
Summary of Section Ratings

Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.



Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Good Standing

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at http://schoolgualityreports.nyc

The Brooklyn Academy of Global Finance

2014-15 School Quality Guide / HS

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Grade 9	37	53	53
Grade 10	47	38	41
Grade 11	46	32	26
Grade 12	46	25	32
All students	176	148	152

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	8%	11%	11%
% Free Lunch Eligible	60%	70%	70%
% Student with IEPs	26%	32%	34%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	17%	23%	25%
% Overage Under-credited	14%	16%	17%
% HRA Eligible	-	-	61%
% Temporary Housing	-	-	18%
% Asian	2%	3%	6%
% Black	76%	71%	72%
% Hispanic	19%	22%	20%
% White	3%	3%	2%
% Other	0%	1%	0%
Average Incoming ELA Proficiency	2.53	2.19	2.11
Average Incoming Math Proficiency	2.63	2.08	2.03

16K688 The Brooklyn Academy of Global Finance

Student Achievement Rating Student Achievement Score

Meeting Target 3.68

					2014-15 Targets					
	Student Achievement Metrics		2014-15		Approaching	Meeting	Exceeding	Top of		
	Credit Accumulation	n	School Value	Target Range	Target	Target	Target	Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
		35	82.9%	49.00/	C1 40/	70.70/	70 50/	93.2%	4.25	4.17%
•	Percent of Students Earning 10+ Credits in 1st Year Percent of Students in School's Lowest Third Earning 10+		82.9%	48.0%	61.4%	70.7%	79.5%	93.2%	4.25	4.17%
•	Credits in 1st Year	15	86.7%	24.8%	43.1%	56.1%	68.2%	87.7%	4.95	4.17%
	Percent of Students Earning 10+ Credits in 2nd Year	35	68.6%	41.1%	53.6%	62.5%	71.0%	85.1%	3.72	4.17%
•	Percent of Students in School's Lowest Third Earning 10+ Credits in 2nd Year	15	60.0%	16.9%	34.7%	47.3%	59.2%	79.4%	4.04	4.17%
•	Percent of Students Earning 10+ Credits in 3rd Year	37	75.7%	39.3%	51.3%	59.9%	68.0%	82.2%	4.54	4.17%
•	Percent of Students in School's Lowest Third Earning 10+ Credits in 3rd Year	15	80.0%	16.8%	33.3%	45.0%	55.9%	75.4%	4.99	4.17%
	Regents Performance									
	Average Completion Rate for Remaining Regents	94	34.8%	13.1%	26.1%	35.0%	43.4%	62.0%	2.98	4.17%
	Weighted Regents Pass Rate - ELA	50	0.77	0.39	0.62	0.78	0.93	1.18	2.94	4.17%
0	Weighted Regents Pass Rate - Math	80	0.56	0.15	0.57	0.86	1.13	1.56	1.98	4.17%
0	Weighted Regents Pass Rate - Science	64	0.56	0.24	0.63	0.91	1.16	1.61	1.82	4.17%
	Weighted Regents Pass Rate - Global History	69	0.74	0.18	0.48	0.69	0.89	1.20	3.25	4.17%
•	Weighted Regents Pass Rate - U.S. History	40	1.27	0.28	0.57	0.77	0.96	1.26	4.99	4.17%
	Graduation / Diploma									
	4-Year Graduation Rate	37	62.2%	27.5%	41.9%	58.1%	69.5%	83.8%	3.36	6.25%
	6-Year Graduation Rate	55	65.5%	45.8%	56.4%	68.3%	76.8%	87.5%	2.76	6.25%
	4-Year Weighted Diploma Rate	37	183.8%	57.1%	104.0%	156.0%	192.9%	238.3%	3.75	6.25%
	6-Year Weighted Diploma Rate	55	160.0%	80.4%	120.4%	164.8%	196.2%	235.8%	2.89	6.25%
	College and Career Readiness									
•	College and Career Preparatory Course Index	37	54.1%	0.0%	9.3%	21.6%	31.5%	47.3%	4.99	5.00%
•	4-Year College Readiness Index	37	35.1%	0.0%	3.4%	7.8%	11.4%	21.6%	4.99	5.00%
	6-Year College Readiness Index with Persistence	55	25.5%	9.3%	18.4%	29.7%	38.8%	55.9%	2.63	5.00%
	Postsecondary Enrollment Rate - 6 Months	51	23.5%	9.9%	20.2%	34.1%	45.4%	62.7%	2.24	5.00%
	Postsecondary Enrollment Rate - 18 Months	55	40.0%	21.7%	31.5%	45.0%	55.9%	71.6%	2.63	5.00%
									Weighted Average Score	3.53

