2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

Summary of Section Ratings

Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.

Section	Section Rating	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	ExceedingTarget
Rigorous Instruction	Meeting Target			3.8	4
Collaborative Teachers	Exceeding Target				4.08
Supportive Environment	Meeting Target			3.44	
Effective School Leadership	Exceeding Target				4.20
Strong Family-Community Ties	Exceeding Target				4.16
Trust	Exceeding Target				4.56
Student Achievement	Meeting Target			3.76	
		1	2	3 4	4.99

Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Good Standing

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at http://schoolqualityreports.nyc

2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	57	51	54
Grade 1	90	60	44
Grade 2	83	84	58
Grade 3	78	75	86
Grade 4	94	75	78
Grade 5	78	69	67
All students	516	450	424

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	8%	7%	6%
% Free Lunch Eligible	87%	87%	81%
% Student with IEPs	18%	23%	21%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	7%	7%	6%
% HRA Eligible	-	63%	57%
% Temporary Housing	-	12%	12%
% Asian	0%	0%	1%
% Black	89%	89%	88%
% Hispanic	8%	8%	9%
% White	2%	1%	2%
% Other	0%	1%	1%

2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score									
Meeting Target	3.76									
					2	2014-15 Target	S			
Student Achievement Metrics		n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	 Top of Target Range 	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA	_									
Average Student Proficiency		218	2.44	1.97	2.19	2.32	2.48	2.75	3.75	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4		218	25.2%	2.6%	11.9%	18.3%	25.6%	37.4%	3.95	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile		131	64.0	45.0	53.5	60.9	66.0	78.2	3.61	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	t	48	71.0	55.3	64.7	72.8	78.5	92.0	2.78	9.09%
Early Grade Progress		80	2.53	0.41	1.04	1.59	1.97	2.92	4.59	9.09%
State Test Results - Math										
Average Student Proficiency		224	2.49	1.93	2.23	2.44	2.68	3.05	3.21	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4		224	26.8%	0.8%	14.0%	23.6%	34.4%	50.1%	3.30	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile		132	63.5	41.3	51.2	59.7	65.7	80.1	3.63	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	b	45	77.0	54.9	64.2	72.2	77.7	91.0	3.87	9.09%
Early Grade Progress		84	2.27	0.02	1.13	2.11	2.78	4.41	3.24	9.09%
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students		65	91.3%	76.7%	83.3%	88.0%	93.3%	100.0%	3.62	9.09%
									Weighted Average Score	3.60

						2	014-15 Targets	;				
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	– Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	17	7.8%	38.4%	5.9%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%	4.99	0.030	0.030
• Integrated Co-Teaching	19	8.7%	44.2%	0.0%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%	1.00	0.030	0.000
SETSS	12	5.5%	52.4%	8.3%	0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%	3.78	0.030	0.021
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
• Self-Contained	22	9.8%	48.8%	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	19	8.5%	43.4%	10.5%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%	2.58	0.030	0.012
O SETSS	12	5.4%	51.9%	0.0%	0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%	1.00	0.030	0.000
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	9	6.9%	14.7%	22.2%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	64	48.9%	70.8%	46.9%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%	2.98	0.030	0.015
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	40	30.5%	77.2%	47.5%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%	3.16	0.030	0.016
• SC/ICT/SETSS	35	26.7%	65.3%	34.3%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	1.95	0.030	0.007
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	9	6.8%	14.0%	55.6%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	65	49.2%	67.6%	49.2%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%	3.62	0.030	0.020
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	38	28.8%	75.0%	44.7%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%	3.30	0.030	0.017
SC/ICT/SETSS	36	27.3%	68.0%	44.4%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	3.37	0.030	0.018
ELL Progress	24	6.2%	15.7%	29.2%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%		0.030	0.000
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.16
Overall Student Achievement Sco					vement Score	3.76						

• Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

• Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

```
17K221
```

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
prous Instruction			-
Quality Review 1.1	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	98%	4.72	34%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.84	
aborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2	Proficient	3.40	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	98%	4.72	50%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.08	
portive Environment			
Quality Review 3.4	Proficient	3.40	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	96%	4.32	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance			
EMS	67.5%	2.48	
HS			
Overall	67.5%	2.48	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive			
environments			
EMS	0.30	3.50	
HS			
Overall	0.30	3.50	5%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.44	
ctive School Leadership	000/	4.20	100%
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	88%	4.20	100%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.20	
ong Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	90%	4.16	100%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.16	
_			
st	0001		
NYC School Survey - Trust	98%	4.56	100%
	• • •		
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.56	

