
Summary of Section Ratings

Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.



Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Focus

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at http://schoolqualityreports.nyc

P.S. 078 Anne Hutchinson

2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

| Grade | 2012 - 2013 | 2013 - 2014 | 2014 - 2015 |
|--------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Kindergarten | 128 | 165 | 133 |
| Grade 1 | 153 | 136 | 161 |
| Grade 2 | 103 | 140 | 129 |
| Grade 3 | 129 | 115 | 135 |
| Grade 4 | 105 | 124 | 104 |
| Grade 5 | 107 | 122 | 121 |
| All students | 725 | 802 | 800 |

Student Demographics

| | 2012 - 2013 | 2013 - 2014 | 2014 - 2015 |
|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| % English Language Learners | 5% | 5% | 4% |
| % Free Lunch Eligible | 78% | 78% | 81% |
| % Student with IEPs | 18% | 17% | 19% |
| % Student with IEPs (less than 20% time) | 10% | 8% | 9% |
| % HRA Eligible | - | 66% | 64% |
| % Temporary Housing | - | 13% | 11% |
| % Asian | 1% | 1% | 1% |
| % Black | 76% | 78% | 72% |
| % Hispanic | 20% | 19% | 21% |
| % White | 2% | 2% | 6% |
| % Other | 0% | 0% | 1% |

11X078 P.S. 078 Anne Hutchinson

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

| Student Achievement Rating | Student Achievement Score |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|
| Approaching Target | 2.45 |

| | | | | 2 | 014-15 Targets | s | | | |
|---|-----|-------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|-----------|---------------------|------------------------|------------|
| Student Achievement Metrics | n | 2014-15 School Value | Bottom of Target Range | Approaching Target | Meeting Target | Exceeding | Top of Target Range | Metric Score | Weight Pct |
| State Test Results - ELA | " | School value | ranget Name | laiget | laiget | Target | raiget Name | Weth C Stole | Weight Pct |
| O Average Student Proficiency | 349 | 2.21 | 1.92 | 2.24 | 2.47 | 2.74 | 3.07 | 1.91 | 9.09% |
| O Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4 | 349 | 13.2% | 0.0% | 14.8% | 25.5% | 37.6% | 52.9% | 1.89 | 9.09% |
| Median Adjusted Growth Percentile | 203 | 58.0 | 48.6 | 55.8 | 62.1 | 66.5 | 77.0 | 2.35 | 9.09% |
| Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third | 74 | 72.5 | 57.8 | 66.2 | 73.4 | 78.5 | 90.5 | 2.88 | 9.09% |
| Early Grade Progress | 129 | 1.10 | 0.11 | 1.10 | 1.95 | 2.54 | 3.97 | 2.00 | 9.09% |
| State Test Results - Math | | | | | | | | | |
| Average Student Proficiency | 348 | 2.30 | 1.78 | 2.29 | 2.65 | 3.07 | 3.59 | 2.03 | 9.09% |
| O Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4 | 348 | 16.7% | 0.0% | 19.4% | 33.3% | 49.2% | 69.0% | 1.86 | 9.09% |
| Median Adjusted Growth Percentile | 203 | 54.0 | 37.6 | 50.2 | 61.1 | 68.7 | 86.9 | 2.35 | 9.09% |
| Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third | 71 | 69.0 | 51.3 | 62.0 | 71.4 | 78.0 | 93.6 | 2.74 | 9.09% |
| Early Grade Progress | 128 | 1.52 | 0.02 | 1.48 | 2.74 | 3.63 | 5.76 | 2.03 | 9.09% |
| MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students | 100 | 88.9% | 66.9% | 76.8% | 83.3% | 90.7% | 100.0% | 3.76 | 9.09% |
| | | | | | | | | Weighted Average Score | 2.35 |

