

2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES


Summary of Section Ratings

13K270

P.S. 270 Johann DeKalb

Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.



State Accountability Status: Good Standing

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at:

<http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm>

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at <http://schoolqualityreports.nyc>

2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES
School Enrollment and Demographic Data

13K270
P.S. 270 Johann DeKalb

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	27	21	21
Grade 1	29	23	22
Grade 2	30	25	19
Grade 3	25	26	24
Grade 4	35	22	20
Grade 5	24	25	22
All students	188	159	153

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	8%	10%	10%
% Free Lunch Eligible	83%	83%	89%
% Student with IEPs	20%	24%	27%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	13%	13%	16%
% HRA Eligible	-	77%	78%
% Temporary Housing	-	18%	26%
% Asian	4%	6%	5%
% Black	71%	66%	73%
% Hispanic	23%	24%	18%
% White	2%	3%	3%
% Other	0%	1%	2%

2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES
Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

13K270
P.S. 270 Johann DeKalb

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score
Meeting Target	3.28

Student Achievement Metrics	n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	2014-15 Targets			Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
				Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
State Test Results - ELA									
Average Student Proficiency	64	2.18	1.92	2.12	2.25	2.40	2.68	2.46	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	64	10.9%	0.9%	9.0%	14.8%	21.3%	33.2%	2.33	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	39	66.0	45.7	54.7	62.4	67.8	80.6	3.67	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	17	77.0	60.6	68.7	75.8	80.7	92.3	3.24	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	24	1.65	0.30	0.93	1.49	1.88	2.85	3.41	9.09%
State Test Results - Math									
Average Student Proficiency	64	2.20	1.87	2.16	2.36	2.58	2.96	2.20	9.09%
○ Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	64	9.4%	0.0%	11.6%	20.0%	29.5%	44.8%	1.81	9.09%
● Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	39	73.0	38.2	49.6	59.6	66.6	83.1	4.39	9.09%
● Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	15	83.0	55.8	65.2	73.3	78.9	92.3	4.31	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	24	1.63	0.02	1.05	1.95	2.57	4.11	2.64	9.09%
● MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	23	94.9%	74.9%	81.9%	87.0%	92.8%	100.0%	4.29	9.09%
								Weighted Average Score	3.16

Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	2014-15 Targets			Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
						Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target				
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
○ Self-Contained	12	18.8%	92.6%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	4	6.3%	32.0%		0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%		0.030	0.000
SETSS	4	6.3%	60.0%		0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%		0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
○ Self-Contained	12	18.8%	93.5%	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	4	6.3%	32.1%		0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%		0.030	0.000
SETSS	4	6.3%	60.6%		0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%		0.030	0.000
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	2	5.1%	10.9%		12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%		0.030	0.000
● Lowest Third Citywide	22	56.4%	82.0%	63.6%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%	4.43	0.030	0.026
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	11	28.2%	71.4%	54.5%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%	3.89	0.030	0.022
SC/ICT/SETSS	11	28.2%	69.6%	45.5%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	3.07	0.030	0.016
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	3	7.7%	15.8%		7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%		0.030	0.000
● Lowest Third Citywide	26	66.7%	91.6%	53.8%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%	4.03	0.030	0.023
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	13	33.3%	86.7%	38.5%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%	2.77	0.030	0.013
SC/ICT/SETSS	11	28.2%	70.6%	45.5%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	3.47	0.030	0.019
ELL Progress	12	9.4%	23.9%	58.3%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%		0.030	0.000
											CtAG Additional Points	0.12
											Overall Student Achievement Score	3.28

- Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).
- Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
Rigorous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Developing	2.00	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Developing	2.00	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Developing	2.00	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	82%	1.92	34%
Section Rating: Not Meeting Target	Section Score:	1.96	

Collaborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2	Developing	2.00	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	80%	2.20	50%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.12	

Supportive Environment			
Quality Review 3.4	Developing	2.00	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	84%	2.52	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance			
EMS	56.1%	1.56	
HS			
Overall	56.1%	1.56	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments			
EMS	0.19	2.45	
HS			
Overall	0.19	2.45	5%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.08	

Effective School Leadership			
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	71%	2.44	100%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.44	

Strong Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	82%	2.52	100%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.52	

Trust			
NYC School Survey - Trust	88%	3.44	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.44	

