1.07 4.99  Section Rating: Section Score:  Fective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 90% 4.12  Section Rating: Section Score:  Frong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 89% 4.80  Section Rating: Section Score:  WYC School Survey - Trust 92% 4.00		30.2%	1.52	
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments  EMS HS Overall 1.07 4.99 Overall 1.07 4.99  Section Rating: Section Score:  Fective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 90% 4.12  Section Rating: Section Score:  Frong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Section Score:  Frong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 89% 4.80  Section Rating: Section Score:				
environments  EMS  HS  Overall  1.07  4.99  Overall  5ection Rating:  Section Score:  Fective School Leadership  NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership  90%  4.12  Section Rating:  Section Score:  Frong Family-Community Ties  NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties  Section Rating:  Section Score:  Section Score:  Ust  NYC School Survey - Trust  92%  4.00		30.270	1.32	
EMS HS Overall 1.07 4.99 Overall 1.07 4.99  Section Rating: Section Score:  Fective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 90% 4.12  Section Rating: Section Score:  Frong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Section Score:  Section Score:  Ust NYC School Survey - Trust 92% 4.00				
HS Overall 1.07 4.99 Overall 1.07 4.99  Section Rating: Section Score:  Fective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 90% 4.12  Section Rating: Section Score:  Frong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 89% 4.80  Section Rating: Section Score:  Section Score:  Ust NYC School Survey - Trust 92% 4.00				
Section Rating:  Section Score:  Fective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 90% 4.12  Section Rating: Section Score:  Frong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Section Score:  Section Score:  Section Score:  Ust NYC School Survey - Trust 92% 4.00		1.07	4 99	
fective School Leadership  NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership  Section Rating:  Section Score:  rong Family-Community Ties  NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties  Section Rating:  Section Score:  Section Score:				
fective School Leadership  NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership  Section Rating:  Section Score:  rong Family-Community Ties  NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties  Section Rating:  Section Score:  Section Score:	Section Rating	Section Scor	٥٠	
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 90% 4.12  Section Rating: Section Score:  rong Family-Community Ties  NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 89% 4.80  Section Rating: Section Score:  ust  NYC School Survey - Trust 92% 4.00	Section nating.	30011301	<b></b>	
Section Rating:  Frong Family-Community Ties  NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties  Section Rating:  Section Score:  Section Score:  UST  NYC School Survey - Trust  92%  4.00	fective School Leadership			
rong Family-Community Ties  NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 89% 4.80  Section Rating: Section Score:  ust  NYC School Survey - Trust 92% 4.00	NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	90%	4.12	
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 89% 4.80  Section Rating: Section Score:  ust  NYC School Survey - Trust 92% 4.00	Section Rating:	Section Scor	e:	
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 89% 4.80  Section Rating: Section Score:  ust  NYC School Survey - Trust 92% 4.00				
Section Rating:  Section Score:  ust  NYC School Survey - Trust  92%  4.00	rong Family-Community Ties			
ust  NYC School Survey - Trust  92%  4.00	NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	89%	4.80	
NYC School Survey - Trust 92% 4.00	Section Rating:	Section Scor	e:	
NYC School Survey - Trust 92% 4.00				
	ust Tool	222/	4.00	
Section Rating: Section Score:	NYC School Survey - Trust	92%	4.00	
	Section Rating:	Section Scor	e:	



Encorate and a selection of the		C	C	A
Framework	FIDMONTS.	. NIITWOW	Scoring	Annandiv
I I dille WOLK	LICITICITY -	Julycy	JUILIE	ADDCIIUIA