| | | | | | | 2 | 014-15 Target | s | | | | |
|---|-----|--------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|
| Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics | n | 2014-15 School Population % | Population % of Range | 2014-15 School Value | Bottom of Target Range | Approaching Target | Meeting Target | Exceeding Target | Top of Target Range | Metric Score | Extra Points Possible | Extra Points Earned |
| ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| O Self-Contained | 43 | 12.3% | 60.6% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 1.1% | 1.9% | 2.7% | 4.6% | 1.00 | 0.030 | 0.000 |
| Integrated Co-Teaching | 16 | 4.6% | 23.4% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 3.7% | 6.5% | 9.3% | 15.8% | | 0.030 | 0.000 |
| SETSS | 8 | 2.3% | 21.9% | 12.5% | 0.0% | 3.5% | 6.2% | 8.9% | 15.0% | | 0.030 | 0.000 |
| Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Self-Contained | 42 | 12.1% | 60.2% | 2.4% | 0.0% | 2.9% | 5.2% | 7.4% | 12.6% | 1.83 | 0.030 | 0.006 |
| Integrated Co-Teaching | 16 | 4.6% | 23.5% | 6.3% | 0.0% | 7.2% | 12.9% | 18.4% | 31.2% | | 0.030 | 0.000 |
| SETSS | 8 | 2.3% | 22.1% | 12.5% | 0.0% | 6.6% | 11.7% | 16.8% | 28.4% | | 0.030 | 0.000 |
| ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ELL | 13 | 6.4% | 13.7% | 30.8% | 12.7% | 26.0% | 36.3% | 46.4% | 69.9% | | 0.030 | 0.000 |
| Lowest Third Citywide | 97 | 47.8% | 69.2% | 39.2% | 28.0% | 38.8% | 47.1% | 55.4% | 74.4% | 2.05 | 0.030 | 0.008 |
| Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide | 57 | 28.1% | 71.1% | 43.9% | 23.6% | 36.2% | 45.9% | 55.6% | 77.8% | 2.79 | 0.030 | 0.013 |
| • SC/ICT/SETSS | 44 | 21.7% | 51.0% | 59.1% | 22.0% | 34.9% | 44.8% | 54.7% | 77.4% | 4.19 | 0.030 | 0.024 |
| Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ELL | 14 | 6.9% | 14.2% | 35.7% | 7.8% | 22.3% | 33.5% | 44.6% | 70.2% | | 0.030 | 0.000 |
| Lowest Third Citywide | 112 | 55.2% | 75.8% | 33.9% | 19.1% | 32.5% | 42.8% | 53.1% | 76.7% | 2.14 | 0.030 | 0.009 |
| Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide | 57 | 28.1% | 73.2% | 45.6% | 14.4% | 29.5% | 41.2% | 52.8% | 79.4% | 3.38 | 0.030 | 0.018 |
| SC/ICT/SETSS | 43 | 21.2% | 50.4% | 46.5% | 15.4% | 29.5% | 40.4% | 51.3% | 76.2% | 3.56 | 0.030 | 0.019 |
| ELL Progress | 30 | 3.8% | 9.6% | 36.7% | 31.6% | 44.8% | 55.1% | 65.2% | 88.6% | | 0.030 | 0.000 |
| | | | | | | | | | | CtAG Add | ditional Points | 0.10 |
| | | | | | | | | | Overa | III Student Achie | vement Score | 2.45 |

[•] Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).