							2	.014-15 Target	S				
	Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	– Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
	Four-Year Weighted Diploma Rate												
	English Language Learners	4	10.8%	19.4%		25.9%	103.0%	163.9%	225.7%	320.1%		0.036	0.000
	Self-Contained / ICT / SETSS	9	24.3%	61.7%	200.0%	0.0%	110.0%	197.0%	285.2%	420.0%	3.03	0.036	0.018
	Lowest Third Citywide	15	40.5%	60.8%	146.7%	0.0%	71.2%	127.5%	184.6%	271.8%	3.34	0.036	0.021
•	Black / Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	7	18.9%	48.5%	178.6%	0.0%	65.3%	116.9%	169.2%	249.2%	4.12	0.036	0.028
	College and Career Readiness												
•	Students in Lowest Third Citywide, College and Career Preparatory Course Index	15	40.5%	60.8%	40.0%	0.0%	7.3%	13.0%	18.9%	27.8%	4.99	0.036	0.036
•	Students in Lowest Third Citywide, 4-Year College Readiness Index	15	40.5%	60.8%	33.3%	0.0%	1.4%	2.5%	3.7%	5.4%	4.99	0.036	0.036
	Students in the Lowest Third Citywide, Postsecondary Enrollment Rate - 6 Months	31	60.8%	100.0%	16.1%	0.0%	11.4%	20.4%	29.5%	43.4%	2.52	0.036	0.014
											CtAG Add	litional Points	0.15
										Overa	all Student Achie	vement Score	3.68

[•] Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

[•] Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

The Brooklyn Academy of Global Finance

Quality Review 4.2 Proficient 3.40 50% NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 95% 4.44 50% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.92 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.92 Section Rating: Meeting Target Proficient 3.40 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 81% 2.80 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS HS 44.0% 2.80 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS 1.18 4.99 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 1.18 4.99 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.08 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.00 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 3.36 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.36		Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
Quality Review 1.2 Developing 2.00 22% Quality Review 2.2 Developing 2.00 22% NVC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction 85% 2.95 34% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.64 Iaborative Teachers Quality Review 4.2 Proficient 3.40 50% NVC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 95% 4.44 50% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.92 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.92 Sportive Environment Bis 2.80 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 81% 2.80 35% Proficient 3.40 30% 35% Procentage of Students with 90%+ attendance EMS 4.4.0% 2.80 30% Overall 4.4.0% 2.80 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments 8.8 4.99 5% EMS 1.18 4.99 5% Movement of students with di	orous Instruction			
Developing 2.00 22%	Quality Review 1.1	Proficient	3.40	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction 85% 2.96 34% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.64 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.64 Section Rating: Approaching Target Proficient 3.40 50% NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 95% 4.44 50% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.92 Section Rating: Meeting Target Proficient 3.40 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 81% 2.80 35% Proficient 3.40 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 81% 2.80 35% Precrentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 44.0% 2.80 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 1.18 4.99 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 1.18 4.99 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.08 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 4.00 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.00 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 3.36 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.36 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.36 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.36	Quality Review 1.2	Developing	2.00	22%
Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.64 Ilaborative Teachers	Quality Review 2.2	Developing	2.00	22%
	NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	85%	2.96	34%
Quality Review 4.2	Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.64	
Quality Review 4.2	llaborative Teachers			
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 95% 4.44 50%	Quality Review 4.2	Proficient	3.40	50%
Quality Review 3.4 Proficient 3.40 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 81% 2.80 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS HS 44.0% 2.80 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS 1.18 4.99 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.08 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.00 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 3.36 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.08 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 3.08 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 3.08 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 3.36 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.36	·			
Quality Review 3.4 Proficient 3.40 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 81% 2.80 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS	Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.92	
Quality Review 3.4 Proficient 3.40 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 81% 2.80 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS	nortive Environment			
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 81% 2.80 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS HS Overall 44.0% 2.80 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS Overall 1.18 4.99 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: Section Score: 4.00 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Trust Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.36 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.36 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.36	•	Proficient	3 40	30%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS HS Overall A44.0% 2.80 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS Overall 1.18 4.99 Overall 1.18 4.99 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.08 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.00 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 3.36 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.36 100%	·			
Overall 44.0% 2.80 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS Overall 1.18 4.99 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.08 Section Rating: Exceeding Target 91% 4.00 100% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.00 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.00 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 3.36 NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 76% 3.36 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.36 NYC School Survey - Trust 93% 3.80 100%	Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance	01 //	2.00	3370
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS Overall 1.18 4.99 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.08 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.00 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.36 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.36 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.36	HS	44.0%	2.80	
environments EMS HS Overall 1.18 4.99 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.08 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.00 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.00 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 76% 3.36 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.36 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.36 100%	Overall	44.0%	2.80	30%
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.08 4.00 100% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.00 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.36 Section Score: 3.36	environments			
Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.08 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.00 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.00 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.36 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.36 Section Score: 3.36		1.18	4.99	
ective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 91% 4.00 100% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.00 Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.36 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target 93% 3.80 100%		1.18	4.99	5%
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 91% 4.00 100% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.00 Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 76% 3.36 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.36 NYC School Survey - Trust 93% 3.80 100%	Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.08	
Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.00 Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 76% 3.36 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.36 NYC School Survey - Trust 93% 3.80 100%	ective School Leadership			
Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 76% 3.36 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.36 NYC School Survey - Trust 93% 3.80 100%	NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	91%	4.00	100%
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 76% 3.36 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.36 Section Score: 3.36 NYC School Survey - Trust 93% 3.80 100%	Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.00	
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 76% 3.36 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.36 IST NYC School Survey - Trust 93% 3.80 100%	ong Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Trust 93% 3.80 100%		76%	3.36	100%
NYC School Survey - Trust 93% 3.80 100%	Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.36	
NYC School Survey - Trust 93% 3.80 100%	ıct			
		93%	3 80	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.80	ivic school survey - must	J3/0	3.00	100/6
	Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.80	