	City Range						
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rigorous Instruction							
Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	88	76.8	89.4	100.0	0.50	3.00
Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	85	69.2	85.8	100.0	0.50	3.00
Course clarity	Students	84	77.5	86.9	96.3	0.36	2.44
Quality of student discussion	Teachers	80	55.8	77.8	99.8	0.56	3.24
Section Results:		84%					2.92
Collaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers	95	85.4	94.4	100.0	0.75	
Cultural awareness	Parents	98	82.3	91.9	100.0	0.88	
Cultural awareness	Students	85	66.6	80.6	94.6	0.66	
Cultural awareness	Combined	93	00.0	00.0		0.76	4.04
Inclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	93	82.7	93.1	100.0	0.60	3.40
Quality of professional development	Teachers	81	50.4	75.6	100.0	0.62	3.48
School commitment	Teachers	78	53.7	82.3	100.0	0.51	3.04
<ul> <li>Innovation</li> </ul>	Teachers	95	65.9	84.5	100.0	0.86	4.44
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	94	85.1	95.3	100.0	0.60	3.40
Peer collaboration	Teachers	95	75.2	90.6	100.0	0.79	4.16
Focus on student learning	Teachers	97	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.93	4.72
Collective responsibility	Teachers	83	58.1	80.7	100.0	0.59	3.36
Section Results:		90%	55.2	<b>GG.</b> 7		0.00	3.80
Supportive Environment Safety:							
Safety	Teachers						
Safety	Students	82	67.5	82.9	98.3	0.46	
Safety	Combined	82				0.46	2.84
Classroom behavior:	-						
Classroom behavior	Teachers						
Classroom behavior	Students	75	68.3	82.1	95.9	0.23	
Classroom behavior	Combined	75				0.23	1.92
Social-emotional measure	Teachers	99	86.6	95.2	100.0	0.90	4.60
Peer interactions	Students	73	58.2	74.4	90.6	0.46	2.84
Next-level guidance	Students	84	71.4	83.6	95.8	0.50	3.00
Press toward academic achievement:							
Press toward academic achievement	Teachers						
Press toward academic achievement	Students	79	76.3	86.1	95.9	0.15	
Press toward academic achievement	Combined	79				0.15	1.60
Personal attention and support	Students	80	69.0	81.8	94.6	0.42	2.68
Peer support for academic work:							
Peer support for academic work	Teachers						
Peer support for academic work	Parents	81	68.1	85.5	100.0	0.40	
Peer support for academic work	Students	55	41.0	61.6	82.2	0.35	
Peer support for academic work	Combined	68				0.38	2.52
Section Results:		80%					2.76

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

09X414 Jonathan Levin High School for Media and Communications

			City Range				
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective Calcad Landavahin							
Effective School Leadership		0.4	76.5	00.0	100.0	0.76	4.04
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	94	76.5	88.9	100.0	0.76	4.04
Teacher influence	Teachers	77	40.6	71.4	100.0	0.62	3.48
<ul> <li>Program coherence</li> </ul>	Teachers	98	57.0	82.6	100.0	0.95	4.80
<ul> <li>Principal instructional leadership</li> </ul>	Teachers	92	58.2	84.6	100.0	0.80	4.20
Section Results:		90%					4.12
Strong Fornily Community Ties							
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	99	75.2	89.0	100.0	0.96	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	97	76.7	88.1	99.5	0.90	
<ul> <li>Teacher outreach to parents</li> </ul>	Combined	98				0.93	4.72
<ul> <li>Parent involvement in the schools</li> </ul>	Parents	80	41.2	61.0	80.8	0.97	4.88
Section Results:		89%					4.80
Trust							
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	98	84.9	93.1	100.0	0.87	4.48
		99	84.5	93.7	100.0	0.94	4.76
Parent-principal trust     Student to a sharp trust	Parents						
Student-teacher trust	Students	81	68.5	81.1	93.7	0.51	3.04
Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	88	55.0	84.0	100.0	0.74	3.96
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	93	75.7	90.9	100.0	0.70	3.80
Section Results:		92%					4.00