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

			City Range				
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rigorous Instruction							
 Common Core shifts in literacy 	Teachers	100	86.4	94.8	100.0	1.00	4.99
Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	100	83.3	93.1	100.0	1.00	4.99
Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
Quality of student discussion	Teachers	94	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.80	4.20
Section Results:		98%					4.72
Collaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers	100	85.4	95.0	100.0	1.00	
Cultural awareness	Parents	97	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.75	
Cultural awareness	Students		68.6	87.4	100.0	0.1.0	
Cultural awareness	Combined	98	0010	0711	10010	0.88	4.52
 Inclusive classroom instruction 	Teachers	100	84.2	94.6	100.0	1.00	4.99
 Quality of professional development 	Teachers	94	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.87	4.48
 School commitment 	Teachers	98	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.95	4.80
 Innovation 	Teachers	99	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.97	4.88
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	100	87.9	95.9	100.0	1.00	4.99
Peer collaboration	Teachers	99	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.96	4.84
 Focus on student learning 	Teachers	98	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.94	4.76
 Collective responsibility 	Teachers	94	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.82	4.28
Section Results:	reachers	98%	0017	0 117	10010	0.02	4.72
Safety:							
Safety	Teachers	99	80.0	94.6	100.0	0.95	
Safety	Students		74.5	88.5	100.0		
Safety	Combined	99				0.95	4.80
Classroom behavior:							
Classroom behavior	Teachers	91	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.74	
Classroom behavior	Students		67.3	84.3	100.0		
Classroom behavior	Combined	91				0.74	3.96
Social-emotional measure	Teachers	100	89.0	96.6	100.0	1.00	4.99
Peer interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0		
Next-level guidance	Students						
Press toward academic achievement:							
Press toward academic achievement	Teachers	93	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.73	
Press toward academic achievement	Students		85.3	91.9	98.5		
Press toward academic achievement	Combined	93				0.73	3.92
Personal attention and support	Students		77.8	89.6	100.0		
Peer support for academic work:							
Peer support for academic work	Teachers	100	76.5	91.5	100.0	1.00	
Peer support for academic work	Parents	94	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.51	
Peer support for academic work	Students		50.4	73.8	97.2		
Peer support for academic work	Combined	97				0.75	4.00
Section Results:		96%					4.32

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

				City Range				
			Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effec	tive School Leadership							
• li	nclusive principal leadership	Parents	95	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.77	4.08
Т	eacher influence	Teachers	56	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.43	2.72
• P	Program coherence	Teachers	100	60.0	85.2	100.0	1.00	4.99
• P	rincipal instructional leadership	Teachers	100	61.6	87.0	100.0	1.00	4.99
Sectio	on Results:		88%					4.20
Stron	g Family Community Ties							
Т	eacher outreach to parents:							
	Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	100	84.5	94.5	100.0	1.00	
	Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	97	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.80	
•	Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	98				0.90	4.60
P	arent involvement in the schools	Parents	82	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.68	3.72
Sectio	on Results:		90%					4.16
Trust								
• P	Parent-teacher trust	Parents	97	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.75	4.00
• P	Parent-principal trust	Parents	98	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.90	4.60
S	tudent-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
• T	eacher-principal trust	Teachers	98	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.96	4.84
• T	eacher-teacher trust	Teachers	98	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.94	4.76
Sectio	on Results:		98%					4.56

2014-15 School Quality Reports / ES

Targets for 2015-16

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
State Test Results - ELA*							
Average Student Proficiency	2.44	2.25 or lower	2.26 to 2.35	2.36 to 2.43	2.44 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.89	1.84 or lower	1.85 to 1.96	1.97 to 2.05	2.06 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	25.2%	13.5% or lower	13.6% to 19.0%	19.1% to 23.2%	23.3% or higher		
State Test Results - Math*							
Average Student Proficiency	2.49	2.33 or lower	2.34 to 2.48	2.49 to 2.60	2.61 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.89	1.83 or lower	1.84 to 2.00	2.01 to 2.13	2.14 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	26.8%	18.6% or lower	18.7% to 25.9%	26.0% to 31.3%	31.4% or higher		
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	91.3%	84.5% or lower	84.6% to 88.4%	88.5% to 91.4%	91.5% or higher		
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained	1.88	1.72 or lower	1.73 to 1.80	1.81 to 1.89	1.90 or higher		
Integrated Co-Teaching	1.86	1.91 or lower	1.92 to 2.02	2.03 to 2.10	2.11 or higher		
SETSS	2.00	1.98 or lower	1.99 to 2.10	2.11 to 2.19	2.20 or higher		
ELL	1.99	1.98 or lower	1.99 to 2.11	2.12 to 2.22	2.23 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide	1.95	1.88 or lower	1.89 to 1.95	1.96 to 2.00	2.01 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.92	1.87 or lower	1.88 to 1.94	1.95 to 2.00	2.01 or higher		
Math - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained	1.81	1.83 or lower	1.84 to 1.97	1.98 to 2.07	2.08 or higher		
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.14	2.00 or lower	2.01 to 2.17	2.18 to 2.30	2.31 or higher		
SETSS	2.14	2.06 or lower	2.07 to 2.24	2.25 to 2.37	2.38 or higher		
ELL	2.24	2.14 or lower	2.15 to 2.33	2.34 to 2.48	2.49 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide	1.94	1.90 or lower	1.91 to 1.98	1.99 to 2.04	2.05 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.88	1.89 or lower	1.90 to 1.97	1.98 to 2.04	2.05 or higher		
ELL Progress	29.2%	47.8% or lower	47.9% to 57.7%	57.8% to 65.3%	65.4% or highe		

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

*To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	67.5%	65.1% or lower	65.2% to 71.9%	72.0% to 77.1%	77.2% or higher			
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.30	0.16 or lower	0.17 to 0.25	0.26 to 0.33	0.34 or higher			