16K688

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

	City Range						
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rigorous Instruction			•	, ,		•	
Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	80	76.8	89.4	100.0	0.14	1.56
Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	86	69.2	85.8	100.0	0.55	3.20
Course clarity	Students	89	77.5	86.9	96.3	0.59	3.36
Quality of student discussion	Teachers	85	55.8	77.8	99.8	0.66	3.64
Section Results:		85%					2.96
Collaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers	100	85.4	94.4	100.0	1.00	
Cultural awareness	Parents	90	82.3	91.9	100.0	0.50	
Cultural awareness	Students	83	66.6	80.6	94.6	0.58	
Cultural awareness	Combined	91				0.69	3.76
 Inclusive classroom instruction 	Teachers	98	82.7	93.1	100.0	0.88	4.52
 Quality of professional development 	Teachers	88	50.4	75.6	100.0	0.76	4.04
 School commitment 	Teachers	90	53.7	82.3	100.0	0.79	4.16
Innovation	Teachers	98	65.9	84.5	100.0	0.95	4.80
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	100	85.1	95.3	100.0	1.00	4.99
Peer collaboration	Teachers	96	75.2	90.6	100.0	0.84	4.36
Focus on student learning	Teachers	95	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.88	4.52
Collective responsibility	Teachers	96	58.1	80.7	100.0	0.91	4.64
Section Results:		95%					4.44
Supportive Environment Safety:							
Safety	Teachers						
Safety	Students	85	67.5	82.9	98.3	0.58	
Safety	Combined	85				0.58	3.32
Classroom behavior:							
Classroom behavior	Teachers						
Classroom behavior	Students	72	68.3	82.1	95.9	0.13	
 Classroom behavior 	Combined	72				0.13	1.52
Social-emotional measure	Teachers	92	86.6	95.2	100.0	0.50	3.00
Peer interactions	Students	72	58.2	74.4	90.6	0.41	2.64
Next-level guidance	Students	84	71.4	83.6	95.8	0.52	3.08
Press toward academic achievement:							
Press toward academic achievemen							
Press toward academic achievemen		82	76.3	86.1	95.9	0.30	
Press toward academic achievemen		82				0.30	2.20
Personal attention and support	Students	88	69.0	81.8	94.6	0.74	3.96
Peer support for academic work:							
Peer support for academic work	Teachers						
Peer support for academic work	Parents	83	68.1	85.5	100.0	0.47	
Peer support for academic work	Students	55	41.0	61.6	82.2	0.33	
Peer support for academic work	Combined	69				0.40	2.60
Section Results:		81%					2.80

16K688 The Brooklyn Academy of Global Finance

			City Range				
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	89	76.5	88.9	100.0	0.53	3.12
Teacher influence	Teachers	82	40.6	71.4	100.0	0.69	3.76
Program coherence	Teachers	92	57.0	82.6	100.0	0.81	4.24
 Principal instructional leadership 	Teachers	99	58.2	84.6	100.0	0.98	4.92
Section Results:		91%					4.00
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	96	75.2	89.0	100.0	0.84	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	92	76.7	88.1	99.5	0.68	
 Teacher outreach to parents 	Combined	94				0.76	4.04
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	58	41.2	61.0	80.8	0.42	2.68
Section Results:		76%					3.36
Trust							
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	91	84.9	93.1	100.0	0.50	3.00
Parent-principal trust	Parents	92	84.5	93.7	100.0	0.50	3.00
Student-teacher trust	Students	88	68.5	81.1	93.7	0.77	4.08
 Teacher-principal trust 	Teachers	97	55.0	84.0	100.0	0.94	4.76
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	95	75.7	90.9	100.0	0.81	4.24
Section Results:		93%					3.80