[•] Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

P.S. 078 Anne Hutchinson

| Quality Review 4.2 Proficient 3.40 50% NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 90% 3.56 50% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.48 Section Rating: Meeting Target Proficient 3.40 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 91% 3.48 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 66.4% 1.96 30% NOVE School Survey - Supportive Environment 6.4% 1.96 30% NOVE Score: 8.4% 1.96 30% NOVE Sc | | Metric Value | Metric Score | Weight Pct |
|--|--|----------------|--------------|------------|
| Quality Review 1.2 | orous Instruction | | | |
| Developing 2.00 22% | Quality Review 1.1 | Proficient | 3.40 | 22% |
| NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction 89% 2.72 34% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.56 Ilaborative Teachers | Quality Review 1.2 | Developing | 2.00 | 22% |
| Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.56 | · | Developing | 2.00 | 22% |
| Ilaborative Teachers Quality Review 4.2 Proficient 3.40 50% NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 90% 3.56 50% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.48 Section Rating: Meeting Target Proficient 3.40 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 91% 3.48 35% Percentage of Students with 90%+ attendance EMS 1.96 30% HS | NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction | 89% | 2.72 | 34% |
| Quality Review 4.2 Proficient 3.40 50% NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 90% 3.56 50% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.48 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.48 Section Rating: Meeting Target Proficient 3.40 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 91% 3.48 35% Percentage of Students with 90%+ attendance EMS 1.96 1.96 35% Overall 66.4% 1.96 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 1.93 1.93 1.93 1.93 1.93 1.93 1.93 1.93 | Section Rating: Approaching Target | Section Score: | 2.56 | |
| Quality Review 4.2 | llaborative Teachers | | | |
| NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 90% 3.56 50% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.48 Poportive Environment Quality Review 3.4 Proficient 3.40 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 91% 3.48 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 66.4% 1.96 BY Overall 66.4% 1.96 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.13 1.93 BY Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.92 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.00 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.00 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 2.36 NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 80% 2.36 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.92 | Quality Review 4.2 | Proficient | 3.40 | 50% |
| Quality Review 3.4 Proficient 3.40 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 91% 3.48 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 66.4% 1.96 HS Overall 66.4% 1.96 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.13 1.93 HS Overall 0.13 1.93 5% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.92 Sective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 77% 3.00 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.00 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.36 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.36 | · | | | |
| Quality Review 3.4 Proficient 3.40 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 91% 3.48 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 66.4% 1.96 Survey - Supportive Environment 66.4% 1.96 Survey - Supportive Environments EMS 0.13 1.93 Supporting Environments EMS 0.13 1.93 Supporting Environments EMS Supporting Environments EMS 0.13 1.93 Supporting Environments EMS Supporting Environments EMS Supporting Environments EMS Supporting Environments Supporting Environment Supporting Envir | Section Rating: Meeting Target | Section Score: | 3.48 | |
| Quality Review 3.4 Proficient 3.40 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 91% 3.48 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 66.4% 1.96 HS Overall 66.4% 1.96 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.13 1.93 5% Overall 0.13 1.93 1.93 5% Overall 0.13 1.93 1.93 1.93 5 | | | | |
| NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 91% 3.48 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS | - | Proficient | 3 40 | 30% |
| Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS HS Overall 66.4% 1.96 Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS Overall 0.13 EMS Overall 0.13 EMS Overall 0.13 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.92 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.00 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.00 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 3.00 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 3.00 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.36 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.36 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.36 | · | | | |
| EMS HS Overall Overall 66.4% 1.96 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS Overall 0.13 1.93 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.92 Ective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Tong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.92 Section Score: 3.00 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.00 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.36 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.36 NYC School Survey - Trust 89% 2.96 100% | | J±/0 | J.70 | 33/0 |
| Overall 66.4% 1.96 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.13 1.93 5% HS Overall 0.13 1.93 5% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.92 Ective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 77% 3.00 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.00 Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 80% 2.36 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.36 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.36 | | 66.4% | 1.96 | |
| Overall 66.4% 1.96 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.13 1.93 1.93 HS Overall 0.13 1.93 5% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.92 Ective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 77% 3.00 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.00 Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 80% 2.36 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.36 Section Rating: Approaching Target 89% 2.96 100% | | | | |
| Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS EMS Overall O.13 1.93 5% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.92 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: Section Score: 3.00 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.00 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.00 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.36 Section Score: 3.00 100% | | 66.4% | 1.96 | 30% |
| environments EMS HS Overall 0.13 1.93 5% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.92 ective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 77% 3.00 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.00 ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.36 NYC School Survey - Trust 89% 2.96 100% | | | | 20.0 |
| EMS HS Overall 0.13 1.93 5% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.92 Ective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership T7% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.00 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.00 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.36 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.36 | | | | |
| Overall 0.13 1.93 5% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.92 Exercive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 77% 3.00 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.00 Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 80% 2.36 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.36 Section Score: 2.36 | | 0.13 | 1.93 | |
| Overall 0.13 1.93 5% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.92 Ective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 77% 3.00 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.00 Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 80% 2.36 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.36 | | - | | |
| Pective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.00 Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.36 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.36 NYC School Survey - Trust 89% 2.96 100% | | 0.13 | 1.93 | 5% |
| Portion Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.00 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.36 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.36 Section Score: 2.36 | Section Rating: Approaching Target | Section Score: | 2.92 | |
| NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 77% 3.00 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.00 Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 80% 2.36 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.36 NYC School Survey - Trust 89% 2.96 100% | | | | |
| Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.00 Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 80% 2.36 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.36 NYC School Survey - Trust 89% 2.96 100% | • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • | 77% | 3.00 | 100% |
| NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 80% 2.36 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.36 NYC School Survey - Trust 89% 2.96 100% | | | | |
| NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 80% 2.36 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.36 Section Score: 2.36 NYC School Survey - Trust 89% 2.96 100% | Section Rating: Meeting Target | Section Score: | 3.00 | |
| Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.36 Ist NYC School Survey - Trust 89% 2.96 100% | ong Family-Community Ties | | | |
| NYC School Survey - Trust 89% 2.96 100% | NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties | 80% | 2.36 | 100% |
| NYC School Survey - Trust 89% 2.96 100% | Section Rating: Approaching Target | Section Score: | 2.36 | |
| NYC School Survey - Trust 89% 2.96 100% | | | | |
| | | 000/ | 2.00 | 4000/ |
| Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.96 | NYC SCHOOL Survey - 1 rust | 89% | 2.96 | 100% |
| | Section Rating: Approaching Target | Section Score: | 2.96 | |