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15		2015-16	Targets	
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Targe
Credit Accumulation					
10+ Credits in 1st Year - All Students					
10+ Credits in 1st Year - School's Lowest Third					
10+ Credits in 2nd Year - All Students					
10+ Credits in 2nd Year - School's Lowest Third					
10+ Credits in 3rd Year - All Students	55.0%				
10+ Credits in 3rd Year - School's Lowest Third	53.3%				
Regents Performance					
Average Completion Rate for Remaining Regents	18.8%	24.9% or lower	25.0% to 29.9%	30.0% to 39.9%	40.0% or high
Average Regents Score - English (non-Common Core)*	58.0	59.9 or lower	60.0 to 64.9	65.0 to 66.9	67.0 or highe
Average Regents Score - English (Common Core)*	56.0				
Average Regents Score - Living Environment*	51.0	59.9 or lower	60.0 to 64.9	65.0 to 66.9	67.0 or highe
Average Regents Score - Global History*	49.0	55.2 or lower	55.3 to 59.9	60.0 to 64.9	65.0 or highe
Average Regents Score - US History*	56.0	59.9 or lower	60.0 to 64.9	65.0 to 66.9	67.0 or highe
Average Regents Score - Algebra I (Common Core)*					
Graduation / Non-Dropout					
4-Year Graduation Rate	40.7%	50.9% or lower	51.0% to 59.9%	60.0% to 69.9%	70.0% or high
6-Year Graduation Rate	40.2%	61.9% or lower	62.0% to 67.5%	67.6% to 71.4%	71.5% or high
4-Year Non-Dropout Rate	73.3%	72.1% or lower	72.2% to 76.4%	76.5% to 79.9%	80.0% or high
6-Year Non-Dropout Rate	47.3%	65.6% or lower	65.7% to 70.5%	70.6% to 74.0%	74.1% or highe
College and Career Readiness					
College and Career Preparatory Course Index	1.2%	13.4% or lower	13.5% to 20.3%	20.4% to 25.1%	25.2% or high
4-Year College Readiness Index	4.7%	10.2% or lower	10.3% to 15.4%	15.5% to 19.0%	19.1% or high
6-Year College Readiness Index with Persistence	17.9%	21.3% or lower	21.4% to 28.3%	28.4% to 33.2%	33.3% or high
Postsecondary Enrollment Rate - 6 Months	15.0%	34.0% or lower	34.1% to 42.4%	42.5% to 48.2%	48.3% or high
Postsecondary Enrollment Rate - 18 Months	25.9%	38.2% or lower	38.3% to 44.4%	44.5% to 48.7%	48.8% or high

<sup>\*</sup> Because it is not possible to accurately predict who will take the Regents exams in advance, the table above provides estimated targets for the Regents score metrics. These targets will be adjusted based on the students at the school who actually take the exams.

Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics**	2014-15		2015-16	Targets	
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
Four-Year Graduation Rate					
English Language Learners	35.0%	39.8% or lower	39.9% to 50.6%	50.7% to 58.1%	58.2% or higher
Self-Contained / ICT / SETSS	25.0%	28.7% or lower	28.8% to 40.1%	40.2% to 48.2%	48.3% or higher
Lowest Third Citywide	28.0%	38.2% or lower	38.3% to 49.2%	49.3% to 57.0%	57.1% or higher
Black / Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	28.1%	33.9% or lower	34.0% to 45.7%	45.8% to 54.0%	54.1% or higher
College and Career Readiness of Students in Lowest Third Citywide					
College and Career Preparatory Course Index	0.0%	8.3% or lower	8.4% to 12.5%	12.6% to 15.4%	15.5% or higher
4-Year College Readiness Index	0.0%	4.9% or lower	5.0% to 7.4%	7.5% to 9.2%	9.3% or higher
Postsecondary Enrollment Rate - 6 Months	6.1%	18.6% or lower	18.7% to 27.3%	27.4% to 33.4%	33.5% or higher

<sup>\*\*</sup>To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets			
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	30.2%	49.9% or lower	50.0% to 59.9%	60.0% to 69.9%	70.0% or higher
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	1.07	0.99 or lower	1.00 to 0.99	1.00 to 0.99	1.00 or higher