
Summary of Section Ratings

Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.



Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Good Standing

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at http://schoolqualityreports.nyc

P.S. 055 Henry M. Boehm

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	111	96	96
Grade 1	99	109	95
Grade 2	97	97	109
Grade 3	114	92	101
Grade 4	122	118	99
Grade 5	114	117	120
All students	691	665	644

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	2%	2%	1%
% Free Lunch Eligible	24%	24%	25%
% Student with IEPs	21%	22%	22%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	4%	4%	3%
% HRA Eligible	-	15%	20%
% Temporary Housing	-	2%	2%
% Asian	4%	3%	2%
% Black	1%	1%	1%
% Hispanic	9%	8%	10%
% White	85%	86%	86%
% Other	0%	1%	1%

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

31R055 P.S. 055 Henry M. Boehm

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score
Meeting Target	3.17

					2	014-15 Target:	5			
9	tudent Achievement Metrics	n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
5	tate Test Results - ELA									
	Average Student Proficiency	311	2.80	2.39	2.71	2.90	3.11	3.36	2.47	9.09%
	Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	311	39.5%	21.9%	36.8%	46.9%	58.5%	72.4%	2.27	9.09%
	Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	207	68.0	48.1	56.6	63.9	69.0	81.2	3.80	9.09%
•	Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	77	78.0	54.5	63.0	70.4	75.5	87.8	4.20	9.09%
	Early Grade Progress	99	2.04	1.03	1.69	2.23	2.61	3.51	2.65	9.09%
5	tate Test Results - Math									
	Average Student Proficiency	312	2.99	2.50	2.90	3.12	3.38	3.69	2.41	9.09%
	Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	312	51.0%	27.9%	45.2%	56.0%	68.3%	82.8%	2.54	9.09%
	Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	207	62.0	41.8	52.5	61.9	68.4	83.9	3.02	9.09%
	Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	72	67.0	50.9	60.4	68.8	74.6	88.4	2.79	9.09%
	Early Grade Progress	99	2.11	0.88	1.81	2.55	3.07	4.37	2.41	9.09%
• 1	1S Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	105	98.6%	86.5%	91.8%	94.1%	96.7%	100.0%	4.58	9.09%
									Weighted Average Score	3.01

					2014-15 Targets							
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Point Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	13	4.2%	20.7%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%		0.030	0.000
 Integrated Co-Teaching 	39	12.5%	63.5%	12.8%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%	4.54	0.030	0.027
• SETSS	11	3.5%	33.3%	9.1%	0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%	4.03	0.030	0.023
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	13	4.2%	20.9%	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%		0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	39	12.5%	63.8%	20.5%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%	4.16	0.030	0.024
o SETSS	11	3.5%	33.7%	0.0%	0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%	1.00	0.030	0.000
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	1	0.5%	1.1%		12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	52	25.1%	35.2%	59.6%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%	4.22	0.030	0.024
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	5	2.4%	6.1%	80.0%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%		0.030	0.000
SC/ICT/SETSS	46	22.2%	52.4%	54.3%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	3.96	0.030	0.022
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	1	0.5%	1.0%		7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	38	18.4%	25.3%	52.6%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%	3.95	0.030	0.022
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	5	2.4%	6.3%	80.0%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%		0.030	0.000
SC/ICT/SETSS	46	22.2%	53.3%	47.8%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	3.68	0.030	0.020
ELL Progress	9	1.5%	3.8%	55.6%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%		0.030	0.000
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.16
									Overa	III Student Achie	vement Score	3.17

[•] Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

[•] Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

P.S. 055 Henry M. Boehm

31R055

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
orous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Developing	2.00	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	95%	4.20	34%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.36	
laborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2	Proficient	3.40	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	91%	3.92	50%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.68	
pportive Environment			
Quality Review 3.4	Proficient	3.40	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	89%	3.08	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance			
EMS	88.9%	3.16	
HS			
Overall	88.9%	3.16	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive			
environments			
EMS	0.22	2.73	
HS			
Overall	0.22	2.73	5%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.20	
ective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	80%	3.24	100%
	00/0	5.2	100/0
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.24	
ong Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	86%	3.44	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.44	
			_
st NYC School Survey - Trust	90%	3.44	100%
INTO SCHOOL SULVEY - HUSE	JU/0	J.4 4	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.44	