P.S. 078 Anne Hutchinson

| | | | City Range | | | | |
|--|----------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------------|----------------|------------------|---------------------|
| | | Survey % Positive | Bottom of Range | City Avg | Top of Range | Percent of Range | Score |
| igorous Instruction | | | | | | | |
| Common Core shifts in literacy | Teachers | 93 | 86.4 | 94.8 | 100.0 | 0.50 | 3.00 |
| Common Core shifts in math | Teachers | 88 | 83.3 | 93.1 | 100.0 | 0.27 | 2.08 |
| Course clarity | Students | | 84.3 | 92.7 | 100.0 | | |
| Quality of student discussion | Teachers | 85 | 68.7 | 85.3 | 100.0 | 0.51 | 3.04 |
| ection Results: | | 89% | | | | | 2.72 |
| ollaborative Teachers | | | | | | | |
| Cultural awareness: | | | | | | | |
| Cultural awareness | Teachers | 92 | 85.4 | 95.0 | 100.0 | 0.50 | |
| Cultural awareness | Parents | 91 | 90.5 | 94.9 | 99.3 | 0.50 | |
| Cultural awareness | Students | 31 | 68.6 | 87.4 | 100.0 | 0.30 | |
| Cultural awareness | Combined | 92 | 00.0 | 07.4 | 100.0 | 0.50 | 3.00 |
| Inclusive classroom instruction | Teachers | 94 | 84.2 | 94.6 | 100.0 | 0.61 | 3.44 |
| Quality of professional development | Teachers | 84 | 54.2 51.4 | 94.6 77.4 | 100.0 | 0.66 | 3.44 |
| School commitment | Teachers | 87 | 51.4 59.9 | 85.3 | 100.0 | 0.68 | 3.72 |
| | | | | | | | |
| Innovation | Teachers Teachers | 90 97 | 70.3 87.9 | 86.7 | 100.0 100.0 | 0.66 0.75 | 3.64 |
| Reflective dialogue | | | | 95.9 | | | 4.00 |
| Peer collaboration | Teachers | 94 | 77.6 | 92.2 | 100.0 | 0.72 | 3.88 |
| Focus on student learning | Teachers | 91 | 68.2 | 89.0 | 100.0 | 0.70 | 3.80 |
| Collective responsibility ection Results: | Teachers | 82 90% | 65.7 | 84.7 | 100.0 | 0.48 | 2.92 3.56 |
| upportive Environment Safety: | | | | | | | |
| Safety | Teachers | 92 | 80.0 | 94.6 | 100.0 | 0.59 | |
| Safety | Students | | 74.5 | 88.5 | 100.0 | | |
| Safety | Combined | 92 | | | | 0.59 | 3.36 |
| Classroom behavior: | | | | | | | |
| Classroom behavior | Teachers | 88 | 66.9 | 85.5 | 100.0 | 0.65 | |
| Classroom behavior | Students | | 67.3 | 84.3 | 100.0 | | |
| Classroom behavior | Combined | 88 | | | | 0.65 | 3.60 |
| Social-emotional measure | Teachers | 100 | 89.0 | 96.6 | 100.0 | 0.96 | 4.84 |
| Peer interactions | Students | | 68.2 | 84.8 | 100.0 | | |
| Next-level guidance | Students | | | | | | |
| Press toward academic achievement: | | | | | | | |
| Press toward academic achievement | Teachers | 88 | 75.0 | 88.8 | 100.0 | 0.51 | |
| Press toward academic achievement | Students | | 85.3 | 91.9 | 98.5 | | |
| Press toward academic achievement | Combined | 88 | | | | 0.51 | 3.04 |
| Personal attention and support | Students | | 77.8 | 89.6 | 100.0 | | |
| Peer support for academic work: | | | | | | | |
| Peer support for academic work | Teachers | 88 | 76.5 | 91.5 | 100.0 | 0.50 | |
| Peer support for academic work | Parents | 87 | 88.4 | 94.8 | 100.0 | 0.25 | |
| Peer support for academic work | Students | | 50.4 | 73.8 | 97.2 | | |
| Peer support for academic work | Combined | 88 | | | | 0.38 | 2.52 |
| ection Results: | | 91% | | | | | 3.48 |