		Survey % Positive	City Range			Percent of Range	Score
			Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range		
Rigorous Instruction							
Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	90	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.50	3.00
○ Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	83	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.00	1.00
Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
○ Quality of student discussion	Teachers	74	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.18	1.72
Section Results:		82%					1.92
Collaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers	91	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.50	
Cultural awareness	Parents	94	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.50	
Cultural awareness	Students		68.6	87.4	100.0		
Cultural awareness	Combined	93				0.50	3.00
Inclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	89	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.29	2.16
○ Quality of professional development	Teachers	63	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.24	1.96
○ School commitment	Teachers	68	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.20	1.80
○ Innovation	Teachers	77	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.24	1.96
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	94	87.9	95.9	100.0	0.50	3.00
○ Peer collaboration	Teachers	82	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.21	1.84
○ Focus on student learning	Teachers	74	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.17	1.68
Collective responsibility	Teachers	78	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.36	2.44
Section Results:		80%					2.20
Supportive Environment							
Safety:							
Safety	Teachers	91	80.0	94.6	100.0	0.54	
Safety	Students		74.5	88.5	100.0		
Safety	Combined	91				0.54	3.16
Classroom behavior:							
Classroom behavior	Teachers	72	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.16	
Classroom behavior	Students		67.3	84.3	100.0		
○ Classroom behavior	Combined	72				0.16	1.64
● Social-emotional measure	Teachers	95	89.0	96.6	100.0	0.75	4.00
Peer interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0		
Next-level guidance	Students						
Press toward academic achievement:							
Press toward academic achievement	Teachers	72	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.00	
Press toward academic achievement	Students		85.3	91.9	98.5		
○ Press toward academic achievement	Combined	72				0.00	1.00
Personal attention and support	Students		77.8	89.6	100.0		
Peer support for academic work:							
Peer support for academic work	Teachers	87	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.43	
Peer support for academic work	Parents	90	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.50	
Peer support for academic work	Students		50.4	73.8	97.2		
Peer support for academic work	Combined	88				0.46	2.84
Section Results:		84%					2.52

		Survey % Positive	City Range			Percent of Range	Score
			Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range		
Effective School Leadership							
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	88	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.41	2.64
○ Teacher influence	Teachers	40	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.18	1.72
Program coherence	Teachers	73	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.32	2.28
Principal instructional leadership	Teachers	82	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.54	3.16
Section Results:		71%					2.44
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	87	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.25	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	92	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.50	
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	90				0.38	2.52
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	73	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.37	2.48
Section Results:		82%					2.52
Trust							
● Parent-teacher trust	Parents	96	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.75	4.00
● Parent-principal trust	Parents	95	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.75	4.00
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	71	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.34	2.36
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	89	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.58	3.32
Section Results:		88%					3.44

2014-15 School Quality Reports / ES
Targets for 2015-16

13K270
P.S. 270 Johann DeKalb

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15 School Value	2015-16 Targets			
		Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
State Test Results - ELA*					
Average Student Proficiency	2.18	2.06 or lower	2.07 to 2.16	2.17 to 2.24	2.25 or higher
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.03	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	10.9%	6.8% or lower	6.9% to 10.8%	10.9% to 14.9%	15.0% or higher
State Test Results - Math*					
Average Student Proficiency	2.20	2.09 or lower	2.10 to 2.24	2.25 to 2.36	2.37 or higher
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.80	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 to 2.00	2.01 or higher
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	9.4%	9.5% or lower	9.6% to 15.1%	15.2% to 19.3%	19.4% or higher
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	94.9%	83.6% or lower	83.7% to 87.8%	87.9% to 90.9%	91.0% or higher

Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15 School Value	2015-16 Targets			
		Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating					
Self-Contained	1.70	1.70 or lower	1.71 to 1.79	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 or higher
Integrated Co-Teaching		1.84 or lower	1.85 to 1.95	1.96 to 2.03	2.04 or higher
SETSS					
ELL	2.09	2.00 or lower	2.01 to 2.14	2.15 to 2.24	2.25 or higher
Lowest Third Citywide	2.07	1.85 or lower	1.86 to 1.92	1.93 to 1.99	2.00 or higher
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.92	1.81 or lower	1.82 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher
Math - Average Proficiency Rating					
Self-Contained	1.74	1.70 or lower	1.71 to 1.84	1.85 to 1.95	1.96 or higher
Integrated Co-Teaching		1.86 or lower	1.87 to 2.03	2.04 to 2.16	2.17 or higher
SETSS					
ELL	2.26	2.06 or lower	2.07 to 2.26	2.27 to 2.41	2.42 or higher
Lowest Third Citywide	1.92	1.85 or lower	1.86 to 1.93	1.94 to 1.99	2.00 or higher
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.85	1.83 or lower	1.84 to 1.91	1.92 to 1.99	2.00 or higher
ELL Progress	58.3%	36.4% or lower	36.5% to 46.4%	46.5% to 53.9%	54.0% or higher

*To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below and have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15 School Value	2015-16 Targets			
		Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	56.1%	49.9% or lower	50.0% to 59.9%	60.0% to 69.9%	70.0% or higher
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.19	0.13 or lower	0.14 to 0.21	0.22 to 0.27	0.28 or higher