Targets for 2015-16

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15		2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
Credit Accumulation								
10+ Credits in 1st Year - All Students	82.9%	63.8% or lower	63.9% to 71.8%	71.9% to 77.4%	77.5% or higher			
10+ Credits in 1st Year - School's Lowest Third	86.7%							
10+ Credits in 2nd Year - All Students	68.6%	64.6% or lower	64.7% to 72.2%	72.3% to 77.5%	77.6% or higher			
10+ Credits in 2nd Year - School's Lowest Third	60.0%	48.3% or lower	48.4% to 58.9%	59.0% to 66.4%	66.5% or higher			
10+ Credits in 3rd Year - All Students	75.7%	53.8% or lower	53.9% to 61.5%	61.6% to 69.9%	70.0% or higher			
10+ Credits in 3rd Year - School's Lowest Third	80.0%	42.0% or lower	42.1% to 52.5%	52.6% to 59.9%	60.0% or higher			
Regents Performance								
Average Completion Rate for Remaining Regents	34.8%	24.9% or lower	25.0% to 31.3%	31.4% to 39.9%	40.0% or higher			
Average Regents Score - English (non-Common Core)*	64.0	60.5 or lower	60.6 to 64.9	65.0 to 66.9	67.0 or higher			
Average Regents Score - English (Common Core)*	70.0	65.1 or lower	65.2 to 68.9	69.0 to 71.6	71.7 or higher			
Average Regents Score - Living Environment*	62.0	59.9 or lower	60.0 to 64.9	65.0 to 66.9	67.0 or higher			
Average Regents Score - Global History*	57.0	54.9 or lower	55.0 to 59.9	60.0 to 64.9	65.0 or higher			
Average Regents Score - US History*	74.0	59.9 or lower	60.0 to 64.9	65.0 to 66.9	67.0 or higher			
Average Regents Score - Algebra I (Common Core)*	59.0	55.1 or lower	55.2 to 59.9	60.0 to 64.9	65.0 or higher			
Graduation / Non-Dropout								
4-Year Graduation Rate	62.2%	49.9% or lower	50.0% to 59.9%	60.0% to 69.9%	70.0% or higher			
6-Year Graduation Rate	65.5%	55.8% or lower	55.9% to 61.4%	61.5% to 69.9%	70.0% or higher			
4-Year Non-Dropout Rate	75.7%	69.1% or lower	69.2% to 73.4%	73.5% to 79.9%	80.0% or higher			
6-Year Non-Dropout Rate	69.1%	61.0% or lower	61.1% to 66.0%	66.1% to 69.9%	70.0% or higher			
College and Career Readiness								
College and Career Preparatory Course Index	54.1%	12.6% or lower	12.7% to 19.0%	19.1% to 23.4%	23.5% or higher			
4-Year College Readiness Index	35.1%	7.7% or lower	7.8% to 11.6%	11.7% to 14.9%	15.0% or higher			
6-Year College Readiness Index with Persistence	25.5%	21.1% or lower	21.2% to 28.0%	28.1% to 32.9%	33.0% or higher			
Postsecondary Enrollment Rate - 6 Months	23.5%	35.2% or lower	35.3% to 43.5%	43.6% to 49.4%	49.5% or highe			
Postsecondary Enrollment Rate - 18 Months	40.0%	37.6% or lower	37.7% to 43.7%	43.8% to 48.0%	48.1% or highe			

^{*} Because it is not possible to accurately predict who will take the Regents exams in advance, the table above provides estimated targets for the Regents score metrics. These targets will be adjusted based on the students at the school who actually take the exams.

Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics**	2014-15		2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
Four-Year Graduation Rate								
English Language Learners		40.7% or lower	40.8% to 51.5%	51.6% to 59.0%	59.1% or higher			
Self-Contained / ICT / SETSS	33.3%	22.7% or lower	22.8% to 33.9%	34.0% to 41.7%	41.8% or higher			
Lowest Third Citywide	46.7%	30.0% or lower	30.1% to 41.0%	41.1% to 48.8%	48.9% or higher			
Black / Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	42.9%	26.6% or lower	26.7% to 38.4%	38.5% to 46.7%	46.8% or higher			
College and Career Readiness of Students in Lowest Third Citywide								
College and Career Preparatory Course Index	40.0%	5.8% or lower	5.9% to 9.9%	10.0% to 14.9%	15.0% or higher			
4-Year College Readiness Index	33.3%	2.4% or lower	2.5% to 3.7%	3.8% to 4.9%	5.0% or higher			
Postsecondary Enrollment Rate - 6 Months	16.1%	14.6% or lower	14.7% to 22.1%	22.2% to 27.3%	27.4% or higher			

^{**}To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	44.0%	49.9% or lower	50.0% to 59.9%	60.0% to 69.9%	70.0% or higher			
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	1.18	0.70 or lower	0.71 to 0.78	0.79 to 0.84	0.85 or higher			