P.S. 078 Anne Hutchinson

| Survey % Positive | Bottom of Range | City Avg | Top of Range | Percent of Range | Score |
|-------------------|--|--|---|--|--|
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 84 | 79.3 | 90.9 | 100.0 | 0.24 | 1.96 |
| 43 | 28.8 | 60.8 | 92.8 | 0.23 | 1.92 |
| 89 | 60.0 | 85.2 | 100.0 | 0.73 | 3.92 |
| 92 | 61.6 | 87.0 | 100.0 | 0.78 | 4.12 |
| 77% | | | | | 3.00 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 95 | 84.5 | 94.5 | 100.0 | 0.75 | |
| 83 | 86.0 | 92.6 | 99.2 | 0.00 | |
| 89 | | | | 0.38 | 2.52 |
| 71 | 62.4 | 76.6 | 90.8 | 0.30 | 2.20 |
| 80% | | | | | 2.36 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | 2.00 |
| 86 | 82.7 | 93.3 | 100.0 | 0.25 | 2.00 |
| | 64.6 | 85.2 | 100.0 | | |
| 87 | 56.4 | 85.0 | 100.0 | 0.69 | 3.76 |
| 94 | 74.1 | 90.5 | 100.0 | 0.78 | 4.12 |
| 89% | | | | | 2.96 |
| | 84 43 89 92 77% 95 83 89 71 80% | 84 79.3 43 28.8 89 60.0 92 61.6 77% 95 84.5 83 86.0 89 71 62.4 80% 89 90.9 86 82.7 64.6 87 56.4 94 74.1 | 84 79.3 90.9 43 28.8 60.8 89 60.0 85.2 92 61.6 87.0 77% 87.0 87.0 77% 89.0 92.6 89 71 62.4 76.6 80% 82.7 93.3 64.6 85.2 87 56.4 85.0 94 74.1 90.5 | Survey % Positive Bottom of Range City Avg Top of Range 84 79.3 90.9 100.0 43 28.8 60.8 92.8 89 60.0 85.2 100.0 92 61.6 87.0 100.0 77% 77% 100.0 92.6 99.2 89 90.9 92.6 90.8 80% 80% 90.8 90.8 89 90.9 95.3 99.7 86 82.7 93.3 100.0 87 56.4 85.2 100.0 87 56.4 85.0 100.0 94 74.1 90.5 100.0 | Survey % Positive Bottom of Range City Avg Top of Range Percent of Range 84 79.3 90.9 100.0 0.24 43 28.8 60.8 92.8 0.23 89 60.0 85.2 100.0 0.73 92 61.6 87.0 100.0 0.78 77% 77% 0.00 0.75 83 86.0 92.6 99.2 0.00 89 0.38 0.30 0.38 71 62.4 76.6 90.8 0.30 80% 90.9 95.3 99.7 0.25 86 82.7 93.3 100.0 0.25 64.6 85.2 100.0 0.69 94 74.1 90.5 100.0 0.78 |