31R055

	City Range						
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rigorous Instruction							
Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	97	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.75	4.00
Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	99	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.96	4.84
Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
Quality of student discussion	Teachers	90	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.69	3.76
Section Results:		95%					4.20
Collaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers	99	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.92	
Cultural awareness	Parents	96	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.75	
Cultural awareness	Students		68.6	87.4	100.0		
 Cultural awareness 	Combined	97				0.83	4.32
 Inclusive classroom instruction 	Teachers	98	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.87	4.48
Quality of professional development	Teachers	84	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.66	3.64
School commitment	Teachers	87	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.67	3.68
Innovation	Teachers	85	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.50	3.00
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	99	87.9	95.9	100.0	0.92	4.68
 Peer collaboration 	Teachers	97	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.87	4.48
Focus on student learning	Teachers	91	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.73	3.92
Collective responsibility	Teachers	83	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.51	3.04
ection Results:		91%					3.92
Supportive Environment							
Safety:							
Safety	Teachers	98	80.0	94.6	100.0	0.89	
Safety	Students		74.5	88.5	100.0		
 Safety 	Combined	98				0.89	4.56
Classroom behavior:							
Classroom behavior	Teachers	79	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.37	
Classroom behavior	Students		67.3	84.3	100.0		
Classroom behavior	Combined	79				0.37	2.48
Social-emotional measure	Teachers	88	89.0	96.6	100.0	0.25	2.00
Peer interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0		
Next-level guidance	Students						
Press toward academic achievement:							
Press toward academic achievement	Teachers	85	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.41	
Press toward academic achievement	Students		85.3	91.9	98.5		
Press toward academic achievement	Combined	85				0.41	2.64
Personal attention and support	Students		77.8	89.6	100.0		
Peer support for academic work:							
Peer support for academic work	Teachers	92	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.64	
Peer support for academic work	Parents	96	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.75	
Peer support for academic work	Students		50.4	73.8	97.2		_
Peer support for academic work	Combined	94				0.69	3.76
Section Results:		89%					3.08

31R055

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	88	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.41	2.64
Teacher influence	Teachers	56	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.43	2.72
Program coherence	Teachers	90	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.75	4.00
Principal instructional leadership	Teachers	86	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.63	3.52
Section Results:		80%					3.24
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	98	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.87	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	94	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.61	
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	96				0.74	3.96
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	76	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.48	2.92
Section Results:		86%					3.44
Tourse							
Trust		0.0	00.0	0= 0			
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	96	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.75	4.00
Parent-principal trust	Parents	91	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.50	3.00
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	84	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.62	3.48
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	88	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.55	3.20
Section Results:		90%					3.44

Targets for 2015-16 P.S. 055 Henry M. Boehm

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15		2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
State Test Results - ELA*								
Average Student Proficiency	2.80	2.71 or lower	2.72 to 2.82	2.83 to 2.90	2.91 or higher			
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.25	2.14 or lower	2.15 to 2.26	2.27 to 2.35	2.36 or higher			
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	39.5%	38.5% or lower	38.6% to 44.0%	44.1% to 48.2%	48.3% or higher			
State Test Results - Math*								
Average Student Proficiency	2.99	2.87 or lower	2.88 to 3.02	3.03 to 3.14	3.15 or higher			
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.29	2.17 or lower	2.18 to 2.34	2.35 to 2.47	2.48 or higher			
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	51.0%	47.1% or lower	47.2% to 54.3%	54.4% to 59.8%	59.9% or highe			
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	98.6%	88.6% or lower	88.7% to 91.5%	91.6% to 93.7%	93.8% or highe			
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating								
Self-Contained	1.88	1.78 or lower	1.79 to 1.86	1.87 to 1.93	1.94 or higher			
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.19	2.07 or lower	2.08 to 2.18	2.19 to 2.26	2.27 or higher			
SETSS	2.17	2.12 or lower	2.13 to 2.24	2.25 to 2.34	2.35 or higher			
ELL	2.68	2.28 or lower	2.29 to 2.42	2.43 to 2.52	2.53 or higher			
Lowest Third Citywide	2.11	1.96 or lower	1.97 to 2.03	2.04 to 2.08	2.09 or higher			
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	2.03	1.97 or lower	1.98 to 2.05	2.06 to 2.10	2.11 or higher			
Math - Average Proficiency Rating								
Self-Contained	2.11	1.89 or lower	1.90 to 2.03	2.04 to 2.13	2.14 or higher			
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.36	2.24 or lower	2.25 to 2.41	2.42 to 2.54	2.55 or higher			
SETSS	2.19	2.25 or lower	2.26 to 2.43	2.44 to 2.56	2.57 or higher			
ELL	3.00	2.49 or lower	2.50 to 2.68	2.69 to 2.83	2.84 or higher			
Lowest Third Citywide	2.12	2.00 or lower	2.01 to 2.08	2.09 to 2.15	2.16 or higher			
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	2.33	1.96 or lower	1.97 to 2.05	2.06 to 2.12	2.13 or higher			
ELL Progress	55.6%	44.4% or lower	44.5% to 54.4%	54.5% to 61.9%	62.0% or highe			

^{*}To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	88.9%	79.6% or lower	79.7% to 84.8%	84.9% to 88.7%	88.8% or higher			
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.22	0.13 or lower	0.14 to 0.21	0.22 to 0.27	0.28 or higher			