## 2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

**Summary of Section Ratings** 

#### **Framework for Great Schools**

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.

Section	Section Rating	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	ExceedingTarget
<b>Rigorous Instruction</b>	Exceeding Target				4.96
<b>Collaborative Teachers</b>	Exceeding Target				4.84
Supportive Environment	Exceeding Target				4.24
Effective School Leadership	Exceeding Target				4.60
Strong Family-Community Ties	Meeting Target			3.32	
Trust	Exceeding Target				4.52
Student Achievement	Meeting Target			3.56	
		1	2	3	4 4.99

**Section scores** are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

#### State Accountability Status: Good Standing

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: <a href="http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm">http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm</a>

#### Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at http://schoolqualityreports.nyc

# 2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES


School Enrollment and Demographic Data

# **Student Enrollment**

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	160	153	167
Grade 1	142	146	143
Grade 2	96	130	148
Grade 3	138	103	129
Grade 4	112	131	111
Grade 5	94	107	133
All students	778	806	867

# **Student Demographics**

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	23%	25%	22%
% Free Lunch Eligible	86%	86%	86%
% Student with IEPs	21%	23%	20%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	9%	9%	8%
% HRA Eligible	-	69%	67%
% Temporary Housing	-	11%	10%
% Asian	38%	37%	39%
% Black	1%	1%	0%
% Hispanic	46%	45%	42%
% White	14%	16%	18%
% Other	0%	1%	1%



## 2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score									
Meeting Target	3.56									
					2	014-15 Target	S			
Student Achievement Metrics		n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	<ul> <li>Top of</li> <li>Target Range</li> </ul>	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA										
Average Student Proficiency		360	2.56	2.08	2.34	2.51	2.70	2.97	3.26	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4		360	31.7%	7.1%	19.4%	27.9%	37.4%	49.9%	3.40	9.09%
<ul> <li>Median Adjusted Growth Percentile</li> </ul>		219	48.0	51.7	58.5	64.3	68.3	78.0	1.00	9.09%
• Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest T	hird	76	58.0	60.8	68.2	74.4	78.7	89.2	1.00	9.09%
Early Grade Progress		127	3.61	0.82	1.47	2.00	2.38	3.29	4.99	9.09%
State Test Results - Math										
Average Student Proficiency		364	2.97	2.13	2.49	2.72	2.99	3.35	3.93	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4		364	48.9%	9.0%	25.2%	36.4%	49.1%	65.4%	3.98	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile		225	60.0	45.4	55.1	63.3	69.0	82.7	2.60	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest T	hird	77	68.0	57.0	65.2	72.1	76.9	88.4	2.41	9.09%
Early Grade Progress		128	4.70	0.73	1.72	2.54	3.11	4.50	4.99	9.09%
<ul> <li>MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students</li> </ul>		102	99.5%	78.5%	84.6%	88.9%	93.9%	100.0%	4.92	9.09%
									Weighted Average Score	3.32

						2	014-15 Target	S	_			
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	35	9.7%	47.8%	17.1%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%	4.99	0.030	0.030
Integrated Co-Teaching	28	7.8%	39.6%	14.3%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%	4.77	0.030	0.028
SETSS	3	0.8%	7.6%		0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%		0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	35	9.6%	47.8%	77.1%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%	4.99	0.030	0.030
Integrated Co-Teaching	28	7.7%	39.3%	21.4%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%	4.23	0.030	0.024
SETSS	3	0.8%	7.7%		0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%		0.030	0.000
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	65	29.7%	63.5%	29.2%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%	2.31	0.030	0.010
• Lowest Third Citywide	61	27.9%	39.4%	27.9%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%	1.00	0.030	0.000
• Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	23	10.5%	26.6%	17.4%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%	1.00	0.030	0.000
SC/ICT/SETSS	37	16.9%	37.2%	40.5%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	2.57	0.030	0.012
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
• ELL	74	32.9%	67.6%	50.0%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%	4.21	0.030	0.024
Lowest Third Citywide	42	18.7%	25.7%	59.5%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%	4.27	0.030	0.025
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	9	4.0%	10.4%	66.7%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%		0.030	0.000
SC/ICT/SETSS	38	16.9%	38.0%	81.6%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	4.99	0.030	0.030
ELL Progress	175	21.1%	53.6%	68.0%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%	4.12	0.030	0.023
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.24
									Overa	II Student Achie	vement Score	3.56

• Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

• Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

## 2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
orous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Well Developed	4.99	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Well Developed	4.99	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Well Developed	4.99	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	99%	4.88	34%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.96	
aborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2	Well Developed	4.99	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	98%	4.68	50%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.84	
portive Environment			
Quality Review 3.4	Well Developed	4.99	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	97%	4.32	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance			3070
EMS	86.5%	3.76	
HS			
Overall	86.5%	3.76	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive			
environments			
EMS	0.09	1.64	
HS			
Overall	0.09	1.64	5%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.24	
ctive School Leadership			
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	95%	4.60	100%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.60	
ng Family Community Tion			
ong Family-Community Ties	0.40/	2.22	4000/
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	84%	3.32	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.32	
st NVC School Survey Trust	000/	4 5 2	1009/
NYC School Survey - Trust	98%	4.52	100%
Contion Dating: Excoording Target	Costion Coore-	1 53	
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.52	