11X078

P.S. 078 Anne Hutchinson

Targets for 2015-16

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

| Student Achievement Metrics | 2014-15 | | 2015-16 Targets | | | | | | |
|--|--------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------|------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | School Value | Not Meeting Target | Approaching Target | Meeting Target | Exceeding Target | | | | |
| State Test Results - ELA* | | | | | | | | | |
| Average Student Proficiency | 2.21 | 2.27 or lower | 2.28 to 2.38 | 2.39 to 2.46 | 2.47 or higher | | | | |
| Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third | 1.86 | 1.86 or lower | 1.87 to 1.98 | 1.99 to 2.07 | 2.08 or higher | | | | |
| Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4 | 13.2% | 15.1% or lower | 15.2% to 20.6% | 20.7% to 24.8% | 24.9% or higher | | | | |
| State Test Results - Math* | | | | | | | | | |
| Average Student Proficiency | 2.30 | 2.44 or lower | 2.45 to 2.60 | 2.61 to 2.71 | 2.72 or higher | | | | |
| Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third | 1.82 | 1.88 or lower | 1.89 to 2.05 | 2.06 to 2.17 | 2.18 or higher | | | | |
| Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4 | 16.7% | 24.6% or lower | 24.7% to 31.8% | 31.9% to 37.3% | 37.4% or higher | | | | |
| MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students | 88.9% | 84.6% or lower | 84.7% to 88.5% | 88.6% to 91.4% | 91.5% or higher | | | | |
| Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics* | 2014-15 | 2015-16 Targets | | | | | | | |
| | School Value | Not Meeting Target | Approaching Target | Meeting Target | Exceeding Target | | | | |
| ELA - Average Proficiency Rating | | | | | | | | | |
| Self-Contained | 1.74 | 1.69 or lower | 1.70 to 1.79 | 1.80 to 1.89 | 1.90 or higher | | | | |
| Integrated Co-Teaching | 1.88 | 1.91 or lower | 1.92 to 2.02 | 2.03 to 2.10 | 2.11 or higher | | | | |
| SETSS | 2.09 | 1.94 or lower | 1.95 to 2.06 | 2.07 to 2.16 | 2.17 or higher | | | | |
| ELL | 2.04 | 1.97 or lower | 1.98 to 2.10 | 2.11 to 2.21 | 2.22 or higher | | | | |
| Lowest Third Citywide | 1.90 | 1.87 or lower | 1.88 to 1.94 | 1.95 to 1.99 | 2.00 or higher | | | | |
| Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide | 1.88 | 1.84 or lower | 1.85 to 1.91 | 1.92 to 1.99 | 2.00 or higher | | | | |
| Math - Average Proficiency Rating | | | | | | | | | |
| Self-Contained | 1.83 | 1.76 or lower | 1.77 to 1.90 | 1.91 to 2.00 | 2.01 or higher | | | | |
| Integrated Co-Teaching | 2.04 | 1.98 or lower | 1.99 to 2.15 | 2.16 to 2.28 | 2.29 or higher | | | | |
| SETSS | 2.06 | 2.00 or lower | 2.01 to 2.18 | 2.19 to 2.31 | 2.32 or higher | | | | |
| ELL | 2.28 | 2.15 or lower | 2.16 to 2.34 | 2.35 to 2.49 | 2.50 or higher | | | | |
| Lowest Third Citywide | 1.90 | 1.91 or lower | 1.92 to 1.99 | 2.00 to 2.05 | 2.06 or higher | | | | |
| Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide | 1.92 | 1.85 or lower | 1.86 to 1.94 | 1.95 to 2.00 | 2.01 or higher | | | | |
| ELL Progress | 36.7% | 45.4% or lower | 45.5% to 55.3% | 55.4% to 62.9% | 63.0% or higher | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

^{*}To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

| Supportive Environment Metrics | 2014-15 | 2015-16 Targets | | | | | | |
|---|--------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------|------------------|--|--|--|
| | School Value | Not Meeting Target | Approaching Target | Meeting Target | Exceeding Target | | | |
| Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance | 66.4% | 55.5% or lower | 55.6% to 62.3% | 62.4% to 69.9% | 70.0% or higher | | | |
| Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments | 0.13 | 0.16 or lower | 0.17 to 0.26 | 0.27 to 0.34 | 0.35 or higher | | | |