### 2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

		City Dawar						
		Survey % Desitive	Bettern of Dance	City Range	Ton of Dongo	Percent of Range	Cooro	
Rigorous Instruction		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score	
Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	100	86.4	94.8	100.0	1.00	4.99	
<ul> <li>Common Core shifts in metacy</li> <li>Common Core shifts in math</li> </ul>	Teachers	100	83.3	93.1	100.0	1.00	4.99	
Course clarity	Students	100	84.3	92.7	100.0	1.00	4.55	
<ul> <li>Quality of student discussion</li> </ul>	Teachers	97	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.90	4.60	
Section Results:	Teachers	99%	00.7	03.5	100.0	0.90	4.00 <b>4.88</b>	
Section Results.		5570					4.00	
Collaborative Teachers								
Cultural awareness:								
Cultural awareness	Teachers	100	85.4	95.0	100.0	1.00		
Cultural awareness	Parents	96	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.75		
Cultural awareness	Students		68.6	87.4	100.0			
Cultural awareness	Combined	98				0.88	4.52	
<ul> <li>Inclusive classroom instruction</li> </ul>	Teachers	100	84.2	94.6	100.0	1.00	4.99	
<ul> <li>Quality of professional development</li> </ul>	Teachers	95	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.90	4.60	
School commitment	Teachers	100	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.99	4.96	
Innovation	Teachers	97	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.91	4.64	
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	95	87.9	95.9	100.0	0.75	4.00	
Peer collaboration	Teachers	100	77.6	92.2	100.0	1.00	4.99	
<ul> <li>Focus on student learning</li> </ul>	Teachers	100	68.2	89.0	100.0	1.00	4.99	
Collective responsibility	Teachers	96	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.88	4.52	
Section Results:		98%					4.68	
Supportive Environment Safety:								
Safety	Teachers	94	80.0	94.6	100.0	0.68		
Safety	Students		74.5	88.5	100.0			
Safety	Combined	94				0.68	3.72	
Classroom behavior:								
Classroom behavior	Teachers	99	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.96		
Classroom behavior	Students		67.3	84.3	100.0			
Classroom behavior	Combined	99				0.96	4.84	
<ul> <li>Social-emotional measure</li> </ul>	Teachers	95	89.0	96.6	100.0	0.75	4.00	
Peer interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0			
Next-level guidance	Students							
Press toward academic achievement:								
Press toward academic achievement	Teachers	98	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.90		
Press toward academic achievement	Students		85.3	91.9	98.5			
• Press toward academic achievement	Combined	98				0.90	4.60	
Personal attention and support	Students		77.8	89.6	100.0			
Peer support for academic work:								
Peer support for academic work	Teachers	99	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.94		
Peer support for academic work	Parents	96	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.75		
Peer support for academic work	Students		50.4	73.8	97.2			
• Peer support for academic work	Combined	97				0.84	4.36	
Section Results:		97%					4.32	

### 2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

			City Range				
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	93	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.65	3.60
<ul> <li>Teacher influence</li> </ul>	Teachers	88	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.93	4.72
<ul> <li>Program coherence</li> </ul>	Teachers	100	60.0	85.2	100.0	1.00	4.99
<ul> <li>Principal instructional leadership</li> </ul>	Teachers	100	61.6	87.0	100.0	1.00	4.99
Section Results:		95%					4.60
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	99	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.94	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	95	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.75	
<ul> <li>Teacher outreach to parents</li> </ul>	Combined	97				0.85	4.40
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	71	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.31	2.24
Section Results:		84%					3.32
Trust							
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	97	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.75	4.00
Parent-principal trust	Parents	97	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.80	4.20
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	100	56.4	85.0	100.0	1.00	4.99
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	99	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.97	4.88
Section Results:		98%					4.52

### 2014-15 School Quality Reports / ES

Targets for 2015-16

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
State Test Results - ELA*							
Average Student Proficiency	2.56	2.33 or lower	2.34 to 2.44	2.45 to 2.51	2.52 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.97	1.87 or lower	1.88 to 1.99	2.00 to 2.08	2.09 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	31.7%	17.7% or lower	17.8% to 23.2%	23.3% to 27.3%	27.4% or higher		
State Test Results - Math*							
Average Student Proficiency	2.97	2.49 or lower	2.50 to 2.64	2.65 to 2.76	2.77 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.29	1.85 or lower	1.86 to 2.02	2.03 to 2.15	2.16 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	48.9%	26.2% or lower	26.3% to 33.5%	33.6% to 39.0%	39.1% or higher		
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	99.5%	87.2% or lower	87.3% to 90.5%	90.6% to 92.9%	93.0% or higher		
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15		2015-16	Targets			
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained	2.32	1.77 or lower	1.78 to 1.86	1.87 to 1.92	1.93 or higher		
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.32	1.96 or lower	1.97 to 2.07	2.08 to 2.15	2.16 or higher		
SETSS		1.90 or lower	1.91 to 2.03	2.04 to 2.12	2.13 or higher		
ELL	2.33	2.08 or lower	2.09 to 2.22	2.23 to 2.33	2.34 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide	1.96	1.91 or lower	1.92 to 1.97	1.98 to 2.03	2.04 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.94	1.85 or lower	1.86 to 1.93	1.94 to 1.99	2.00 or higher		
Math - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained	3.36	1.95 or lower	1.96 to 2.09	2.10 to 2.20	2.21 or higher		
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.56	2.15 or lower	2.16 to 2.32	2.33 to 2.45	2.46 or higher		
SETSS		1.99 or lower	2.00 to 2.17	2.18 to 2.30	2.31 or higher		
ELL	2.79	2.30 or lower	2.31 to 2.49	2.50 to 2.64	2.65 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide	2.19	1.92 or lower	1.93 to 2.00	2.01 to 2.06	2.07 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	2.24	1.90 or lower	1.91 to 1.98	1.99 to 2.05	2.06 or higher		

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

\*To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	86.5%	76.0% or lower	76.1% to 82.1%	82.2% to 86.7%	86.8% or higher		
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.09	0.18 or lower	0.19 to 0.29	0.30 to 0.37	0.38 or higher		